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## LECTURE 3 <br> Large Deviations for i.i.d. Random Variables

## Outline of Lecture

- Chernoff bound using exponential moment generating functions. Examples. Legendre transforms.


### 3.1. Preliminary notes

The Weak Law of Large Numbers tells us that if $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$, is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with mean $\mu \triangleq \mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}\right]<\infty$ then for every $\epsilon>0$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{X_{1}+\ldots+X_{n}}{n}-\mu\right|>\epsilon\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

But how quickly does this convergence to zero occur? We can try to use Chebyshev inequality which says

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{X_{1}+\ldots+X_{n}}{n}-\mu\right|>\epsilon\right) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)}{n \epsilon^{2}} .
$$

This suggest a "decay rate" of order $\frac{1}{n}$ if we treat $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $\epsilon$ as a constant. Is this an accurate rate? Far from so ...

In fact if the higher moment of $X_{1}$ was finite, for example, $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}^{2 m}\right]<\infty$, then using a similar bound, we could show that the decay rate is at least $\frac{1}{n^{m}}$ (we skip the proof).

The goal of the large deviation theory is to show that in many interesting cases the decay rate is in fact exponential: $e^{-c n}$. The exponent $c>0$ is called large deviations rate.

### 3.2. Large deviations upper bound (Chernoff bound)

Consider an i.i.d. sequence with a common probability distribution function $F(x)=\mathbb{P}(X \leq$ $x), x \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix a value $a>\mu$. We consider probability that the average of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ exceeds $a$. The WLLN tells us that this happens with probability converging to zero as $n$ increases, and now we obtain an estimate on this probability. Fix a positive parameter $\theta>0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{i}}{n}>a\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{i}>n a\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(e^{\theta \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{i}}>e^{\theta n a}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{i}}\right]}{e^{\theta n a}} \quad \text { Markov inequality } \\
& =\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}} \cdots e^{\theta X_{n}}\right]}{\left(e^{\theta a}\right)^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

But recall that $X_{i}$ 's are i.i.d. Therefore $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}} \cdots e^{\theta X_{n}}\right]=\left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right]\right)^{n}$. Thus we obtain an upper bound

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{i}}{n}>a\right) \leq\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right]}{e^{\theta a}}\right)^{n}
$$

Of course this bound is meaningful only if the ratio $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right] / e^{\theta a}$ is less than unity. At least we need $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right]$ to be finite. If we could show that this ratio is less than unity, we would be done exponentially fast decay of the probability would be established.

Can we expect to find $\theta$ for which this is indeed the case? First assume for a moment that $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right]$ is finite for all $\theta$ in some interval $\left[0, \theta_{0}\right)$. Note that when $\theta=0$ the ratio $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right] / e^{\theta a}$ is equal to unity. Now let us differentiate this ratio with respect to $\theta$ at $\theta=0$ :

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right]}{e^{\theta a}}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[X_{1} e^{\theta X_{1}}\right] e^{\theta a}-a e^{\theta a} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right]}{e^{2 \theta a}}
$$

When we set $\theta=0$ we obtain that the derivative is $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}\right]-a=\mu-a<0$. Therefore, for sufficiently small $\theta$ the ratio $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right] / e^{\theta a}$ is indeed smaller than unity!

We have established an upper bound part of the large deviations theory:
Theorem 3.1 (Chernoff bound). Given an i.i.d. sequence $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ suppose $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right]$ is finite for all $\theta$ in some interval $\left[0, \theta_{0}\right)$. Let $a>\mu=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}\right]$. Then for some sufficiently small $\theta>0$ there holds $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right] / e^{\theta a}<1$ and, moreover

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{i}}{n}>a\right) \leq\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right]}{e^{\theta a}}\right)^{n}
$$

In words the large deviations probability is exponentially (geometrically) small.

How small can we make this ratio? We have some freedom in choosing $\theta$ as long as $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right]$ is finite. So we could try to find $\theta$ which minimizes the ratio $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right] / e^{\theta a}$. This is what we will do
in the rest of the lecture. The surprising conclusion of the large deviations theory is that such a minimizing value $\theta^{*}$ exists and is tight. Namely it provides the correct decay rate!

### 3.3. Exponential moment generating function

Definition 3.2. An exponential moment generating function of a random variable $X$ with parameter $\theta$ is defined to be $M(\theta) \triangleq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X}\right]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta x} d F(x)$.

We will be primarily interested in the case when $\theta \geq 0$. Note that when $\theta=0$, we have $M(0)=$ 1. Also when $\theta \geq 0$ the part of the integral corresponding to negative values $\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\theta x} d F(x)$ is at most unity. Indeed since $e^{\theta x} \leq 1$ when $x<0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\theta x} d F(x) & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{0} d F(x) \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d F(x) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

However, the positive part $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\theta x} d F(x)$ can be infinite (we will have examples later).
The important case for us is when it is finite at least for some $\theta>0$.
Proposition 1. Suppose $M(\theta)<\infty$ for some $\theta>0$. Then for every $0 \leq \theta^{\prime}<\theta$, also $M\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)<\infty$. Thus the set of all $\theta$ for which $M(\theta)$ is finite is some interval. This interval can be open $\left[0, \theta_{0}\right)$ or closed $\left[0, \theta_{0}\right.$ ].

Proof. We showed already that $\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\theta^{\prime} x} d F(x)<\infty$. Now consider an integral over the positive part:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\theta^{\prime} x} d F(x) & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\theta x} d F(x) \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta x} d F(x) \\
& =M(\theta) \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the entire integral $M\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta^{\prime} x} d F(x)$ is finite. Thus the set of $\theta$ for which $M(\theta)$ is finite is an interval. We will show later that this interval is open from the right when the underlying distribution is exponential. Exercise 1 below asks you to find an example of a distribution for which the corresponding interval is closed.

Exercise 1. (a) Construct example of a random variable for which the corresponding interval is trivial $\{0\}$. Namely, $M(\theta)=\infty$ for every $\theta>0$.
(b) $\left(^{*}\right)$ Construct an example of a random variable $X$ for which the corresponding interval [ $\left.0, \theta_{0}\right]$ is closed. That is $M\left(\theta_{0}\right)<\infty$, but $M\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ for all $\theta^{\prime}>\theta_{0}$.

### 3.4. Examples

Let us do some examples of computing exponential moment generating functions.

- Exponential distribution. Consider an exponentially distributed random variable $X$ with parameter $\lambda$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(\theta) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\theta x} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} d x \\
& =\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(\lambda-\theta) x} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\theta<\lambda$ this integral is equal to $\left.\frac{-1}{\lambda-\theta} e^{-(\lambda-\theta) x}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}=1 /(\lambda-\theta)$. But when $\theta \geq \lambda$, the integral is infinite. Thus the exp. moment generating function is finite iff $\theta<\lambda$ and is $M(\theta)=\lambda /(\lambda-\theta)$. In this case $\theta_{0}=\lambda$ and the corresponding interval $\left[0, \theta_{0}\right)$ is open.

Standard Normal distribution. When $X$ has standard Normal distribution, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(\theta)=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X}\right] & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta x} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^{2}-2 \theta x+\theta^{2}-\theta^{2}}{2}} d x \\
& =e^{\frac{\theta^{2}}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-\theta)^{2}}{2}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Introducing change of variables $y=x-\theta$ we obtain that the integral is equal to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}} d y=1$ (integral of the density of the standard Normal distribution). Therefore $M(\theta)=e^{\frac{\theta^{2}}{2}}$. We see that it is always finite. That is $\theta_{0}=\infty$.

In a retrospect it is not surprising that in this case $M(\theta)$ is finite for all $\theta$. The density of the standard Normal distribution "decays like" $\approx e^{-x^{2}}$ and this is faster than just exponential growth $\approx e^{\theta x}$. So no matter how large is $\theta$ the overall product is finite.

- Poisson distribution. Suppose $X$ has a Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(\theta) & =\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X}\right]=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{\theta m} \frac{\lambda^{m}}{m!} e^{-\lambda} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e^{\theta} \lambda\right)^{m}}{m!} e^{-\lambda} \\
& =e^{\theta^{\theta} \lambda-\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

(where we use the formula $\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{t^{m}}{m!}=e^{t}$ ). Thus again the exp. moment generating function $M(\theta)$ is finite always. This again has to do with the fact that $\lambda^{m} / m$ ! decays at the rate similar to $1 / m$ ! which is faster then any exponential growth rate $e^{\theta m}$.
Exercise 2. (a) Let $X$ have a uniform distribution on an interval $[0,1]$. Find $M(\theta)$ for all $\theta \geq 0$.
(b) Suppose $X$ is a bounded random variable. Namely $a \leq X \leq b$ for some finite constants $a<b$. Prove that $M(\theta)$ is finite for all $\theta$.

### 3.5. Legendre transforms

Theorem 3.4 gave us a large deviations bound $\left(M(\theta) / e^{\theta a}\right)^{n}$ which we rewrite as $e^{-n(\theta a-\log M(\theta))}$. We now study in more detail the exponent $\theta a-\log M(\theta)$.
Definition 3.3. A Legendre transform of a random variable $X$ is the function $l(a) \triangleq \sup _{\theta}(\theta a-$ $\log M(\theta))$.

Proposition 2. (a) $l(a)$ is a convex function of $a$.
(b) Suppose $a>\mu$. Then $l(a)=\sup _{\theta \geq 0}(\theta a-\log M(\theta))$ (no need to consider negative $\theta$ ).
(c) Consider $\theta_{0}$ - the largest $\theta$ for which $M(\theta)<\infty$. There exists $0<\theta^{*}<\theta_{0}$ at which value $l(a)$ is achieved: $l(a)=a \theta^{*}-\log M\left(\theta^{*}\right)$.
Proof. (a) Note that, for every fixed $\theta$, the function $\theta a-\log M(\theta)$, as a function of $a$ is linear. Therefore $l(a)$ is a supremum of linear functions. As such it is convex.
(b) Since $e^{\theta z}$ is a convex function then using Jensen's inequality $M(\theta)=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right] \geq e^{\theta E\left[X_{1}\right]}=$ $e^{\theta \mu}$. When $\theta<0$ we have $e^{\theta \mu} \geq e^{\theta a}$, implying $\log M(\theta) \geq \theta a$ or $a \theta-\log M(\theta)<0$. But when $\theta=0$ this difference is $0-M(0)=0$. So, indeed, there is no reason to consider negative $\theta$ when computing $l(a)$.
(c) This part is more difficult and technical. We skip the proof.

Armed with the results of this proposition, we can restate Theorem 3.4 in terms of $\theta^{*}$ :
Theorem 3.4 (Chernoff bound). Given an i.i.d. sequence $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ suppose $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X_{1}}\right]$ is finite for all $\theta$ in some interval $\left[0, \theta_{0}\right)$. Let $a>\mu=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{i}}{n}>a\right) & \leq\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta^{*} X_{1}}\right]}{e^{\theta^{*} a}}\right)^{n} \\
& =e^{-l(a) n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta^{*}$ solves $a \theta^{*}-\log M\left(\theta^{*}\right)=\sup _{\theta}(a \theta-\log M(\theta))$.

### 3.6. Additional reading materials

- Course packet. Section 1.1 and Section 1.2 up to including page 15.
- Chapter 0 of $[\mathbf{1}]$. This is non-technical introduction to the field which describes motivation and various applications of the large deviations theory. Soft reading.
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