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I. Applying anthropological methods and findings to medicine

A. Review of Kleinman’s final chapters

1. Potential problems with his formulation, recommendations

2. Kleinman warns against a “hubris” (pride, arrogance) on the part of the physician that falsifies the patient’s existential experience of illness

   a. Clinicians shouldn’t see patients as totally subjective

   b. Nor see them as if they were overly rational mannequins

B. DISCUSS: are there risks involved in improving physician-patient interaction along the lines Kleinman suggests?

1. The physician (from now on a “he”) is being asked to write down information that:

   a. Might not be accurate

   b. Might perpetrate stereotypes

   c. Or might inappropriately involve the physician’s unresolved psychiatric conflicts, etc.

      1) Remember the reasoning behind the requirement that psychiatry residents in Luhrmann’s book go through psychoanalysis?

2. The physician’s interventions might be experienced by the patient as way too intrusive

   a. Psychiatric records are protected more than standard medical records

      1) Contain a lot of very confidential information and assessments

   b. We might have similar apprehensions about what our physician
records in the medical record, should he try to be so comprehensive

3. Kleinman offers critiques of “behavioral medicine”
   a. And he critiques “biopsychosocial” models of treatment
      1) They emerged from critiques of medicine that did not take the larger social, cultural context into consideration
      2) “The biopsychosocial model is a powerful, deceptive metaphor that converts non-scientific, non-technological treatments of disease into techniques that can be owned by modern professions”
   b. If behavioral medicine’s object is the patient as a “person,” then the medical gaze can extend without limits to intervention into the patient’s life
   c. DISCUSS
   d. Critics of the “biopsychosocial” approach say that all of a patient’s existence is now “fair game” for professional management
   e. In addition, the biopsychosocial model assigns much more responsibility to the patient
      1) Who must be open and cooperative, a co-manager of the problem
      2) The physician has significantly less responsibility—which is quite appealing in the case of chronic illnesses, which cannot be cured

4. DISCUSS: the Fadiman book’s recommendations, and this critique

5. The medical record: what should be entered?
   a. DISCUSS: what should the physician enter on the record of the obese woman with hypertension who lives in a ghetto and has had enormous crises due to poverty and violence (in Kleinman)?

---

6. Medical records are not only medical documents, they are legal and institutional documents as well
   a. They are shared, and increasingly more available
   b. Sometimes this availability is abused
   c. **DISCUSS:** examples you have heard of?

7. Kleinman here adopts the perspective of a “good” physician practicing inside a “good” system
   a. Neither physicians nor system are always good

C. Take the example Kleinman gives of “a brief life history”
   1. The physician must review “major continuities and changes in attitude, personality, major life goals and obstacles, and relevant earlier experiences of coping with illness and other serious conditions”
      a. How to get at all this?
         1) And then, how to verify it?
         2) He himself points out that we often have mistaken memories, that our explanatory models fall short, that “relevant earlier experiences” are found to be relevant only much later
   2. Of course the physician should definitely guard against “dehumanizing” the patient; guard against stripping her or him of what is unique to the illness experience
      a. But Kleinman’s model of interview is the psychiatric one
      b. Which may be fine for people with psychiatric illnesses, but perhaps not for every patient
   3. Many physicians simply would not be very good at writing such records, even if they had the time and institutional encouragement to do so
   4. Psychiatric interviews can produce social labeling and even secondary deviance (lecture on stigma)
      a. The lessons from the Rosenhan et al. study
D. Kleinman has very good criticisms and very good intentions

1. His criticisms remain valid

2. But there is a saying that the road to hell is paved with good intentions

   a. Examples of unforeseen consequences and 20-20 hindsight that we have discussed already:

      1) Deinstitutionalizing the mentally ill

      2) The Tuskegee study

         a) Syphilitic men left uninformed, untreated for decades

      3) Social psychologist Stanley Milgram’s experiments on conformity

      4) Lynchburg

3. **DISCUSS:** other examples?

   a. A study that involved radiating retarded children in a school in Waltham

      1) Often the institutionalized are the most vulnerable

      2) Remember the convicts volunteering to be bitten by mosquitoes in the video about the Tuskegee study?

   b. Israel: deliberate mass radiation of Sephardic youths

      1) A film about it won “best documentary” at the Haifa International film festival: title in Hebrew translates as “100,000 Rays”\(^3\)

      2) Every Sephardic child was to be given 35,000 times the maximum dose of X-rays through his head

      3) Parents were told children were going on “school trips” and the X-rays were a treatment for ringworm

---

\(^3\) “The Ringworm Children” directed by David Belhassen and Asher Hemias.
4) The film says 6,000 of the children died soon after, and many others developed cancers, those who are still living suffer from various disorders

5) The study was concerned with testing atomic medicine, funded by the American government
   a) After US legislation ended human radiation experiments conducted on prisoners, the mentally feeble and the like (like the Waltham school)

6) The US government paid the Israeli government

4. Remoralizing the physician is a good idea; but how to do it this side of utopia is difficult

II. Review of Kleinman’s discussion of parallels in anthropology and clinical practice
   A. Overall, he makes an interesting juxtaposition
      1. Learn to observe
      2. Learn to listen
      3. Learn to interview
      4. Acquire an ability to elicit and understand family dynamics, marital dynamics
      5. These are all skills, and we often are terrible at all of them
   B. Both clinician and anthropologist are interested in acquiring objective information—“data”
      1. Both use the practitioner as part of the data-acquiring tool-kit
         a. A good idea, but also potentially risky
         b. DISCUSS
      2. Both clinician and anthropologist have a problematic relationship with scientific method
         a. Using one’s subjectivity, intuition, and empathy as a way of acquiring information (Barry in Hahn, Luhrmann’s residents)
b. Is not scientific

C. Both clinician and anthropologist stress the importance of looking at the context (one of Kleinman’s and many others’ complaints about physicians)
1. The psychosocial context

D. Both clinician and anthropologist stress the need to be sensitive to cultural variability
1. Medical school curricula stress this far less than graduate training in anthropology

E. Potentially problematic features of Kleinman’s comparison?
1. Anthropology per se is not concerned with changing things—anthropology is scholarship, research, academic
   a. Clinical medicine is concerned with changing things—it is applied science, a profession, not a scholarly discipline
   b. By healing
   c. By influencing policy (government, para-statals like WHO, non-governmental organizations [NGOs], etc)
2. Anthropology is not nearly as authoritative as medicine
   a. Medicine is extremely authoritative; there are many vested interests, a crucial requirement that decision-makers have adequate authority
      1) **Discuss**
3. Anthropology critiques more than medicine does
   a. Only certain sectors of medicine mount critiques
   b. For example, editorials in medical journals
   c. Individual physicians griping about something doesn’t count as critique
   d. Medical science—research—does critique a lot—critically examines previous research, for example
4. Medicine is positivistic in approach; anthropology is often interpretive

5. A huge number of interest groups try to influence medicine
   
a. Self-help groups, lobbyists, pharmaceutical companies, etc.
   
   1) For example, registrants at professional meetings have to sign a form about any potentially profitable equipment, etc., that might result from attending the meetings
   
b. There is nothing like this in anthropology—nobody tries to influence members or organizations in a parallel fashion