Architecture of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Origin Recognition Complex Bound to Origins of **DNA** Replication

by

Daniel Gyejun Lee

B. Sc. Genetics University of Alberta, **1993**

Submitted to the Department of Biology in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

> Doctor of Philosophy in Biology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology

> > September, **1999**

© **1999** Daniel Gyejun Lee. **All** rights Reserved.

The author hereby grants to MIT permission to reproduce and to distribute publicly paper and electronic copies of this thesis document in whole or in part.

Signature of Author:

Department of Biology August **31, 1999**

Certified **by:**

Stephen P. Bell Associate Professor of Biology Thesis Supervisor

Accepted **by:**

L Alan **D.** Grossman Professor of Biology Co-Chair, Committee for Graduate Students

Scienc

Architecture of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Origin Recognition Complex Bound to Origins of **DNA** Replication

by

Daniel Gyejun Lee

B.Sc. Genetics University of Alberta, **1993**

Submitted to the Department of Biology on August **31, 1999,** in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Biology

ABSTRACT

The Origin Recognition Complex (ORC) is thought to be required for the initiation of **DNA** replication in all eukaryotes. *In Saccharomyces cerevisiae,* ORC is bound to origins of **DNA** replication throughout the cell cycle and directs the assembly of higher-order protein-DNA complexes during **G1. I** have investigated the architecture of yeast ORC bound to origin **DNA.** Determination of **DNA** residues important for ORCorigin association indicated that ORC interacts preferentially with one strand of the *ARS]* origin. **DNA** binding assays using ORC complexes lacking one of the six subunits demonstrated that the **DNA** binding domain of ORC requires the coordinate action of five of the six ORC subunits. Protein-DNA crosslinking studies suggested that recognition of origin sequences is mediated **by** two groups of ORC subunits making sequence-specific contacts with two distinct regions of the **DNA.** Thus, the DNA-binding surface of ORC is formed **by** the coordinate action of multiple subunits.

Electron microscopy (EM) of ORC showed that the complex has a shape consistent with the structure determined **by** protein-DNA crosslinking. These EM studies found that ORC is an elongated molecule with three lobes. Estimates of the molecular mass of ORC using EM were consistent with this elongated complex containing one copy of each of the six ORC subunits. ORC bound origin **DNA** along its long axis and interacted with approximately *50* base pairs as predicted from DNase **I** protection assays of ORC bound to origin **DNA.**

To examine the fate of ORC when origin **DNA** is unwound during replication initiation, **I** determined the effect of single-stranded **DNA** (ssDNA) on ORC. **I** showed that ORC binds ssDNA and that the ssDNA-bound form of ORC is distinct from that bound to double-stranded origin **DNA.** EM studies demonstrated that ssDNA stabilizes a bent conformation of ORC whereas origin **DNA** stabilizes an extended form of ORC. In addition, ssDNA stimulates the ORC-ATPase activity, whereas origin-containing **DNA** inhibits it. **I** propose that the unwinding of origin **DNA** activates an ssDNA-controlled ORC conformational switch that contributes to the remodeling of the origin-associated protein complexes assembled during **G1.**

Thesis Supervisor: Stephen P. Bell Title: Associate Professor of Biology

 \cdot

Dedicated, with love

to my parents, Jai-Hyung and Boon-Ok Lee

and

to my wife, Tallessyn

Acknowledgements

For day-to-day support during my graduate career, **I** wish to acknowledge all the members of the Bell lab. **I** am grateful for your technical help, for supplying important reagents, for countless discussions on all topics scientific and otherwise, and for tremendous emotional support and friendship. Special thanks go out to Oscar Aparicio who survived five years as my bay-mate. Thanks for putting up with my music and for loaning me your daughter so that she could be in my wedding.

For intellectual guidance and encouragement, **I** thank the members of my thesis committee, Tania Baker, Andindya Dutta, Carl Pabo and Phillip Sharp. You have provided important constructive criticism of my work and have helped me to be a more careful and rigorous scientist.

I am especially indebted to my thesis supervisor, Stephen Bell, for his support and mentorship. You have been the biggest influence on my development as a scientist and **I** am especially thankful for the opportunity to work in an environment in which the science is thorough and always comes first. In the future, **I** hope to model my own lab after the way in which you have managed yours.

Finally, for continued love and support, before, during and after grad school, **I** thank my parents and my wife. **I** couldn't have done it without you.

Table of Contents

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

Chapter I

 ϵ

Introduction

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

The replication of a genome is a logistically complex task. The process itself is an impressive feat, involving the synthesis of tens or thousands of megabases of **DNA** in eukaryotes, with high fidelity, in a short period of time $\left(\sim 20 \text{ minutes for yeast and as}\right)$ little as 3-4 minutes for fruit **fly** embryos). Moreover, the process must be precisely regulated each cell cycle to ensure that the entire genome is duplicated but that no portion is over-replicated. Both too little and too much replication can have deleterious consequences for an organism.

In 1964, Jacob, Brenner and Cuzin proposed a simple, yet powerful model for the initiation of **DNA** replication that continues to be influential today (Jacob et al., 1964). The replicon model postulated that the initiation of **DNA** replication would require a positive trans-acting factor called the initiator protein, that would activate replication via a cis-acting sequence called the replicator. The replicator is therefore a genetically defined element that is required for replication, whereas the more frequently used term, replication origin, refers to the actual initiation site of **DNA** synthesis as determined **by** physical mapping techniques. Although the replicator and the origin need not necessarily overlap (reviewed in Stillman, **1993),** the two elements are generally coincident and **I** will hereafter refer only to origins.

The replicon model has since been supported **by** the study of genomic replication in bacteria, phage and eukaryotic viruses. These experiments have indicated that the initiator generally performs three functions (first proposed **by** Bramhill and Kornberg, **1988;** reviewed in Baker and Bell, **1998).** First, initiator proteins bind their cognate origins of replication in a sequence specific manner and thereby select the site at which **DNA** replication will begin. Second, binding of the initiator often induces distortions in the origin **DNA,** facilitating the generation of the single-stranded **DNA** template for

polymerase action. Finally, initiator proteins recruit other replication proteins required for the assembly of replication forks at the origin.

The initiator protein and the early steps of replication are likely targets for the regulatory mechanism that ensure the fidelity of genomic replication. From a practical standpoint, it makes sense to regulate steps that occur before the stable complex of replication proteins bound to origins is converted into a moving replication machine. **If** replication elongation was a major target of cell cycle regulation and was routinely interrupted, the resulting replication intermediates would generate undesirable regions of genomic instability. Indeed, studies of related processes such as transcription and translation have shown that the initiation phase is a key target for regulation (Sachs and Buratowski, **1997).** Furthermore, studies of the replication of eukaryotic viruses such as the Simian Virus 40 (SV40) have demonstrated that the viral genome replicates more than once per cell cycle. Because SV40 replication requires only one viral-encoded protein (the SV40 initiator protein, T-Antigen) and all other activities are provided **by** the mammalian host cell (reviewed in Stillman, 1994), the simplest explanation is that proteins involved in initiation and not the **DNA** synthesis machinery are the major target for cell-cycle regulation.

The Replicon Model in Eukaryotes

Studies of **DNA** replication in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strongly support the hypothesis that eukaryotic cells adhere to the replicon model. **S.** *cerevisiae* is the only eukaryote that has both well-defined origins of replication and a putative initiator protein. Yeast origins were first identified as genomic **DNA** sequences capable of supporting the autonomous replication of episomal **DNA** (Autonomous Replicating Sequences or ARSs; Hsiao and Carbon, **1979;** Stinchcomb et al., **1979).**

Many of these elements were subsequently shown to act as origins of replication in their normal chromosomal context (reviewed in Newlon and Theis, **1993).** Yeast origins are modular in nature and contain the conserved **11** base-pair ARS Consensus Sequence **(ACS)** that is essential for **ORC-DNA** binding and origin function *in vivo,* as well as additional elements that enhance origin function (referred to as B elements; Bell, **1995).** The structure of yeast origins will be discussed in greater detail in Chapter II.

A candidate eukaryotic initiator protein was first identified in *S. cerevisiae* as a six protein complex called the Origin Recognition Complex (ORC). ORC was purified as an activity that bound specifically to the *ARSJ* origin *in vitro* in the presence of ATP (Bell and Stillman, **1992).** ORC was subsequently shown to bind yeast origins of replication *in vivo* (Aparicio et al., **1997;** Diffley and Cocker, **1992;** Santocanale and Diffley, **1996;** Tanaka et al., **1997).** The six ORC subunits are referred to as Orc1p through Orc6p in order of decreasing mass and all six proteins are essential for the viability of yeast cells (Bell et al., **1993;** Bell et al., **1995;** Li and Herskowitz, **1993;** Loo et al., **1995).** Conditional mutations in ORC subunits result in cell-cycle abnormalities and high plasmid loss rates consistent with defects in **DNA** replication (Foss et al., **1993;** Loo et al., **1995;** Micklem et al., **1993).** These mutations also lead to decreased origin usage *in vivo* (Fox et al., **1995;** Liang et al., **1995)** and decreased origin binding *in vivo* (Aparicio et al., **1997).**

Although origins of replication have been difficult to define in multi-cellular eukaryotes (reviewed in Diffley, **1996),** analogs of ORC subunits have been discovered in numerous species (reviewed in (Dutta and Bell, **1997).** In *Xenopus laevis and Drosophila melanogaster,* these proteins form a six-protein complex similar to that seen in yeast. Futhermore, studies of replication either *in vivo or in vitro* strongly suggest that ORC is required for **DNA** replication in all eukaryotic species (Chesnokov et al., **1999;** Landis et

al., **1997;** Pasero et al., **1997;** reviewed in Dutta and Bell, **1997).** In addition to the putative initiator protein, other replication proteins involved in the initiation process (see below) are conserved throughout evolution as are the components of the **DNA** synthesis machinery (reviewed in Baker and Bell, **1998).** This high degree of conservation among replication proteins argues that the mechanisms for both the initiation and the elongation phases of **DNA** replication are similar in all eukaryotes.

A Two-Step Process for Regulating Initiation in Yeast

Multiple aspects of replication must be controlled to ensure faithful genomic duplication. First, all eukaryotic **DNA** is restricted to the appropriate time during the cell cycle. In normal cycling cells, **DNA** synthesis begins only after cell division has been completed, and replication does not occur again until there has been an intervening mitotic phase. Second, the large size of the eukaryotic genome combined with its organization into multiple chromosomes requires that bidirectional replication be initiated from multiple origins (reviewed in Diffley, **1996).** Furthermore, origins of replication are temporally regulated: rather than being activated simultaneously at the beginning of each S-phase, origins initiate replication at characteristic times during S-phase (reviewed in Diller and Raghuraman, 1994; Simon and Cedar, **1996).** The problem of multiple origins firing throughout S-phase requires mechanisms to ensure that each origin initiates only once per cell cycle and that an origin that is passively replicated does not initiate. These regulatory mechanisms are enforced **by** controlling the assembly of replication complexes (see below).

ORC is known to possess at least two of the three general properties of initiator proteins: **it** specifically binds origin **DNA** and it recruits other replication proteins to origins. In yeast, ORC binds to origins of replication throughout most or all of the cell

cycle and directs the assembly of higher order complexes prior to the initiation of **DNA** replication (Figure **1).** *In vivo* DNase **I** protection assays and chromatin immunoprecipitation (CHIP) experiments suggest that ORC alone is present at the origin during the **G2-** and M-phases to form what has been termed the postreplicative complex (post-RC; Aparicio et al., **1997;** Diffley et al., 1994; Tanaka et al., **1997).** In **GI,** ORC recruits additional replication proteins to origins, including Cdc6p and the MCM proteins (in that order), to form the prereplicative complex (pre-RC). Initially, the pre-RC was defined as a structure that extended the ORC-specific DNase **I** footprint at origins during **GI** (Diffley et al., 1994). This larger region of protection was dependent on the activity of the Cdc6p protein (Cocker et al., **1996).** Subsequent *in vivo* chromatin immunoprecipitation assays demonstrated that Cdc6p as well as the MCMs are recruited to origins **by** ORC (Aparicio et al., **1997;** Tanaka et al., **1997),** and the term pre-RC has since come to include MCMs.

After the formation of pre-RCs, Cdc45p and the replicative polymerases are recruited to origins in a manner correlated with the time of replication initiation (Aparicio et al., **1997;** Zou and Stillman, **1998).** This higher-order complex containing Cdc45p and the polymerases has been named the replicative complex (RC). During S-phase, Cdc6p is degraded (Piatti et al., **1995),** and MCM proteins and Cdc45p are released from origins and appear to move with the **DNA** polymerases as part of the replication fork (Aparicio et al., **1997).** ORC remains at the origin to repeat this process in the following cell cycle. The requirement for an ORC-dependent assembly of replication proteins on **DNA** is likely conserved throughout evolution, as replication in *Xenopus* extracts requires chromatin association of ORC, Cdc6p and MCM proteins, with the same dependence as seen in yeast (reviewed in Diffley, **1996).**

Cell Cycle Regulation of Replication Complexes

Figure 1. Cell-Cycle Regulation of Replication Complexes. In **G2,** ORC (green oval) is bound to origin **DNA** to form the postreplicative complex (post-RC). During **G1,** ORC recruits **Cdc6p** and MCM proteins to the origin to form a prereplicative complex (pre-RC). Close to the **G1-S** transition when B-type cell-cycle kinases are activated, Cdc45p and the **DNA** polymerases are recruited to origins to form a replicative complex (RC). The activity of kinases activate the RC, remodeling its components to release the polymerases, as well as the MCMs and Cdc45p which move as part of the replication fork. **High** levels of kinase activity during **S-, G2-** and M-phases prevent the formation of further pre-RCs. See text for more details.

During each cell cycle, the assembly and disassembly of origin-associated complexes is controlled **by** the action of cell-cycle regulated kinases. In yeast, the assembly of pre-RCs occurs during **G1** when Cdc6p is abundant and when levels of Btype cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) activity are low (B-type CDKs are active during **S-, G2-** and M-phases; see below). Entry into S-phase requires the activation of the S-phase CDKs *(Clb5p-* and Clb6p-associated CDKs) and the activity of the **Cdc7p/Dbf4p** kinase. In a CDK-dependent event, each origin is activated, resulting in the dismantling of the RC. ORC re-binds origin **DNA** to form a post-RC and must be prevented from reforming new pre-RCs and re-initiating replication until the next G1-phase. Interestingly, the activities of the B-type CDKs prevent pre-RC formation during **S-, G2-,** and Mphases (Dahmann et al., **1995;** Piatti et al., **1996;** Tanaka et al., **1997).** Thus, the cell cycle can be split into two phases with respect to kinase and pre-RC activity. During **G1,** when B-type kinase activity is low, pre-RCs can form but cannot be activated. When **Clb5p-** and Clb6p-associated kinase activities peak during S-phase, pre-RCs can be converted into RCs and activated, but no further pre-RC formation is allowed until the next Gi-phase when B-type CDKs return to low levels.

Properties of the Known pre-RC and RC Components

As described above, **ORC** remains bound to origin **DNA** throughout the cell cycle, and the first component of the pre-RC recruited to **DNA by ORC** is Cdc6p (Aparicio et al., **1997;** Cocker et al., **1996;** Tanaka et al., **1997).** Cdc6p is required for an early event in **DNA** replication (Hartwell, **1976)** and interacts both genetically and physically with ORC (Liang et al., **1995;** Wang et al., **1999;** reviewed in Dutta and Bell). Both the mRNA and protein levels for Cdc6p fluctuate during the cell cycle, resulting in a peak of Cdc6p at the **M-G1** transition and a second at the **G1-S** transition (Piatti et al.,

1995). The instability of Cdc6p is likely due to a combination of kinases and the cellcycle regulated degradation machinery. Cdc6p is a target for phosphorylation **by** the Clb5-associated CDK *in vivo and in vitro* (Elsasser et al., **1996;** Piatti et al., **1996).** Furthermore, Cdc6p is degraded **by** the *Cdc4/34/53* ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis pathway involved the regulated destruction of proteins at the **G1-S** transition (Drury et al., **1997;** Piatti et al., **1996).** No causal relationship has yet been determined for phosphorylation and degradation of Cdc6p; however, the related *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* protein, Cdc **18p,** has been shown to be targeted for degradation **by** CDK phosphorylation (Jallepalli et al., **1997).**

Although Cdc6p may normally be destroyed prior to the initiation of replication, the requirement for its removal remains unclear. **A** stable variant of Cdc6p that is no longer a substrate for *Cdc4/34/53* mediated degradation has no consequence for cellcycle progression is budding yeast (Drury et al., **1997).** These data are in contrast to work in *S. pombe* in which stabilized forms of Cdc **I8p** are potent activators of rereplication (Jallepalli et al., **1997).** This difference suggests that redundant mechanisms operate in *S. cerevisiae* to ensure that replication occurs once and only once. It should be noted that *Xenopus* and mammalian Cdc6p are stable proteins that appear to be controlled **by** regulated nuclear localization. These proteins are nuclear in **G1** but are relocalized outside of the nucleus around the time of **DNA** replication (Coleman et al., **1996;** Jiang et al., **1999;** Petersen et al., **1999;** Saha et al., **1998).**

Cdc6p and ORC are both required for the origin association of the next component of the pre-RC, the mini-chromosome maintenance (MCM) proteins (Aparicio et al., **1997;** Donovan et al., **1997;** Tanaka et al., **1997).** The MCMs are a family of six related proteins (named Mcm2p through Mcm7p) first identified in yeast **by** screening for mutations defective in the maintenance of ARS-containing plasmids or cell-cycle

progression (Maine et al., 1984; Moir et al., **1982).** These six proteins are similar in sequence, particularly in a region that contains a putative DNA-dependent ATPase domain (Koonin, **1993).** Despite these sequence similarities, the MCM proteins cannot functionally substitute for one another as they are each essential for cell viability (reviewed in Dutta and Bell, **1997).** MCM family members have been identified in organisms from *S. pombe* to humans, and in each case, data suggests that the MCM proteins function together in a complex. Various sub-complexes of MCMs have been observed in yeast, *Drosophila, Xenopus* and human cells (reviewed in Dutta and Bell, **1997),** although recent work has detected a hetero-hexameric complex containing all six MCM proteinsfrom *S. pombe* and human cells (Adachi et al., **1997;** Fujita et al., **1998).**

Recent data has suggested that MCM proteins are the replicative helicase. **A** weak helicase activity has been detected for a complex containing the human Mcm4p, Mcm6p and Mcm7p in the presence of hydrolyzable ATP or **dATP** (Ishimi, **1997).** Furthermore, *in vivo* chromatin immunoprecipitation assays in yeast have shown that, after being loaded at origins, MCMs appear to move away from origins during S-phase with similar kinetics as the **DNA** polymerases, arguing that MCMs are a component of the replication fork (Aparicio et al., **1997).** Less compelling arguments derive from the observation that many other replicative helicases (including those from *Escherichia coli,* SV40, and the bacteriophages T4 and **T7)** form hexamers that can encircle **DNA** (reviewed in Baker and Bell, **1998).** Electron microscopy of purified MCM proteins from *S. pombe* has revealed a globular structure with a central cavity, suggesting that this complex may also encircle **DNA** (Adachi et al., **1997).** Furthermore, Cdc6p, which is required for loading MCMs onto **DNA,** has recently been shown to be related to prokaryotic and eukaryotic clamp loaders, protein complexes that assemble a ring-shaped processivity factor around the **DNA** to improve the processivity of the **DNA** polymerase

(Perkins and Diffley, **1998).** In isolated chromatin preparations following MCM loading, Cdc6p and ORC can be removed from the **DNA by** salt treatments that only partially remove the MCMs (Donovan et al., **1997).** These data are consistent with the hypothesis that MCMs are loaded onto **DNA by** Cdc6p to form a hexameric ring that encircles the **DNA** and is resistant to removal **by** salt due to its topological linkage.

In addition to Cdc6p, MCM proteins are also putative targets for regulation **by** cell-cycle dependant kinases. Cdc7/Dbf4p is a kinase required for entry into S-phase (see below). **A** point mutation in *mcm5* was isolated as a bypass suppressor of *cdc7* null mutants (and *dbf4* mutants; Hardy et al., **1997).** These data argue either that **Cdc7p/Dbf4p** activates Mcm5p and that the *mcm5* mutation causes it to be constitutively activated, or that Mcm5p has both positive and negative roles in **DNA** replication and that kinase activity overcomes the repressive function. Mcm2p also interacts genetically with **Cdc7p/Dbf4p** (a screen for suppressors of an *mcm2-1* mutation identified a mutation in *dbf4;* Lei et al., **1997),** and a negative role for Mcm2p has been suggested **by** the finding that murine Mcm2p inhibits the helicase activity of the human Mcm4p, **6p,** and **7p** complex (Ishimi et al., **1998). If** yeast Mcm2p also acts to repress **DNA** replication, then phosphorylation **by Cdc7p/Dbf4p** may relieve this repression. Finally, various MCM proteins have been shown to interact physically with or serve as *in vitro* substrates for Cdc7p kinase activity in yeast, *Xenopus* and human cells (Lei et al., **1997;** Roberts et al., **1999;** Sato et al., **1997).**

The activity of CKDs may also negatively regulate MCM function at the end of **S**phase. In mammalian cells, Mcm2p and 4p are typically hyperphosphorylated and released from chromatin in **G2** (Fujita et al., **1998).** When a cell line carrying a temperature-sensitive mutation in the Cdc2 kinase (the mammalian CDK required for Btype kinase activity) was tested at the non-permissive temperature, both phosphorylation

of Mcm2p and 4p and the release of MCMs from chromatin were impaired. Other work has shown that the use of the serine-threonine protein kinase inhibitor **6** dimethylaminopurine (DMAP) allows nuclei isolated from **G2** HeLa cells to replicate in *Xenopus* egg extracts, whereas untreated **G2** nuclei do not normally replicate (Coverley et al., **1996).** DMAP treatment allows the MCMs that are normally released from chromatin in **G2** nuclei to reassociate with chromatin **DNA** and allows for replication even in extracts that are immuno-depleted of *Xenopus* MCM proteins (Coverley et al., **1998).**

Following MCM loading, Cdc45p is recruited to origins. *CDC45* was first isolated as a mutation defective for cell cycle progression and showed genetic interactions with two MCM genes (Moir et al., **1982).** Further characterization of this gene product demonstrated that it has a role in the initiation of **DNA** replication (Zou et al., **1997)** and that this protein likely acts at the same time as **Cdc7p/Dbf4p** during replication initiation (Owens et al., **1997).** Cdc45p is recruited to origins at a time that correlates with the loading of the replicative **DNA** polymerases and the firing of origins (Aparicio et al., **1997;** Zou and Stillman, **1998).** Like the MCMs, Cdc45p also appears to move from the origins in a manner that suggests it is part of the replication fork (Aparicio et al., 1997). In *Xenopus* cells, Cdc45p is required for the loading of DNA Polymerase α and it co-localizes with the polymerase, consistent with a role for Cdc45p in the moving replication fork (Mimura and Takisawa, **1998).** Unlike Cdc6p and MCMs, which are found to load onto both early and late-firing origins at the same time, the association of Cdc45p with chromatin is temporally regulated such that it binds to late origins after it has been recruited to early origins (Aparicio et al., **1999).** Furthermore, cell-cycle checkpoints that block **DNA** replication in response to **DNA** damage or stalled replication forks prevent the association of Cdc45p with late origins. Since Cdc45p is required for loading **DNA** polymerases onto **DNA** in yeast (Aparicio et al., **1999)** as well as *Xenopus,*

it is a likely target for the regulatory mechanisms that prevent late origins from firing until the appropriate time during S-phase or in the presence of activated cell-cycle checkpoints.

Properties of the Cell-Cycle Regulated Kinases

Cell cycle progression is controlled **by** the action of cyclin dependent kinases (CDKs) whose activities rise and fall in a characteristic manner during the cell-cycle (reviewed in Murray and Hunt, **1993).** These enzymes are activated **by** associating with a regulatory subunit called a cyclin, and the levels of cyclins are regulated in a cell-cycle dependent manner. Thus, the oscillating levels of cyclins (in part) lead to the oscillating levels of CDK activity. Cyclins fall into three general categories depending on the cellcycle stage in which they are most active: **GI** cyclins, S-phase cyclins, and mitotic cyclins **.** In *S. cerevisiae* the S-phase and mitotic kinase activities depend on six B-type (or CLB) cyclins, CLB **1-6** (reviewed in Mendenhall and Hodge, **1998;** Roberts, **1999).** Normally, **Clb5p** and **Clb6p** stimulate S-phase kinase activity and **Clb1p - Clb4p** are associated with mitotic kinase activity. As described above, B-type cyclins both activate pre-RCs at the **G1-S** transition (either directly or indirectly) and prevent pre-RC formation during **S-, G2-** and M-phases. B-type cyclins may also perform a function required during the elongation phase of **DNA** replication since ongoing **DNA** synthesis in a soluble yeast system requires the activity of the Clb5-Cdc28 kinase (Duncker et al., **1999).**

Analyses of clb5 and clb6 mutant cells have supported their role in promoting **S**phase. In a *clb5* mutant, **DNA** replication initiates at the appropriate time but lasts almost twice as long as a conventional S-phase (Epstein and Cross, **1992;** Kuhne and Linder, **1993). A** *clb6* mutant has a normal onset and duration of S-phase, but the start of **S-**

phase in a *cib5 clb6* double mutant is substantially delayed (Schwob and Nasmyth, **1993).** Interestingly, the length of S-phase in these double mutant cells (driven **by** the mitotic cyclins, **Clblp-Clb4p)** is similar to that in wild-type cells, implying that the prolonged **S**phase phenotype of the *cib5* mutant is suppressed **by** the additional mutation in *clb6.* **Clb5p** is also involved in temporal regulation of **DNA** replication (Donaldson et al., **1998). Clb6p** normally activates early but not late origins; therefore, in a *clb5* cell (in which only **Clb6p** is active during S-phase), late origins are not activated, resulting in a longer S-phase. **Clb5p** can activate both early and late origins, resulting in an apparent normal S-phase in *clb6* cells (in which only **Clb5p** is active during S-phase). Finally, in *cib5 clb6* double mutants, the onset of **DNA** replication is delayed because S-phase cannot be initiated until the mitotic kinases are active. However, since the mitotic kinases activate both early and late origins in the correct sequence, the duration of **S**phase is normal. The mechanism **by** which early and late replication origins are selectively activated remains unclear. It is not yet known whether Cdc45p loading onto late origins is prevented in *clb5* cells in which these origins do not initiate.

In addition to the CDKs, a new class of cell-cycle regulated kinases required for cell-cycle progression have been identified (reviewed in Johnston et al., **1999).** Cdc7p is a kinase required in *S. cerevisiae* for **DNA** replication (Hartwell, **1973).** This enzyme interacts with **Dbf4p,** a protein whose levels oscillate during the cell-cycle in a manner reminiscent of cyclins (Cheng et al., **1999).** The levels of **Dbf4p** increase at the onset of S-phase and decline rapidly as cells exit from mitosis and begin the next cell cycle. Cdc7p and Dbf4p-related proteins have been identified in fission yeast and metazoans, and this class of proteins has therefore been named the **Dbf4p** dependent kinases (DDKs).

Rather than being required simply to trigger **DNA** replication, **Cdc7p/Dbf4p** appears to act directly on individual origins throughout S-phase (Bousset and Diffley,

1998; Donaldson et al., **1998).** The requirement for Cdc7p activity at each origin is consistent with a previous finding that **Dbf4p** could be localized to the *ARS1* origin (Dowell et al., 1994). These authors used a "one-hybrid" assay in which a library was screened to identify genomic fragments fused to a transcriptional activator that could induce transcription of reporter genes downstream of the *ARS1* sequence **- Dbf4p** was identified multiple times in this screen. The origin-interacting domain of **Dbf4p** can be separated from its Cdc7p-interacting domain; however, both are essential for yeast cell viability.

Various mutations in the *ARS1* sequence interfered with the ability of **Dbf4p** to be recruited to the origin (Dowell, et al., 1994). **A** mutation in the essential **ACS** element or the important B 1 element (see Chapter **II,** Figure **1)** that abolishes or reduces **ORC** binding, respectively, also abolished or reduced Dbf4p-origin association. Interestingly, a mutation in the B2 element also reduced the strength of the Dbf4p-origin association. Unpublished chromatin immunoprecipitation data from our lab has demonstrated that the same mutation in B2 reduces MCM loading at the *ARS]* origin **(0.** Aparicio and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations). Given the numerous direct interactions observed between Cdc7p and MCM proteins (Lei et al., **1997;** Roberts et al., **1999;** Sato et al., **1997),** it is likely that **Cdc7p/Dbf4p** is brought to origins via an interaction that requires MCM proteins.

Overview

The overall goals of my thesis research were to understand the mechanisms underlying the initiation of **DNA** replication and the regulation of this process in eukaryotes. **I** chose to focus on elucidating the biochemical properties of the *S. cerevisiae* Origin Recognition Complex, the central player in the initiation process and a

possible target of cell-cycle regulation. When **I** began this work, little was known about the functions of ORC, except than that it bound origin **DNA** in the presence of ATP and that it displayed genetic interactions with multiple other genes involved in **DNA** replication. **I** therefore began **by** characterizing the known property of ORC, **DNA** binding. In Chapter **II,** I describe the elucidation of the architecture of ORC bound to origin **DNA,** with descriptions of the **DNA** residues important for ORC-origin association, the subunits of ORC directly contacting **DNA** and the arrangement of these subunits with respect to each other and the origin sequences. In Chapter III, I have taken advantage of electron microscopy (EM) to examine individual molecules of ORC and to address questions regarding the shape of ORC and stoichiometry of its subunits, and to directly examine the structure of the associated origin **DNA.** Finally, in Chapter IV, **I** have determined that ORC likely exists in two distinct states during the cell-cycle. In addition to the form of ORC bound to double-stranded origin **DNA,** ORC adopts a different conformation (as assayed **by** EM) when bound to single-stranded **DNA.** This change in conformation is associated with a switch in (at least) one biochemical property of ORC and may be a key mechanism in the regulation of **DNA** replication. Thus, the experiments described in this thesis are relevant for understanding both the mechanism of replication initiation and the manner in which this process is controlled.

REFERENCES

- Adachi, Y., Usukura, **J.** and Yanagida, M. **(1997). A** globular complex formation **by** Ndal and the other five members of the MCM protein family in fission yeast. Genes Cells *2,* **467-79.**
- Aparicio, **0.** M., Sout, **A.** M. and Bell, **S.** P. **(1999).** Differential assembly of Cdc45p and **DNA** polymerases at early and lat origins of **DNA** replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci **USA** *96, in press.*
- Aparicio, **0.** M., Weinstein, **D.** M. and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Components and Dynamics of **DNA** Replication Complexes in *S. cerevisiae:* Redistribution of MCM Proteins and Cdc45p During **S** Phase. Cell *91, 59-69.*
- Baker, T. **A.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1998).** Polymerases and the replisome: machines within machines. Cell *92,* **295-305.**
- Bell, **S.** P. *(1995).* Eukaryotic replicators and associated protein complexes. Curr. Opin. Gen. Dev. *5,* **162-167.**
- Bell, **S.** P., Kobayashi, R. and Stillman, B. **(1993).** Yeast origin recognition complex functions in transcription silencing and **DNA** replication. Science *262,* 1844-1849.
- Bell, **S.** P., Mitchell, **J.,** Leber, **J.,** Kobayashi, R. and Stillman, B. **(1995).** The multidomain structure of Orc1p reveals similarity to regulators of **DNA** replication and transcriptional silencing. Cell *83,* **563-568.**
- Bell, **S.** P. and Stillman, B. **(1992).** ATP-dependent recognition of eukaryotic origins of **DNA** replication **by** a multiprotein complex. Nature *357,* 128-134.
- Bousset, K. and Diffley, **J.** F. **(1998).** The Cdc7 protein kinase is required for origin firing during **S** phase [published erratum appears in Genes Dev **1998** Apr **1; 12(7):1072].** Genes Dev *12,* 480-90.
- Cheng, L., Collyer, T. and Hardy, **C.** F. **(1999).** Cell cycle regulation of **DNA** replication initiator factor **Dbf4p.** Mol Cell Biol *19,* **4270-8.**
- Chesnokov, **I.,** Gossen, M., Remus, **D.** and Botchan, M. **(1999).** Assembly of functionally active drosophila origin recognition complex from recombinant proteins [In Process Citation]. Genes Dev *13,* **1289-1296.**
- Cocker, **J.** H., Piatti, **S.,** Santocanale, **C.,** Nasmyth, K. and Diffley, **J.** F. **(1996).** An essential role for the Cdc6 protein in forming the pre-replicative complexes of budding yeast. Nature *379,* **180-182.**
- Coleman, T. R., Carpenter, P. B. and Dunphy, W. **G. (1996).** The Xenopus Cdc6 protein is essential for the initiation of a single round of **DNA** replication in cell-free extracts. Cell *87,* **53-63.**
- Coverley, **D.,** Wilkinson, H. R. and Downes, **C. S. (1996). A** protein kinase-dependent block to reinitiation of **DNA** replication in **G2** phase in mammalian cells. Exp Cell Res **225,** 294-300.
- Coverley, **D.,** Wilkinson, H. R., Madine, M. **A.,** Mills, **A. D.** and Laskey, R. **A. (1998).** Protein kinase inhibition in **G2** causes mammalian Mcm proteins to reassociate with chromatin and restores ability to replicate. Exp Cell Res *238,* **63-9.**
- Dahmann, **C.,** Diffley, **J.** F. and Nasmyth, K. **A.** *(1995).* S-phase-promoting cyclindependent kinases prevent re-replication **by** inhibiting the transition of replication origins to a pre-replicative state. Curr Biol *5,* **1257-69.**
- Diffley, J. F. (1996). Once and only once upon a time: specifying and regulating origins of **DNA** replication in eukaryotic cells. Genes Dev *10,* **2819-30.**
- Diffley, **J.** F., Cocker, **J.** H., Dowell, **S. J.** and Rowley, **A.** (1994). Two steps in the assembly of complexes at yeast replication origins *in vivo. Cell 78,* **303-316.**
- Diffley, **J.** F. X. and Cocker, **J.** H. **(1992).** Protein-DNA interactions at a yeast replication origin. Nature *357,* **169-172.**
- Diller, **J. D.** and Raghuraman, M. K. (1994). Eukaryotic replication origins: control in space and time. Trends Biochem Sci *19,* **320-5.**
- Donaldson, **A. D.,** Fangman, W. L. and Brewer, B. **J. (1998).** Cdc7 is required throughout the yeast **S** phase to activate replication origins. Genes Dev *12,* 491-501.
- Donaldson, **A. D.,** Raghuraman, M. K., Friedman, K. L., Cross, F. R., Brewer, B. **J.** and Fangman, W. L. **(1998).** CLB5-dependent activation of late replication origins in **S.** cerevisiae. Mol Cell *2,* **173-82.**
- Donovan, **S.,** Harwood, **J.,** Drury, L. **S.** and Diffley, **J.** F. **(1997).** Cdc6p-dependent loading of Mcm proteins onto pre-replicative chromatin in budding yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U S A** *94,* **5611-6.**
- Dowell, **S. J.,** Romanowski, P. and Diffley, **J.** F. (1994). Interaction of **Dbf4,** the Cdc7 protein kinase regulatory subunit, with yeast replication origins in vivo [see comments]. Science *265,* 1243-6.
- Drury, L. **S.,** Perkins, **G.** and Diffley, **J.** F. **(1997).** The Cdc4/34/53 pathway targets Cdc6p for proteolysis in budding yeast. Embo **J** *16,* **5966-5976.**
- Duncker, B. P., Pasero, P., Braguglia, **D.,** Heun, P., Weinreich, M. and Gasser, **S.** M. **(1999).** Cyclin B-cdk1 kinase stimulates ORC- and Cdc6-independent steps of semiconservative plasmid replication in yeast nuclear extracts. Mol Cell Biol *19,* 1226-41.
- Dutta, **A.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Initiation of **DNA** replication in eukaryotic cells. Ann. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *13,* **293-332.**
- Elsasser, **S.,** Lou, F., Wang, B., Campbell, **J.** L. and Jong, **A. (1996).** Interaction between yeast Cdc6 protein and B-type cyclin/Cdc28 kinases. Mol Biol Cell **7, 1723-35.**
- Epstein, **C.** B. and Cross, F. R. **(1992). CLB5:** a novel B cyclin from budding yeast with a role in **S** phase. Genes Dev **6,** *1695-706.*
- Foss, M., McNally, F. **J.,** Laurenson, P. and Rine, **J. (1993).** Origin recognition complex (ORC) in transcriptional silencing and **DNA** replication in **S.** cerevisiae [see comments]. Science *262,* 1838-44.
- Fox, **C.,** Loo, **S.,** Dillin, **A.** and Rine, **J.** *(1995).* The origin recognition complex has essential functions in transcriptional silencing and chromosomal replication. Genes and Development **9,** 911-924.
- Fujita, M., Yamada, **C.,** Tsurumi, T., Hanaoka, F., Matsuzawa, K. and Inagaki, M. **(1998).** Cell cycle- and chromatin binding state-dependent phosphorylation of human MCM heterohexameric complexes. **A** role for cdc2 kinase. **J** Biol Chem *273,* **17095-101.**
- Hardy, **C.** F., Dryga, **0.,** Seematter, **S.,** Pahl, P. M. and Sclafani, R. **A. (1997).** mcm5/cdc46-bobl bypasses the requirement for the **S** phase activator Cdc7p. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U S A** *94,* **3151-5.**
- Hartwell, L. H. **(1973).** Three additional genes required for deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. **J** Bacteriol *115,* **966-74.**
- Hartwell, L. H. **(1976).** Sequential function of gene products relative to **DNA** synthesis in the yeast cell cycle. **J** Mol Biol *104,* **803-17.**
- Hsiao, **C.-L.** and Carbon, **J. (1979).** High-frequency transformation of yeast **by** plasmids containing the cloned ARG4 gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA 76, 3829-3833.**
- Ishimi, Y. **(1997). A DNA** helicase activity is associated with an MCM4, **-6,** and **-7** protein complex [published erratum appears in **J** Biol Chem **1998** Sep **4;273(36):23616]. J** Biol Chem **272, 24508-13.**
- Ishimi, Y., Komamura, Y., You, Z. and Kimura, H. **(1998).** Biochemical function of mouse minichromosome maintenance 2 protein. **J** Biol Chem *273,* **8369-75.**
- Jacob, F., Brenner, **S.** and Cuzin, F. (1964). On the regulation of **DNA** replication in bacteria. Cold Spring Harbor Symp Quant Biol *28,* **329-348.**
- Jallepalli, P. V., Brown, **G.** W., Muzi-Falconi, M., Tien, **D.** and Kelly, T. **J. (1997).** Regulation of the replication initiator protein p65cdc18 **by** CDK phosphorylation. Genes Dev *11,* **2767-79.**
- Jiang, W., Wells, **N. J.** and Hunter, T. **(1999).** Multistep regulation of **DNA** replication **by Cdk** phosphorylation of HsCdc6. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U S A** *96,* **6193-8.**
- Johnston, L. H., Masai, H. and Sugino, **A. (1999).** First the CDKs, now the DDKs. Trends Cell Biol 9, 249-52.
- Koonin, **E.** V. **(1993). A** common set of conserved motifs in a vast variety of putative nucleic acid-dependent ATPases including MCM proteins involved in the initiation of eukaryotic **DNA** replication. Nucleic Acids Res *21,* 2541-7.
- Kuhne, **C.** and Linder, P. **(1993). A** new pair of B-type cyclins from Saccharomyces cerevisiae that function early in the cell cycle. Embo **J** *12,* 3437-47.
- Landis, **G.,** Kelley, R., Spradling, **A. C.** and Tower, **J. (1997).** The *k43* gene, required for chorion gene amplification and diploid cell chromosome replication, encodes the *Drosophila* homolog of yeast origin recognition complex subunit 2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA** 94, **3888-3892.**
- Lei, **M.,** Kawasaki, Y., Young, M. R., Kihara, M., Sugino, **A.** and Tye, B. K. **(1997).** Mcm2 is a target of regulation **by** Cdc7-Dbf4 during the initiation of **DNA** synthesis. Genes Dev *11, 3365-74.*
- Li, **J. J.** and Herskowitz, **I. (1993).** Isolation of ORC6, a component of the yeast origin recognition complex **by** a one-hybrid system. Science *262,* **1870-1874.**
- Liang, **C.,** Weinreich, M. and Stillman, B. *(1995).* ORC and Cdc6p interact and determine the frequency of initiation of **DNA** replication in the genome. Cell *81,* **667-676.**
- Loo, **S.,** Fox, **C. A.,** Rine, **J.,** Kobayashi, R., Stillman, B. and Bell, **S.** P. **(1995).** The origin recognition complex in silencing, cell cycle progression, and **DNA** replication. Mol. Biol. Cell. **6, 741-756.**
- Maine, **G.** T., Sinha, P. and Tye, B. K. (1984). Mutants of **S.** cerevisiae defective in the maintenance of minichromosomes. Genetics *106, 365-85.*
- Mendenhall, M. **D.** and Hodge, **A. E. (1998).** Regulation of Cdc28 cyclin-dependent protein kinase activity during the cell cycle of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev *62,* 1191-243.
- Micklem, **G.,** Rowley, **A.,** Harwood, **J.,** Nasmyth, K. and Diffley, **J.** F. **(1993).** Yeast origin recognition complex is involved in **DNA** replication and transcriptional silencing. Nature *366,* **87-9.**
- Mimura, **S.** and Takisawa, H. **(1998).** Xenopus Cdc45-dependent loading of **DNA** polymerase alpha onto chromatin under the control of S-phase **Cdk.** Embo **J** *17,* **5699-707.**
- Moir, **D.,** Stewart, **S. E.,** Osmond, B. **C.** and Botstein, **D. (1982).** Cold-sensitive celldivision-cycle mutants of yeast: isolation, properties, and pseudoreversion studies. Genetics *100,* **547-63.**
- Newlon, **C. S.** and Theis, **J.** F. **(1993).** The structure and function of yeast ARS elements. Current Opinions in Genetics and Development *3, 752-758.*
- Owens, **J. C.,** Detweiler, **C. S.** and Li, **J. J. (1997). CDC45** is required in conjunction with CDC7/DBF4 to trigger the initiation of **DNA** replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U S A** *94,* **12521-6.**
- Pasero, P., Braguglia, **D.** and Gasser, **S.** M. **(1997).** ORC-dependent and origin-specific initiation of **DNA** replication at defined foci in isolated yeast nuclei. Genes Dev *11, 1504-1518.*
- Perkins, **G.** and Diffley, **J.** F. **(1998).** Nucleotide-dependent prereplicative complex assembly **by** Cdc6p, a homolog of eukaryotic and prokaryotic clamp-loaders. Mol Cell *2,* **23-32.**
- Petersen, B. **0.,** Lukas, **J.,** Sorensen, **C. S.,** Bartek, **J.** and Helin, K. **(1999).** Phosphorylation of mammalian **CDC6 by** cyclin A/CDK2 regulates its subcellular localization. Embo **J** *18,* 396-410.
- Piatti, **S.,** Bohm, T., Cocker, **J.** H., Diffley, **J.** F. and Nasmyth, K. **(1996).** Activation of **S**phase-promoting CDKs in late **GI** defines a "point of no return" after which Cdc6 synthesis cannot promote **DNA** replication in yeast. Genes Dev *10,* **1516-31.**
- Piatti, **S.,** Lengauer, **C.** and Nasmyth, K. **(1995). Cdc6** is an unstable protein whose de novo synthesis in **GI** is important for the onset of **S** phase and for preventing a 'reductional' anaphase in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Embo **J** *14,* **3788-3799.**
- Roberts, B. T., Ying, **C.** Y., Gautier, **J.** and Maller, **J.** L. **(1999). DNA** replication in vertebrates requires a homolog of the Cdc7 protein kinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U S A** *96,* 2800-4.
- Roberts, **J.** M. **(1999).** Evolving ideas about cyclins. Cell *98,* **129-32.**
- Sachs, **A.** B. and Buratowski, **S. (1997).** Common themes in translational and transcriptional regulation. Trends Biochem Sci *22,* **189-92.**
- Saha, P., Chen, **J.,** Thome, K. **C.,** Lawlis, **S. J.,** Hou, Z. H., Hendricks, M., Parvin, **J. D.** and Dutta, **A. (1998).** Human CDC6/Cdcl8 associates with Orci and cyclin-cdk and is selectively eliminated from the nucleus at the onset of **S** phase. Mol Cell Biol *18,* **2758-67.**
- Santocanale, **C.** and Diffley, **J.** F. X. **(1996).** ORC- and Cdc6-dependent complexes at active and inactive chromosomal replication origins in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The EMBO Journal *15,* **6671-6679.**
- Sato, **N.,** Arai, K. and Masai, H. **(1997).** Human and Xenopus cDNAs encoding budding yeast Cdc7-related kinases: in vitro phosphorylation of MCM subunits **by** a putative human homologue of Cdc7. Embo **J** *16,* 4340-51.
- Schwob, **E.** and Nasmyth, K. **(1993). CLB5** and **CLB6,** a new pair of B cyclins involved in **DNA** replication in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev **7, 1160-75.**
- Stillman, B. **(1993).** Replicator renaissance. Nature *366,* **506-507.**
- Stillman, B. (1994). Smart Machines at the **DNA** Replication Fork. Cell **78, 725-728.**
- Stinchcomb, **D.** T., Struhl, K. and Davis, R. W. **(1979).** Isolation and characterisation of a yeast chromosomal replicator. Nature *282,* 39-43.
- Tanaka, T., Knapp, **D.** and Nasmyth, K. **(1997).** Loading of an Mcm protein onto **DNA** replication origins is regulated **by** Cdc6p and CDKs. Cell *90,* **649-660.**
- Wang, B., Feng, **L.,** Hu, Y., Huang, **S.** H., Reynolds, **C.** P., Wu, L. and Jong, **A.** Y. **(1999).** The essential role of Saccharomyces cerevisiae **CDC6** nucleotide-binding site in cell growth, **DNA** synthesis, and OrcI association. **J** Biol Chem *274,* **829 1- 8.**
- Zou, L., Mitchell, **J.** and Stillman, B. **(1997). CDC45,** a novel yeast gene that functions with the origin recognition complex and Mcm proteins in initiation of **DNA** replication. Mol Cell Biol *17, 553-63.*
- Zou, L. and Stillman, B. **(1998).** Formation of a preinitiation complex **by** S-phase cyclin CDK-dependent loading of Cdc45p onto chromatin. Science *280, 593-596.*

Chapter II

Architecture of the Origin Recognition Complex Bound to Yeast Origins of Replication

An earlier version of this chapter was published as a **1997** manuscript entitled "Architecture of the Yeast Origin Recognition Complex Bound to Origins of **DNA** Replication" (Molecular and Cellular Biology *17:7159-7168).* The authors were Daniel **G.** Lee and Stephen P. Bell.

I thank Robert Batey, Tania Baker, Brian Dynlacht and Larry Stem for providing helpful technical advice and Tania Baker, Robert Sauer and Peter Sorger for critical comments on the published manuscript.

SUMMARY

The replication of **DNA** in many organisms requires the binding of a protein called the initiator to **DNA** sites referred to as origins of replication. Analyses of multiple initiator proteins bound to their cognate origins have provided important insights into the mechanism **by** which **DNA** replication is initiated. To extend this level of analysis to the study of eukaryotic chromosomal replication, **I** have investigated the architecture of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Origin Recognition Complex (ORC) when bound to yeast origins of replication. Determination of **DNA** residues important for ORC-origin association indicated that ORC interacted preferentially with one strand of the *ARS1* origin of replication. **DNA** binding assays using ORC complexes lacking one of the six subunits demonstrated that the **DNA** binding domain of ORC required the coordinate action of five of the six ORC subunits. Protein-DNA crosslinking studies suggested that recognition of origin sequences is mediated primarily **by** two different groups of ORC subunits that make sequence-specific contacts with two distinct regions of the **DNA.** Implications of these findings for ORC function and the mechanism of initiation of eukaryotic **DNA** replication are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

The initiation of **DNA** replication is a complex process involving multiple regulated steps, including the selection of the initiation site on the **DNA,** unwinding of the **DNA** helix, and the assembly of a multi-protein replication machine. Studies of the replication of bacteria, phage, and eukaryotic viral genomes have established that a protein called the initiator binds its cognate origin of **DNA** replication in a sequencespecific manner. Once bound, initiator proteins often participate in other aspects of replication initiation including facilitating origin unwinding and the recruiting other replication proteins to the origin (reviewed in Baker and Bell, **1998;** Kornberg and Baker, **1992).** Detailed analyses of initiator proteins bound to their cognate origins have been important in determining how these proteins function during replication initiation. Our aim is to extend this type of analysis to a putative eukaryotic chromosomal initiator protein bound to an origin of **DNA** replication.

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Origin Recognition Complex (ORC) clearly performs at least two of the three general functions of initiator proteins. As described in Chapter **I, ORC** binds to origins of **DNA** replication *in vitro and in vivo* and ORC recruits other replication proteins to origins to assemble the pre-RC. In this chapter, **I** describe work aimed at characterizing the first activity, sequence-specific **DNA** binding. The ability of ORC to bind origins is essential for yeast cell viability. Mutations in origin sequences that reduce or eliminate origin function also reduce or eliminate ORC binding *in vitro* (Bell and Stillman, **1992;** Rao and Stillman, *1995;* Rowley et al., **1995)** and *in vivo* (Aparicio et al., **1997;** Tanaka et al., **1997).** In addition, most conditional mutations in ORC genes lead to decreased origin usage (Fox et al., **1995;** Liang et al., **1995)** and decreased origin binding *in vivo* (Aparicio et al., **1997; 0.** Aparicio and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations).

S. cerevisiae contains the best defined eukaryotic origins of **DNA** replication. These elements were first identified as genomic **DNA** sequences capable of supporting the autonomous replication of episomal **DNA** (Autonomous Replicating Sequences or ARSs) (Hsiao and Carbon, **1979;** Stinchcomb et al., **1979).** Many of these elements were subsequently shown to act as origins of replication in their normal chromosomal context (reviewed in Newlon and Theis, **1993).** Yeast origins are modular in nature and contain a conserved **11** base-pair ARS Consensus Sequence **(ACS)** that is essential for **ORC-DNA** binding and origin function *in vivo,* as well as additional elements that enhance origin function (generally referred to as B elements; Bell, **1995).** *ARSI,* the first wellcharacterized origin, has three such elements (B **1,** B2 and B3; see Figure **1).** *In vitro* and *in vivo,* DNase **I** protection assays of *ARS]* demonstrated that ORC protects approximately **fifty** base pairs of **DNA** that include the **ACS** and B 1 sequences (Bell and Stillman, **1992;** Diffley and Cocker, **1992;** Santocanale and Diffley, **1996).** The DNase **I** cleavage pattern also contains several sites that become hypersensitive to digestion in the presence of ORC. These sites are spaced roughly **10** base-pairs **(bp)** apart, suggesting that ORC wraps **DNA** around itself or that the **DNA** lies along a flat surface created **by** ORC (Travers and Klug, **1987).** The **A** and B 1 elements direct **ORC-DNA** binding at *ARS]* and at *ARS307* (Rao et al., 1994; Rowley et al., **1995),** and together represent the smallest functional region of either origin. **I** will refer to this minimal region required for **ORC-DNA** binding and origin function as the Core origin.

The role of the other B elements in origin function is not yet clear. The B2 element may contribute origin melting during the initiation process (reviewed in Bell, **1995).** The origin of bidirectional replication (OBR, the site at which discontinuous replication switches to continuous replication) has been mapped to a site in between the BI and B2 elements of *ARS]* (Bielinsky and Gerbi, **1998;** Bielinsky and Gerbi, **1999),**

Figure **1.** Properties of Functional Elements at *ARSJ. The* ARS1 elements are as previously described (Marahrens and Stillman, **1992).** The **A** element contains the conserved ARS Consensus Sequence **(ACS)** and is essential for replication, while the B elements enhance replication function. The ACS and B1 elements together comprise the ORC binding site and form the Core origin. B1-like elements are found at other yeast origins and can functionally substitute for the *ARS1* B1 element. The B2 element is required for loading MCM proteins onto the *ARS1* origin **(C.** Aparicio and **S.** P. Bell, unpublished results). B3 is the binding site for the transcriptional activator, ABF1; it can be functionally substituted **by** a binding site for other transcriptional activators. The region of **DNA** protected from DNase **I** cleavage **by** ORC is indicated **by** red horizontal lines above and below the ARS1 schematic. ORC-induced sites of increased DNase I cleavage are indicated **by** arrows. The position of the origin of bidirectional replication (OBR) is indicated **by** the blue asterisk (Belinsky and Gerbi, **1999).**
consistent with initial origin unwinding occurring near B2. Furthermore, a linker substitution mutation in B2 that decreases origin function also inhibits MCM loading at *ARS1* **(0.** Aparicio and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations). **If** MCMs function as the replicative helicase (see Chapter **I),** they would likely be loaded onto origins at sites of initial origin unwinding. The B3 element is a binding site for the ABF1 transcriptional activator and it can be functionally substituted **by** a binding site for other transcriptional activators (Marahrens and Stillman, **1992).** One possible role for these transcription factors in origin function is to regulate chromatin structure. The *ARS]* origin was shown to have a unique chromatin structure important for origin function, with a nucleosome free region encompassing the **A** and B elements and precisely positioned nucleosomes to either side (Simpson, **1990;** Thoma et al., 1984). Recent work from our lab has suggested that ORC and ABF1 are required to establish this specific chromatin structure (discussed in Chapter V).

We have a general understanding of the **DNA** sequence requirements for the association of ORC with origins (Bell and Stillman, **1992;** Rao and Stillman, *1995;* Rowley et al., **1995)** but previous studies have not examined the requirements of different ORC subunits for **DNA** binding. **A** thorough understanding of **ORC** bound to yeast origins of replication can address three important questions: **(1)** How does ORC interact with **DNA?** In particular, **I** wanted to determine which of the approximately **50** base pairs protected from DNase **I** cleavage are important for **ORC-DNA** binding and how the structure of origin **DNA** is affected **by** interaction with ORC. Additionally, since none of the six ORC subunits contain a canonical **DNA** binding motif, **I** wanted to identify the ORC proteins are required for **DNA** binding. (2) How do ORC subunits interact with each other? ORC is a pre-assembled complex in the absence of **DNA** and **I** wanted to understand the organization of ORC subunits both in solution and in DNA-complexes.

An understanding of the spatial arrangement of **ORC** subunits is also relevant to the third question. **(3)** How does ORC interact with other proteins? In addition to genetic and physical interactions with the **CDC6** gene product (Liang et al., **1995),** ORC subunits show multiple genetic interactions with other essential genes required for **DNA** replication (reviewed in Dutta and Bell, **1997).** Since ORC plays a central role in assembling higher order structures at origins, the arrangement of ORC subunits will undoubtedly influence the formation of these larger protein-DNA complexes.

In the experiments described in this chapter, **I** used **DNA** modification to identify specific residues in *ARS1* involved in **ORC-DNA** binding. DNA-bending studies were used to investigate ORC-induced structural changes in origin **DNA.** In addition, **I** used analysis of ORC complexes lacking one of the six subunits and protein-DNA crosslinking to determine which ORC subunits are required for **DNA** binding and how these subunits are arranged along the origin **DNA.** Together these studies provide a detailed view of ORC bound to origin **DNA.**

RESULTS

Residues of ARSJ Required for ORC-DNA Binding

To identify residues of *ARS1* important for **ORC-DNA** binding *in vitro,* **DNA** modification-interference and missing-contact assays were performed. In both assays, the geometry of the **DNA** is altered at particular sites to identify important protein-DNA interactions. Modification-interference analysis involves changing the shape of **DNA by** adding an adduct to a **DNA** structure. In contrast, missing-contact assays involve the removal of a base (leaving the phosphate backbone intact). The two assays are complementary: if a particular site inhibits protein-DNA binding in both assays (either **by** being modified or **by** being removed), then it is likely to represent an important region of the **DNA** for protein association. Since, in the case of **ORC-DNA** binding **I** found that modification-interference and missing-contact experiments identified similar residues (Figure 2), I will describe both the addition of an adduct and the removal of a base simply as modification of a residue. In these assays, **DNA** fragments end-labeled on either the top or bottom-strand of *ARS1* were modified with one of five reagents prior to ORC-**DNA** binding (see Figure **2A** legend for a description of reagents and their resulting **DNA** modifications). The modified **DNA** was incubated with purified ORC and electrophoresed on a gel to separate bound and unbound **DNA** molecules. **DNA** modifications that inhibit **ORC-DNA** binding are reduced or absent in the bound **DNA** populations.

The individual residues whose modification most strongly inhibited **ORC-DNA** binding were located in a region spanning the **ACS** and B **1** elements of *ARSJ* (Figure **2A,** and highlighted residues in Figure 2B). **ORC-DNA** binding was extremely sensitive to modification of any residue within the **ACS,** consistent with the essential role of this element in both **ORC-DNA** binding and origin function. In contrast, only some of the

Figure 2

Figure 2. Residues of ARSJ required for ORC-DNA binding. (A) Modificationinterference and missing-contact assays were performed on a minimal *ARS1* fragment, end-labeled on either the top-strand (left half of figure) or the bottom-strand (right half). DNAs were subjected to modification **by** diethyl pyrocarbonate **(DEPC,** major groove carbethoxylation of adenines), formic acid (depurination), KMnO4 (major groove modification of thymines), hydrazine (depyrimidation), and ethyl nitroso-urea **(ENU,** for phosphate backbone ethylation). Treated DNAs were incubated with **600** ng of purified ORC, and bound and unbound molecules were separated. In each panel, input **DNA (I),** bound **DNA** (B), and free **DNA** (F) were cleaved at sites of modification and analyzed on a sequencing gel. Modified residues that inhibited or enhanced binding are labeled with filled objects or open objects respectively to the right of each panel. Results from modification-interference assays are indicated **by** circles for base modification and arrows for phosphate ethylation, and missing-contact data are represented **by** squares. Strong and weak interference is distinguished **by** large and small objects respectively. The position of the **ACS** and B 1 elements are indicated **by** brackets. (B) Summary of modification-interference and missing-contact data. Clusters of residues that most strongly inhibit **ORC-DNA** binding in both assays are outlined. Numbers below the sequence correspond to *ARS1* coordinates used **by** Marahrens and Stillman (Marahrens and Stillman, **1992).**

bases in the genetically defined B1 element inhibited ORC-DNA binding when modified, suggesting that the remainder of this element contributes to an origin function not involved in **ORC-DNA** binding (Rao and Stillman, *1995).* The modification data also exhibited strand-specific differences. Modification of the top-strand consistently affected **ORC-DNA** binding to a greater extent than modification of the bottom-strand. Within the **ACS,** top-strand modification interfered with **ORC-DNA** binding more than bottomstrand modification. Within the B1 element, the residues whose modification interfered with **ORC-DNA** association were exclusively on the top-strand. Strand specific differences were most striking in a region between the **ACS** and B **1,** where modification of the two strands had opposite effects. Top-strand modification interfered with ORC-**DNA** binding whereas bottom-strand modifications were over-represented in the bound **DNA** population.

To determine if the region of *ARS1* between the **ACS** and B 1 is important for origin function, **I** mutated residues **852** to *854,* changing **AGA** to either **GAG** or **CTC.** Both mutations were tested for plasmid stability and **ORC-DNA** binding *in vitro* (Table **1).** The **AGA** to **GAG** mutation had no detectable defect *in vivo or in vitro,* whereas the **AGA** to **CTC** substitution resulted in a 2-fold decrease in both plasmid stability and binding *in vitro.* This region had not been identified in a previous genetic analysis of *ARS1* presumably because a linker substitution of the sequences between the **ACS** and B **1** did not make transversion mutations in the important **AGA** (Marahrens and Stillman, **1992).** Thus, this region contributed to *ARS]* function and appeared to show a preference for purines on the top-strand and pyrimidines on the bottom-strand.

TABLE 1. Effect of Mutations in Region 852-854 of ARS1

 $n.d.$ **=** not determined

a Sequences differing from wild type are indicated with bold-face, lower-case letters

*^b*Linker substitutions of the **B1** element, previously described (Marahrens and Stillman, **1992)**

ORC Bends DNA at Some but not All Origins

Because some initiator proteins induce **DNA** bending at origins as a precursor to **DNA** unwinding (Borowiec and Hurwitz, **1988;** Bramhill and Kornberg, **1988),** I investigated whether ORC bends yeast origin **DNA. A** radio-labeled *ARS]* fragment with cohesive ends was incubated with **DNA** ligase, and the rate of formation of circular monomers was monitored, either with or without ORC (Figure **3A).** In the presence of ORC, the rate of monomer circle formation was stimulated 3-fold, consistent with the interpretation that ORC bends *ARS1* **DNA,** thereby facilitating the ligation of the two **DNA** ends. The observed stimulation of ligation required specific **ORC-DNA** binding as demonstrated **by** competition experiments with wild-type or mutant competitor DNAs (Figure **3A,** compare lanes **9-12** with lanes *5-8)* and **by** experiments using a **DNA**bending template containing a mutated ORC binding site (Figure **3A,** lanes 13-24). To determine if bending of origin **DNA** was a general property of ORC, two other ARS elements were examined. *ARS121 and ARS305* are both active chromosomal origins of replication (Huang and Kowalski, **1996;** Walker et al., **1991).** Although ORC bound strongly to these origins (data not shown), neither was bent **by** ORC (Figure 3B). Thus, bending of **DNA by** ORC appears to occur at *ARS]* but is not a general property of ORC interaction with all origins of replication.

Orc6p is Not **Required for DNA Binding**

To determine the role of individual ORC subunits in **ORC-DNA** binding, **I** produced six different mutant ORC complexes, each missing a different ORC subunit (referred to as partial ORC complexes). Insect cells were co-infected with baculoviruses expressing five of the six ORC polypeptides, and these mutant ORC complexes were partially purified. The integrity of all six partial complexes was examined **by**

Figure **3**

Figure 3. ORC induced DNA bending at *ARSJ.* **(A)** Circular monomer formation of radiolabeled *ARS1* fragments in the presence or absence of ORC. After addition of **DNA** ligase, monomeric linear *ARSJ* fragments are converted to circular monomers, circular dimers, and linear dimers. The three electrophoretic species of linear dimers are the result of a weak intrinsic bend in the B3 element (removal of this **DNA** bend using a B3 linker substitution yielded identical results; data not shown). The rate of circular monomer formation was tested in the presence (lanes *5-8* and **9-12)** or absence of ORC (lanes 1-4). *ORC-ARS]* binding and ligation reactions were carried out in the presence of a fifty-fold excess of unlabeled competitor **DNA** containing a wild-type *ARSJ* binding site (lanes **9-12)** or a mutant *ARS]* binding site (lanes *5-8).* **A** radiolabeled **DNA** probe containing a mutated *ARSJ* binding site was also tested (the ARS 1/acs-b2- mutation; lanes 13-24). (B) Circular monomer formation was measured for *ARS305* (left graph) and *ARS121(right* graph) in the presence or absence of ORC (triangles or X's respectively). Both graphs also show quantitation of cyclization rates for wild-type *ARS]* **DNA** in the presence or absence of ORC (filled diamonds and filled squares respectively) and the left graph includes data for an *ARS1* **DNA** fragment with a linker substitution in the **ACS** for comparison **(pARS 1/858-865** with and without ORC, asterisks and filled circles respectively). The amount of circular monomer produced at each time point was expressed as the percentage of total counts in each lane.

determining the ORC subunits present in the partially purified fractions. In two cases, the omission of one subunit clearly compromised complex integrity; the omission of Orc3p reduced the amount of Orc2p present, and the lack of Orc5p led to a loss of Orc4p from the complex (Figure 4B). Protein fractions containing the six partial complexes were next assayed for **ORC-DNA** binding activity. **Of** the six partial complexes tested for *ARS]* **DNA** binding, five were inactive. **A** complex lacking Orc6p, however, was capable of binding origin **DNA** in a sequence-specific (data not shown) and ATPdependent manner (Figure 4A). This protein-DNA complex is likely to contain all five of the ORC proteins present in the partial complex fraction as all five subunits coimmunoprecipitate and antibodies to three of the subunits (Orcip, Orc2p and Orc4p) can supershift the partial **ORC-DNA** complex (data not shown, and Appendix **I).** Protein-**DNA** complexes formed with the protein fractions containing the other partial **ORC** complexes are likely due to other **DNA** binding proteins. None of these protein-DNA complexes was ATP-dependent (Figure 4A), **DNA** sequence specific, or supershifted with monoclonal antibodies directed against ORC subunits (data not shown). Thus, only Orc6p is dispensable for the formation of a stable **DNA** binding complex and **ORC-DNA** binding activity is not readily attributable to any single polypeptide or small subassembly of polypeptides.

Organization of ORC Subunits at *ARSI*

The partial complex experiments described above implicate Orcip, Orc2p, Orc3p, Orc4p and Orc5p as being important for **DNA** binding. To define the subunits that are in close proximity to origin **DNA** and to determine how these subunits are arranged along the length of *ARS],* **I** performed **UV** protein-DNA crosslinking studies. In these experiments, **I** generated a series of ten *ARS]* crosslinking probes that were modified with

Figure 4. DNA binding properties of partial ORC complexes. (A) Electrophoretic mobility shift analysis of mutant ORC complexes lacking one subunit incubated with a radiolabeled ARSJ fragment. Partially purified ORC complexes missing Orcip through Orc6p are tested in the first 12 lanes (left to right), and purified wild-type ORC is shown in the last two lanes as a control. Each pair of lanes shows **DNA** binding reactions for each ORC complex in the presence or absence of ATP. The positions of **ORC-DNA** complexes are indicated **by** the bracket to the right. (B) Integrity of mutant ORC complexes. Peak fractions from an S-Sepharose ion-exchange column for each mutant complex were electrophoresed on a **10%** SDS-polyacrylamide gel and transferred onto nitrocellulose. Wild-type ORC is shown in the last lane. ORC proteins were identified **by** incubation of blots with monoclonal ORC antibodies.

a photo-reactive azido group on one or two specific phosphate residues. The azido group is coupled to thio-phosphate groups in the **DNA** backbone through the use of the reagent 4'-azido phenacyl bromide (4'-AZPB) which constrains the photo-reactive group to a distance of approximately *5* to **10 A** from the phosphate backbone. Proteins crosslinked to a particular modified residues are therefore within this distance, and **I** will describe such proteins as being in close proximity to the **DNA** at this site. The portion of *ARS1* examined using this set of ten probes is **92** base pairs long and includes the entire region protected from DNase **I** digestion **by** ORC (see Figure 5B).

The crosslinking studies demonstrate that different ORC subunits are distributed over different regions of *ARSi,* with a predominant clustering of subunits over the **ACS** (Figure **5A,** and summarized in Figure **8).** Orcip is crosslinked to the right half of the **ACS** and to regions flanking the **ACS** on the right (probes **I** and **J).** Orc2p and Orc3p are co-localized to the entire **ACS** and to **DNA** that extends leftwards toward the **B1** element (probes **E,** F, **G,** and **I);** they are the only ORC subunits crosslinked to residues located between the ACS and B1 that contribute to *ARS1* function (probes F and **G).** Because Orc4p and Orc5p have similar electrophoretic mobilities and are difficult to resolve, **I** modified Orc4p **by** fusing an additional **168** amino-acids derived from the **Spi** transcription factor onto its N-terminus (Figure **6A).** This modified ORC4 protein migrated above the Orc2p subunit. Crosslinking was performed with a wild-type ORC complex and a complex containing the modified Orc4p and only one crosslinked protein was altered in its mobility, allowing unambiguous identification of Orc4p and Orc5p (Figure 6B). In the wild-type ORC complex, Orc4p is crosslinked strongly to the **ACS** (Figure **5A;** probe H) and more weakly to the right of the **ACS** (probe **J).** Orc5p is the only subunit crosslinked to the region of B1 important for ORC-DNA binding (probe D),

Figure *5.* **4'-AZPB crosslinking of ORC subunits to** *ARSi.* **(A) UV** crosslinking of **ORC** subunits to ten ARSJ fragments (probes **A** through **J),** each incorporating a photo-reactive crosslinker at a distinct site. Purified ORC was incubated with each probe in the presence or absence of ATP. Gels were silver-stained to determine the position of ORC subunits, dried, and exposed to film. In all cases, the observed protein crosslinking was ATP-dependent. Crosslinking to ORC subunits was also UV-dependent, and sensitive to competition **by** a wild-type *ARSJ* fragment (data not shown). (B) Positions of crosslinking nucleotides in *ARSJ* probes **A** through **J.** Arrowheads identify the modified position; the ^phosphate coupled to the photo-reactive crosslinker is *5'* to the residue indicated. Each probe has either one or two modified bases as indicated.

Figure **6. 4'-AZPB crosslinking of a tagged Orc4p-containing ORC. (A)** Protein composition of the Spl/Orc4p fusion complex. **^I** altered the electrophoretic mobility of Orc4p **by** fusing it to the C-terminal **168** amino acids of the **Spi** transcription factor. This fusion complemented a deletion of ORC4 in yeast cells and an ORC complex with this Spl/Orc4p hybrid as the sole copy of Orc4p showed normal **DNA** binding properties (data not shown). The Spl/Orc4p containing complex was partially purified and electrophoresed beside purified wild-type ORC. Silver staining and immuno-blot analysis indicates that the Spl/Orc4p fusion migrates above the Orc2p band as expected for a protein of **82** kDa. Orc6p normally runs as doublet due to phosphorylation, and the hybrid complex contains mostly the phosphorylated form. We have detected no differences in *in vitro* properties of wild-type complexes before and after treatment with phosphatase (R. Austin and S.P.B., unpublished data). (B) **UV** crosslinking of wild-type ORC and an ORC complex containing the Spl/Orc4p fusion to ARSJ crosslinking probes B and **D.** Crosslinking was performed as described in the legend to Figure *5B.*

and Orc6p is crosslinked to a residue at the end of the B1 element as well as to residues between $B1$ and $B2$ (probes B and C).

Not all ORC subunits were localized to a discrete region of *ARS1.* Although Orc1p, Orc3p and Orc6p are crosslinked to only one region of the **DNA,** Orc2p, Orc4p and Orc5p are each crosslinked to two distinct regions of *ARS1* with no crosslinking to the intervening sequence (Figure **5A,** and summarized in Figure **8).** Orc2p, Orc4p and Orc5p are crosslinked to sites on the **DNA** separated **by 78,** *54,* and *35* base pairs respectively. Crosslinking of subunits to two distinct regions of **DNA** could be explained **by** ORC subunits with an elongated shape, **by** multiple complexes or individual subunits bound at each origin, or **by DNA** bending at the origin. Based on comparison with protein-DNA crosslinking at *ARS305* (see below), the latter possibility is the most likely (see Discussion).

ORC Subunit Organization at *ARS305*

To determine if the subunit organization of **ORC** is similar at other origins of **DNA** replication, *ARS305* was examined with selected probes that placed crosslinkers at positions analogous to those tested for *ARS1* (determined relative to the **ACS).** Four crosslinking probes that examined the Core binding region of *ARS305* generated similar results to those seen at *ARS]* (compare Figure *5* and Figure **7).** Just as in *ARSJ,* the **ACS** *of ARS305* is crosslinked to Orc1p, Orc2p, Orc3p and Orc4p (Probe **1305).** Orcip and Orc4p also crosslink to the right of the ACS (Probe J^{305}) and Orc3p crosslinks near the B 1 element of *ARS305* (probe **E30 5).** Importantly, in the central portion of the *ARS305* ^B**1** element, Orc5p is the sole ORC subunit crosslinked (Probe **D3 0 ⁵).** At *ARS305, the* interaction of Orc2p with the **DNA** does not extend as far as observed at *ARS1* since this subunit is not crosslinked next to B1 by probe E^{305} . Aside from this difference, the

Figure **7.** 4'-AZPB crosslinking of ORC subunits to *ARS305.* **(A)** UV crosslinking of ORC subunits to four *ARS305* fragments analogous to *ARS1* probes **D, E,** I, and **J.** *ARS305* crosslinking probes were designed to incorporate crosslinkers at identical or similar sites with respect to the **ACS** as the *ARSJ* probes. (B) Positions of crosslinking nucleotides in *ARS305* crosslinking probes. Symbols are as described in the legend to Figure 4b. Also shown are the positions of crosslinkers in three *ARS305* probes tested for ORC subunit proximity to regions outside of the Core binding site (unlabeled arrowheads, see text). *ARS305* coordinates and elements affecting plasmid stability when mutated (boxed sequences) are as described **by** Huang and Kowalsky (Huang and Kowalski, **1996).**

organization of ORC subunits over the Core **DNA** binding site is similar at both origins of replications (see Figure **8** for summary).

An interesting difference between *ARS] and ARS305* is that the Orc5p subunit is only crosslinked to one discrete site at *ARS305* (it is present only at B **1)** and not at two sites as in the case of *ARS1.* To determine if the other subunits that crosslinked to two distinct regions of *ARSJ* (Orc2p and Orc4p) were also localized to only one region of *ARS305,* **I** examined sequences beyond the Core *ARS305* binding site. *ARS305* crosslinking probes analogous to *ARS]* probes **A** and B that crosslinked Orc2p and/or Orc4p, as well as a third probe that modified a nearby residue on the opposite strand, were synthesized (see Figure **7B).** These three *ARS305* probes showed no evidence of specific crosslinking (data not shown). Thus, these crosslinking data argue that the association of ORC subunits with the Core origin is likely to be similar at all origins, however **ORC-DNA** interactions outside of the Core binding region differ.

ORC Subunits in the Major Groove of *ARSJ*

Because the crosslinking experiments described above examine a region within a *⁵*to **10 A** radius from the phosphate backbone, they cannot distinguish between proteins that are directly interacting with **DNA** and those that are merely in close proximity to the **DNA.** To identify ORC subunits within van der Waals distance of thymines in the major groove of the **DNA,** BrdU crosslinking was performed at *ARS1.* **DNA** fragments that incorporated BrdU and radiolabeled nucleotides into either the top or the bottom-strand of *ARS]* were generated and crosslinked to ORC. **DNA** fragments modified on either strand both efficiently crosslinked to Orc2p and Orc4p (Figure **9).** Orcip crosslinked to thebottom-strand of *ARS1,* although weak Orc **Ip** crosslinking was occasionally observed

Figure 8. Summary Of ORC subunit arrangement at *ARSJ and ARS305.* ORC subunits crosslinked to each position are schematized for *ARSJ* (top) and *ARS305* (bottom). Dark outlines indicate the minimal extent of each subunit. Thinner outlines indicate hypothesized extents of subunits at *ARS305* in regions not tested. Unlabeled arrows along *ARS305* represent positions of crosslinkers on probes tested for which no sequencespecific or ATP-dependent ORC crosslinking was detected.

Modified Strand: Top Bottom

Figure 9. BrdU crosslinking at *ARSJ. ARS1* fragments incorporating BrdU into either the top-strand or the bottomstrand were incubated with purified ORC. Crosslinking was performed as described in the Figure **5A** legend, in the presence or absence of ATP as indicated.

with the top-strand (data not shown). BrdU crosslinking with both strands of *ARS305* also detected these three ORC subunits (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

The interaction of ORC with origin **DNA** involves six proteins with a combined molecular mass of 414 kDa specifically recognizing a region of **DNA** approximately **³⁰** base pairs long. **I** found that the coordinate action of five of the six ORC subunits is required for this interaction (Figure **10).** Recognition of critical **DNA** residues at two genetically defined regions of origins (the **ACS** and B 1-like elements) is likely to be mediated **by** non-overlapping ORC subunits or groups of subunits. Although **I** have no evidence of direct **DNA** contact **by** Orc5p, the failure to crosslink any other ORC subunit to the B 1 region of both *ARS1 and ARS305* implicates this subunit in the interaction with B1 residues. At the **ACS,** Orc1p, Orc2p and Orc4p interact with the major groove as all three subunits are crosslinked **by** BrdU to both *ARS1 and ARS305* and are within **10 A** of the **ACS** of both origins. The ORC subunits bound at B 1 and the **ACS** interact physically as partial complex analysis indicates that Orc4p requires Orc5p to associate stably with the remainder of the complex. Together, our studies provide a picture of the ORC-origin association that forms a foundation for other structures assembled at origins.

Conservation of ORC-DNA Interactions at the Origin Core

Our studies argue that the manner in which ORC interacts with its binding site is similar at different yeast origins of replication. **A** comparison of nine yeast origins shows that the four most **highly** conserved residues outside of the **ACS** fall within regions of *ARS]* that strongly inhibited **ORC-DNA** binding when chemically modified (residues **A839,** A840, **A852** and *A854;* Figure **10).** These residues also fall within regions required for efficient origin function in origins whose structure has been well characterized. The residues at positions **839** and 840 of *ARSJ* are conserved in the

Figure 10. Model of ORC-origin Core interactions. Residues of *ARSJ* whose chemical modification strongly interferes with **ORC-DNA** binding are indicated, and matches to the conserved ARS consensus sequence are shown in bold uppercase letters. Outside of the **ACS,** bold upper-case letters represent residues that are conserved in seven of nine yeast chromosomal origins of replication examined **(A839, A852** and **A854** of *ARS1).* **A** residue conserved in six of nine origins is also shown as an upper-case letter (residues A840). Protein-DNA contacts are made primarily with the top-strand of origins at three clusters of conserved **DNA** residues. Specific binding of the **ACS** is mediated **by** the combined major groove interactions of **OrcIp,** Orc2p and Orc4p. Orc5p is likely to contact important residues in B **1,** and Orc6p is dispensable for specific **ORC-DNA** binding. Physical interactions between Orc2p and Orc3p and between Orc4p and Orc5p (indicated **by** double arrowheads) are deduced from two lines of evidence: **(1)** omission of one subunit results in the loss of the second subunit from the complex (Figure 4B, partial complexes lacking Orc3p and Orc5p respectively). (2) Individual 4'-AZPB probes crosslink both subunits. The nine origins used for sequence comparison are *ARS1, ARS121, ARS305, ARS306, ARS307, ARS1413, the 2 gm ARS,* the *Histone H4 ARS,* and the *HMRE ARS.*

B 1-like elements of *ARS305* (Huang and Kowalski, **1996)** and *ARS307* (Rao et al., 1994; Theis and Newlon, 1994), and residues at positions **852** and 854 of *ARS]* fall within the Box 3' element of *ARS305* (Huang and Kowalski, **1996),** the extended **A** element of *ARS307* (Rao et al., 1994; Theis and Newlon, 1994) and the extended Core region of *ARS121* (Walker et al., **1991).** The similar organization of ORC subunits over the Core binding site at *ARSJ and ARS305* also argues that features of ORC-origin binding are conserved (Figure **8)** and that this view of the ORC-Core origin interaction is likely to be generally applicable to all yeast origins.

The conservation of ORC subunit arrangement, however, does not extend beyond the Core binding region. At *ARS1,* in addition to being crosslinked to a region within the Core origin, Orc2p, Orc4p and Orc5p are crosslinked to a second site in the flanking **DNA.** This interaction with two distinct regions of **DNA** is consistent with one of the following interpretations: **(1)** Orc2p, Orc4p and Orc5p are elongated proteins, (2) multiple copies of Orc2p, Orc4p and Orc5p are present in each ORC complex, **(3)** multiple ORC complexes bind to *ARS!,* or (4) the **DNA** at *ARS1* is bent such that distant regions of the **DNA** are in close proximity to the same polypeptide. Although the different protein-DNA crosslinking observed at *ARSi and ARS305* could be explained **by** different stoichiometries of ORC or ORC subunits bound at these two origins, this interpretation is unlikely as **ORC-DNA** complexes migrate similarly when *ARS]* and *ARS305* **DNA** fragments are used in electrophoretic mobility shift assays (data not shown). DNA-bending is the most likely explanation for the discrepancy in crosslinking results, an interpretation that is consistent with the ability of ORC to induce DNA-bends at *ARS]* but not at *ARS305* (Figure 3B). Thus, the association of ORC subunits with the Core origin is likely to be similar at all origins, but higher level interactions such as **DNA** bending may strongly influence crosslinking outside of the Core **ORC-DNA** binding site.

Implications of ORC-Origin Architecture for ORC Function

ORC interacts with yeast origins **by** making multiple protein-DNA contacts, a strategy commonly used **by** Initiator proteins to induce **DNA** distortion. For example, the Epstein-Barr virus Initiator protein, **EBNA1,** forms a dimer that must bind cooperatively to two adjacent binding sites for origin function *in vivo* (Harrison et al., 1994). The crystal structure of the **EBNA1** dimer bound to **DNA** has been solved and modeling of two dimers bound to two adjacent binding sites has been performed (Bochkarev et al., **1996).** Because the **DNA** binding sites are only separated **by** three base-pairs, two dimers can not co-occupy these adjacent sites unless the **DNA** in between is distorted to prevent collision of the proteins. Typically, Initiator proteins are homo-multimers that make multiple protein-DNA contacts **by** interacting with repeated **DNA** elements. ORC, however, is a hetero-multimer which likely binds as a single complex to yeast origins. Thus, ORC makes multiple contacts **by** utilizing different sets of subunits to contact distinct regions of a large **DNA** binding site. It remains to be determined if these multimeric interactions function only in the specificity of the **ORC-DNA** interaction or are also required for downstream steps of **DNA** replication initiation (e.g. unwinding).

The observation that ORC is much more sensitive to modifications of the topstrand of *ARSJ* than the bottom-strand is intriguing since preferred interactions with only one strand of **DNA** is a mechanism used **by** Initiator proteins to stabilize an unwound region of the origin. After the *Escherichia coli* DnaA protein binds to its origin, it induces melting of adjacent **DNA** (the repeated 13-mer site) in a process called open complex formation (Bramhill and Kornberg, **1988).** In the open complex, DnaA preferentially interacts with one of the single strands of the unwound 13-mer region (Bramhill and Kornberg, **1988;** Hwang and Kornberg, **1992).** The SV40 Initiator, T-

antigen, forms a double hexamer structure encircling two **DNA** elements that are subsequently unwound or untwisted (reviewed in Borowiec et al., **1990) .** Although the T-antigen hexamers encircle both strands of the **DNA** in these distorted regions, each hexamer contacts only one strand (SenGupta and Borowiec, 1994). Since ORC is bound to origins throughout most of the cell cycle, **ORC-DNA** binding is unlikely to be sufficient for origin unwinding. Therefore, **I** imagine three possible models whereby preferred interaction with one strand of **DNA** may be utilized **by** ORC. **(1)** ORC is responsible for initial **DNA** unwinding at origins but must interact with or be modified **by** another protein at the appropriate time in the cell cycle for this activity to occur. (2) Another protein or proteins recruited to origins performs the unwinding function but ORC stabilizes the melted region of the duplex **by** binding to one of the single strands. **(3)** ORC is passive in the unwinding process but uses single-stranded **DNA** binding to remain associated with origins of replication after they are unwound. It also remains possible, however, that ORC simply prefers to interact with one strand of a duplex and does not bind single-stranded **DNA.**

ORC Subunit Interactions

Our studies provide information regarding **ORC** subunit arrangement within the complex (Figure **10). The 4'-AZPB** crosslinking studies identify **ORC** subunits in close proximity to the same modified **DNA** residue, and these results are consistent with the subunit organization that **I** have derived from partial complex experiments. The composition of the partial complex lacking Orc5p suggests that Orc4p and Orc5p physically contact each other (Figure 4B), and 4'-AZPB crosslinking demonstrated that these two subunits can be crosslinked to the same **DNA** site (Figure *5A,* probe **J).** Similarly, a complex lacking Orc3p is also deficient in Orc2p (Figure 4B), and both

subunits are crosslinked **by** a number of *ARS1 and ARS305* probes (Figure **5A,** probes **E,** F, **I,** and Figure **7A,** probe **1305).**

UV crosslinking studies can only describe the arrangement of ORC subunits in the presence of **DNA.** ORC, however, is a pre-assembled complex in the absence of **DNA,** and the conformation and relative positions of subunits may be different when ORC is free in solution or associated with origins. ORC binds to **DNA** in a sequence specific manner only in the presence of ATP or **ATP-y-S** (Bell and Stillman, **1992;** Klemm et al., **1997).** Furthermore, binding of ORC to ATP and of ORC to **DNA** are coordinated processes since specific **DNA** binding affects both association of Orcip with ATP and the subsequent rate of ATP hydrolysis **by** this subunit (Klemm et al., **1997).** Such coordinate action is likely to be mediated **by** allosteric changes within the complex. Thus, **I** am interested in determining how the relative positions of ORC subunits with respect to each other are affected **by** nucleotide and/or origin **DNA** binding.

Our view of ORC-origin association is necessarily limited **by** the static nature of the DNA-binding assay *in vitro.* Our understanding must ultimately be expanded to incorporate various cell-cycle contexts and the effects of proteins whose association with ORC is cell-cycle regulated. These initial studies will provide a foundation to understand changes in ORC properties that are induced during the cell cycle. Functional elements within yeast origins are arranged asymmetrically, and accordingly, ORC binds to origins **by** distributing its subunits asymmetrically along the **DNA.** Higher order complexes assembled at origins during **GI** also reflect this asymmetry as comparisons with the post-RC demonstrate that the pre-RC has an added region of DNase **I** protection on only one side of the region protected throughout the cell cycle (Diffley et al., 1994). How the asymmetry inherent in the ORC-origin complex is ultimately translated into the assembly

of two symmetric replication forks at origins of bi-directional **DNA** replication remains to be understood.

 $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Plasmids and Competitor DNA

pDL01, used in modification-interference and missing-contact assays, was prepared **by** inserting the following sequence into the *Eco RV* site of *pBS/SK+: 5'* **AAGGATCCAA AGTGCACTTA ACTGCAGAAC TTTTGAAAAG CAAGCATTAA AGATCTAAAC ATAAAATTTG TTAACTATCT AGATG 3'.** This sequence encodes a minimal ORC binding site that contains 74 base pairs of *ARS]* sequence, including the **ACS** and B 1 elements. This **DNA** is bound **by** ORC in a similar manner as the wild-type *ARSJ* sequence as judged **by** DNase **I** protection and mobility shift assays (data not shown). Plasmids **pARS 1/GAG** and **pARS l/CTC** were constructed **by** PCR mediated mutagenesis of **pARS** 1I/WTA. **pARS** 1/a-b2- was generated **by** replacing the *Bgl II* to *Hind III* fragment of **pARS 1/858-865** (a linker substitution of the **ACS)** with the same fragment from **pARS 1/798-805** (a linker substitution of the B2 element; these plasmids were previously described in Marahrens and Stillman, **1992).** Plasmid stability assays were performed as described (Bell et al., **1995).** Plasmids **pARS 1/WTA** and **pARS** 1/acs**b2-** were used as templates for production of wild-type and acs-b2- competitor DNAs respectively. Competitor DNAs were synthesized **by 25** cycles of PCR using universal forward and reverse sequencing primers and PCR products were purified on a 2% agarose gel (1X TBE). **DNA** was recovered **by** electroelution followed **by** ethanol precipitation. **All** other **DNA** fragments and **DNA** probes were purified **by** electrophoresis on a native 4.8% polyacrylamide gel (24:1 acrylamide:bisacrylamide, 1X TBE) and recovered **by** electroelution.

Expression of ORC in Insect Cells

Expression and purification of wild-type ORC from insect cells was performed as described (Klemm et al., **1997)** except that **10** mM MgOAc was included at all steps. The expression of partial ORC complexes lacking one subunit required the use of baculoviruses co-expressing two ORC subunits (previously described in Bell et al., *1995)* and viruses expressing only one subunit. Baculoviruses expressing single ORC subunits were named bvORC1 through bvORC6. Each of the six partial ORC complexes was expressed in **Sf9** insect cells **by** co-infection with two viruses each expressing two ORC subunits and one virus expressing a single subunit. These partial ORC complexes were purified through the S-Sepharose step in the ORC purification scheme (Klemm et al., **1997).** The Spl/Orc4p fusion protein was constructed **by** PCR amplifying **DNA** encoding the C-terminal **168** amino acids of the **Spi** transcription factor and fusing this PCR product to the coding sequence of the N-terminus of Orc4p **.** Coding sequences for Orc3p and the Spl/Orc4p fusion were cloned into pFastBac Dual (Gibco BRL) to generate plasmid pFBD/ORC3/N-SP1-ORC4 and baculovirus was produced from this plasmid using the Bac-to-Bac Expression System (Gibco BRL). ORC complexes containing the Spl/Orc4p fusion protein as the sole copy of Orc4p were expressed in insect cells and purified up to the Mono-Q chromatography step. Immuno-blot analysis was performed as described (Bell et al., **1993)** except that monoclonal antibodies directed against individual ORC subunits were used to detect ORC proteins (SB **16,** SB46, **SB3, SB6, SB5** and SB49 for detection of Orcip through Orc6p respectively).

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays

Unless noted, **ORC-DNA** binding conditions for all experiments were as follows. Reactions *(15* gl) contained *12.5* mM Hepes-KOH **(pH** *7.5), 2.5* mM magnesium acetate, **2.5** mM DTT, *5* mM **EGTA, 0.66** mg/ml poly **d(G-C),** 2 mg/ml **BSA, 1** mM ATP (where indicated), 20 ng of ARS 1/a-b2- competitor **DNA,** 0.22 ng of radiolabeled probe **(300** cps), and 12 ng of ORC. Binding reactions were incubated for **10** minutes at room temperature. The *ARSJ* probe used in the mobility shift assay was generated **by** digesting **pARS** 1/WTA with *Eco RI,* 3' end-labeling using the Klenow fragment of **DNA** Polymerase **I,** and digesting with *Hind III.* The labeled 244 **bp** *Eco RI* **-** *Hind III* fragment was gel purified as described above. **All** ORC mobility shift assays were performed as described (Rao and Stillman, **1995)** except that gels and running buffers included 80 μ g/ml BSA, and gels were run at 4° C for 4 hours at 200 V.

Modification-interference and Missing-contact Assays

Chemical modifications of labeled **pDLO1 DNA** was carried out as follows. Diethyl pyrocarbonate **(DEPC)** carbethoxylation was performed essentially as described (Herr, **1985),** except end-labeled **DNA** was heated for *5* min at **90'C** prior to **DEPC** incubation which was carried out for only *5* min at **90'C.** Following two ethanol precipitations, DEPC-modified **DNA** was resuspended in hybridization buffer **(10 mM** Tris-HCl, **1** mM **EDTA, 30** mM NaCl, **pH 8.0),** heated to *95*C* for **3** min, incubated at **65'C** for **10** min, and allowed to re-anneal **by** slow cooling to room temperature. Formic acid depurination was performed as described (Brunelle and Schleif, **1987).** KMnO4 modification was carried out as described (Truss et al., **1990),** except that treatment with KMnO4 was performed for **15** min at room temperature. Re-annealing of melted **DNA** was performed as described above. Hydrazine depyrimidation was carried out as described (Lee et al., **1991),** except that hydrazine incubation was carried out for **30** min at room temperature. Phosphate backbone ethylation using ethyl nitroso-urea was performed as described (Hendrickson and Schleif, **1985).**

Prior to separation of bound and unbound **DNA** molecules, an aliquot of each modified **DNA** sample was reserved for chemical cleavage (input samples, **"I"** in Figure **2A).** The remainder was incubated with purified ORC in the standard binding buffer, using between **6** and **10** ng of modified **DNA** and **600** ng of protein in a **30** pl reaction. Bound and unbound **DNA** molecules were separated **by** electrophoretic mobility shift as described above, gels were exposed to film for **30** min, and bound and free **DNA** was excised from the gel. **DNA** was recovered **by** electroelution and ethanol precipitation. Recovered **DNA** molecules (Bound and Free molecules, as well as Input **DNA** aliquots) were cleaved at sites of modification using one of two methods. For **ENU** modification, ethylated phosphates were cleaved **by** heating in the presence of NaOH as described (Hendrickson and Schleif, *1985).* For all other modifications, precipitated **DNA** was cleaved with piperidine (Lee et al., **1991).** Cleaved **DNA** was resuspended in a formamide-dye mixture and separated on a **10% DNA** sequencing gel. Gels were dried and exposed to film.

ORC-induced DNA Bending Assay

DNA fragments used for ORC-induced bending studies were generated **by** PCR from yeast genomic **DNA** *(ARS305)* or from plasmids (p19AB 121 for *ARS121,* and **pARS** 1/WT, **pARS** 1/a-b2- and **pARS 1/858-865** for wild-type and mutant *ARS1* fragments). Oligonucleotides were designed to add *Xba I* sites to both ends of the following regions amplified from each ARS: nucleotides **-69** to *+145* of *ARS305* (Huang and Kowalski, **1996), 285** to 498 of *ARS121* (Walker et al., **1990),** and **726** to **939** of *ARS1* (Marahrens and Stillman, **1992).** PCR reactions were carried out for **25** cycles in the presence of 0.2 μ M α -³²P-dATP. The PCR products were digested with *Xba I* overnight and the resulting 220 **bp** fragment was gel purified as described above.

Bending probes were incubated with purified ORC according to the mobility shift assay **ORC-DNA** binding conditions with the following modifications. Reactions were performed in 40 **gl,** including **13** ng of radiolabeled origin probe and a 50-fold molar excess of unlabeled competitor **DNA** (containing either the wild-type *ARSJ* sequence or the ARS 1/a-b2- sequence). **150** ng of ORC was added where indicated, and all reactions contained **96 gg** of **BSA.** Circularization of origin probes was performed as described (Kahn and Crothers, **1992),** using **56** Units of T4 **DNA** ligase per reaction. Samples were removed at the indicated times, and reactions were stopped and electrophoresed on a 20 cm **5%** native acrylamide gel (1:40 acrylamide:bisacrylamide, 1X TBE) for **830** V-hrs. Circular species were distinguished from linear molecules **by** their resistance to *Exonuclease III* digestion. Gels were dried and exposed to film, or exposed to Molecular Dynamics Phosphorlmager screens for quantitation using ImageQuaNT software.

Protein-DNA Crosslinking

The production of 4'-AZPB **UV** crosslinking probes combined methods described **by** Bell and Stillman (Bell and Stillman, **1992)** and Yang and Nash (Yang and Nash, 1994). The *Eco RI to Hind III* fragment of pARS1/WTA was subcloned into M13mpl8 and M13mp19 replicative forms, cut with the same enzymes, and single-stranded DNAs were produced for use as templates for *ARS]* crosslinking probes. An M13mpl8 derivative of *ARS305* was generated **by** cloning the *ARS305* PCR product used for ORCinduced bending studies into this vector. The -40 universal sequencing primer and a second oligonucleotide were annealed to single-stranded templates. Extension using T4 DNA Polymerase in the presence of α -S-dCTP or α -S-TTP and labeled α -3²P-dATP or **- 32P-TTP** resulted in the incorporation of one or two thio-phosphate nucleotides and several radio-labeled nucleotides immediately following the second primer. The

extension was chased with an excess of unlabeled, unmodified nucleotides to complete **DNA** synthesis. The resulting double-stranded circles were precipitated and 4' azidophenacyl bromide (4'-AZPB) was coupled to the incorporated thio-phosphates as described (Yang and Nash, 1994). Free 4'-AZPB was removed using a 1 ml *G-50* spin column. The purified **DNA** was digested with *Eco RI and Sal I* to remove any modified or labeled dNTPs incorporated following the universal primer, and the liberated restriction fragment was gel purified. **DNA** fragments for BrdU crosslinking were synthesized as described (Ausubel et al., 1994) using the same single-stranded **DNA** templates as the 4'-AZPB crosslinking probes, digested with *Eco RI and Sal I,* and gel purified.

ORC-DNA binding conditions for crosslinking experiments were as described above, with the following changes. The amount of purified protein added per binding reaction was increased two-fold for 4'-AZPB crosslinking, and the amount of ARS 1/a-b2 competitor was increased three to five-fold for BrdU and 4'APZB crosslinking respectively. Reaction mixtures were transferred to a microtiter plate and irradiated with a *254* nm light source **(UVP,** Model *UVG-54)* at a distance of **1** cm for either 2 min (4'- AZPB crosslinking) or **30** min (BrdU crosslinking). Crosslinked proteins were treated with DNase I (Worthington) and micrococcal nuclease (Worthington) as described (Bell and Stillman, **1992),** precipitated with trichloroacetic acid, and resolved on **10% SDS**polyacrylamide gels. Gels were silver stained, dried, and exposed to film. We had previously reported that **OrcIp** did not crosslink to *ARS]* (Klemm et al., **1997);** however, **I** subsequently discovered that the micrococcal nuclease used to digest **DNA** after crosslinking to ORC was contaminated with a protease. Orcip and Orc2p were the most sensitive of the **ORC** subunits to this protease (data not shown). Boiling of the

micrococcal nuclease eliminated the contaminating protease activity and Orcip and Orc2p crosslinking became observable.

where the contract contract and the contract contract of the contract of the

REFERENCES

- Aparicio, **0.** M., Weinstein, **D.** M. and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Components and Dynamics of **DNA** Replication Complexes in *S. cerevisiae:* Redistribution of MCM Proteins and Cdc45p During **S** Phase. Cell *91, 59-69.*
- Baker, T. **A.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1998).** Polymerases and the replisome: machines within machines. Cell *92, 295-305.*
- Bell, **S.** P. **(1995).** Eukaryotic replicators and associated protein complexes. Curr. Opin. Gen. Dev. *5,* **162-167.**
- Bell, **S.** P., Kobayashi, R. and Stillman, B. **(1993).** Yeast origin recognition complex functions in transcription silencing and **DNA** replication. Science *262,* 1844-1849.
- Bell, **S.** P., Mitchell, **J.,** Leber, **J.,** Kobayashi, R. and Stillman, B. **(1995).** The multidomain structure of Orcip reveals similarity to regulators of **DNA** replication and transcriptional silencing. Cell *83, 563-568.*
- Bell, **S.** P. and Stillman, B. **(1992).** ATP-dependent recognition of eukaryotic origins of **DNA** replication **by** a multiprotein complex. Nature *357,* 128-134.
- Bielinsky, A.-K. and Gerbi, **S. A. (1998).** Discrete start sites for **DNA** synthesis in the yeast ARSI origin. Science *279, 95-98.*
- Bielinsky, A.-K. and Gerbi, **S. A. (1999).** Chromosomal *ARS]* Has a Single Leading Strand Start Site. Molecular Cell **3,477-486.**
- Bochkarev, **A.,** Barwell, **J. A.,** Pfuetzner, R. **A.,** Bochkarev, **E.,** Frappier, L. and Edwards, **A.** M. **(1996).** Crystal Structure of the DNA-Binding Domain of the Epstein-Barr Virus Origin-Binding Protein, **EBNA1,** Bound to **DNA.** Cell *84,* **791-800.**
- Borowiec, **J.** and Hurwitz, **J. (1988).** Localized melting and structural changes in the SV40 origin of replication induced **by** T-antigen. The EMBO Journal **7,** 3149- **3158.**
- Borowiec, **J. A.,** Dean, F. B., Bullock, P. **A.** and Hurwitz, **J. (1990).** Binding and Unwinding **-** How T Antigen Engages the SV40 Origin of **DNA** Replication. Cell *60,* **181-184.**
- Bramhill, **D.** and Kornberg, **A. (1988).** Duplex opening **by** DnaA protein at novel sequences in initiation of replication at the origin of the **E.** coli chromosome. Cell **52, 743-755.**
- Brunelle, **A.** and Schleif, R. **(1987).** Missing contact probing of DNA-protein interactions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA** *84,* **6673-6676.**
- Diffley, **J.** F., Cocker, **J.** H., Dowell, **S. J.** and Rowley, **A.** (1994). Two steps in the assembly of complexes at yeast replication origins *in vivo. Cell 78,* **303-316.**
- Diffley, **J.** F. X. and Cocker, **J.** H. **(1992).** Protein-DNA interactions at a yeast replication origin. Nature *357,* **169-172.**
- Dutta, **A.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Initiation of **DNA** replication in eukaryotic cells. Ann. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *13,* **293-332.**
- Fox, **C.,** Loo, **S.,** Dillin, **A.** and Rine, **J. (1995).** The origin recognition complex has essential functions in transcriptional silencing and chromosomal replication. Genes and Development **9,** 911-924.
- Harrison, **S.,** Fisenne, K. and Hearing, **J.** (1994). Sequence requirements of the Epstein-Barr virus latent origin of **DNA** replication. Journal of Virology *68,* **1913-1925.**
- Hendrickson, W. and Schleif, R. *(1985).* **A** dimer of AraC protein contacts three adjacent major groove regions of the *araI* **DNA** site. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA** *82,* **3129- 3133.**
- Herr, W. **(1985).** Diethyl pyrocarbonate: **A** chemical probe for secondary structure in negatively supercoiled **DNA.** Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA** *82,* **8009-8013.**
- Hsiao, **C.-L.** and Carbon, **J. (1979).** High-frequency transformation of yeast **by** plasmids containing the cloned ARG4 gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA 76, 3829-3833.**
- Huang, R.-Y. and Kowalski, **D. (1996).** Multiple **DNA** elements in *ARS305* determine replication origin activity in a yeast chromosome. Nucleic Acids Research *24,* **816-823.**
- Hwang, **D. S.** and Kornberg, **A. (1992).** Opening of the Replication Origin of *Escherichia coli* **by** DnaA Protein with Protein *HU* or IHF. The Journal of Biological Chemistry *267,* **23083-23086.**
- Kahn, **J. D.** and Crothers, **D.** M. **(1992).** Protein-induced bending and **DNA** cyclization. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA** *89,* **6343-6347.**
- Klemm, R. **D.,** Austin, R. **J.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Coordinate binding of ATP and origin **DNA** regulates the ATPase activity of the origin recognition complex. Cell *88,* 493-502.
- Lee, **D.** K., Horikoshi, M. and Roeder, R. **G. (1991).** Interaction of TFIID in the Minor Groove of the **TATA** Element. Cell *67,* 1241-1250.
- Liang, **C.,** Weinreich, M. and Stillman, B. *(1995).* ORC and Cdc6p interact and determine the frequency of initiation of **DNA** replication in the genome. Cell *81,* **667-676.**
- Marahrens, Y. and Stillman, B. **(1992). A** yeast chromosomal origin of replication defined **by** multiple functional elemants. Science **255, 817-823.**
- Newlon, **C. S.** and Theis, **J.** F. **(1993).** The structure and function of yeast ARS elements. Current Opinions in Genetics and Development *3, 752-758.*
- Rao, H., Marahrens, *Y.* and Stillman, B. (1994). Functional conservation of multiple elements in yeast chromosomal replicators. Molecular and Cellular Biology *14,* **7643-7651.**
- Rao, H. and Stillman, B. *(1995).* The origin recognition complex interacts with a bipartite **DNA** binding site within yeast replicators. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **U S A** *92,* 2224- **2228.**
- Rowley, **A.,** Cocker, **J.** H., Harwood, **J.** and Diffley, **J.** F. X. *(1995).* Initiation complex assembly at budding yeast replication origins begins with the recognition of a bipartite sequence **by** limiting amounts of the initiator, ORC. The EMBO Journal *14,* **2631-2641.**
- Santocanale, **C.** and Diffley, **J.** F. X. **(1996).** ORC- and Cdc6-dependent complexes at active and inactive chromosomal replication origins in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The EMBO Journal *15,* **6671-6679.**
- SenGupta, **D. J.** and Borowiec, **J. A.** (1994). Strand and face: the topography of interactions between the SV40 origin of replication and T-antigen during the initiation of replication. The EMBO Journal *13,* **982-992.**
- Simpson, R. T. **(1990).** Nucleosome positioning can affect the function of a cis-acting **DNA** element in vivo. Nature *343,* **3 87-9.**
- Stinchcomb, **D.** T., Struhl, K. and Davis, R. W. **(1979).** Isolation and characterisation of a yeast chromosomal replicator. Nature *282,* 39-43.
- Tanaka, T., Knapp, **D.** and Nasmyth, K. **(1997).** Loading of an Mcm protein onto **DNA** replication origins is regulated **by** Cdc6p and CDKs. Cell *90,* **649-660.**
- Theis, **J.** F. and Newlon, **C. S.** (1994). Domain B of **ARS307** contains two functional elements and contributes to chromosomal replication origin function. Mol. Cell. Biol. *14, 7652-7659.*
- Thoma, F., Bergman, L. W. and Simpson, R. T. (1984). Nuclease digestion of circular TRP1ARS 1 chromatin reveals positioned nucleosomes separated **by** nucleasesensitive regions. **J** Mol Biol *177,* **715-33.**
- Travers, **A. A.** and Klug, **A. (1987).** The bending of **DNA** in nucleosomes and its wider implications. Philos Trans R Soc Lond [Biol] *317, 537-561.*
- Truss, M., Chalepakis, **G.** and Beato, M. **(1990).** Contacts between steroid hormone receptors and thymines in **DNA:** An interference method. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA** *87,* **7180-7184.**
- Walker, **S. S.,** Francesconi, **S. C.** and Eisenberg, s. **(1990). A DNA** replication enhancer *in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **U S A** *87,* **4665-4669.**
- Walker, **S. S.,** Malik, **A.** K. and Eisenberg, **S. (1991).** Analysis of the interactions of functional domains of a nuclear origin of replication from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Nucleic Acids Research *19,* **6255-6262.**
- Yang, **S.** and Nash, H. (1994). Specific photocrosslinking of DNA-protein complexes: Identification of contacts between integration host factor and its target **DNA.** Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA** *91,* **12183-12187.**

Chapter III

Electron Microscopy of ORC

The work described in this chapter was performed in the laboratory of Jack **D.** Griffith at the Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, **NC.** The initial observations and EM images were collected **by D. G.** Lee and Alexander M. Makhov, and all of the quantitation was performed **by A.** M. M.

I thank Jeff Gelles for providing the plasmid containing the C-terminal fragment of the *E. coli BCCP.*

 \sim

SUMMARY

Electron microscopy (EM) analysis of ORC was performed using three different preparatory methods to minimize EM artifacts. We found that ORC is an elongated molecule with three lobes, and this structure is similar when ORC is bound to origin **DNA.** Estimates of the molecular mass of ORC using EM were consistent with the elongated complex containing one copy of each of the six ORC subunits. ORC binds origin **DNA** along its long axis, interacting with approximately **50** base pairs. The feasibility of using EM to map the positions of ORC subunits within the complex is discussed.

 \sim - \sim

INTRODUCTION

Biologists, and biochemists in particular, often make inferences about the properties of individual molecules based on the behavior of a population; however, sometimes a key feature of a biological system is obscured **by** this approach. For example, if a population consists of two (or more) distinct subpopulations with different properties, the average behavior of the entire population can be misleading. **A** population of cells that together produce 20% of the wild-type levels of a diffusible product may in fact consist of 20% of the cells generating wild-type quantities of product and **80%** of the cells generating no product, rather than all of the cells showing an **80%** reduction in product formation. These two different scenarios would lead to two distinct models of the regulation of this product. The ability to observe single molecules directly or monitor the consequences of single events can circumvent this problem and lead to important new insights.

Electron microscopy (EM) is a powerful method for determining the properties of individual proteins or protein complexes. In particular, EM analysis of replication proteins (such as the *E. coli* DnaA protein or the SV40 T Antigen) interacting with their cognate origin has contributed to the understanding of how these proteins function during replication (Dean et al., **1987;** Fuller et al., 1984; Funnell et al., **1986;** Mastrangelo et al., **1989;** Wessel et al., **1992).** The opportunity to collaborate with an EM lab with prior experience in **DNA** replication allowed me to ask some simple yet important questions about the properties of ORC. First, what is the shape of ORC, and how well does it relate to the structure that **I** had determined based on protein-DNA crosslinking (Chapter **II)?** Second, what is the molecular mass of ORC as determined **by** EM? **All** of our biochemical data was consistent with a monomer of ORC containing a single copy of each subunit for a combined mass of 414 kDa; however, none of our data could

definitively distinguish between a monomer or a dimer of ORC binding **DNA.** Thus, **I** was interested in determining the oligomeric state of ORC, both in solution and bound to origin **DNA.** Finally, does ORC binding have consequences for the shape of the **DNA?** DNase **I** protection assays of origin **DNA** bound **by** ORC had suggested that the **DNA** may be wrapped around the protein (see Chapter **I** and below), which should result in an observable reduction in the end-to-end length of **DNA.**

 \sim 000 μ

RESULTS

ORC samples were prepared for electron microscopy using three different preparatory methods to minimize the effects of experimental artifacts. "Direct mounting" EM (Griffith and Christiansen, **1978)** involves adsorbing gluteraldehyde crosslinked proteins (and protein-DNA complexes) onto thin carbon supports followed **by** sequential washes in increasing concentrations of ethanol (up to **100%** ethanol) to remove water from the samples. Although this method is rapid, the ethanol dehydration steps can sometimes lead to perturbations of native protein structure **(A.** Makhov, personal communication). In contrast, cryo-fixation and freeze-drying (Bortner and Griffith, **1990)** involves rapid freezing of the sample on carbon supports in liquid ethane chilled in liquid nitrogen and freeze-drying. Cryo-EM is thought to better preserve the conformation of proteins but is a time-consuming process. Both direct mounting and cryo-EM involve visualization of the protein and protein-DNA complexes **by** rotary shadowcasting with tungsten, producing images of high contrast. However, uneven or heavy deposition of metal can lead to loss of detailed structural information. The third method, negative staining with uranyl acetate, can be performed with unfixed samples and is the least likely to alter the native structure of proteins. Since the electron-dense stain is applied in a thin layer, this method provides the highest resolution and greatest amount of detail; however, it cannot produce the high degree of contrast achieved with rotary shadowcasting. Our EM analysis of ORC relied primarily on direct mounting and negative staining due to the more rapid turnover time; however, cryo-fixation and freezedrying was also attempted on two separate occasions. **All** three methods yielded results that were in excellent agreement with each other, arguing that the observations are not the results of EM artifacts.

ORC is an Elongated Complex with Three Lobes

Electron microscopy of ORC revealed that the complex has an elongated structure with three lobes. **High** resolution negative staining revealed two larger outer lobes (one slightly larger than the other) and a small middle lobe (Figure **lA).** The dimensions of ORC stained with uranyl acetate were **17 (+/- 1)** nm **by 7 (+/- 1)** nm **(N=30).** Lower resolution tungsten shadowcasting also clearly showed the two outer lobes, but depending on the orientation of the complex and possibly the thickness of the metal, the middle lobe was often not visible (Figure 1B). The overall structure of ORC was found to be similar when bound to origin **DNA** (Figure 2). To exclude the possibility that the observed multilobed structure was a multimer of two or more ORC complexes, we estimated its molecular mass from negatively stained samples, using the Herpesvirus **ICP8** protein **(132** kDa) as a size standard. **By** comparing the projected area of ORC with **ICP8** (see Experimental Procedures), ORC was calculated to have a molecular weight of 456 kDa. This value is more consistent with a monomer of ORC (414 kDa) than with a dimer **(828** kDa). Thus, ORC is likely to exist as a monomer with multiple lobes, both on and off **DNA.**

Characterization of dsDNA Binding

EM analysis of ORC bound to long (Figure **2A,** B) or short (Figure **2C)** origincontaining DNAs also allowed us to determine whether ORC binding resulted in **DNA** wrapping. As described in Chapter **I,** the ORC-induced DNase **I** protection pattern at all origins tested thus far includes a number of hypersensitive sites that are periodically spaced at roughly every turn of the **DNA** helix. Such a pattern is consistent with the **DNA** either being wrapped around the protein or the **DNA** laying flat against a surface (Travers and Klug, **1987).** The end-to-end length of the **DNA** was not significantly

Figure 1. ORC is an Elongated Molecule with Three Lobes. (A) Uranyl acetate negative staining of ORC reveals a three-lobed structure. **(B) Rotary shadowcasting** of ORC with tungsten shows a similar structure, although the details of the smallest (middle) lobe are less clear using this lower-resolution technique. Samples were prepared for EM as described.

Figure 2

 $\mathbf C$

changed **by** ORC binding (data not shown), indicating that the latter scenario is correct. The **DNA** is closely juxtaposed to ORC along its length, and given that ORC is approximately **17** nm long (see above), the maximum amount of **DNA** covered **by** ORC is **-50** base pairs (assuming approximately 0.34 nm per **bp** of **DNA).** This number is in agreement with the size of the DNase **I** protection pattern, which is also approximately **50** base pairs in length.

EM was also used to examine the specificity of dsDNA binding **by** ORC. **A** 2.2 **kb DNA** fragment containing the *ARS]* origin was biotinylated at one end to allow for orientation of the **DNA.** ORC was then added to the **DNA,** and the reaction was prepared for EM **by** gluteraldehyde fixation, direct mounting and rotary shadowcasting (Figure **3).** Eighty-four **ORC-DNA** complexes were examined, and the position of ORC relative to the modified **DNA** end was measured (Figure 4). The distribution of ORC molecules along the length of the **DNA** peaks at a position consistent with *ARS],* indicative of sequence-specific binding. As many as *50%* (42/84) of ORC molecules were found to be bound at other sites, including yeast sequences flanking *ARS],* sequences corresponding to the bacterial plasmid, and yeast sequences near the *CEN4* centromere sequence. However, all of these non-specific binding events were clustered near the *ARS]* sequence **-** no binding was observed at distal sites on the **DNA** fragment.

The biased distribution of non-specific binding events close to the ORC binding site can reflect one of the following possibilities: **(1)** Sequence-specific binding of ORC sometimes results in dissociation and rebinding of ORC close to the origin. An apparent bias in the sites to which ORC-rebinds could result if ORC tracks along the **DNA** rather than dissociating prior to reassociating. (2) The particular sequences to which ORC is bound in this experiment contain near-matches to the ORC binding site and they are all fortuitously positioned close to the *ARS]* sequence in this plasmid. **(3)** Errors in

Figure **3**

Figure 3. ORC Bound to ARS1-Containing Fragments Marked at One End. A 2.2

kb ARS] -containing fragment was labeled with biotinylated dNTPs at the end of the molecule farthest from the *ARSJ* site. The **DNA** was incubated with ORC and with streptavidin to label the biotinylated site (visible as a thickening of the **DNA** at one end). The reaction mixture was crosslinked with gluteraldehyde and prepared for EM **by** direct mounting and rotary shadowcasting.

 \cdot

Figure 4. Sequence-Specific Binding of ORC to ARSI DNA. ORC was bound to a biotinylated 2.2 **kb** *ARS1 -containing SpeI-EcoR V* fragment labeled with streptavidin (shown and described in Figure **3).** The length of each arm of the **DNA** was measured, and the position of ORC relative to the biotinylated *SpeI* site was determined (shown as **%** of the total distance from the *SpeI* site). The histogram shows the combined data from 84 **ORC-DNA** complexes. **A** schematic of the **DNA** fragment is shown below the histogram. Colored rectangles denote yeast **DNA** sequences, whereas the thin black line represents **DNA** derived from the bacterial plasmid. Sequences derived from the chromosomal *ARS]* site are represented **by** the dark blue boxes, and the positions of the **ACS** and B 1 sites are indicated **by** light blue rectangles. **A** yeast genomic fragment containing the *CEN4* centromere (green) and a fragment containing the *URA3* gene (red) are also shown.

. <u>.</u>

measurements resulted in a number of specific binding events scored as non-specific. To address these various possibilities, it will be important to repeat the experiment using a fragment that contains a mutation in the *ARS]* sequence to determine if the binding to flanking sequences is dependant on the presence of *ARS].* Furthermore, the use of fragments containing different origins will address the generalizability of this clustered binding phenomenon. Finally, the experiment will be repeated using shorter **DNA** fragments and increasing the sample size to reduce the relative amount of experimental error.

DISCUSSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Electron microscopy has provided us with the first direct observations of ORC. In addition to describing basic characteristics of ORC (its dimensions, its monomeric state on **DNA,** and the absence of **DNA** wrapping around ORC), EM analysis can potentially be used to map the positions of ORC subunits. In contrast to the protein-DNA crosslinking experiments used to map ORC subunits (Chapter **II),** the proposed EM assays can be performed in the presence and absence of **DNA.**

The proposed experimental approach involves the generation of variants of ORC in which an individual subunit is tagged at one end with an epitope that can be mapped **by** EM. These modified ORC complexes will be assayed in solution or bound to **DNA** containing an asymmetrically positioned origin to orient the protein. **A** candidate mapping epitope is the 87-amino acid C-terminus of the *E. coli* biotin carboxyl carrier protein (BCCP). This protein fragment is efficiently biotinylated *in vivo* and, when fused to a protein of interest, can lead to the efficient biotinylation of the chimeric protein when expressed in *E. coli* or in insect cells (Berliner et al., 1994; Young et al., *1995).* The high affinity of streptavidin for biotin is then utilized to manipulate the biotinylated protein or, in my case, to determine its position. **I** produced and expressed twelve different ORC complexes, each containing a single ORC subunit fused to the BCCP biotinylation sequence at either its **N-** or C-terminus (Figure *5A).* The individual modification did not destabilize the complex and resulted in the co-purification of all six subunits. More importantly, in 11 out of 12 instances, the modified complexes retained near wild-type levels of **DNA** binding activity **-** only the complex modified on the N-terminus of Orcp3 showed reduced activity (Figure **5C).**

Figure 5A and 5B. Purification of Biotinylated ORC Complexes. ORC subunits were fused to a biotin acceptor peptide at their **N-** or C-termini. Co-expression in insect cells of each modified subunit with the five remaining wild-type subunits resulted in the production of ORC complexes with a unique, modified site and the *in vivo* biotinylation of this site. **(A)** Coomassie stained protein gel of the 12 purified biotinylated complexes. The position of the biotin tag is at the **N-** or C-terminus of each subunit as indicated. Wt **=** wild-type, unmodified ORC. (B) Anti-biotin western blot of the biotinylated complexes. The 12 modified complexes were electro^phoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE** and transferred to nitrocellulose. The membrane was probed with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin and treated with chemiluminescence reagents **(ECL)** to visualize the biotinyated ORC subunit.

Figure *5C.* **DNA Binding Activity of Biotinylated ORC Complexes.** Modified ORC complexes were tested for *ARS1* binding activity in a DNase I protection assay. An equivalent amount of ORC was used in each lane except for the outer two lanes which represent the DNase I cleavage pattern of naked **DNA.** The heavy lines to the left of the gel indicate the region of *ARS1* protected **by** Wild-type ORC and arrows indicate the positions of ORC-induced hypersensitive sites. **All** *ARS1* binding reactions were performed in the presence of ATP. The ATP-dependence of origin binding of each of the modified complexes has not yet been examined.

The biotinylation state of the modified complexes was determined **by** performing Western blot analysis using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin (Figure 5B). Two modified subunits (Orcip and Orc2p modified at the C-terminus) showed weak levels of biotinylation relative to the others. This was true only when they were coexpressed in insect cells with the other five subunits to assemble the ORC complex. In contrast, when the modified subunits were expressed individually, all were biotinylated to similar extents (data not shown). These data argue that the low level of biotinylation in the Orclp-C-term and Orc2p-C-term subunits is due to decreased accessibility of the BCCP tag in the context of an ORC complex. The remaining **10** modified complexes may have sufficient levels of biotinylation to allow the position of the tag to be mapped **by** EM; however, the absolute efficiency of biotinylation for each complex has yet to be determined.

The key component of the proposed experiment that remains to be developed is a reliable, high resolution method of determining the position of the biotin tag. **I** had attempted to use a conjugate of streptavidin and gold as a molecular marker; however, the combined molecular mass of the gold particle coupled to multiple streptavidin molecules resulted in a complex that was roughly half the size of ORC (data not shown). Due to the large size of the streptavidin-gold conjugate and the presence of multiple streptavidin molecules, a precise determination of the contact point between the biotin and the streptavidin was not possible. An ideal molecular marker would involve a small protein with a rigid rod-like structure fused to a single copy of streptavidin to generate a protein "pointer". As a pilot experiment to examine the feasibility of this approach, it should be possible to end-label a short fragment of **DNA** with a biotinylated nucleotide and add the **DNA** to the biotinylated ORC complexes in the presence of streptavidin. Since streptavidin is multivalent (each streptavidin molecule can bind up to four biotin

molecules), the protein will be able to bind biotinylated ORC and biotinylated **DNA** simultaneously, allowing the **DNA** to serve as a rough pointer to the site of modification on ORC.

MARITIME

Electron microscopy promises to be a powerful tool for elucidating the structure of this multi-protein complex. In addition to the subunit mapping experiments, the collection of a greater number of high-quality EM images will lead to a computer simulation of an averaged 3-dimensional structure. Such information will greatly facilitate the long-term goal of determining a high-resolution crystallographic structure of ORC.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

ARSJ **-Containing DNA**

Three different *ARS1* -containing DNAs were used for EM studies. The short 344 **bp** fragment was a PCR product using the plasmid **pARS 1/WTA** (Marahrens and Stillman, **1992)** as the template and universal forward (cgccagggttttcccagtcacgac) and reverse (agcggataacaatttcacacagg) sequencing oligos as PCR primers. The **5.7 kb DNA** and the 2.2 **kb DNA** were *ApaI-NcoI* and an *SpeI-EcoR V* fragments of **pARS 1/WTA,** respectively. **All** DNAs were purified as previously described (Lee and Bell, **1997).** The 2.2 kb DNA was biotinylated at the *SpeI* site by incubating 10 µg of DNA with 10 units of the Klenow fragment, biotinylated **dATP** and **dCTP (8** ptM final), and unmodified $dGTP$ and $dTTP$ (100 μ M final) in a 100 μ reaction volume (containing 1X Klenow buffer). The **DNA** synthesis reaction was performed for one hour at room temperature, followed **by** two extractions using phenol/chloroform **(1:1)** and ethanol precipitation.

EM Methods

ORC-DNA complexes were formed in a 50 μ **l reaction containing 20 mM Hepes-**KOH **(pH 7.6),** 2 mM **EDTA,** 2 mM **EGTA, 5** mM magnesium acetate, **0.15** M KCl, and **100** p.M ATP. For the short 344 **bp DNA, 1.7** pmol ORC was incubated with **90** fmol **DNA;** for longer DNAs, 120 fmol ORC was incubated with **55-80** fmol **DNA.** Binding reactions were performed at room temperature for **17** minutes. When the biotinylated 2.2 **kb** fragment was used, streptavidin was added **(5** pg/ml final) **6** minutes after the addition of ORC and the binding reaction was allowed to continue for another **11** minutes (i.e. **- 17** minutes total). Gluteraldehyde was then added **(0.6%** final) and crosslinking was performed at room temperature for **10** minutes.

For uranyl acetate negative staining, the crosslinked samples were diluted fivefold or ten-fold in the same binding buffer, mounted on glow charged carbon supports and stained with 2% aqueous solution of uranyl acetate as described (Makhov et al., **1996).** In other experiments, samples were stained without gluteraldehyde crosslinking with no obvious differences in the protein-DNA complexes observed (data not shown). For direct mounting and cryo-fixation/freeze-drying, the crosslinked samples were purified on a 2 ml BioGel A5m column (BioRad Inc.) equilibrated in **TE** prior to mounting on carbon supports. The samples were prepared for rotary shadowcasting with tungsten as described (Griffith and Christiansen, **1978;** Griffith et al., *1995).* **All** EM analyses were performed using a Philips **CM12** transmission electron microscope. The dimensions of ORC and measurements of the position of ORC along the length of a biotinylated 2.2 **kb DNA** fragment were determined using a digitizer board and software developed **by J. D.** Griffith. Molecular mass estimates of ORC and **ICP8** were performed as described (Griffith et al., **1995),** using using **50-70** molecules of each protein.

Fusion of Biotinylation Tags to the N-Terminus of ORC Subunits

The C-terminal **87** amino acids of the *E. coli* biotinyl carboxy carrier protein (BCCP) were PCR amplified from the plasmid pEY4 (obtained from Jeff Gelles' laboratory) using PCR primers 5' BCCP-Bam HI (tgcgggatccatggaagcgccagcagcag) and **3'** BCCP-SalI (tgcggtcgactcgataacaacaagcggttc). The PCR product was cut with *BamHI* and *Sall* and cloned in to the plasmid pFBD-Bcl cut with the same enzymes (pFBD is an expression vector for use in insect cells and it contains two multiple cloning sites for the simultaneous expression of two proteins). The resulting plasmid was cut with *Sall* and a 2.4 **kb** *Sal* fragment from **pSPB65** was cloned into this site (this 2.4 **kb** *Sal* cassette was removed in the next cloning step and was included to facilitate a *SacI-Sal* digest of two

sites that would otherwise be too close together for efficient cleavage). This plasmid was then digested with *SacI and SailI* and the coding sequence for each of the ORC genes was cloned into these sites, generating an in-frame fusion of the BCCP fragment to the ORC gene. The inserts encoding ORCI through ORC6 were *XhoI-SaiI* fragments from plasmids **pSF320/ORC1/SP1 - pSF320/ORC6/SP1,** respectively. To insert a second (unmodified) ORC gene into the second polylinker of the dual expression vector, the resulting plasmids were digested with *Xhol, and XhoI-SalI* inserts derived from plasmids **pSF320/ORC1/SP1** through **pSF320/ORC6/SP1** were introduced. The ORC genes were paired such that Orcip was co-expressed with Orc6p, Orc2p with Orc5p, and Orc3p with Orc4p.

Fusion of Biotinylation Tags to the C-Terminus of ORC Subunits

To generate a vector for the expression of chimeric proteins with the BCCP fragment fused to the C-terminus of each ORC gene, pEY4 was used to PCR amplify the BCCP C-terminus using primers *5'* BCCP-SacI (tgcggagctccatggaagcgccagcagcag) and **3'** BCCP-NotI (tgtgcggcggccgctcattcgataacaacaagcgg). This PCR fragment was digested with *SacI* and *NotI* and cloned into pFBD-Bcl cut with the same enzymes. The resulting plasmid cut with *SailI* and and a 2.4 **kb** *Sal* cassette from **pSPB65** was cloned into this site. This plasmid was then digested with *SacI* and *SalI* and the coding sequence for each of the ORC genes was cloned into these sites, generating an in-frame fusion of the BCCP fragment to the C-terminus of each ORC gene. Inserts containing the six ORC gene sequences (lacking a stop codon) were XhoI-SacI fragments from plasmids **pSF322/ORCl/SPl** through **pSF322/ORC6/SP1,** respectively. To insert the second (unmodified) ORC gene into the dual expression vector, the resulting plasmids were digested with *XhoI, and XhoI-SaiI* inserts derived from plasmids **pSF320/ORCl/SPl**

through **pSF320/ORC6/SP1** were introduced. The ORC genes were paired such that Orcip was co-expressed with Orc6p, Orc2p with Orc5p, and Orc3p with Orc4p.

Expression and Purification of Biotinylated ORC Complexes

Each modified ORC subunit was co-expressed in insect cells with the remaining five (unmodified) ORC subunits as described (Klemm et al., **1997).** In contrast to published results, efficient *in vivo* biotinylation did not require the addition of d-biotin and hydroxyecdysone to insect cell media (Berliner et al., 1994; Young et al., *1995).* In fact, addition of d-biotin and hydroxyecdysone was found to inhibit growth of the insect cells (data not shown). Biotinylated complexes were purified as described (Lee and Bell, **1997),** using S-sepharose and Mono-Q ion exchange columns. Peak mono-Q fractions were analyzed **by** resolving 1 **jig** of protein for each sample on a **10% SDS-PAGE** gel and staining with coomassie brilliant blue. Protein fractions were also analyzed **by** staining with silver nitrate (data not shown).

Anti-Biotin "Western" Blot Analysis

The *30-50%* ammonium sulfate pellet from nuclear fractions contained the bulk of the modified ORC and was used as the starting material for chromatography. One one thousandth of each **30-50%** ammonium sulfate fraction was resolved on a **10% SDS-PAGE,** the proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose, and the membrane was probed with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin (PIERCE; diluted **1:30,000).** An **ECL** chemiluminescence kit was used and the membrane was exposed to film.

DNase I Protection Assays

DNase **I** protection assays were performed as described previously (Bell et al., *1995;* Bell and Stillman, **1992;** Klemm et al., **1997)** using -120 fmol of protein where indicated.

 \cdots and \cdots

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

REFERENCES

- Bell, **S.** P., Mitchell, **J.,** Leber, **J.,** Kobayashi, R. and Stillman, B. **(1995).** The multidomain structure of Orcip reveals similarity to regulators of **DNA** replication and transcriptional silencing. Cell *83, 563-568.*
- Bell, **S.** P. and Stillman, B. **(1992).** ATP-dependent recognition of eukaryotic origins of **DNA** replication **by** a multiprotein complex. Nature *357,* 128-134.
- Berliner, **E.,** Mahtani, H. K., Karki, **S.,** Chu, L. F., Cronan, **J. E.,** Jr. and Gelles, **J.** (1994). Microtubule movement **by** a biotinated kinesin bound to streptavidin- coated surface. **J** Biol Chem *269,* **8610-5.**
- Bortner, **C.** and Griffith, **J. (1990).** Three-stranded paranemic joints: architecture, topological constraints and movement. **J** Mol Biol *215,* 623-34.
- Dean, F. B., Dodson, **M.,** Echols, H. and Hurwitz, **J. (1987).** ATP-dependent formation of a specialized nucleoprotein structure **by** simian virus 40 (SV40) large tumor antigen at the SV40 replication origin. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U S A** *84,* **8981-5.**
- Fuller, R. **S.,** Funnell, B. **E.** and Kornberg, **A.** (1984). The dnaA protein complex with the **E.** coli chromosomal replication origin (oriC) and other **DNA** sites. Cell *38,* **889- 900.**
- Funnell, B. **E.,** Baker, T. **A.** and Kornberg, **A. (1986).** Complete enzymatic replication of plasmids containing the origin of the Escherichia coli chromosome. **J** Biol Chem *261,* **5616-24.**
- Griffith, **J. D.** and Christiansen, **G. (1978).** Electron microscope visualization of chromatin and other DNA-protein complexes. Annu Rev Biophys Bioeng **7, 19- 35.**
- Griffith, **J. D.,** Makhov, **A.,** Zawel, L. and Reinberg, **D.** *(1995).* Visualization of TBP oligomers binding and bending the HIV-1 and adeno promoters. **J** Mol Biol *246,* **576-84.**
- Klemm, R. **D.,** Austin, R. **J.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Coordinate binding of ATP and origin **DNA** regulates the ATPase activity of the origin recognition complex. Cell *88,* 493-502.
- Lee, **D. G.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Architecture of the yeast origin recognition complex bound to origins of **DNA** replication. Mol. Cell. Biol. *17,* **7159-7168.**
- Makhov, **A.** M., Boehmer, P. **E.,** Lehman, **I.** R. and Griffith, **J. D. (1996).** Visualization of the unwinding of long **DNA** chains **by** the herpes simplex virus type 1 UL9 protein and **ICP8. J** Mol Biol *258,* **789-799.**
- Marahrens, Y. and Stillman, B. **(1992). A** yeast chromosomal origin of replication defined **by** multiple functional elemants. Science *255,* **817-823.**
- Mastrangelo, **I. A.,** Hough, P. V., Wall, **J. S.,** Dodson, M., Dean, F. B. and Hurwitz, **J. (1989).** ATP-dependent assembly of double hexamers of SV40 T antigen at the viral origin of **DNA** replication. Nature *338,* **658-62.**
- Travers, **A. A.** and Klug, **A. (1987).** The bending of **DNA** in nucleosomes and its wider implications. Philos Trans R Soc Lond [Biol] *317, 537-56* **1.**
- Wessel, R., Schweizer, **J.** and Stahl, H. **(1992).** Simian virus 40 T-antigen **DNA** helicase is a hexamer which forms a binary complex during bidirectional unwinding from the viral origin of **DNA** replication. **J** Virol **66,** *804-15.*
- Young, **E. C.,** Berliner, **E.,** Mahtani, H. K., Perez-Ramirez, B. and Gelles, J. **(1995).** Subunit interactions in dimeric kinesin heavy chain derivatives that lack the kinesin rod. **J** Biol Chem *270,* **3926-3 1.**

Chapter IV

Regulation of ORC Conformation and ATPase Activity: Evidence for a Single-Stranded DNA-Controlled Conformational Switch

An earlier version of this chapter was submitted for publication. The authors were Daniel **G.** Lee, Alexander M. Makhov, Richard **D.** Klemm, Jack **D.** Griffith and Stephen P. Bell. The initial EM observations were made **by D. G.** L. and **A.** M. M. in the lab of **J. D. G.** at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. **All** quantitation of EM data was performed **by A.** M. M. The initial observation that ORC is an origin **DNA**regulated ATPase was made **by** R. **D.** K. who also provided the mutant the ORC complexes defective for ATP binding that were used as controls and the wild-type ORC used in the EM experiments.

I thank Mark Biggin for advice on native gel separation of ssDNA, Richard Austin for the anti-ORC polyclonal sera, Anthony Schwacha for purified yeast RPA, and Tania Baker and Carl Pabo for critical reading of the submitted manuscript.

SUMMARY

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Origin Recognition Complex (ORC) is bound to origins of **DNA** replication throughout the cell cycle and directs the assembly of higherorder protein-DNA complexes during **G1.** To examine the fate of ORC when origin **DNA** is unwound during replication initiation, **I** determined the effect of single-stranded **DNA** (ssDNA) on **ORC. I** show that ORC can bind ssDNA and that the ssDNA-bound form of ORC is distinct from that bound to double-stranded origin **DNA.** Electron microscopy studies demonstrated that ssDNA stabilizes a bent conformation of ORC whereas origin **DNA** stabilizes an extended form of ORC. In addition, ssDNA stimulates the ORC-ATPase activity, whereas origin-containing **DNA** inhibits it. **I** propose that the unwinding of origin **DNA** activates an ssDNA-controlled ORC conformational switch that contributes to the remodeling of the origin-associated protein complexes assembled during **G1.**

INTRODUCTION

Origins of **DNA** replication are sites at which multiple polypeptides must be assembled in a **highly** regulated manner and subsequently disassembled to allow formation of a mobile **DNA** replication machine. Studies of chromosomal replication in a number of organisms, including bacteria, phage, and eukaryotic viruses, have demonstrated that a protein called the initiator participates in multiple early steps in this process (reviewed in Baker and Bell, **1998).** Initiator proteins bind origins of replication and thereby select the site at which replication is to begin. These proteins also frequently facilitate the unwinding of origins required to generate the single-stranded **DNA** (ssDNA) template for polymerase action. Finally, initiator proteins recruit other replication proteins required for the assembly of replication forks at the origin.

In eukaryotic cells, the strongest candidate for an initiator protein is the Origin Recognition Complex (ORC; Bell and Stillman, **1992;** reviewed in Dutta and Bell, **1997).** ORC was first identified in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and consists of six polypeptides (Orcip **-** Orc6p) that are each essential for yeast viability (Bell et al., **1993;** Bell et al., **1995;** Li and Herskowitz, **1993;** Loo et al., **1995) .** Analogs of ORC subunits have been identified in a number of organisms, and in *Xenopus laevis and Drosophila melanogaster,* they have been shown to form a six-protein complex similar to that seen in yeast (reviewed in Dutta and Bell, **1997).** Studies of replication either *in vivo or in vitro* strongly suggest that ORC is required for **DNA** replication in all eukaryotic species (Chesnokov et al., **1999;** Landis et al., **1997;** Pasero et al., **1997;** and reviewed in Dutta and Bell, **1997).**

In yeast, ORC binds to origins of replication throughout most or all of the cell cycle and directs the assembly of higher order complexes prior to the initiation of **DNA** replication. *In vivo* DNase **I** protection assays and chromatin immunoprecipitation

(CHIP) experiments suggest that ORC alone is present at the origin during the **G2-** and M-phases to form what has been termed the postreplicative complex (post-RC; Aparicio et al., **1997;** Diffley et al., 1994; Tanaka et al., **1997).** In **G1,** ORC is required to recruit additional replication proteins to origins, including Cdc6p and the MCM proteins (in that order), to form a prereplicative complex (pre-RC). Finally, Cdc45p and the replicative polymerases are recruited to origins in a manner correlated with the time of replication initiation (Aparicio et al., **1997;** Aparicio et al., **1999;** Zou and Stillman, **1998).** During S-phase, Cdc6p is degraded (Piatti et al., *1995),* and MCM proteins and Cdc45p appear to be released from origins and move with the **DNA** polymerases as part of the replication fork (Aparicio et al., **1997).** ORC remains at the origin to repeat the process in the following cell cycle. The requirement for an ORC-dependent assembly of replication proteins on **DNA** is likely to be conserved throughout evolution, as replication in *Xenopus* extracts requires chromatin association of ORC, Cdc6p and MCM proteins, with the same dependence as seen in yeast (reviewed in Diffley, **1996).**

In addition to recognizing origin **DNA,** yeast ORC binds and hydrolyzes ATP, and these three activities are tightly coupled (Klemm et al., **1997).** ATP binding to the Orc1p subunit is required for the complex to bind origin DNA. Interaction with origin **DNA** inhibits the Orcip-dependent ATP hydrolysis activity, reducing it to a rate that is consistent with roughly one turnover event per cell cycle. ATPase repression requires origin-containing double-stranded **DNA** (dsDNA) as non-origin dsDNA has no effect on the rate of ATP hydrolysis. Thus, ATP binding and sequence-specific **DNA** binding are coordinate processes that together regulate ATP hydrolysis **by** ORC.

The coordinate action of five of the six ORC subunits is required for origin binding (only the Orc6p subunit is dispensable for **DNA** binding; Chapter **II;** Lee and Bell, **1997).** ORC subunits are arranged in two groups that each contact distinct regions

of the **DNA,** and ORC contacts more residues on one strand of the dsDNA than the other. This mode of **DNA** binding occurs throughout most of the cell cycle. However, during the initiation of replication, the origin must become unwound to allow access of the enzymatic machinery to the **DNA** substrate. The consequence of this **DNA** unwinding event for **ORC-DNA** interactions as well as other proteins assembled at the origin is not understood.

In each cell cycle, the assembly and disassembly of origin-associated complexes must be carefully regulated to ensure that the entire genome is replicated once and only once (reviewed in Diffley, **1996).** In yeast, the assembly of pre-RCs occurs during **GI** when Cdc6p is abundant and when levels of B-type cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) activity are low. Entry into S-phase requires the activation of the S-phase CDKs *(Clb5* and Clb6-associated CDK) and the activity of the **Cdc7p/Dbf4p** kinase. As each origin is activated, the pre-RC must be remodeled to release components of mobile replication forks and to inactivate this origin. ORC re-binds origin **DNA** to form a post-RC and must be prevented from re-forming new pre-RCs and re-initiating replication until the next Gi-phase. Interestingly, the activities of the B-type CDKs are required to prevent pre-RC formation during **S-, G2-,** and M-phases (Dahmann et al., **1995;** Detweiler and Li, **1998;** Piatti et al., **1996;** Tanaka et al., **1997).** Thus, the same kinases involved in activating pre-RCs are also responsible for preventing their assembly until the appropriate time in the next cell cycle.

In contrast to our understanding of the regulation of pre-RC formation, little is known about the mechanism **by** which this complex is remodeled to release replication fork components and is inactivated. This event is likely to be critical for both the initiation process and the prevention of re-replication. In this chapter, **I** present evidence that ORC is involved in this process. In the course of experiments designed to determine

how ORC interacts with a **DNA** target whose structure changes during the cell cycle, **I** found that ORC can bind ssDNA. Furthermore, ssDNA alters ORC conformation (as determined **by** electron microscopy) and stimulates the ORC ATPase. **I** propose a model in which unwinding of origin **DNA** stimulates changes in ORC that are coupled to the remodeling and disassembly of origin-associated complexes and to the inactivation of pre-RCs assembled at passively replicated loci.

RESULTS

ORC Binds ssDNA in an ATP-Independent Manner

To determine if ORC was capable of continued association with origin **DNA** after unwinding, **I** first asked if ORC could bind ssDNA. **I** performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays using radiolabeled ssDNA and dsDNA, each containing the wild-type *ARS1* origin, as well as labeled dsDNA containing a mutated origin (Figure **1).** As had been previously described, the binding of purified ORC to dsDNA was both sequence-specific (compare lanes *5* and 14) and dependent on the presence of ATP (compare lanes 1 and *5).* I found that when ORC was incubated with labeled ssDNA, the mobility of the ssDNA was altered. The shifted species included ORC because it could be supershifted **by** ORCspecific monoclonal antibodies (Appendix **A).** Thus, ORC is able to bind ssDNA. As with ORC-dsDNA binding activity, the binding of ORC to ssDNA did not require the presence of the Orc6p subunit (Appendix **A).**

Unlike binding of ORC to dsDNA, this new **DNA** binding activity of ORC did not require specific yeast origin sequences or the presence of ATP. Although initial experiments used ssDNA containing the *ARS]* sequence, **I** have seen that ORC can bind every naturally occurring ssDNA that **I** have tested, including that from yeast, bacteriophage M13, bacteriophage lambda, and humans (data not shown). Furthermore, ORC-ssDNA binding occurred in the absence of ATP (Figure **1,** lanes **6-9).** To further demonstrate that ATP was not required for ORC-ssDNA binding, two mutated ORC complexes that have lost the ability to bind ATP were also tested (see Experimental Procedures). Neither of these mutant complexes showed any defect in ssDNA binding (data not shown). In addition, the affinity of ORC for ssDNA (see below) was unaffected **by** the presence of 42 **pM** ATP or **ATPyS** (a non-hydrolyzable analog of ATP; data not

Figure 1. ORC Binds ssDNA in an ATP-Independent Manner. ORC mobility shift assays were performed using a radiolabeled 244 **bp** dsDNA containing a wild-type *ARS]* origin (wt dsDNA, lanes **1** to *5),* a labeled *295* nucleotide ssDNA containing wild-type *ARS1* (ssDNA, lanes **6** to **13),** and a labeled 244 **bp** dsDNA containing *ARS1* with a mutation in the ORC binding site (mut dsDNA, lane 14). ATP (50 μ M final) was added to reactions in lanes *2-5* and 10-14. Two-fold titrations of ORC resulted in *2.5* ng (lanes 2, **6** and **10),** *5* ng (lanes **3, 7** and **11), 10** ng (lanes 4, **8** and 12), or 20 ng of ORC per reaction (lanes **1,** *5,* **9, 13** and 14). The binding reactions were electrophoresed on a native polyacrylamide gel to separate bound and unbound **DNA.**

shown), indicating that ATP binding does not inhibit ssDNA binding. Thus ssDNA binding occurs equally well whether ORC is bound to ATP or free of nucleotide.

The relative affinities of ORC for ssDNA or dsDNA depended on the presence or absence of ATP. The electrophoretic mobility shift data suggested that, in the presence of ATP, the affinity of ORC was highest for specific dsDNA, weaker for ssDNA, and weaker still for non-specific dsDNA (Figure **1,** compare lanes **5, 13** and 14 respectively). However, in the absence of ATP, ORC bound ssDNA more tightly than specific or nonspecific dsDNA (compare lane **9** with lane **1).** I used the mobility shift assay to determine the concentration of unbound ORC at half maximal binding to specific dsDNA (in the presence of ATP) and to ssDNA **-** the inverse of this value is the apparent affinity constant. The association of ORC for dsDNA in the presence of ATP (apparent $K_A = 1.4$ \times 10⁹ M⁻¹) was indeed slightly higher than that of ORC for ssDNA (apparent $K_A = 0.9$ x **¹⁰⁹**M). The apparent association constant for ORC and ssDNA was also compared to that of the *S. cerevisiae* ssDNA binding protein, ScRPA, and was found to be within an order of magnitude (apparent K_A for ScRPA and ssDNA = 3.0×10^9 M⁻¹, consistent with published results for ScRPA; reviewed in Wold, **1997).** Therefore, ORC has an affinity for ssDNA that is somewhat weaker but comparable to an established yeast ssDNA binding protein.

ORC-ssDNA Binding and ORC-dsDNA Binding are Mutually Exclusive

To examine whether ORC uses a similar binding site to interact with ssDNA and dsDNA, competition experiments were carried out (Figure 2). Binding of ORC to radiolabeled substrates (wild-type dsDNA and ssDNA) was assayed in the presence or absence of ATP, and in the presence or absence of one of three unlabeled competitor DNAs (present at a ten-fold molar excess): an M13 ssDNA circle, wild-type dsDNA, and

M

Figure 2. ORC-ssDNA Binding and ORC-dsDNA Binding are Mutually Exclusive. The ssDNA and dsDNA mobility shift assay was repeated in the presence or absence of one of three unlabeled competitor DNAs: an ssDNA M13 circle (laness 2, **7** and **10),** wild-type dsDNA (lanes 4, **8** and **11)** and mutant dsDNA (lanes *5* and 12). Competitor DNAs were present at a ten-fold molar excess over the labeled DNA, and ATP $(50 \mu M)$ was included as indicated.

dsDNA containing a mutation in the ORC binding site (mut dsDNA). Again, ORCdsDNA binding was dependent on origin sequences and on ATP. The binding of ORC to labeled wild-type dsDNA (in the presence of ATP, lane 2) was efficiently competed **by** unlabeled wild-type dsDNA (lane 4) but not the mutant dsDNA (lane **5).** M13 ssDNA was also an effective competitor of ORC-dsDNA binding, resulting in a loss of the ORCdsDNA signal without the appearance of a slower mobility species indicative of ORC simultaneously binding dsDNA and ssDNA (lane **3).** Binding of ORC to radiolabeled ssDNA (lanes **6** and **9)** was efficiently competed **by** dsDNA, but only when the wild-type sequence was used as the competitor (compare lanes 11 and 12) and ATP was present (compare lanes 11 and **8).** Thus, binding of ORC to ssDNA and to dsDNA are mutually exclusive, arguing that the two binding sites are at least partially overlapping or that ORC undergoes a conformational change such that one **DNA** molecule acts as an allosteric inhibitor of binding to the other.

ORC Prefers to Bind ssDNA Molecules 90 Nucleotides or Longer

To determine the optimal length of ssDNA bound **by** ORC, **I** incubated ORC with a mixed population of ssDNA molecules of different lengths. Subsequent immunoprecipation of ORC allowed us to compare the ssDNA bound **by** ORC (B) to the unbound or free ssDNA (F, Figure **3).** When ORC was incubated with end-labeled ssDNA molecules that were either **113** or *295* nucleotides long, each probe coimmunoprecipitated with ORC with similar efficiencies (Figure **3A,** compare bound and free for each probe). These ssDNA fragments were then treated with the single-strand specific **SI** nuclease to generate a population of different sized molecules. Incubation of ORC with the cleaved ssDNA followed **by** immunoprecipation of ORC showed that the bound population (B) consisted primarily of molecules **-90** nucletides or longer, and that

Figure **3**

Figure 3. ORC Preferentially Binds ssDNA -90 Nucleotides or Longer

(A) ORC was incubated with end-labeled with ssDNA that was **113** nt or **295** nt long. Anti-ORC polyclonal sera and beads coupled to Protein **G** were used to immunoprecipitate ORC and the associated ssDNA. The bound **DNA** (B) and the unbound or free **DNA** (F) were electrophoresed on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel. (B) **113** nt and **295** nt ssDNAs were cleaved with **S1** nuclease to generate random populations of ssDNA molecules of different length (input **DNA, I).** The resulting ssDNA was then incubated with ORC and immunoprecipitated as before. Input, bound and free DNAs were electrophoresed on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel. ssDNA lengths (in nucleotides) are shown on the right.

the relative amounts of molecules in this size range were reduced in the free population (F) as compared to the starting input material **(I).** Because similar results were obtained with two DNAs of unrelated sequence, the observed threshold of **-90** nucleotides is unlikely to be due to the presence of a specific sequence. Thus, ORC has a preference for binding ssDNAs that are greater than **90** nucleotides in length.

To test if ORC is capable of binding shorter ssDNA molecules, oligonucleotides of various lengths were examined using the electrophoretic mobility shift assay. Binding of ORC was not detected with a molecule 20 nucleotides long (data not shown), weak binding was detected with a 30-mer and a 39-mer (DL1 **1),** and in general, the affinity of ORC for ssDNA increased as the length of the ssDNA increased. Oligonucleotides for which apparent association constants were calculated are shown in Figure 4A in order of increasing affinity, ranging from 1.6×10^7 M⁻¹ (for the 39-nt DL11 oligo) to 7.7 $\times 10^7$ M⁻¹ (for the 96-nt **DL15** oligo). The correlation between ssDNA length and affinity is not perfect, however. For example, the 50-nt DL12 oligo was bound more tightly **by** ORC than the 60-nt **DL13** oligo, and **I** suspect that the different nucleotide sequences of these unrelated oligonucleotides are responsible for this discrepancy.

ssDNA **and dsDNA Have Opposite Effects on ORC-ATPase Activity**

Previous studies of the ORC ATPase indicated that origin dsDNA (but not nonorigin dsDNA) strongly inhibits this activity. To determine if ssDNA also affected the ORC ATPase, **I** measured the rate of ORC-ATP hydrolysis in the absence of **DNA,** in the presence of origin-containing dsDNA, and in the presence of ssDNA (Figure 4B). **I** found that ssDNA and origin **DNA** had opposite effects: in contrast to the -6-fold inhibition **by** the origin, ssDNA stimulated ATPase activity up to 2-fold. Consistent with previous findings, the ATP hydrolysis activity that occurred in the presence of ssDNA

Figure 4

Figure 4. ssDNA Stimulates ORC ATPase Activity and Induces a Conformational Change in ORC.

(A) Apparent association constants of ORC for ssDNA oligonucleotides of indicated lengths were determined using the electrophoretic mobility shift assay. The K_A was calculated **by** taking the inverse of the concentration of free ORC at half maximal binding. Oligonucleotides are shown in order of increasing affinity (lengths in nucleotides are indicated below each oligonucleotide).

(B) The rate of ATP hydrolysis **by** ORC was measured in the absence of **DNA,** in the presence of origin-containing dsDNA (the 244 **bp** dsDNA, see Experimental Procedures), or in the presence of oligonucleotides of various lengths. The ssDNA oligonucleotides are again shown in order of increasing affinity for ORC. ATPase rates were normalized to the rate of hydrolysis seen in the absence of **DNA.** The averages and standard deviations for three experiments are shown.

(C) Quantitation of the percent of ORC molecules in the bent conformation as determined **by** electron microscopy (Figure **5).** ORC was examined in the absence of **DNA,** in the presence of dsDNA, or in the presence of ssDNA of various lengths. The total number of ORC molecules counted in at least two experiments is shown below each bar.

was dependent on the activity of the Orc1p subunit, (see Experimental Procedures). To determine if the strength with which ORC binds a particular ssDNA was related to the ability of the **DNA** to stimulate ORC-ATPase activity, **I** tested oligonucleotides of various lengths in this assay (Figure 4B). ssDNA molecules with a higher affinity for ORC resulted in a greater stimulation of ATP hydrolysis. Thus, just as the strength of dsDNA binding correlates with the extent of inhibition of ATP hydrolysis (Klemm et al, **1999),** I found that the strength of ssDNA binding correlated with the degree of ATPase stimulation.

ssDNA **Alters the Conformation of ORC**

The switch from a state of ORC in which it stably binds ATP to a state in which it hydrolyzes ATP may involve allosteric changes among ORC subunits. To test this hypothesis directly, **I** examined the effect of dsDNA and ssDNA on the conformation of ORC using transmission electron microscopy in collaboration with Jack Griffith's laboratory at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. In the absence of **DNA,** ORC stained with uranyl acetate had a tri-lobed structure, with the smallest lobe in the middle and one of the outer lobes slightly larger than the other. **A** representative field of ORC molecules showed that the majority of complexes were straight or extended (Figure **5A** and **C).** However, a minority of ORC complexes **(8%, N = 169)** adopted a more bent or compact structure (Figure 4C). When ORC was bound to dsDNA (in the presence of ATP), the structure of ORC was similar to that of ORC in solution, with an even smaller fraction of the complexes $(3\%, N = 212)$ adopting the bent conformation (Figure 4C). In contrast, incubation of ORC with ssDNA resulted in a dramatic increase in the number of bent complexes: in the presence of the 96-nt **DL15** oligonucleotide, *56%* of ORC complexes were bent **(N = 162;** Figures 4C, 5B and *5C).*

Figure **5**

Figure 5. Electron Microscopy of ORC.

Transmission electron microscopy of ORC was performed on samples negatively stained with uranyl acetate. Low magnification images show that, in the absence of **DNA,** ORC is primarily in a straight or extended conformation **(A),** whereas in the presence of ssDNA, many complexes adopt a bent or curved conformation (B).

(C) High magnification images of straight ORC molecules in the absence of **DNA.**

(D) High magnification images of bent ORC molecules in the presence of ssDNA.

The scale bar represents 40 nm for panels **A** and B and **17** nm for panels **C** and **D.**

To further characterize the ssDNA-stimulated change in ORC conformation, we measured the angle formed between the two arms of ORC. When ORC complexes in the straight conformation were measured, the angle was $166 +/-11$ degrees $(N = 100)$, whereas the angle for complexes in a bent conformation was **106 +/- 13** degrees **(N** = **100).** We then chose **100** molecules at random (regardless of conformation) from the samples containing ORC alone: the average angle for this population of molecules was *151* **+/- 26,** consistent with a majority of complexes in a straight conformation. In contrast, for ORC in the presence of the 96-nt **DL15** ssDNA, the average angle for a population of molecules was $127 +1.31$ (N = 100), consistent with roughly half of the complexes present in the bent conformation. Finally, different oligonucleotides were tested to see if the fraction of ORC complexes bent in the presence of ssDNA was dependent on ORC's affinity for the **DNA.** We again observed a correlation between the strength of ssDNA binding and the percent of bent ORC molecules (Figure 4G), similar to the correlation seen between the strength of binding and the rate of ATP hydrolysis (Figure 4B). Thus, the abilities of a ssDNA molecule to stimulate ATPase activity and stabilize the altered ORC conformation are likely related (see Discussion).

DISCUSSION

In addition to the well-characterized ORC-dsDNA binding activity, **I** have found that ORC also binds ssDNA *in vitro.* Unlike the binding of ORC to dsDNA, ORCssDNA interaction does not require specific sequences or ATP. Binding of ORC to dsDNA and ssDNA are mutually exclusive, consistent either with binding sites that are (at least partially) overlapping or with different conformational states of ORC that are specific for ss- or dsDNA. Finally, ssDNA binding has a significant effect on two potentially related properties of ORC: ATP hydrolysis and ORC conformation.

The rate of ATP hydrolysis **by** the Orcip subunit is stimulated **by** ORC-ssDNA binding resulting in an ATPase activity that is roughly 13-fold higher than that of ORC bound to the origin. Currently, **I** do not know if the ATPase activity seen with ORC in the presence of (sequence-specific) dsDNA is due to a slow rate of hydrolysis **by** ORC bound to dsDNA, or if hydrolysis can only occur when ORC is unbound from **DNA** (either due to a small population of ORC that is not bound to origin **DNA** or to dissociation of ORC from the origin **DNA). If** hydrolysis requires that ORC is free from dsDNA, then our measurement of the ATPase activity of origin-bound ORC bound is an overestimate, and the true difference between the rate of hydrolysis for ORC on dsDNA versus ssDNA would be larger.

ssDNA also stimulates ORC to adopt a more bent or curved conformation, resulting in a shift from **3%** of the molecules bent in the presence of origin **DNA** to **56%** bent in the presence of ssDNA. Although this change is significant, the difference between the percentage bent in the presence of dsDNA and ssDNA may also have been underestimated. First, since short ssDNA was not visualized **by** uranyl acetate staining, some of the straight complexes counted may not have been bound to ssDNA. In contrast, ORC in the presence of dsDNA was only scored as bent or straight if associated **DNA**

was observed. Second, bent complexes that were rotated **90** degrees with respect to the visual plane may have been incorrectly scored as straight. Although this latter scenario would result in underestimates for all conditions (ORC alone, ORC with dsDNA and ORC with ssDNA), the samples with the greatest absolute number of bent complexes would have been affected the most. For example, if only **80%** of all bent complexes were in the appropriate orientation, then the actual percent of bent complexes would be **10%** (instead of **8%)** for ORC alone, 4% (instead of **3%)** for ORC with dsDNA, and **70%** (instead of *56%)* for ORC with the 96-nt ssDNA.

The conformational state of ORC (straight or bent) correlates with the ability or inability of ORC to hydrolyze ATP. When ATPase activity is lowest, the percent of bent complexes is at a minimum, and when ATPase activity is highest, the greatest amount of bending is observed (compare Figures 4B and 4C). This correlation suggests that the two phenomena affected **by** ORC-ssDNA interaction are functionally related. Since the percent of ORC complexes bent in the presence of ssDNA is unaffected **by** the addition of **ATPyS** or **by** the use of an ATPase-defective mutant ORC complex (data not shown), ATP hydrolysis is unlikely to be required for the observed conformational change. Instead, **I** hypothesize that the ssDNA-induced conformational change is required for ATPase activity, although our current data cannot prove that a causal relationship exists.

Does ORC Interact with ssDNA *in vivo?*

If ORC does bind ssDNA *in vivo,* when and where would this interaction occur? The initiation of **DNA** replication results in dramatic changes in the structure of origin **DNA** and represents the most likely time for ORC to bind ssDNA. Recent data suggest that initial **DNA** melting at the *ARS]* origin occurs immediately adjacent to the ORC binding site (Bielinsky and Gerbi, **1999),** possibly leading to its partial or complete

disruption. In the absence of its preferred dsDNA binding site, our data suggests that ORC would bind the newly formed ssDNA as the apparent affinity for ssDNA is higher than that for non-specific dsDNA. Since origin **DNA** binding involves more numerous interactions with the A-rich strand (Chapter **II;** Lee and Bell, **1997),** the transition from dsDNA binding to ssDNA binding may not require dissociation of ORC from the **DNA.** Furthermore, the continued association of ORC with origin **DNA** in the unwound state may facilitate rapid re-binding of ORC to the origin after it is replicated and before other proteins such as histones have the opportunity to bind.

The amount and distribution of ORC within the nucleus suggest that ORC binds ssDNA only at origins. Estimates of the amount of ORC present in yeast cells indicate that there are roughly equal numbers of ORC molecules and origins of replication (Rowley et al., **1995).** Furthermore, *in vivo* DNase **I** protection assays and *in vivo* chromatin-immunoprecipitation of both active and inactive origins have shown them to be bound **by** ORC throughout most or all of the cell-cycle (Aparicio et al., **1997;** Diffley et al., 1994; Tanaka et al., **1997).** Thus, the majority of ORC present in the cell is likely to be bound to origin **DNA,** and the amount of ORC available to bind ssDNA at nonorigin sequences is likely to be low.

The Transition Between the Two States of ORC may be Coupled to Origin Unwinding.

Our data suggests that ORC exists in two states, one that has a straight conformation and binds ATP, and one that has a bent conformation and hydrolyzes ATP. Since these two states are stabilized **by** two different forms of **DNA, I** hypothesize that the transition from one state of ORC to the other is coupled to the transition from dsDNA to ssDNA that occurs during origin unwinding. Based on these data and previous work

from our lab and other groups, **I** propose the following model for ORC function at the origin (Figure **6): (1)** ORC, ATP, and origin **DNA** form a tripartite complex soon after the origin has been replicated to form the post-RC. The binding of ORC to dsDNA inhibits the Orc Ip-associated ATPase activity resulting in a stable complex. (2) During **Gl,** ORC mediates the assembly of the pre-RC. **(3)** Melting and unwinding of the origin disrupts the ORC binding site and simultaneously generates ssDNA to which ORC binds. (4) The loss of dsDNA binding and the concomitant binding to ssDNA results in a stimulation of ORC-ATPase activity. *(5)* The hydrolysis of ATP renders the ssDNAassociated ORC inactive for re-binding dsDNA until it has re-bound ATP. Furthermore, **^I**hypothesize that the observed conformational change in ORC may alter its association with other proteins in the pre-RC.

Origins of replication must accomplish two things. They must direct the assembly of a stable multi-protein machine with high sequence specificity but subsequently allow this complex to be remodeled to release proteins that are components of replication forks (potentially including MCM proteins and *Cdc45p;* Aparicio et al., **1997).** It is attractive to speculate that the remodeling of the pre-RC occurs, in part, via a conformational change in ORC that is coupled to the origin unwinding step. **If** ORC monitors and responds to changes in the structure of the origin **DNA,** then the cell could ensure that pre-RC remodeling would not occur at any origin until unwinding has occurred. Indeed, the length dependence of the ORC-ssDNA interaction may serve as a measure of **DNA** unwinding to postpone remodeling until the appropriate length of ssDNA is present at the origin. ORC could then irreversibly commit that origin to completing the initiation process **by** temporarily losing its ability to bind dsDNA (due to the hydrolysis of ATP) and **by** releasing the components of the replication fork from the origin. This particular ORC molecule could only re-bind dsDNA after re-binding ATP

Figure 6. Model for an ssDNA-Regulated Conformational Switch in ORC

ORC binds to origin **DNA** in an ATP-bound state with an extended conformation. This form of ORC is competent to recruit replication proteins to the origin to assemble the prereplicative complex (pre-RC). Origin unwinding allows ORC to interact with the resulting ssDNA which in turn induces a conformational change in ORC and stimulates ATP hydrolysis. This conformational switch may be required to inactivate ORC (to prevent re-replication from activated origins) and/or to release components of replication forks from the origin. See text for more details.

and would then exist only in the post-RC state. The inhibitory effects of S-phase CDKs would prevent pre-RC formation until the subsequent cell cycle (Dahmann et al., **1995;** Detweiler and Li, **1998;** Piatti et al., **1996;** Tanaka et al., **1997).**

In addition to preventing activated origins from re-firing during the same **S** phase, an ssDNA-regulated conformational switch in **ORC** may also inactivate pre-RCs that are formed at passively replicated origins. Many origins fire during only a percentage of cell cycles (Yamashita et al., **1997)** yet it is imperative that the pre-RCs assembled at these origins not fire if they are passively replicated. Indeed, it is known that an inactive origin such as *ARS301* can assemble a pre-RC even though it does not initiate **DNA** replication (Santocanale and Diffley, **1996).** Although the movement of a replication fork through an origin that has not fired may be sufficient to dismantle the pre-RC, the ssDNA generated **by** a moving replication fork (Park et al., **1998)** could also be sensed **by** ORC to ensure complete inactivation of the pre-RC. How can the same conformational switch in ORC be used to trigger **DNA** replication at pre-RCs formed at active origins but to inactivate pre-RCs formed at passively replicated loci? One likely explanation is that the recruitment of Cdc45p to origins is temporally regulated (Aparicio et al., **1999).** Cdc45p, a protein essential for the initiation of replication (see Chapter **I),** is not loaded onto latereplicating origins until later in S-phase, close to the time at which they are activated. Therefore, when ssDNA formed at a passively replicated locus triggers ORC to remodel the associated pre-RC components, the lack of Cdc45p (and likely the lack of **DNA** polymerases, see Chapter **I)** results in an inactivation rather than activation of these replication proteins.

In addition to its role in replication initiation, ORC may also be an important component of the regulatory mechanisms that prevent re-initiation and ensure the fidelity of genomic replication. **A** rigorous test of this model will require a detailed

understanding of how the properties of ORC (including ssDNA binding, ATP hydrolysis, and conformational change) are affected **by** its interaction with other proteins that are recruited to origins. Ultimately, these studies can lead to an *in vitro* reconstitution of a functional pre-RC that will allow for the dissection of the various steps in the initiation pathway and the role of changes in the conformation of ORC during this process.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Wild-type and Mutant ORC Complexes

Wild-type ORC was expressed in insect cells and purified as described (Lee and Bell, **1997).** Mutant ORC complexes containing point mutations in the ATP-binding domain of the Orcip subunit (ORC-1A complex) or the Orc5p subunit (ORC-5A complex; Klemm et al., **1997),** were also compared to wild-type ORC in ssDNA binding assays and in ATPase assays. The Orc5p subunit binds ATP, but does not hydrolyze ATP and is not regulated **by DNA. I** found that neither the ORC-1A complex nor the ORC-5A complex showed defects in ssDNA binding and that the stimulation of ORC-ATPase activity seen in the presence of ssDNA required wild-type Orcip function but not Orc5p function (data not shown). The ORC-1A complex was also examined **by** electron microscopy and found to have wild-type levels of an ssDNA-stimulated change in conformation (data not shown).

dsDNA and ssDNA

All DNA prepared during the course of these experiments was purified as described (Lee and Bell, **1997)** unless otherwise noted:

1. 244 bp dsDNA (wild-type and mutant): an *EcoRI-HindIII* fragment of pARS1/WT (Marahrens and Stillman, **1992)** or **pARS** 1/a-b2- (Lee and Bell, **1997)** respectively. When used as radiolabeled probes, these DNAs were end-labeled with T4 Polynucleotide Kinase (New England Biolabs) and $\gamma^{32}P$ -ATP at the *HindIII* site.

2. *575* **bp** dsDNA: an *ARS1* containing PCR product of **pARS** 1/WT using oligos FSP24 and BUBLR1 as primers.

3. 244 nt ssDNA: the end-labeled 244 **bp** dsDNA (wild-type) was resuspended in *51 pl* of TE containing 9% DMSO, heated to 95°C for 10 minutes to denature the DNA, and quick chilled in ice water. An equal volume of ice cold **0.1** M NaOH was added, and the entire mixture was separated on a **5%** acrylamide gel *(50:1* acrylamide:bisacrylamide, *0.56* x TBE) cooled to 4'C. Electrophoresis was performed for **6,000** Volt-hours at 4*C, and the gel-purified labeled ssDNA was detected **by** exposing the wet gel to film. The *HindIll* labeled ssDNA was separated from the other single strand and from duplex **DNA** (data not shown). Since this ssDNA was derived from the end-labeled dsDNA, the two DNAs had identical specific activities.

4. **113** nt ssDNA and **295** nt ssDNA: these ssDNAs were generated **by** combining **50** pmol of an end-labeled oligonucleotide with 8 μ g of a plasmid cut with a restriction enzyme in a standard PCR mixture (Ausubel et al., 1994) and subjecting the reaction to **30** cycles of linear amplification with Taq polymerase. The reaction was precipitated with ethanol, resuspended in a formamide-dye mixture, and electrophoresed on a **6%** sequencing gel to purify the end-labeled ssDNA. For the **113** nt ssDNA, oligo **DLX833-** 814 was used with XbaI digested **pARS** 1/WT, and for the *295* nt ssDNA, oligo RSP23 was used with EcoRJ digested pARS1/WT. 120 cpm each of **113** nt and **295** nt ssDNA was digested with **SI** nuclease **(0.6 U,** Boehringer Manheim) for 1 minute at room temperature. The reaction was stopped **by** the addition of 200 ml of **1% SDS/20 mM EDTA,** and the **DNA** was purified **by** phenol extraction and precipitation with ethanol.

The sequences for the oligonucleotides used in this study were:

FSP24: cgccagggttttcccagtcacgac

BUBLR1: cgttcttccttctgttcggag

DLX833-814: aaggcctgcaggcaagtgca

RSP23: agcggataacaatttcacacagg

DL **11:** gttaccatggcatcgagttckttcaacaagactacaatgg

DL12: acttgctcgagatgtccttacttgcttacaagcaaacagaagacttatac

DL13: attatttttttttggaagtgtttttcgacagaagttgcatcatcgatgaattcgagctcg DL14: aggttctcgagatgtccccaaagaagaagaggaaggtcttagcggagtccaaagccatcctggcactat DL15:acctgtcgtgccagctgcattaatgaatcgcgaccccccattcaagaacagcaagcagcattgagaactttggaatcca gtccctcttccacctgc

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays **(EMSA)**

ORC-DNA binding reactions were carried out in **10 gl** of binding buffer **[100** mM Hepes-KOH **(pH 7.6), 1** mM **EDTA,** 1 mM **EGTA, 5** mM magnesium acetate, and *0.15* M KCl, **10 %** (vol./vol.) glycerol, **0.01%** (wt./vol.) NP-40, 1 **mM** DTT, **0.1** mg/mi **pd(G-**C)]. ATP was included as indicated at $50 \mu M$ (unless otherwise noted). For gel shifts comparing dsDNA and ssDNA binding at various concentrations of ORC (Figure **1),** *¹⁵* fmol of **DNA** were incubated with **2.5, 5, 10,** or 20 ng of ORC **(6,** 12, 24 and 48 fmol of ORC respectively). The radiolabeled DNAs corresponded to the 244 **bp** dsDNA (wildtype and mutant) and the **295** nt ssDNA. Competition experiments (Figure 2) were performed using 24 fmol of ORC with **15** fmol of labeled (wt) 244 **bp** dsDNA or **36** fmol of ORC with **15** fmol of labeled **295** nt ssDNA. Where indicated, **150** fmol of competitor **DNA** were used (i.e.- a 10-fold molar excess of competitor to probe **DNA).** The unlabeled competitor DNAs were the 244 **bp** dsDNA (wild-type and mutant) and an M13 ssDNA circle. Binding reactions were incubated at room temperature for **7** minutes, at 4*C for **7** minutes, and EMSAs were performed as described (Lee and Bell, **1997).** Apparent affinity constants were determined from EMSAs **by** plotting binding curves, determining the free concentration of ORC at half-maximal binding and taking the inverse of this value.

DNA Co-Immunoprecipitation Assays

¹tg of **ORC** was incubated with -40 cpm of ssDNA (undigested or digested with **S1** nuclease as described above) in **50 pl** of binding buffer lacking **pd(G-C).** Reactions were incubated at room temperature for **10** minutes and at 4'C for **10** minutes. The volume was increased to 200 μ l with binding buffer, 1 μ l of polyclonal anti-ORC antisera was added, and each reaction was incubated at 4'C for **1** hour with constant mixing. 20 **pl** of protein-G coupled sepharose beads (Pharmacia) were added, followed **by** an additional incubation (with mixing) for **30** minutes. Beads were precipitated **by** centrifugation and the supernatant was removed. Unbound ssDNA in the supernatant was isolated **by** precipitating with ethanol. The beads were washed four times with 1 ml of binding buffer, and the bound ssDNA was eluted **by** adding **SDS** to **1%,** removing the beads and proteins **by** phenol extraction, and precipitating the ssDNA with ethanol. ssDNA samples were separated on an **8% DNA** sequencing gel. For **SI** cleaved samples (Figure 3B), equal amounts of radioactivity were loaded for the input, bound and free samples. The co-immunoprecipitation of ssDNA was dependant on the presence of ORC and on the presence of anti-ORC antisera (data not shown).

ATPase assays

ATP hydrolysis assays were performed as described (Klemm et al., **1997)** in a **25 g1** reaction containing **1** pmol of ORC, and 4 pmol of **DNA** where indicated. In each experiment, the rate of ATP hydrolyzed was normalized to the activity seen in the absence of ORC. The average and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown.

ORC Electron Microscopy (EM)

ORC-ssDNA complexes were formed in a **10 gl** reaction containing 20 mM Hepes-KOH **(pH 7.6),** 2 mM **EDTA,** 2 mM **EGTA,** *5* mM magnesium acetate, *0.15* M KCl, **725** fmol of ORC, and **8** pmol of oligonucleotide ssDNA. ORC-dsDNA binding reactions were performed in **50 pl** of the same buffer, with *530* fmol of ORC, *53* fmol of ARS1-containing dsDNA **(575 bp** PCR **DNA)** and **100 gM** ATP. Binding reactions were incubated at room temperature for **10** to **17** minutes. Samples were either not diluted, diluted five-fold or diluted ten-fold in the same buffer, mounted on glow charged carbon supports and stained with 2% aqueous solution of uranyl acetate as described (Makhov et al., **1996). A** Philips **CM12** transmission electron microscope was used to analyze the samples. Quantitation of the percent of bent complexes was performed **by** counting complexes directly on the microscope screen or from negatives of images. These experiments were performed at least twice for each **DNA.** ORC-dsDNA complexes were counted only if dsDNA was detected in association with ORC. In other experiments, ORC-dsDNA samples were treated with **0.6%** gluteraldehyde for **10** minutes at room temperature, purified on a 2 ml BioGel A5m column (BioRad Inc.) prior to mounting on carbon supports, and analyzed **by** EM using uranyl acetate staining or rotary shadowcasting with tungsten as described (Griffith and Christiansen, **1978).** Neither fixation and column purification nor rotary shadowing altered the percent of bent ORC complexes when bound to dsDNA (data not shown).

Measurements of the angle between the two arms of straight or bent ORC complexes were performed **by** analyzing ORC alone or ORC plus **DL15** oligo samples respectively using **NIH** Image software. To determine the average angle for a population of molecules in each of two experimental conditions (ORC alone or ORC plus ssDNA), **100** molecules were randomly selected for measurements regardless of their

conformation. Figures were prepared for publication **by** scanning the negatives using a Nikon Film Scanner **LS-4500AF** (Nikon Corporation, Japan) and Adobe Photoshop software was used to adjust the brightness and contrast.

REFERENCES

- Aparicio, **0.** M., Stout, **A.** M. and Bell, **S.** P. **(1999).** Differential assembly of Cdc45p and **DNA** polymerases at early and late origins of **DNA** replication. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA** *96, in press.*
- Aparicio, **0.** M., Weinstein, **D.** M. and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Components and dynamics of **DNA** replication complexes in *S. cerevisiae:* Redistribution of MCM proteins and Cdc45p during **S** phase. Cell *91, 59-69.*
- Ausubel, F. M., R. Brent, R. **E.** Kingston, **D. D.** Moore, **J. G.** Seidman, **J. A.** Smith, and K. Struhl (1994). Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. (New York, N.Y.: John Wiley **&** Sons, Inc.), **pp. 15.1.1-15.1.5.**
- Baker, T. **A.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1998).** Polymerases and the replisome: machines within machines. Cell *92,* **295-305.**
- Bell, **S.** P., Kobayashi, R. and Stillman, B. **(1993).** Yeast Origin Recognition Complex functions in transcription silencing and **DNA** replication. Science *262,* 1844-1849.
- Bell, **S.** P., Mitchell, **J.,** Leber, **J.,** Kobayashi, R. and Stillman, B. **(1995).** The multidomain structure of Orcip reveals similarity to regulators of **DNA** replication and transcriptional silencing. Cell *83, 563-568.*
- Bell, **S.** P. and Stillman, B. **(1992).** ATP-dependent recognition of eukaryotic origins of **DNA** replication **by** a multiprotein complex. Nature *357,* 128-134.
- Bielinsky, A.-K. and Gerbi, **S. A. (1999).** Chromosomal *ARS]* has a single leading strand start site. Molecular Cell *3,* **477-486.**
- Chesnokov, **I.,** Gossen, M., Remus, **D.** and Botchan, M. **(1999).** Assembly of functionally active Drosophila Origin Recognition Complex from recombinant proteins. Genes Dev. *13,* **1289-1296.**
- Dahmann, **C.,** Diffley, **J.** F. and Nasmyth, K. **A. (1995).** S-phase-promoting cyclindependent kinases prevent re-replication **by** inhibiting the transition of replication origins to a pre-replicative state. Curr. Biol. *5,* **1257-1269.**
- Detweiler, **C. S.** and Li, **J. J. (1998).** Ectopic induction of **Clb2** in early **G1** phase is sufficient to block prereplicative complex formation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **U S A** *95,* **2384-2389.**
- Diffley, **J.** F. **(1996).** Once and only once upon a time: specifying and regulating origins of **DNA** replication in eukaryotic cells. Genes Dev. *10,* **2819-30.**
- Diffley, **J.** F., Cocker, **J.** H., Dowell, **S. J.** and Rowley, **A.** (1994). Two steps in the assembly of complexes at yeast replication origins *in vivo. Cell 78,* **303-16.**
- Dutta, **A.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Initiation of **DNA** replication in eukaryotic cells. Ann. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol. *13,* **293-332.**
- Griffith, **J. D.** and Christiansen, **G. (1978).** Electron microscope visualization of chromatin and other DNA-protein complexes. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Bioeng. **7, 19-35.**
- Klemm, R. **D.,** Austin, R. **J.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Coordinate binding of ATP and origin **DNA** regulates the ATPase activity of the Origin Recognition Complex. Cell *88,* 493-502.
- Landis, **G.,** Kelley, R., Spradling, **A. C.** and Tower, **J. (1997).** The *k43* gene, required for chorion gene amplification and diploid cell chromosome replication, encodes the *Drosophila* homolog of yeast origin recognition complex subunit 2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **USA** 94, **3888-3892.**
- Lee, **D. G.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Architecture of the yeast origin recognition complex bound to origins of **DNA** replication. Mol. Cell. Biol. *17,* **7159-7168.**
- Li, **J. J.** and Herskowitz, **I. (1993).** Isolation of ORC6, a component of the yeast Origin Recognition Complex **by** a one-hybrid system. Science *262,* **1870-1874.**
- Loo, **S.,** Fox, **C. A.,** Rine, **J.,** Kobayashi, R., Stillman, B. and Bell, **S.** P. **(1995).** The Origin Recognition Complex in silencing, cell cycle progression, and **DNA** replication. Mol. Biol. Cell **6, 741-756.**
- Makhov, **A.** M., Boehmer, P. **E.,** Lehman, **I.** R. and Griffith, **J. D. (1996).** Visualization of the unwinding of long **DNA** chains **by** the herpes simplex virus type **1 UL9** protein and **ICP8. J.** Mol. Biol. *258,* **789-799.**
- Marahrens, Y. and Stillman, B. **(1992). A** yeast chromosomal origin of replication defined **by** multiple functional elemants. Science *255,* **817-823.**
- Park, K., Debyser, Z., Tabor, **S.,** Richardson, **C. C.** and Griffith, **J. D. (1998).** Formation of a **DNA** loop at the replication fork generated **by** bacteriophage **T7** replication proteins. **J.** Biol. Chem. *273,* **5260-5270.**
- Pasero, **P.,** Braguglia, **D.** and Gasser, **S.** M. **(1997).** ORC-dependent and origin-specific initiation of **DNA** replication at defined foci in isolated yeast nuclei. Genes Dev. *11,* **1504-1518.**
- Piatti, **S.,** Bohm, T., Cocker, **J.** H., Diffley, **J.** F. and Nasmyth, K. **(1996).** Activation of **S**phase-promoting CDKs in late **Gi** defines a "point of no return" after which Cdc6 synthesis cannot promote **DNA** replication in yeast. Genes Dev. *10,* **1516-1531.**
- Piatti, **S.,** Lengauer, **C.** and Nasmyth, K. *(1995).* Cdc6 is an unstable protein whose de novo synthesis in **G1** is important for the onset of **S** phase and for preventing a 'reductional' anaphase in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. EMBO **J.** *14,* **3788-3799.**
- Rowley, **A.,** Cocker, **J.** H., Harwood, **J.** and Diffley, **J.** F. X. *(1995).* Initiation complex assembly at budding yeast replication origins begins with the recognition of a bipartite sequence **by** limiting amounts of the initiator, ORC. EMBO **J.** *14,* **2631-** 2641.
- Santocanale, **C.** and Diffley, **J.** F. X. **(1996).** ORC- and Cdc6-dependent complexes at active and inactive chromosomal replication origins in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. EMBO **J.** *15,* **6671-6679.**
- Tanaka, T., Knapp, **D.** and Nasmyth, K. **(1997).** Loading of an Mcm protein onto **DNA** replication origins is regulated **by** Cdc6p and CDKs. Cell *90,* **649-660.**
- Wold, M. **S. (1997).** Replication Protein **A:** a heterotrimeric, single-stranded **DNA**binding protein required for eukaryotic **DNA** metabolism. Annu. Rev. Biochem. *66, 61-92.*
- Yamashita, **M.,** Hori, Y., Shinomiya, T., Obuse, **C.,** Tsurimoto, T., Yoshikawa, H. and Shirahige, K. **(1997).** The efficiency and timing of initiation of replication of multiple replicons of Saccharomyces cerevisiae chromosome VI. Genes Cells *2, 655-665.*
- Zou, L. and Stillman, B. **(1998).** Formation of a preinitiation complex **by** S-phase cyclin CDK-dependent loading of Cdc45p onto chromatin. Science *280, 593-596.*

ChapterV

Conclusions

During the course of my graduate studies, tremendous advances have been made towards understanding the regulation eukaryotic chromosomal replication. The initiation of replication is preceded **by** the step-wise assembly of a prereplicative complex (pre-RC) at the origin whose known components are being extensively characterized. The formation of the pre-RC is controlled **by** cell-cycle kinases to ensure that genomic duplication occurs after mitosis and only once per cell cycle. Pre-RC formation requires the absence of B-type kinase activity and therefore occurs after these kinases have been inactivated at the completion of mitosis. This same class of kinases then activates origins at the **Gi -S** transition and their activity prevents further pre-RC formation as the cells proceed into mitosis. The targets of Clb-kinase activity have not yet been identified; however, these kinases clearly affect pre-RC formation either directly or indirectly. At the heart of the pre-RC, is the Origin Recognition Complex, the putative initiator protein in all eukaryotes examined thus far.

In this chapter, **I** will discuss the implications of my work for the understanding of ORC regulation and describe future directions for the study of **ORC. I** will summarize the experiments that demonstrated that ORC requires coordinate action of its subunits to bind origin **DNA.** Furthermore, **I** had shown that **ORC-DNA** binding, ORC ATPase activity and ORC conformation are related properties that together serve to regulate ORC function during the cell-cycle. The control of ORC activities through the use of an ATPase switch has implications for the functions of related proteins such as Cdc6p. Therefore, **I** will describe recent findings regarding the putative roles of ATP binding and ATP hydrolysis for Cdc6p function, highlighting the similarities between ORC, Cdc6p, and finally, other members of a larger family of ATPases involved in **DNA** replication. Finally, **I** will discuss future directions for ORC biochemistry, leading towards a high-

resolution structure and an understanding of ORC activities in the context of other proteins and specific nuclear environments.

The Subunits of the Origin Recognition Complex Act in a Coordinate Fashion

Perhaps the most unexpected outcome of my studies on the architecture of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Origin Recognition Complex was that it requires coordinate action of its subunits for function. Not only is ORC a pre-assembled complex in the absence of **DNA,** but this assembly appears to be required for the stability and possibly the correct folding of the component polypeptides. When individual ORC subunits are expressed in insect cells, the yields of full-length protein are lower than when all six subunits are simultaneous co-expressed and individual subunits are often more insoluble **(D. G.** L. and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations). The assembly of ORC has not been extensively studied, but preliminary *in vitro* studies have shown that this process is likely complex. The addition of purified Orcip to a partial ORC complex lacking Orcip does not reconstitute **DNA** binding activity **(D. G.** L. and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations), arguing that the assembly process may be coupled to protein synthesis and involves the activity of proteins with chaperone-like activities **.**

I have shown that ORC requires five of its six subunits to form an active **DNA**binding surface (Chapter **II).** The absence of any canonical **DNA** binding motif in all ORC subunits is consistent with this observation. Four of the six ORC subunits, OrcIp, Orc2p, Orc4p and Orc5p, make specific **DNA** contacts. Orc5p mediates recognition of nucleotides within the BI element, whereas OrcIp, Orc2p and Orc4p each contact the essential 11-base pair **ACS. A** fifth subunit, Orc3p, is required for Orc2p to remain stably associated with the other proteins and is likely involved in correctly positioning the three subunits contacting the **ACS.** Only Orc6p is dispensable for **DNA** binding.

Therefore, ORC represents an unusual example of an efficient DNA-binding surface formed **by** the coordinate action of multiple proteins. Ultimately, the determination of the crystal structure of the **ORC-DNA** interface will be required to understand this interesting protein-DNA interaction (see below).

I have also demonstrated that affecting interactions between **ORC** subunits is likely important for the regulation of ORC function within the context of the cell cycle (Chapter IV). **A** conformational switch and an accompanying stimulation of ATP hydrolysis triggered **by** ssDNA potentially links changes in ORC function to the progression of the initiation pathway. Although ORC is required for the stable assembly of pre-RCs during **G1,** the ssDNA generated **by** origin unwinding could remodel the origin-associated complex and release the components of the moving replication fork. In addition to activating and disassembling origin complexes at triggered origins, this conformational switch can also be employed to inactivate pre-RCs formed at loci that become passively replicated and thereby prevent over-replication. Thus, ORC may be required, not only for the triggering of origins but also for the regulatory mechanisms that ensure the faithful replication of the genome.

Regulation of Cdc6p via an ATPase Switch

The regulation of **ORC** function through the use of an ATPase switch may have implications for the manner in which other related proteins are controlled. Orc **ip,** the subunit of ORC required for ATP binding and hydrolysis, is a member of the **AAA+** family of ATPases ($\triangle T$ Pases \triangle ssociated with a variety of cellular \triangle ctivities; Neuwald et al., **1999).** This family includes a variety of chaperones and proteins involved in **DNA** replication, including Cdc6p, Orc4p, Orc5p, Mcm2p, the *E. coli* DnaA protein, and subunits of the processivity factor (sliding-clamp) loaders of *E. coli,* bacteriophage T4,

and eukaryotes (Guenther **et** al., **1997;** Neuwald et al., **1999;** Perkins and Diffley, **1998).** Due to this sequence similarity, is has been suggested that ORC and Cdc6p are both regulated **by** ATPase switches. Furthermore, because of their similarities to replication clamp loaders that remodel ring-shaped processivity factors and assemble them around the **DNA** (see below), it has been proposed that ORC and Cdc6p also serve to assist in the remodeling of other proteins (Neuwald et al., **1999;** Perkins and Diffley, **1998).** In this model, ORC acts as a loading factor for Cdc6p that then loads MCM proteins onto origins.

Cdc6p, like ORC, contains a consensus bipartite ATP binding motif (Walker **A** and B motifs; Koonin, **1993;** Walker et al., **1982). A** Cdc6p mutation in the conserved Walker **A** motif (thought to be involved in ATP binding) results in a non-functional protein that is reduced in its ability to associate with chromatin, does not generate the extended pre-RC DNaseI footprint *in vivo* and fails to load MCM proteins (Perkins and Diffley, 1998; Weinreich et al., 1999). This particular point mutant (K114E) is also defective in a recently characterized interaction between Cdc6p and Orc1p (Saha et al., **1998;** Wang et al., **1999).** Thus, the motif that is presumably required for ATP binding **by** Cdc6p is essential for its normal function.

The conserved Walker B motif is thought to be involved in ATP hydrolysis. Although alanine substitutions in the Walker B domain have no phenotype *in vivo* (Weinreich et al., **1999),** a glutamate to glycine mutation in this motif (E224G) shows an interesting dominant-negative effect (Perkins and Diffley, **1998).** The dominant-negative *CDC6-dl* allele supported the formation of pre-RCs as assayed **by** *in vivo* DNase **I** protection assays, yet it blocked the loading of Mcm5p onto chromatin even in the presence of wild-type Cdc6p. The ability of *CDC6-dl* to exert its dominant negative effects depended on an intact Walker **A** motif. Together, these data have led to a model

in which Cdc6p, acting as a loading factor for MCMs, requires ATP binding to form a productive and stable interaction with ORC at the origin. Hydrolysis of ATP is then required for a subsequent aspect of MCM loading.

This model of ATP-regulated Cdc6p function is further suggested **by** a mutant isolated **by** Liang and Stillman (Liang and Stillman, **1997).** The *Cdc6-3* allele was identified in a screen for temperature-sensitive mutations in Cdc6p and it caused the accumulation of a greater than **2C DNA** content in cells. This over-replication phenotype was due to persistent loading of MCMs onto chromatin and origin activation in **G2-** and M-phases when Clb-kinase levels were high. *Cdc6-3* contains two substitutions, an H144T mutation that maps in a non-conserved region of the protein and an **L258S** substitution in a conserved position in a motif called Sensor 1 (this amino acid is a hydrophobic residue in **>70%** of all **AAA+** family members; Neuwald et al., **1999).** Crystallographic analysis of related proteins suggests that residues in this motif may form hydrogen bonding interactions with the terminal phosphate of ATP and respond to nucleotide binding and/or hydrolysis.

If ATP hydrolysis is required for MCM loading, then the *Cdc6-3* mutation could be explained **by** an inability of the protein to respond appropriately to the hydrolysis of ATP (due to the Sensor **1** mutation) and the continued activity of Cdc6p after the successful deposition of MCMs onto origins. It is also possible, however, that the mutation in the non-conserved residue **(L258)** is responsible for the over-replication phenotype of *Cdc6-3.* Another mutation in the same Sensor 1 motif (a **G260E** substitution in the *Cdc6-1* allele; Wang et al, **1999)** does not lead to over-replication, and furthermore, a stronger over-replication allele identified **by** Stillman and Liang *(cdc6-2)* does not contain mutations in any of the conserved **AAA+** motifs. The amino acid

substitutions in *Cdc6-3* must therefore be separated to determine if either of the two mutations can lead to the over-replication phenotype on its own.

The model that ATP binding and ATP hydrolysis regulate separable function of Cdc6p is based on the predicted effects of mutations in the conserved Walker **A** or B motifs. That ATP binding is required for efficient Cdc6p-origin association (i.e.- pre-RC formation) is inferred from the properties of the K1 14E mutation in a critical lysine required for ATP binding in other proteins. However, this mutant protein has not been purified and tested for ATP binding activity or for proper folding. Indeed, a recombinant Cdc6p containing the K114E substitution is more insoluble than the wild-type protein (R. **J.** Austin and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations), and increased unfolding of the protein could explain both its reduced ability to form pre-RCs and to bind **OrcIp.**

This model of Cdc6p function also relies on the properties of the dominant negative *CDC6-1d* mutation, hypothesized to bind but not hydrolyze ATP. This mutation is thought to result in defective pre-RCs that are locked in a non-productive state and are incapable of loading MCMs, thereby blocking the function of wild-type Cdc6p. The properties of this mutant, however, are more complex than this simple model would predict. For example, a yeast strain containing *CDC6-1d* as the only form of Cdc6p is fully viable when the gene is expressed from the endogenous *CDC6* promotor (R. **J.** Austin and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations). Purification of this mutant protein will be required to directly test whether or not the protein is able to bind ATP (and presumably to bind Orc1p) but is specifically defective for ATP hydrolysis. Also, if ATP hydrolysis is required for MCM loading, then the over-replication alleles of *CDC6 (cdc6- 2 and cdc6-3)* may have elevated levels of ATPase activity as compared to wild-type Cdc6p. Again, purification of these mutant proteins will allow this hypothesis to be tested.
ATPase Switches in Other Proteins Involved in DNA Metabolism

The control of a protein's function via nucleotide binding and hydrolysis can be thought of in two general ways. Hydrolysis of nucleotides can provide the energy to power motion as in the case of helicases and other motor proteins (reviewed in Baker and Bell, **1998;** Block, **1998;** Goldman, **1998;** Lohman et al., **1998).** Conversely, nucleotides can regulate a molecular switch, allowing a protein to alternate between two functional states. The molecular switch model is exemplified **by** the GTPase superfamily of proteins that are active in their GTP-bound form and inactivated **by** GTP hydrolysis (reviewed in Bourne et al., **1990** and Bourne et al., **1991).** For example, the bacterial elongation factor **(EF)** Tu binds aminoacyl tRNAs in its GTP-bound form and transports them to ribosomes (reviewed in Stellwagen and Craig, **1998).** When the mRNA codon and the tRNA anti-codon are correctly paired, the ribosome stimulates the GTPase activity of EF-Tu **by** four orders of magnitude as compared to cases in which the codon and anti-codon are mismatched (Rodnina et al., **1996).** The hydrolysis of GTP results in the tRNA and dissociation of EF-Tu. Therefore, the molecular switch is activated only when the components of the protein synthesis machinery are poised to continue along the translation pathway. The result of this GTPase switch is that the pathway proceeds **by** one step and EF-Tu is recycled to repeat this process.

Although proteins that hydrolyze nucleotides for energy also alternate between different conformational states, the putative molecular switch proteins that **I** will compare below may be considered distinct in that the transition from one state to the other is more tightly regulated. In these molecular switches, the activation of nucleotide hydrolysis typically requires a precise trigger that, in effect, signals to the protein that a critical step of a pathway has successfully been completed. The ensuing switch in activity results in a commitment to continue along the pathway. In the case of ORC, origin unwinding (and

more precisely, unwinding of the appropriate amount; Chapter IV) may act as a signal that alters its properties and allows the associated replication proteins to complete the initiation process and begin the elongation phase of **DNA** replication. Recent mechanistic studies of **AAA+** family members such as the *E. coli* DnaA initiator protein and the y-complex clamp loader, as well as the bacteriophage Mu regulator of transposition (MuB), suggest that this general theme seen in GTPases is also conserved among certain ATPases.

The *E. coli* DnaA protein requires ATP for replication activity and hydrolysis of ATP is necessary to inactivate it at the appropriate time. **A** mutation of DnaA that binds but fails to hydrolyze ATP is lethal and leads to over-replication in *E. coli* (Mizushima et al., **1997).** DnaA binds the OriC origin and requires ATP to melt **DNA** and form the Open Complex (Bramhill and Kornberg, **1988;** Mizushima et al., **1998;** Sekimizu et al., **1987).** The site of unpaired **DNA** serves as the entry site for loading of the DnaB helicase that unwinds the **DNA** and allows for the assembly of the **DNA** Polymerase III holoenzyme (reviewed in Kornberg and Baker, **1992).** Recent work has shown that the DnaA ATPase activity is maximally stimulated **by** a combination of the loading of the f-clamp (that increases the processivity of the **DNA** polymerase), the presence of a protein called IdaB, and **DNA** Pol **III** activity (Katayama et al., **1998).** Thus, the successful assembly of the replicative polymerase, and more precisely, the act of initiating replication may provide the signal that triggers ATP hydrolysis and inactivates DnaA, serving as one mechanism to prevent re-replication until the next cell cycle.

ATP binding and hydrolysis also regulates the activity of the *E. coli* y-complex required for loading the f-clamp processivity factor onto **DNA.** The y-complex is comprised of five different subunits $(\gamma, \delta, \delta', \chi \text{ and } \psi)$ and is itself a component of the **DNA Pol III holoenzyme (Maki and Kornberg, 1988). The** β **-clamp is a homo-dimeric**

ring-shaped molecule that encircles **DNA** and increases the processivity of the **DNA** polymerase. To load the ring onto DNA, interactions between the two subunits of β must first be broken. β -clamp binding and ring opening activity has been observed for the δ subunit alone; however, in the context of the γ -complex, no ring-opening is observed, arguing that the **8** subunit is normally inaccessible (Naktinis et al., **1995;** Turner et al., **1999).** The binding of ATP to the **y** subunit alters the conformation of the y-complex and frees δ to bind and open the β -clamp. Binding of the clamp to the γ -complex then inhibits ATP hydrolysis **by** the **y** subunit. Through a series of protein-protein and protein-**DNA** interactions, the y-complex is brought to the site of primed template **DNA** (Yuzhakov et al., **1999).** Interaction with primed template **DNA** (but not unprimed ssDNA) stimulates the ATPase activity of **y,** resulting in a return to the conformation in which δ - β binding is inhibited (Turner et al., 1999). The γ -complex is ejected from the **DNA** and the β-clamp is left to close around the primed DNA. Therefore, the interaction with primed template **DNA** triggers ATP hydrolysis and results in a conformational switch that recycles the γ -complex and loads β -clamps at the proper DNA site.

MuB, a protein involved in the transposition of the bacteriophage Mu genome, is an ATP-dependent **DNA** binding protein. Efficient Mu transposition requires the activities of both the MuA transposase and MuB, which stimulates MuA activity (reviewed Mizuuchi and Craigie, **1986).** MuB (when bound to ATP) binds **DNA** and marks potential target sites for Mu transposition. The **DNA** in turn inhibits MuB ATPase activity (Adzuma and Mizuuchi, **1991).** When MuA and MuB interact, the target **DNA** is delivered to MuA and the transposase activity of MuA is stimulated (Baker et al., **1991).** Furthermore, the combination of **DNA** and MuA stimulates MuB to hydrolyze ATP (Adzuma and Mizuuchi, **1991;** Maxwell et al., **1987).** This switch in MuB is thought to result in the release of the target **DNA** and its transfer to the MuA transposase for the

next step in catalysis (Yamauchi and Baker, **1998).** Finally, MuB is released from the transpostion complex and recycled to bind other potential target sites. Thus, the MuB ATPase is triggered **by** its simultaneous association with target **DNA** and MuA, allowing for progression along the transposition pathway and the concomitant inactivation of MuB.

This molecular switch in MuB activity has also been implicated in the phenomenon of target immunity (Yamauchi and Baker, **1998).** Mu transposition into integrated Mu genomes and the surrounding sequence is inhibited, resulting in the spread of Mu to other regions of the host genome and preventing the disruption of Mu genomes (reviewed in Craig, **1997).** Since the stimulation of MuB hydrolysis **(by** MuA and **DNA)** releases MuB from **DNA,** a biased distribution of MuB at sites away from the Mu genome could result due to the higher local concentration of MuA at the ends of Mu **DNA** sequences.

A comparison of the regulation of ORC, DnaA, the y-complex, and MuB highlights the similarities among the use of ATP binding and ATP hydrolysis **by** these proteins (Figure **1).** In each case, ATP binding allows the protein to carry out an early step in the process (i.e. **-** Open Complex formation in the case of DnaA) or to bind to a target molecule **(DNA** or another protein). Often, interaction with this target inhibits the intrinsic ATPase activity of the protein. The ATP-bound form of the protein is required for subsequent steps in the process, leading to a stage of the pathway that stimulates ATPase activity and activates a switch in the activity of the protein. In the case of ORC and DnaA, the net result of this switch is an inactivation of the protein and may play a role in the prevention of re-replication. For proteins that may function multiple times in the same cell-cycle such as the y-complex and MuB, the net result is release and recycling of the protein. RFC, the eukaryotic clamp loader and member of the **AAA+**

Figure **1**

Figure 1. Common ATPase Switches in Proteins Involved in DNA Replication

Processes. The regulation of four proteins involved in **DNA** replication is compared. These include the *S. cerevisiae* initiator protein, ORC, the **E.** *coli* initiator protein, DnaA, the *E. coli* β -clamp loading γ -complex, and the phage Mu regulator of transposition, MuB. In each case, the protein requires binding of ATP for an early step in the process, such as open complex formation (DnaA), or ligand **(DNA** or protein) binding. In the case of ORC, the y-complex and MuB, binding to the correct ligand inhibits ATPase activity. The successful attainment of a later step in the pathway (shown in green) acts as a signal that triggers ATP hydrolysis and other changes in the activity of the protein. In this manner, the ATPase switch is activated only at an appropriate time and commits the protein to continue along the pathway. See text for more details.

superfamily, also exists in an ATP bound form that is active for an early step in the loading process and requires ATP hydrolysis for some aspect of the final step (reviewed in Perkins and Diffley, **1998).** Whether ATPase activity is specifically stimulated **by** a signal consistent with successful attainment of a critical stage in the pathway remains to be seen.

A High-Resolution Structure of ORC

The experiments that describe the architecture of ORC subunits with respect to **DNA** (Chapter **II)** and the proposed EM mapping experiments (Chapter III) will provide important information to facilitate the determination of a high-resolution crystal structure. The structure of ORC will potentially be interesting to structural biologists and biologists in general: **I** have already discussed how the protein-DNA interface is likely unusual (Chapter **II).** Furthermore, a detailed understanding of the change in conformation of ORC when bound to ssDNA may provide additional clues as to the role of the putative conformational switch during initiation. The ability to initiate X-ray crystallography studies is complicated **by** the large size of ORC and its heterogeneity when produced in insect cell expression systems. The six subunits of ORC combine for a total molecular mass of 414 kDa. These six polypeptides are co-expressed in insect cells to form the complex, however, subassemblies of ORC complexes that lack entire subunits or regions of subunits (due to proteolysis) also form. Although the purification of ORC removes the bulk of these partial complexes, the small fraction of remaining contaminants or subsequent proteolysis of the intact complex may inhibit the formation of high-quality crystals. In addition, three of the six ORC subunits are phosphorylated *in vivo. Orc1p* contains a single putative phosphorylation site in its N-terminus, Orc2p contains six

putative sites and Orc6p contains four such sites. Heterogeneity in the pattern of phosphorylation among different ORC molecules may also be problematic.

One approach to facilitate the formation of **ORC-DNA** co-crystals is to generate a truncated form of ORC that still retains sequence-specific binding activity. **I** have demonstrated that Orc6p is not required for origin **DNA** binding (or for ssDNA binding; see Chapter **1I** and Appendix **A).** During the course of my studies, deletion analyses of Orc1p showed that the N-terminus was also dispensable for replication function (Bell et al., **1995).** Subsequent experiments have shown that an ORC complex that combines a truncation of Orc1p with the absence of Orc6p still retains both dsDNA- and ssDNAbinding activity (R. **D.** Klemm and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations, and Appendix **A).** In addition to reducing the overall mass of the complex, this smaller form of ORC (dubbed "ORC Lite") also removes five of the putative eleven phosphorylation sites. The remaining phosphorylation sites reside in Orc2p and a future goal is to purify a form of ORC Lite containing a mutated Orc2p in which all of the putative phosphorylation sites have been mutated. Unpublished work from Joachim Li's lab indicated that such an Orc2 mutant shows no phenotype *in vivo* (personal communication), arguing that **DNA** binding is likely unaffected.

A true minimal binding domain of ORC can be derived from ORC Lite **by** continuing deletion analyses of Orc2p, Orc3p, Orc4p and Orc5p or **by** a complementary limited proteolysis treatment of the intact ORC Lite complex. Limited digestion using proteases that cleave frequently has been used to separate different functional domains of proteins (Konigsberg, **1995).** Preliminary experiments using **V8** protease treatment **of** wild-type ORC have demonstrated that smaller subcomplexes are generated that retain both dsDNA and ssDNA binding activities (see Appendix **A).** These active subcomplexes can be purified **by** conventional gel-filtration chromatography to identify

the position of the proteolytic cleavage, to allow for detailed characterization of the **DNA** binding activity (i.e. **-** is it sequence-specific and/or ATP dependent?), and to examine the other biochemical properties of the complexes (i.e. **-** ATP binding, ATP hydrolysis, ssDNA-induced ORC bending, and interactions with other proteins). Genetic deletion studies and *in vitro* proteolysis experiments are complementary approaches that together can generate data useful for the design of a more ideal candidate for crystallography. In addition, the partial complexes identified **by** these methods can be tested for all of the known biochemical properties of ORC to look for mutated complexes that are specifically defective in individual ORC functions. Such mutants are difficult to screen for directly but will be essential to demonstrating whether ORC activities such as ATP hydrolysis and ssDNA binding are important for *in vivo* function.

Understanding ORC Function in the Context of the Nucleus: Pre-RC Components and Cell-Cycle Regulated Kinases

In the cell, ORC functions in the presence of other proteins, specialized chromatin domains, and possibly specialized nuclear compartments. The biochemical characterization of ORC, however, has been performed in the absence of other cellular factors. Thus, understanding the role of ORC during replication initiation and as part of the mechanisms regulating replication will require an analysis of how other proteins, particular cell-cycle contexts, or the environments created **by** unique nuclear structures modulate ORC activities.

ORC is essential for the assembly of the pre-RC. ORC recruits Cdc6p to origins during **G1,** and direct interactions between these two proteins have been observed, both in the presence and absence of **DNA** (Liang et al., *1995;* Appendix B). The recruitment of MCM proteins requires both Cdc6p and ORC, although *in vitro* interaction studies

have not been successful at determining whether MCMs bind Cdc6p and/or ORC directly **(A.** Schwacha, **D. G.** L. and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations). Closer to the initiation of replication, Cdc45p, the replicative polymerases and possibly other proteins are recruited to the origin. Any of these proteins could potentially modulate ORC function at key moments during the replication process.

ORC function must also be understood in the context of cell-cycle regulated kinases. The B-type cyclin dependent kinases have been shown to be required, not only for the entry into S-phase, but also to prevent the assembly of pre-RCs during **S-, G2-,** and M-phases. These kinases therefore play a key role in preventing re-replication within each cell-cycle. The mechanisms **by** which they act, however, are not yet know. Although **OrcIp,** Orc2p and Orc6p have been observed to be phosphorylated *in vivo (S.* P. Bell, unpublished observations) and the same three are *in vitro* substrates for Cdc28/Clb5 (R. **J.** Austin and **S.** P. B., unpublished data; Appendix B), it is not clear that phosphorylation is important for ORC function. Unpublished work from the laboratories of Joachim Li, Bruce Futcher and Bruce Stillman has shown that mutations of the consensus phosphorylation sites within Orc2p and Orc6p respectively do not lead to any observable phenotype *in vivo* (personal communications). Although ORC may not be regulated B-type **Cdk** activity, other components of the pre-RC are potential targets. Therefore, an examination of the effects of other replication proteins (including pre-RC components) on properties of ORC must also take into account the importance of appropriate cell-cycle kinase levels.

Another kinase required for **DNA** replication is Cdc7p, which is activated **by** its cyclin-like partner, **Dbf4p.** Rather than simply triggering the **GUS** transition, Cdc7/Dbf4 is likely required in a local fashion to activate each origin throughout the course of **S**phase (Bousset and Diffley, **1998;** Donaldson et al., **1998).** One-hybrid assays have

shown that **Dbf4p** is localized to the *ARSJ* origin and likely interacts with ORC (directly or indirectly) since the researchers observed a correlation between mutations in *ARS]* that affected ORC binding and those that affected Dbf4p-origin recruitment in the one-hybrid assay. Therefore, rather than serving as a substrate for the Cdc7p kinase, ORC may serve to recruit it to origins (via an interaction with **Dbf4p)** and bring it into proximity with its true targets. An assay for direct interaction between ORC and Cdc7/Dbf4 has not yet been established and should be attempted.

Understanding ORC Function in the Context of the Nucleus: Specialized Sub-Nuclear Structures

The **DNA** in eukaryotic nuclei is packaged into **highly** ordered chromatin arrays. Thus, the function of ORC (and indeed any **DNA** binding protein) must be understood in the context of nucleosomes and nucleosome-remodeling activities. The yeast *ARSJ* origin of replication has a unique chromatin structure with a nucleosome-free region at the origin flanked **by** precisely positioned nucleosomes (Thoma et al., 1984). The importance of this structure for origin function was suggested **by** experiments in which positioning nucleosomes over the origin reduced the copy number of plasmids, presumably **by** reducing origin activity (Simpson, **1990).** *In vivo and in vitro* data from our lab has demonstrated that ORC and **Abf1p,** the transcription factor bound to the B3 element of *ARS1,* together function to generate this nucleosome free region and the adjacent positioned nucleosomes **(J.** R. Lipford and **S.** P. B., unpublished data). Chromatin can also affect properties of ORC. **If** nucleosomes are first deposited on **DNA,** ORC cannot bind origin **DNA** unless it is also co-incubated with a chromatin assembly and/or remodeling activity. These data suggest that, *in vivo,* ORC requires a nucleosome remodeling activity to compete with histones for origin binding.

Alternatively, the localization of ORC to unwound origins via its ssDNA binding activity may allow ORC to rapidly re-bind origins after they are replicated and before nucleosomes have an opportunity to bind. Since ORC appears to interact directly with histones **(J.** R. L. and **S.** P. B., unpublished data) and since ORC and chromatin can influence each other with respect to **DNA** binding, other properties of ORC assayed in the presence of naked **DNA** must be re-examined in the context of assembled nucleosomes.

In addition to regulated chromatin structure, eukaryotic nuclei show a high degree of global organization. For example, in cultured mouse and human cells, regions of the genome undergoing **DNA** replication and transcription are generally segregated into nonoverlapping higher order domains (Wei et al., **1998).** Additionally, the positions of individual loci within the nucleus may be controlled. Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization **(FISH)** combined with three-dimensional microscopy of interphase nuclei of *Drosophila* have shown that specific loci occupy different discrete nuclear subregions (Marshall et al., **1996).** The constraint of individual loci to specific nuclear subregions is likely mediated **by** microtubules as treatment of yeast or *Drosophila* nuclei with nocodozole greatly increased the diffusion of labeled loci in real time (Marshall et al., **1997).** The molecular mechanisms **by** which precise nuclear architecture is established and maintained are not yet defined (reviewed in Marshall et al., **1997);** however, as research in this field progresses, the influence of nuclear substructure on the regulation of processes such as **DNA** replication can be addressed.

Concluding Remarks

As with most scientific research, my study of ORC architecture at origins of replication has addressed certain questions but has also suggested many more. The fact

that eukaryotic replication requires six polypeptides to serve the function of the initiator protein (when prokaryotes and viruses typically use one or two proteins) begs the simple question, what do each of the ORC subunits do? The finding that five of the six subunits are required for **DNA** binding suggests that at least one of the essential functions of Orcip through Orc5p is origin binding. However, these subunits may also be required for other functions. For example, Orcip is also required for ATPase activity, and it has recently been implicated in recruiting Cdc6p to origins (Wang et al., **1999).** Orc6p is dispensable for both origin and ssDNA binding, yet it is essential for yeast cell viability. This subunit is therefore a prime candidate to test for interactions with other proteins recruited to origins, such as the Cdc7/Dbf4 kinase. In addition, neither the partial ORC complex lacking Orc6p nor ORC Lite has been tested for other biochemical activities of ORC. Since both complexes bind origins in an ATP-dependent manner, ATP binding is likely unaffected. However, the ssDNA-stimulated conformational switch and/or ATPase activity may be altered in the absence of Orc6p or the N-terminus of **OrcIp.** Interactions with Cdc6p and the ability to serve as a Cdc28/Clb5 substrate should also be examined.

My description of the arrangement of ORC subunits (along with future structural studies) will serve as an important foundation for understanding the architecture of the pre-RC. As protein-protein contacts between the components of the pre-RC are defined, a three-dimensional model of the positions of the proteins relative to ORC and the **DNA** can be generated. ORC is an asymmetric molecule that likely initiates pre-RC assembly in an assymetric manner (when pre-RCs are formed, *in vivo* DNase **I** assays at origins show an extended region of protection on only one side of the ORC footprint). At some point in the assembly of origin-associated complexes, however, this inherent asymmetry

must be translated into the assembly of two symmetric replication forks required for bidirectional replication.

Another key question concerns the activities of the pre-RC components: which protein (or combination of proteins) is required for origin opening and subsequent origin unwinding? ORC alone is unable to result in detectable distortion of origin **DNA** (R. **J.** Austin, **D. G.** L. and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations). Thus, either ORC must be modified **by** another protein to become active in origin melting or other proteins recruited to the origin serve this function. The MCM proteins are a favored candidate for a replicative helicase, however, no unwinding activity has been observed for purified yeast MCMs both in the presence and absence of ORC **(A.** Schwacha and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations). Either a different combination of pre-RC components (and the appropriate kinases) must be tested to observe origin unwinding, or perhaps a key component of the pre-RC still remains to be discovered.

These questions point towards the larger goal of reconstituting origin-dependent replication initiation in a completely cell-free system. Such an *in vitro* assay will allow for a powerful dissection of individual steps in the initiation pathway, as has been most elegantly demonstrated for the *E. coli* and phage replication systems. In combination with mutants of ORC that specifically inactivate one biochemical function, a cell free system will allow for the elucidation of the role of ORC activities such as ATP hydrolysis and ssDNA binding. Ultimately, such a system could then be combined with existing or developing experimental frameworks for studying chromatin structure and subnuclear organization to begin to understand **DNA** replication in its natural context, inside the nucleus.

REFERENCES

- Adzuma, K. and Mizuuchi, K. **(1991).** Steady-state kinetic analysis of ATP hydrolysis **by** the B protein of bacteriophage mu. Involvement of protein oligomerization in the ATPase cycle. **J** Biol Chem *266, 6159-67.*
- Baker, T. **A.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1998).** Polymerases and the replisome: machines within machines. Cell *92, 295-305.*
- Baker, T. **A.,** Mizuuchi, M. and Mizuuchi, K. **(1991).** MuB protein allosterically activates strand transfer **by** the transposase of phage Mu. Cell *65, 1003-13.*
- Bell, **S.** P., Mitchell, **J.,** Leber, **J.,** Kobayashi, R. and Stillman, B. **(1995).** The multidomain structure of Orcip reveals similarity to regulators of **DNA** replication and transcriptional silencing. Cell *83, 563-568.*
- Block, **S.** M. **(1998).** Kinesin: what gives? Cell *93, 5-8.*
- Bourne, H. R., Sanders, **D. A.** and McCormick, F. **(1990).** The GTPase superfamily: a conserved switch for diverse cell functions. Nature *348,* **125-32.**
- Bourne, H. R., Sanders, **D. A.** and McCormick, F. **(1991).** The GTPase superfamily: conserved structure and molecular mechanism. Nature *349,* **117-27.**
- Bousset, K. and Diffley, **J.** F. **(1998).** The Cdc7 protein kinase is required for origin firing during **S** phase [published erratum appears in Genes Dev **1998** Apr **1;12(7):1072].** Genes Dev *12,* 480-90.
- Bramhill, **D.** and Kornberg, **A. (1988).** Duplex opening **by** DnaA protein at novel sequences in initiation of replication at the origin of the **E.** coli chromosome. Cell *52,* **743-755.**
- Craig, **N.** L. **(1997).** Target site selection in transposition. Annu Rev Biochem **66,** 437-74.
- Donaldson, **A. D.,** Fangman, W. L. and Brewer, B. **J. (1998).** Cdc7 is required throughout the yeast **S** phase to activate replication origins. Genes Dev *12,* 491-501.
- Goldman, Y. **E. (1998).** Wag the tail: structural dynamics of actomyosin. Cell *93, 1-4.*
- Guenther, B., Onrust, R., Sali, **A.,** O'Donnell, M. and Kuriyan, **J. (1997).** Crystal structure of the delta' subunit of the clamp-loader complex of **E.** coli **DNA** polymerase III. Cell *91,* **335-45.**
- Katayama, T., Kubota, T., Kurokawa, K., Crooke, **E.** and Sekimizu, K. **(1998).** The initiator function of DnaA protein is negatively regulated **by** the sliding clamp of the **E.** coli chromosomal replicase. Cell *94,* **61-71.**
- Konigsberg, W. H. **(1995).** Limited proteolysis of **DNA** polymerases as probe of functional domains. Methods Enzymol *262,* 331-46.
- Koonin, **E.** V. **(1993). A** common set of conserved motifs in a vast variety of putative nucleic acid-dependent ATPases including MCM proteins involved in the initiation of eukaryotic **DNA** replication. Nucleic Acids Res *21,* 2541-7.
- Liang, **C.** and Stillman, B. **(1997).** Persistent initiation of **DNA** replication and chromatin-bound MCM proteins during the cell cycle in cdc6 mutants. Genes Dev *11, 3375-86.*
- Liang, **C.,** Weinreich, M. and Stillman, B. **(1995).** ORC and Cdc6p interact and determine the frequency of initiation of **DNA** replication in the genome. Cell *81,* **667-676.**
- Lohman, T. M., Thorn, K. and Vale, R. **D. (1998).** Staying on track: common features of **DNA** helicases and microtubule motors. Cell *93,* **9-12.**
- Maki, **S.** and Kornberg, **A. (1988). DNA** polymerase **III** holoenzyme of Escherichia coli. **II. A** novel complex including the gamma subunit essential for processive synthesis. **J** Biol Chem *263,* **6555-60.**
- Marshall, W. F., Dernburg, **A.** F., Harmon, B., Agard, **D. A.** and Sedat, **J.** W. **(1996).** Specific interactions of chromatin with the nuclear envelope: positional determination within the nucleus in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Biol Cell *7,* 825-42.
- Marshall, W. F., Fung, **J. C.** and Sedat, **J.** W. **(1997).** Deconstructing the nucleus: global architecture from local interactions. Curr Opin Genet Dev **7, 259-63.**
- Marshall, W. F., Straight, **A.,** Marko, **J.** F., Swedlow, **J.,** Dernburg, **A.,** Belmont, **A.,** Murray, **A.** W., Agard, **D. A.** and Sedat, **J.** W. **(1997).** Interphase chromosomes undergo constrained diffusional motion in living cells. Curr Biol **7, 930-9.**
- Maxwell, **A.,** Craigie, R. and Mizuuchi, K. **(1987).** B protein of bacteriophage mu is an ATPase that preferentially stimulates intermolecular **DNA** strand transfer. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U S A** *84,* **699-703.**
- Mizuuchi, K. and Craigie, R. **(1986).** Mechanism of bacteriophage mu transposition. Annu Rev Genet *20,* **385-429.**
- Mizushima, T., Nishida, **S.,** Kurokawa, K., Katayama, T., Miki, T. and Sekimizu, K. **(1997).** Negative control of **DNA** replication **by** hydrolysis of ATP bound to DnaA protein, the initiator of chromosomal **DNA** replication in Escherichia coli. Embo **J** *16,* **3724-30.**
- Mizushima, T., Takaki, T., Kubota, T., Tsuchiya, T., Miki, T., Katayama, T. and Sekimizu, K. **(1998).** Site-directed mutational analysis for the ATP binding of DnaA protein. Functions of two conserved amino acids (Lys-178 and Asp-235) located in the ATP-binding domain of DnaA protein in vitro and in vivo. **J** Biol Chem *273,* **20847-5 1.**
- Naktinis, V., Onrust, R., Fang, L. and O'Donnell, M. **(1995).** Assembly of a chromosomal replication machine: two **DNA** polymerases, a clamp loader, and sliding clamps in one holoenzyme particle. **II.** Intermediate complex between the clamp loader and its clamp. **J** Biol Chem *270,* **13358-65.**
- Neuwald, **A.** F., Aravind, L., Spouge, **J.** L. and Koonin, **E.** V. **(1999). AAA+: A** class of chaperone-like ATPases associated with the assembly, operation, and disassembly of protein complexes. Genome Res **9,** 27-43.
- Perkins, **G.** and Diffley, **J.** F. **(1998).** Nucleotide-dependent prereplicative complex assembly **by** Cdc6p, a homolog of eukaryotic and prokaryotic clamp-loaders. Mol Cell *2,* **23-32.**
- Rodnina, M. V., Pape, T., Fricke, R., Kuhn, L. and Wintermeyer, W. **(1996).** Initial binding of the elongation factor Tu.GTP.aminoacyl-tRNA complex preceding codon recognition on the ribosome. **J** Biol Chem *271, 646-52.*
- Saha, P., Chen, **J.,** Thome, K. **C.,** Lawlis, **S. J.,** Hou, Z. H., Hendricks, M., Parvin, **J. D.** and Dutta, **A. (1998).** Human CDC6/Cdc18 associates with Orc1 and cyclin-cdk and is selectively eliminated from the nucleus at the onset of **S** phase. Mol Cell Biol *18, 2758-67.*
- Sekimizu, K., Bramhill, **D.** and Kornberg, **A. (1987).** ATP activates dnaA protein in initiating replication of plasmids bearing the origin of the **E.** coli chromosome. Cell *50, 259-65.*
- Simpson, R. T. **(1990).** Nucleosome positioning can affect the function of a cis-acting **DNA** element in vivo. Nature *343,* **387-9.**
- Stellwagen, **A. E.** and Craig, **N.** L. **(1998).** Mobile **DNA** elements: controlling transposition with ATP-dependent molecular switches. Trends Biochem Sci *23,* **486-90.**
- Thoma, F., Bergman, L. W. and Simpson, R. T. (1984). Nuclease digestion of circular TRP1ARS **1** chromatin reveals positioned nucleosomes separated **by** nucleasesensitive regions. **J** Mol Biol *177,* **715-33.**
- Turner, **J.,** Hingorani, M. M., Kelman, Z. and O'Donnell, M. **(1999).** The internal workings of a **DNA** polymerase clamp-loading machine. Embo **J** *18,* **771-83.**
- Walker, **J. E.,** Saraste, M., Runswick, M. **J.** and Gay, **N. J. (1982).** Distantly related sequences in the alpha- and beta-subunits of ATP synthase, myosin, kinases and other ATP-requiring enzymes and a common nucleotide binding fold. Embo **J** *1, 945-51.*
- Wang, B., Feng, L., Hu, Y., Huang, **S.** H., Reynolds, **C.** P., Wu, L. and Jong, **A.** Y. **(1999).** The essential role of Saccharomyces cerevisiae **CDC6** nucleotide-binding site in cell growth, **DNA** synthesis, and Orci association. **J** Biol Chem *274,* **8291- 8.**
- Wei, X., Samarabandu, **J.,** Devdhar, R. **S.,** Siegel, **A. J.,** Acharya, R. and Berezney, R. **(1998).** Segregation of transcription and replication sites into higher order domains [see comments]. Science *281,* **1502-6.**
- Weinreich, M., Liang, **C.** and Stillman, B. **(1999).** The Cdc6p nucleotide-binding motif is required for loading mcm proteins onto chromatin. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U S A** *96,* 441-6.
- Yamauchi, M. and Baker, T. **A. (1998).** An ATP-ADP switch in MuB controls progression of the Mu transposition pathway. Embo **J** *17, 5509-18.*
- Yuzhakov, **A.,** Kelman, Z. and O'Donnell, M. **(1999).** Trading places on DNA--a threepoint switch underlies primer handoff from primase to the replicative **DNA** polymerase. Cell *96, 153-63.*

Appendix A

Regions of ORC Required for ssDNA Binding

I am grateful to members of the Bell laboratory for providing various reagents used in these experiments. ORC Lite was provided **by** Richard Klemm, the partial ORC complex lacking Orc **ip** and purified *Drosophila* Orc2p was provided **by** Richard Austin, and purified yeast RPA was provided **by** Anthony Schwacha.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Orc6p and the N-terminus of Orcip are not Required for ssDNA Binding

In Chapter II, I characterized the dsDNA binding properties of partial ORC complexes (lacking one subunit) and showed that Orc6p was the only subunit dispensible for this activity. These proteins were not fully purified and contained a small (40 kDa) contaminant with potent ssDNA binding activity, making it difficult to test these partial ORC complexes for ssDNA binding. The complex lacking the Orc6p subunit (the **[-6]** complex) was further purified on a mono-Q column to remove the contaminant. Two peak fractions were collected from this column. The fraction that eluted at a lower salt concentration (fraction 22) contained a **[-6]** complex with a truncated form of Orcip that arose from proteolyis during the purification (data not shown) and this fraction also contained the small contaminant. The fraction that eluted at higher salt (fraction *25)* contained the **[-6]** complex with a full-length Orcip subunit and no contaminant.

These two mono-Q fractions were tested for origin **DNA** binding and ssDNA binding activity (Figure **lA).** The full length ORC in fraction **25** reduced the mobility of both dsDNA containing *ARS1* (lane 4) and ssDNA (lane **13).** The gel-shifted species had a faster mobility than wild-type ORC bound to dsDNA and ssDNA, respectively (lanes **7** and **16),** consistent with a lower molecular mass due to the absence of Orc6p. To confirm that these gel-shifted species contained ORC, monoclonal antibodies directed against Orc4p (lanes **5, 8,** 14 and **17)** or against Orc2p (lanes **6, 9, 15** and **18)** were added to the binding reactions and were found to cause a supershift. The partial ORC complex in fraction 22 likely contains a form of Orc **1p** with an N-terminal deletion. This protein was also able to bind dsDNA (lanes **1-3),** but due to the presence of the small contaminant, ssDNA binding could not be assayed (lane 10-12). However, a similar form of ORC lacking Orc6p and the amino-terminal 214 amino acids of Orcip was produced and

Figure 1. Orc6p and the N-terminus of Orc1p are not Required for ssDNA Binding. (A) Electrophoretic mobility shift assays of partial complexes lacking Orc6p (the **[-6]** complex) with *ARS1-containing* dsDNA (lanes **1-9)** and ssDNA (lanes **10-18).** Purification of the **[-6]** complex on a mono-Q column resulted in two peaks of ORC, one containing an N-terminal deletion of Orcip (fraction 22; lanes **1-3** and 10-12) and one containing full-length Orcip (fraction **25;** lanes 4-6 and **13-15).** Wild-type ORC was included as a comparison (lanes **7-9** and **16-18).** Monoclonal antibodies directed against Orc4p (lanes 2, 4, **8, 11,** 14 and **17)** or against Orc2p (lanes **3, 6, 9,** 12, **15** and **18)** were included in the indicated reactions. The inability to supershift the gel-shifted species in the reactions containing fraction 22 and ssDNA (lanes **11** and 12) suggests that the putative protein-DNA is due to a contaminant and not to ORC. (B) Electro^phoretic mobility shift assays of wild-type ORC and ORC Lite (lacking Orc6p and the amino-terminal 214 amino acids of **Orc1p).**

purified **by** R. **D.** Klemm in the lab (named "ORC Lite"; Chapter V) and was therefore tested for ssDNA binding activity (Figure 1B). The ability of ORC Lite to gel-shift ssDNA demonstrates that neither Orc6p nor the Orc1p N-terminus are required for ssDNA binding. This finding is consistent with previous data from our laboratory that showed that the N-terminus of Orcip is required for transcriptional silencing but not **DNA** replication (Bell et al., **1995).**

The C-terminal Region of Orcip is **Required for ssDNA Binding**

To test the requirement of Orcip for ssDNA binding, **I** compared purified Orc1p and a complex lacking Orc lp (provided **by** R. **J.** Austin) in an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (Figure 2). Titrations of these two proteins, as well as wild-type ORC, revealed that the complex lacking Orc1p is severely compromised for ssDNA binding. Since the N-terminus of Orcip is not required for ssDNA binding, these data suggest that the C-terminal **700** amino acids contain a region of the protein important for this activity. Purified Orc1p is able to interact with ssDNA, albeit less efficiently than wild-type ORC. Unexpectedly, the gel-shifted species is significantly more retarded in its mobility than an ORC-ssDNA complex. **If** this apparent high molecular mass is due to multimerization of Orc1p, then the observation that the gel-shifted species is a single, sharp band suggests that a precise number of Orcip molecules associate to bind ssDNA. Alternately, the gelshift could result from a single copy of **OrcIp** binding a single ssDNA molecule but generating a particular structure that makes the protein-DNA complex unusually slow in its mobility.

Clearly, more experiments must be performed to examine this putative interaction between ssDNA and Orc1p. To demonstrate that Orcip is responsible for the gel-shift, supershift experiments using **Orc1p** monoclonal antibodies should be

performed. Additionally, if the binding of Orcip to ssDNA requires multimerization of the protein, electron microscopy of Orcip and ssDNA can be used to estimate the number of Orcip molecules present **by** estimating the molecular mass of the aggregate. Multimerization can also be addressed **by** altering the mass of Orcip (either **by** deleting the N-terminus or **by** adding an epitope to increase its mass) and comparing its behavior in a mobility shift assay to that of either wild-type **Orc1p** or a mixture of wild-type and mutant **Orc1p.**

Southwestern Blot Analysis of ORC

Southwestern blot analysis, in which proteins immobilized on a nitrocellulose membrane are probed with radiolabeled **DNA,** has been used to analyze the human single-stranded **DNA** binding protein, hRPA (Wold et al., **1989).** The authors showed that the largest subunit of RPA alone could bind ssDNA. To determine if any single ORC subunit had affinity for ssDNA, **I** performed a Southwestern blot using ORC, with *S. cerevisiae* RPA added as a positive control (Figure 3B). Consistent with published data, the largest subunit of RPA bound labeled ssDNA but not dsDNA. Among the ORC subunits, only Orc2p bound ssDNA. However, this interaction was not specific for ssDNA and occurred with similar affinity for non-origin containing dsDNA. Orc2p has a high theoretical isoelectric point $pI = 9.5$. Thus, the observed interaction with both ssDNA and dsDNA may simply reflect a non-specific ionic interaction between positively charged regions of Orc2p with negatively charged nucleic acids. To test this hypothesis, **I** also examined *Drosophila* Orc2p, which has a lower isoelectric point **(pI = 6).** The *Drosophila* protein showed only a weak interaction with ssDNA and none with dsDNA (Figure 3B). The non-specific interaction of yeast Orc2p with nucleic acids does not occur when the protein is assembled into an ORC complex, as a complex

Figure 3. Southwestern Blot Analysis of ORC. (A) *S. cerevisiae* ORC and RPA were electrophoresed on a 10% SDS-**PAGE** and stained with silver. The asterisk represents a breakdown product of the RPA1 gene product. (B) Yeast ORC, yeast RPA and purified *Drosophila* Orc2p were electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE** and transferred to nitrocellulose. The nitrocellulose was cut into three portions and probed with anti-ORC antibodies (Western Blot, left panel), radiolabeled ssDNA (middle panel) or with radiolabelled non-origin dsDNA (right panel).

lacking Orcip had neither dsDNA binding nor ssDNA binding activity (Chapter II and preceding section).

Attempts to Crosslink ORC Subunits to ssDNA

UV-induced crosslinking is **a** useful tool for identifying proteins that bind ssDNA (Philipova et al., **1996). I attempted to crosslink ssDNA to ORC** using unmodified ssDNA, ssDNA modified with BrdU, and ssDNA modified with the high-efficiency crosslinking reagent 4'-AZPB (Chapter **II).** In all cases, no specific crosslinking of **DNA** to any ORC subunit was observed; either no subunit was crosslinked, or all six subunits were weakly crosslinked with equal efficiency (data not shown). Purified yeast RPA was included in these experiments as a positive control and showed the expected behavior (efficient crosslinking to the large subunit and weaker crosslinking to the middle subunit; data not shown).

Subcomplexes of ORC Generated by V8 Protease Digestion are Active for DNA Binding

In addition to the analysis of partial **ORC** complexes, another method for identifying the regions of ORC required for dsDNA and ssDNA binding involves limited proteolytic digestion to generate collections of smaller subcomplexes. ORC was incubated with **V8** protease for various amounts of time, the reactions were stopped with PMSF, and the resulting digestion products were examined **by SDS-PAGE** and silverstaining and assayed for dsDNA and ssDNA binding activity (Figure 4). Digestion with **V8** resulted in the generation of a number of gel-shift species with faster mobilities than untreated ORC bound to dsDNA (Figure 4B) or to ssDNA (Figure 4C), suggesting the formation of smaller subcomplexes of ORC capable of binding dsDNA and ssDNA. The

Figure 4. Limited V8 Protease Digests of ORC. ORC was incubated with **V8** protease for the indicated amounts of time and the digests were stopped with PMSF. The reaction products were analyzed **by SDS-PAGE** and silver staining **(A)** or assayed for dsDNA binding (B) and ssDNA binding activities **(C).** The **"0"** minute digestion reaction contained PMSF prior to addition of **V8** protease and yet, ORC was partially digested (Figure 4A, lane **1)** indicating that the amount of PMSF used was insufficient for complete inactivation of the protease. The second-to-last lane in each panel includes ORC treated with PMSF in the absence of the protease and the last lane is untreated ORC.

sequence specificity and ATP-dependence of these **DNA** binding activities have not yet been examined. These putative subcomplexes of ORC can be purified **by** gel filtration chromatography and tested more rigorously for biochemical activities of ORC (including **DNA** binding, ATP hydrolysis, and interactions with Cdc6p). Additionally, a larger scale experiment should generate sufficient material to map the **V8** cleavage sites. These experiments will provide information regarding the domain structure of ORC subunits and will facilitate the design of a minimal **ORC-DNA** complex suitable for X-ray crystallography (Chapter V).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Proteins

The **[-6]** ORC complex lacking Orc6p (described in Chapter **II)** was purified on a mono-Q column as described (Klemm et al., **1997;** Lee and Bell, **1997).** Peak fractions were identified **by UV** absorbance and **by** coomassie staining of samples electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE.** Orcip was expressed in insect cells and purified as described (Klemm et al., **1997;** Lee and Bell, **1997),** except that glycerol gradient sedimentation (Bell and Stillman, **1992)** was used in place of gel filtration chromatography. ORC Lite (lacking Orc6p and the N-terminus of Orcip) was provided **by** R. **D.** Klemm. The ORC complex lacking **Orc1p** was provided **by** R. **J.** Austin.

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) using dsDNA and ssDNA were performed as described in Chapters II and IV. Unless otherwise stated, the *ARS]* containing dsDNA probe was an *EcoRI-HindIII* fragment of **pARS** 1/WT end-labeled at *the EcoRI* site, and the ssDNA was obtained **by** melting this dsDNA fragment and purifying the labeled ssDNA as described in Chapter IV. EMSAs with mono-Q fractions of the **[-6]** complex were performed using **16.1** fmol of **DNA,** -48 fmol of protein and 1 ptg of **pd(G-C)** in a **10 gl** reaction. For supershift experiments, antibodies **SB67** (anti-Orc2p) and **SB6** (anti-Orc4p) were diluted **1:50** in PBS, and 1 pl of diluted antibody was added to the **10 gl** binding reaction **5** minutes after ORC was added to the **DNA.** The **EMSA** comparing ORC and Orc Lite was performed using **27** fmol of ssDNA and **-190** fmol of protein. The **EMSA** used to compare Orcip with either a complex lacking Orcip or wild-type ORC used the **295** nt ssDNA described in Chapter IV. **16** fmol of ssDNA was incubated with either 12, 24, 48, **96,** or **192** fmol of protein.

Southwestern Blot Analysis

5.6 pmol of yeast ORC, **7.1** pmol of *Drosophila* Orc2p, and **10.6** pmol of yeast RPA were electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE** and transfered to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was cut into three strips. One strip was probed with anti-ORC antibodies as described (Lee and Bell, **1997).** The other two strips were blocked in ORC binding buffer **[100** mM Hepes-KOH **(pH 7.6), 1** mM **EDTA,** 1 mM **EGTA, 5** mM magnesium acetate, and **0.15** M KCl, **10 %** *(vol./vol.)* glycerol, **0.01%** *(wt./vol.)* NP-40, 1 mM DTT] containing *5%* non-fat milk for **1** hour and rinsed four times in binding buffer. The membranes were then incubated with **6000** cps of either ssDNA **(295** nt ssDNA described in Chapter IV) or non-origin dsDNA (a **360 bp** *StuI-EcoRI* fragment of **pARS** 1/WT that contains no matches to the ARS consensus sequence) for six hours at 4'C. The membranes were washed five times in binding buffer, dried, and exposed to film.

Limited V8 Protease Digests of ORC

4.4 pmol of ORC was incubated with 0.4 gg of **V8** (in **16 gl** final volume) at **27'C** for **5, 10,** 20, 40 or **60** minutes. 2 pl of **0.1** M PMSF (dissolved in isopropanol) was added to stop the reaction and samples were placed on ice. The **"0"** minute reaction contained PMSF prior to addition of **V8** protease and was kept on ice for the duration of the experiment. **8 g1** of each reaction was mixed with **SDS-PAGE** loading buffer and electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE.** For gel-shift analysis, the equivalent of **190** fmol of ORC was incubated with 1 **gg** of **pd(G-C)** and **15** fmol of dsDNA or **27** fmol of ssDNA.

REFERENCES

- Bell, **S.** P., Mitchell, **J.,** Leber, **J.,** Kobayashi, R. and Stillman, B. **(1995).** The multidomain structure of Orcip reveals similarity to regulators of **DNA** replication and transcriptional silencing. Cell **83, 563-568.**
- Bell, **S.** P. and Stillman, B. **(1992).** ATP-dependent recognition of eukaryotic origins of **DNA** replication **by** a multiprotein complex. Nature **357,** 128-134.
- Klemm, R. **D.,** Austin, R. **J.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Coordinate binding of ATP and origin **DNA** regulates the ATPase activity of the origin recognition complex. Cell **88,** 493-502.
- Lee, **D. G.** and Bell, **S.** P. **(1997).** Architecture of the yeast origin recognition complex bound to origins of **DNA** replication. Mol. Cell. Biol. **17, 7159-7168.**
- Philipova, **D.,** Mullen, **J.** R., Maniar, H. **S.,** Lu, **J.,** Gu, **C.** and Brill, **S. J. (1996). A** hierarchy of **SSB** protomers in replication protein **A.** Genes Dev **10, 2222-33.**
- Wold, M. **S.,** Weinberg, **D.** H., Virshup, **D.** M., Li, **J. J.** and Kelly, T. **J. (1989).** Identification of cellular proteins required for simian virus 40 **DNA** replication. **J** Biol Chem 264, **2801-9.**

Appendix B

Attempts to Characterize the ssDNA-Induced

Conformational Change of ORC

I thank Richard Austin for providing polyclonal ORC antibodies, purified Cdc6p and purified **Clb5p/Cdc28p.**

INTRODUCTION

A change in the conformation of a protein can be inferred from changes in its mobility, in its susceptibility to proteolytic cleavage, and in its interactions with other proteins. To obtain independent evidence for an ssDNA-induced conformational switch (Chapter IV), **I** performed a number of assays to address these issues. The change in ORC conformation (as determined **by** EM) was stimulated **by** ssDNA and inhibited **by** dsDNA. Therefore, for all of the assays described below, **I** predicted that ssDNA and dsDNA would have opposite effects.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Native Gel Mobilities of ORC-ssDNA and ORC-dsDNA Complexes

The ssDNA-induced bending of ORC should result in an altered mobility of ORC in a native polyacrylamide gel (Figure **1).** I examined the mobility of ORC bound to radiolabeled dsDNA or ssDNA probes that were either both long (244 **bp** and 244 nt respectively) or both short **(99 bp** and **96** nt respectively). After electrophoresis, the positions of the radiolabeled **DNA** bound **by** ORC were determined **by** drying the gel and exposing it to film (Figure **lA),** and the position of ORC was determined **by** Western blot analysis (Figure 1B). ORC migrated slowly in the gel in the absence of **DNA,** suggesting a low overall negative charge under these conditions, and the mobility of ORC was greatly improved when it was bound to **DNA.** When dsDNA and ssDNA of similar lengths were compared, the ORC-ssDNA complex had a faster mobility than the ORCdsDNA complex. Therefore, ORC interacted with dsDNA and ssDNA in ways that are sufficiently different to result in distinguishable mobilities in a native gel. Although

Figure 1. The Mobilities of ORC-ssDNA and ORC-dsDNA Complexes are Different. ORC was incubated with one of four radiolabeled **DNA** probes: a 244 **bp** dsDNA (ds 244), a 244 base ssDNA (ss 244), a **99 bp** dsDNA (ds **99),** or a **96** base ssDNA (ss **96),** all in the presence of ATP. Reactions were electro^phoresed on a native polyacrylamide gel and either analyzed **by** autoradiography to detect the radiolabeled **DNA (A)** or **by** Western blotting to determine the position of ORC in the gel (B). The ORC-ssDNA com^plex has a faster mobility when compared to an ORC-dsDNA complex (when the DNAs of similar lengths are compared), consistent with a more compact conformation of ORC when bound to ssDNA.

these data are consistent with a more compact structure of ORC in the presence of ssDNA, the mobility of a protein-DNA species is also dependent on the shape and flexibility of the nucleic acid. The difference in mobilities of the two different **ORC-DNA** complexes could also be due to the different electrophoretic properties of ssDNA and dsDNA. Therefore, this experiment does not definitively demonstrate a conformational change in ORC.

Limited Proteolysis of ORC

Limited proteolytic cleavage has been used to characterize conformational changes in proteins (Konigsberg, **1995).** At low concentrations of protease, the enzyme typically cleaves proteins at exposed, flexible regions between domains to yield a characteristic cleavage pattern. Changes in the conformation of a protein can lead to changes in this cleavage pattern. If ssDNA bends ORC, then regions of ORC subunits may become more exposed and therefore hypersensitive to cleavage **by** the protease.

I compared the proteolytic cleavage patterns generated **by** trypsin and chymotrypsin with ORC alone and with ORC in the presence of ssDNA (Figure **2A).** The addition of ssDNA did not increase the sensitivity of ORC to either protease. Instead, ORC became slightly more resistant to cleavage (see higher molecular weight bands for digests using 20 ng of trypsin or either amount of chymotrypsin). The increased resistance to proteolytic cleavage was also seen using **V8** protease (Figure 2B). In these experiments, Western blots were performed to examine the stability of individual ORC subunits and proteolytic digests were performed using ORC alone, ORC with ssDNA, or ORC with dsDNA. Some subunits (Orc3p and Orc5p) were completely

Figure 2. Limited Protease Treatment of ORC. (A) ORC was treated with trypsin (left panel) or chymotrypsin (right panel) in the presence or absence of ssDNA. Digests contained either 20 or **100** ng of protease as indicated and proceeded for **10,** 20 or 40 minutes. The reaction products were electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE** and stained with silver. Untreated ORC was also included to indicate the positions of the full-length subunits. (B) ORC was digested with **V8** protease in the absence of **DNA,** in the presence of an **85** nt ssDNA (ss), or in the presence of a **99 bp** origin containing dsDNA (ds). Digests were electro^phoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE,** transferred to nitrocellulose and Western blotted with monoclonal antibodies directed against individual ORC subunits. The blot was stripped and reprobed multiple times. Untreated ORC (Control) was also included.
resistant to cleavage **by V8** protease under these conditions. Orc4p was equally sensitive to digestion under all three conditions. However, in the case of Orc2p and Orc6p, the sensitivity of the subunits was altered in the presence of **DNA.** The addition of **DNA** increased the resistance of these subunits to digestion, with ssDNA protecting the ORC protein more effectively than dsDNA. The **OrcIp** subunit also shows increased protection in the presence of ssDNA but possibly increased sensitivity in the presence of dsDNA. The general decrease in proteolysis in the presence of ssDNA could be consistent with either a change in the conformation of ORC or with an inaccessibility of proteolytic cleavage sites due to the binding of **DNA.** In either case, the differences observed with ssDNA and dsDNA suggest that ORC binds these molecules in distinguishable ways.

Interactions with Other Proteins

If an ssDNA-induced conformational switch in ORC is required to remodel the pre-RC, then interactions of ORC with other proteins may be affected **by** ssDNA. Work from our lab and other labs has shown that ORC interacts directly with Cdc6p (R. **J.** Austin and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations; Liang et al., **1995;** Wang et al., **1999).** I determined whether ssDNA and dsDNA could differentially affect this interaction (Figure **3A).** ORC was incubated with no **DNA,** with origin-containing dsDNA, or with ssDNA. Recombinant Cdc6p tagged with the hemagglutinin **(HA)** epitope was then added to each reaction. ORC was immunoprecipitated, and the resulting pellet was analyzed for the presence of Cdc6p. As had been previously observed, Cdc6p interacted directly with ORC (Figure **3A,** lane **1).** This interaction depended on both the presence of ORC (lane 4) and the addition of ORC antibodies (lane **5).** Interestingly, the addition of either dsDNA (lane 2) or ssDNA (lane **3)** strengthened the ORC-Cdc6p interaction.

Figure 3. Interactions of **ORC with Other** Proteins are not Affected **by** ssDNA. **(A)** The ability of ORC to coimmunoprecipitate Cdc6p was determined in the absence of **DNA** (lane **1)** or in the presence of ssDNA (lane 2) or origincontaining dsDNA (lane **3).** HA-tagged Cdc6p was added to **ORC-DNA** binding reactions and ORC was immunoprecipitated using anti-ORC polyclonal antibodies. The precipitated proteins were electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE** and analyzed **by** Western blotting using an anti-HA antibody to detect the tagged Cdc6p. In control reactions, either ORC or anti-ORC antibodies were omitted (lanes 4 and **5** respectively). (B) The ability of ORC to serve as a substrate for the **Cdc28p/Clb5p** kinase was determined in the absence of **DNA** or in the presence of ssDNA or origin-containing dsDNA. ORC (with or without **DNA)** was incubated with radiolabeled x-32P labeled ATP and purified **Cdc28p/Clb5p** for **5** minutes or 20 minutes as indicated and electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE.** The gel was stained with silver, dried and exposed to film. The positions of ORC subunits as determined **by** silver staining are indicated to the left of the gel. Control reactions containing no kinase are also shown.

Therefore, the ssDNA-bound and the dsDNA-bound forms of ORC do not show differences in their interactions with Cdc6p.

ORC has been shown to be an *in vitro* substrate of Cdc28p (the yeast CDK) associated with the B-type cyclin, **Clb5** (R. **J.** Austin and **S.** P. B., unpublished observations). **If** ssDNA alters the conformation of ORC, then the ability of ORC to serve as a substrate for this kinase may be altered. ORC alone, ORC incubated with ssDNA, and ORC incubated with origin-containing dsDNA were each mixed with purified **Cdc28p/Clb5p** and radiolabeled ATP (Figure 3B). Orc2p and Orc6p served as efficient CDK substrates, whereas Orcip was weakly modified. Both the upper and lower bands of Orc6p were modified **by** *Cdc28p/Clb5p,* indicating that although the faster migrating form of Orc6p is not as heavily phosphorylated as the slower form, it is phosphorylated on at least one site. The addition of either ssDNA or dsDNA did not affect the modification of ORC subunits, arguing that the conformational change in ORC likely does not regulate the ability of **Cdc28p/Clb5p** to phosphorylate the complex.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)

The long dsDNA used in these assays (ds 244) was a 244 **bp** *EcoRI-HindIII* fragment of **pARS** 1/WTA, and the long ssDNA (ss 244) was derived from the dsDNA **by** melting the fragment and purifying one of the strands of **DNA** (Chapter IV). The short dsDNA (ds **99)** was a **99 bp** PCR product containing the **ACS** and B 1 elements. It was generated **by** using pARS1/WTA as a template and oligos **DLX795-815** (tttatttaagtattgtttgtg) and **DLX893-873** (atctttacatcttgttatttt) as PCR primers. The short ssDNA (ss **96)** was the **96** nt ssDNA oligonucleotide described in Chapter IV. **10 gl** binding reactions included **100** fmol of ds 244, 120 fmol of ss 244, and **-55** fmol each of ds **99** and ss **96.** The amount of ORC added was **60** fmol for the ds 244 binding reaction and **100** fmol for all other reactions. Native gel mobility assays were performed as described in Chapter II and IV, except that the **pH** of the gel and running buffer was reduced from **8.5** to **7.0.** Electrophoresis was performed at 200 V for **6** hours at 4'C. The gel was either dried for autoradiography or transferred to nitrocellulose for Western blot analysis as described (Chapter **II).**

Limited Protease Treatment of ORC

In a **15** ml reaction, **8** pmol of ORC was combined with 120 pmol of ssDNA (the **70** nt oligonucleotide described in Chapter IV) where indicated and incubated at room temperature for **10** minutes. 2 ml of PBS containing either 20 ng or **80** ng of trypsin or chymoptrypsin as indicated, were added to the ORC or ORC plus ssDNA reactions and incubated at room temperature. At **10** minute, 20 minute and 40 minute time points, 4.3 **pl** of the reaction was removed and added to *0.5* pl of **0.1** M PMSF (dissolved in

184

isopropanol). **SDS-PAGE** loading buffer was added, the reactions were electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE,** and the gels were stained with silver.

For **V8** protease digests, **10.5** pl reactions containing 4 pmol of ORC and ATP **(35 gM** final) were incubated with either no **DNA,** 14 pmol of ssDNA (the **70** nt oligonucleotide described in Chapter IV), or 12 pmol of dsDNA (the ARS1-containing ds **99** fragment described above for EMSAs). The binding reactions proceeded at room temperature. At 10 minute, 20 minute and 40 minute time points, 4.3 μ l of the reaction was removed and added to 0.5 μ l of 0.1 M PMSF (dissolved in isopropanol). SDS-**PAGE** loading buffer was added, and the reactions were electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE** and transferred to nitrocellulose. The membrane was analyzed **by** Western blotting using the individual monoclonal antibodies described in Chapter **II.** The blot was stripped and reprobed multiple times. Only the **10** minute digest samples were shown in Figure 2B.

ORC-Cdc6p Co-Immunoprecipitation Assays

2.8 pmol of **ORC** were incubated with either **35** pmol of ssDNA (the **96** nt oligonucleotide described in Chapter IV), **36** pmol of dsDNA (the ARSJ-containing ds **99** fragment described above for EMSAs), or no **DNA** in **50 pl** of ORC binding buffer **[100** mM Hepes-KOH **(pH 7.6), 1** mM **EDTA,** 1 mM **EGTA, 5** mM magnesium acetate, and **0.15** M **KCl, 10 %** (vol./vol.) glycerol, **0.01%** (wt./vol.) NP-40, 1 mM DTT] containing **100 gM** ATP. After a **10** minute incubation at room temperature, 4 **pl** of HA-tagged Cdc6p (provided **by** R. **J.** Austin) was added and the reaction continued for another **¹⁰** minutes. The reaction volume was increased to 200 µl with ORC binding buffer, and 2 p1 of anti-yeast ORC polyclonal sera (provided **by** R. **J.** Austin) was added. The antibodies were allowed to bind ORC **by** incubating the reaction at 4'C for **1.5** hours with

185

constant mixing. **25 gl** of protein-G Sepharose beads (a **1:1** vol./vol. slurry in IX PBS) were added, and the binding reaction was allowed to continue for another hour at 4'C. The beads were then precipitated **by** centrifugation and the supernatant was removed. The beads were then washed **3** times in ORC binding buffer and **3** times in CHIP lysis buffer *(50* mM HEPES/KOH **pH** *7.5,* 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM **EDTA, 1%** Triton X-100, **0.1%** Na-Deoxycholate). The beads were then boiled in **SDS-PAGE** loading buffer and the precipitated proteins were electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE,** transferred to nitrocellulose, and analyzed **by** Western blotting using an anti-HA antibody to detect the tagged Cdc6p. In control reactions, ORC or antibodies were omitted as indicated.

Cdc28p/Clb5p Kinase Assays

12.5 μ l reactions containing 2 pmol of ORC, 82 μ M α ⁻³²P labeled ATP (153.8) µCi/nmol), and 5 mM MgCl₂ were incubated with 4 pmol of ssDNA (the 96 nt oligonucleotide described in Chapter IV) or dsDNA (the ARS] -containing ds **99** fragment described above for EMSAs) as indicated. 0.2 **gl** of purified **Cdc28p/Clb5p** kinase (provided **by** R. **J.** Austin) was added and the kinase reactions were incubated at room temperature. After *5* minutes and 20 minutes, *5.5* ml of the reaction was removed and stopped with **SDS-PAGE** loading buffer. The proteins were electrophoresed on a **10% SDS-PAGE,** stained with silver to determine the positions of the ORC subunits, and the gels were then dried and exposed to film.

186

REFERENCES

- Konigsberg, W. H. *(1995).* Limited proteolysis of **DNA** polymerases as a probe of functional domains. Methods Enzymol *262,* **331-346.**
- Liang, **C.,** Weinreich, M. and Stillman, B. *(1995).* ORC and Cdc6p interact and determine the frequency of initiation of **DNA** replication in the genome. Cell *81,* **667-676.**
- Wang, B., Feng, L., Hu, Y., Huang, **S.** H., Reynolds, **C.** P., Wu, L. and Jong, **A.** Y. **(1999).** The essential role of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae **CDC6** nucleotidebinding site in cell growth, **DNA** synthesis, and Orc 1 association. **J** Biol Chem *274,* **8291-8298.**