## Flexible Signal Processing Algorithms for Wireless Communications

by

Matthew Lee Welborn

B.S., United States Naval Academy (1989) M.S., Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (1996)

(1996) **ENG**\*

JUN 2 2 2000

LIBRARIES

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Electrical Engineering

at the

## MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## June 2000

© Massachusetts Institute of Technology 2000. All rights reserved.

Author ......Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science May 10, 2000

John V. Guttag Professor and Department Head, Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Thesis Supervisor

Accepted by  $\ldots$ 

Certified by.

Arthur C. Smith Chairman, Department Committee on Graduate Students

### Flexible Signal Processing Algorithms for Wireless Communications

by

Matthew Lee Welborn

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science on May 10, 2000, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Electrical Engineering

#### Abstract

Wireless communications systems of the future will experience more dynamic channel conditions and a wider range of application requirements than systems of today. Such systems will require flexible signal processing algorithms that can exploit wireless channel conditions and knowledge of end-to-end user requirements to provide efficient communications services.

In this thesis, we investigate wireless communications systems in which the signal processing algorithms are specifically designed to provide efficient and flexible end-to-end functionality. We describe a design approach for flexible algorithms that begins with the identification of specific modes of flexibility that enable efficient overall system operation. The approach then uses explicit knowledge of the relationships between the input and output samples to develop efficient algorithms that provide the desired flexible behavior. Using this approach, we have designed a suite of novel algorithms for essential physical layer functions. These algorithms provide both dynamic functionality and efficient computational performance.

We present a new technique that directly synthesizes digital waveforms from precomputed samples, a matched filter detector that uses multiple threshold tests to provide efficient and controlled performance under variable noise conditions, and a novel approach to narrowband channel filtering. The computational complexity of the filtering algorithm depends only on the output sample rate and the level of interference present in the wideband input signal. This is contrast to conventional approaches, where the complexity depends upon the input sample rate. This is achieved using a composite digital filter that performs efficient frequency translation and a technique to control the channel filter output quality while reducing its computational requirements through random sub-sampling.

Finally, we describe an implementation of these algorithms in a software radio system as part of the SpectrumWare Project at MIT.

Thesis Supervisor: John V. Guttag

Title: Professor and Department Head, Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

## Acknowledgments

I wish to thank my advisor, John Guttag, for his encouragement, ideas and support in bringing this work to fruition.

I am grateful to all of my present and former colleagues in the Software Devices and Systems Group for their help and ideas throughout the course of my work. My work builds upon the work of many others, including Vanu Bose and Mike Ismert. I am particularly grateful to John Ankcorn for his helpful insights and feedback on many different aspects of this work, and even for all of his questions that led me to think about parts of this work in new ways.

Some of this work was done in conjunction with David Karger and Rudi Seitz, who have helped me to learn a little bit more about how computer scientists think and solve problems. I hope that they have also learned a little bit about signal processing.

I would also like to acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation through a graduate research fellowship that has made much of my graduate studies possible.

Finally, I am forever grateful to my loving wife and children for their understanding and support during these years that we have spent at MIT, and especially to my Lord Jesus Christ who has blessed me with such a wonderful family and so many rewarding opportunities.

## Contents

1	Intr	oduction	15
<b>2</b>	Flex	cible Design of Wireless Systems	23
	2.1	Signal processing in the Physical Layer	23
	2.2	Effects of Mobility on the Wireless Channel	25
		2.2.1 Propagation characteristics and capacity	25
		2.2.2 Dynamic conditions	27
	2.3	Effects of Diverse Communications Services	28
	2.4	Design of Wireless Communications Systems	28
3	Bala	ancing Flexibility and Performance	31
	3.1	Key Elements for Flexible Algorithm Design	32
		3.1.1 Flexibility to support function and performance	32
		3.1.2 Understanding explicit data dependencies	33
		3.1.3 Techniques for efficiency with flexibility	34
	3.2	Related Work	35
4	Flex	cible Processing in a Digital Transmitter	39
	4.1	Overview: The Digital Modulator	40
	4.2	Conventional Digital Waveform Generation	42
		4.2.1 Conventional techniques for digital modulation	43
		4.2.2 Direct digital synthesis of a sinusoidal carrier signal	46
	4.3	Direct Waveform Synthesis for Modulation	47
		4.3.1 A new approach to modulation: Direct mapping	48
		4.3.2 Decomposing tables to reduce memory	51
		4.3.3 Extensions of direct waveform synthesis	52
	4.4	Performance and Resource Trade-offs	54
		4.4.1 Performance comparison	54
		4.4.2 Memory versus computation	56
	4.5	Empirical Performance Evaluation	58
	4.6	Implementation of a DWS Modulator	59
	4.7	Summary	59

<b>5</b>	Fle	xible Processing in a Digital Receiver	63
	5.1	Overview: Channel Separation	64
	5.2	Conventional Approaches to Channel Separation	68
	5.3	A New Approach to Frequency Translation	71
		5.3.1 Implementation of a narrowband filtering system	73
	5.4	A New Approach to Bandwidth Reduction	73
		5.4.1 Decoupling filter length and SNR	74
		5.4.2 Random sub-sampling	76
		5.4.3 Model for analysis	78
		5.4.4 Analytical evaluation of the sequence transformer	89
	5.5	Evaluation of Random Sub-sampling	92
	5.6	Summary	96
6	Dat	a Symbol Detection	97
	6.1	Overview: Symbol Detection	97
	6.2	Conventional Approach to Detection	99
		6.2.1 The design of pulses for digital modulation	100
		6.2.2 The matched filter detector	102
	6.3	Efficient Detection for Error Control	105
		6.3.1 A general framework for detection	105
	6.4	Detection for Controlled Error Probability	108
		6.4.1 Data-efficient decisions	108
		6.4.2 A generalized threshold test	111
		6.4.3 Detection using multiple tests	112
	6.5	Performance of a two-test detector	116
	6.6	Summary	122
7	Sun	amary. Contributions and Future Work	123
-	7.1	Contributions	124
	7.2	Future Work	126
		7.2.1 Investigation of Additional Physical Layer Functions	126
		7.2.2 Flexible System Design	126
	79	Conclusions	197

## List of Figures

2-1	A wireless communications system.	24
3-1	Performance profiles for (a) a conventional algorithm and (b) anytime algorithm (adapted from [Zilberstein, 1996]).	36
4-1 4-2	Stages of processing within the Channel Encoder Typical modulation techniques for encoding bits into a continuous waveform: (a) amplitude modulation, (b) frequency modulation, and	39
	(c) phase modulation	41
4-3	Two symbol constellations used to map blocks of four bits into complex symbols for PAM, commonly known as (a) 16-QAM and (b) 16-PSK.	44
4-4	Conventional QAM modulator	45
4-5	Typical $\sin(x)/x$ pulse shape for a bandwidth-efficient system, where $x = \pi t/T$ and T is the symbol interval.	48
4-6	Proposed QAM modulator	49
4-7	Section of a synthesized 4-PAM waveform with $N_s = 8$ samples per	
	symbol interval. Individual table entries are indicated by boxes	50
4-8 4-9	QAM modulator using parallel look-ups to reduce table size Plots showing memory requirements versus number of symbol periods per table entry for (a) 8-PAM and (b) 16-QAM waveform synthesis	52
4-10	with $K = 0$ , $N_s = 10$ Graphical user interface for an implementation of a direct waveform synthesis digital modulator	эт 60
4-11	Received signal constellation diagrams for (a) 8-PSK under relatively	00
	high SNR (b) 8-PSK under low SNR and (c) 4-PSK under low SNR.	61
5-1	Required processing steps in a digital receiver.	63
5 - 2	Typical processing for narrowband channel selection.	66
5-3	Conceptual steps used for conventional approach to narrowband chan- nel selection: (a) frequency translation, (b) bandwidth reduction, and	
	(c) sample rate reduction	67

5-4	Block diagram showing frequency translation of desired signal before	
	filtering and decimation.	68
5-5	Block diagram showing (a) frequency translation of desired signal be-	
	fore filtering and decimation, and (b) new approach that uses a com-	
	posite filter to reduce computation.	71
5-6	Illustration of how we decouple the <i>length</i> of the filter input region	
	from the <i>number</i> of samples that it contains.	75
5-7	Diagram showing conventional FIR filter and model for approximating	
<b>۔</b>	output samples.	78
5-8	Distortion due to discarding input samples according to random coin	
	flips.	81
5 - 9	Model for analysis of error variance due to random sub-sampling	82
5 - 10	Random sub-sampling using a transformed sequence for sample selection	. 85
5 - 11	Output error variance relative to desired signal power versus propor-	
	tion of input samples used for several cases of output signal relative	
	bandwidth.	93
5-12	Comparison of Case I and Case II results for three values of $var(x_n)$ .	95
6-1	Diagram of the channel decoder showing division of the symbol detector	
	into synchronization and detection steps	98
6-2	Plot of bit-error rate versus SNR for a two level PAM system	99
6-3	Representation of (a) an isolated pulse satisfying the zero ISI condition,	
	(b) multiple scaled and shifted pulses and (c) the noise-free composite	
	waveform. (In the plots, $T = T_b$ , the symbol interval.)	101
6-4	Cascade of transmit pulse-shaping filter and receive filter whose com-	
	bined response satisfies the Nyquist Criterion for zero ISI.	103
6-5	Projection of noisy received vector onto the line connecting the two	
	possible transmitted points.	104
6-6	Conditional PDFs for H=0 and H=1	105
6-7	Footprints of symbols within the sample sequence.	107
6-8	Conditional PDFs for $U_n$ conditioned on $H = 1$ for several values of $n$ ,	
	where $n_1 < n_2 < n_3$ .	110
6-9	Conditional PDFs for $H = 0$ and $H = 1$ and the three decision regions	
	defined by: $(u_n < (-T)), (-T < u_N < T), \text{ and } (T < u_N), \ldots, \ldots$	112
6-10	Plot of the six different regions for the different combination of out-	
	comes of the two tests in the $S_1$ - $S_2$ plane	115
6-11	Plots of raised cosine and rectangular pulses (a) time domain and (b)	
	cumulative energy in sorted samples.	117
6-12	Plot of BER versus number of samples used in decision for various	
	levels of SNR.	118

### LIST OF FIGURES

6-13	Modified performance curve for binary detection using minimum num-	
	ber of samples to achieve bounded BER	119
6-14	Lowest threshold value for potential values of $k_i$	120
6 - 15	Expected number of samples required for each bit decision using a	
	two-test detector.	121

### LIST OF FIGURES

## List of Tables

Required operations for conventional QAM modulator	55
Required operations for proposed DWS modulator	55
Results of software implementations of conventional QAM modulator	
and DWS modulator	58
	Required operations for conventional QAM modulator

### LIST OF TABLES

# Chapter 1 Introduction

*Communications- anytime, anywhere.* This mantra is often repeated as designers and producers of communications networks advertise new capabilities and services available because of recent technological developments. Indeed, with the proper equipment, we can communicate voice, data and images anywhere in the world (or out of it). An important part of this universal communications network will, of course, be the portions that provide mobility and access to the global infrastructure without wires. Today, it is this wireless portion of the system that seems to lag behind expectations.

To be sure, wireless communications systems have changed significantly in the past ten years. Most notable, of course, is the sheer *number* of people that use wireless communications services today. This trend of increased usage is expected to continue and has generated considerable activity in the area of communications system design. Another clear trend has been the type of information that these wireless systems convey: virtually all new wireless systems are *digital* communications systems; unlike earlier broadcast and cellular systems, newer systems communicate using digital data encoded into radio waves. Even analog source information such as voice and images are digitized and then transmitted using digital formats.

The reasons for this shift to digital wireless systems are several. Initially, the shift was made in cellular telephone systems to improve system capacity through more efficient usage of the limited radio frequency (RF) spectrum. This shift has also made available more advanced features, better performance, and more security for users. Another reason for the shift in future systems, however, will be the fact that almost all information communicated through such systems will already be digital, both between individual users and between computers.

This shift toward digitizing all information for transmission does not mean that all data should be treated as equivalent. Another trend in future wireless communications should be differentiated support for heterogeneous traffic, such as voice, data and video. Different types of data traffic will have varying requirements for transmission through the communications system, including different requirements for latency, error performance and overall data rate.

At the same time that usage becomes more widespread, users will also desire better performance: not only higher data rates for future applications, but also better reliability and coverage. In fact, users will want these systems to work as well as conventional wire-line systems, just without the wires. The desire for wireless connectivity will include not only increased total area of coverage, but also the ability to transparently move within zones, maintaining reliable connectivity using lightweight, low-power communication devices. This will lead to a wider range of operating conditions within the wireless channel due to mobility and the requirement for communications services in diverse environments.

In order to meets these demands and fulfill the vision of universal connectivity, wireless systems of the future will have to provide a level of flexible and efficient service not seen in current systems. These systems will have to carefully manage limited resources such as spectrum and power as they provide services and performance far superior to any available today. Furthermore, this increased flexibility will have to extend to those layers of the system that interface with the wireless channel: the *physical layer*.

The algorithms that perform the processing in this layer are directly impacted by the dynamic conditions and increased demands for efficiency and performance implied by the trends above. These algorithms will have to provide flexibility in the way that they accomplish their work under changing conditions in the wireless channel, yet they will have to do this in a way that provides efficient use of power exceeding the best systems of today. In this work, we demonstrate that it is possible to design algorithms that provide both flexibility *and* efficiency to meet the challenges that lie ahead.

#### Summary and Interpretation of Contributions

In today's wireless communications systems, the physical layer processing is typically implemented as a static design, providing an abstract interface to the upper layers of the system as simply a bit transmission medium with some level of uncertainty at the destination. Fixed hardware implementations reinforce this view of the physical layer as immutable.

In this work, we consider the implication of recent technological advances that make it possible for large portions of the physical layer processing to be implemented in *software* [Mitola, 1995]. This shift leads us to consider designing communications systems in which the physical layer implementation can be significantly modified in response to changes in the operating environment or the needs of the end applications and users. A major conclusion of this thesis is that through careful design, we can produce flexible signal processing algorithms that enable the overall system to efficiently respond to such changes.

An important part of this work has been the implementation of many of the resulting algorithms in a prototype wireless system. These implementations have helped not only to validate the results, but have also helped to shape and guide the research itself by providing a sense of the important problems and opportunities for further investigation. Together, these goals of understanding how to best design *and* implement a wireless communication system in which the physical layer can be controlled in response to dynamic conditions and requirements has led to a number of significant results. The original contributions can be classified into two separate categories:

- 1. Novel signal processing algorithms that provide both flexibility and improved efficiency relative to conventional techniques.
- 2. A new approach to designing flexible signal processing algorithms.

We describe these two areas of contribution in more detail in the sections that follow.

#### Specific flexible signal processing algorithms

Novel algorithms developed in this work perform functions that are required in several different parts of a wireless communications system. The first algorithm described below is applicable to a digital wireless transmitter; the rest perform several of the primary functions required in a digital wireless receiver:

**Digital Modulation:** We have developed a new approach for digital modulation called *direct waveform synthesis*. This is a technique for mapping discrete data for transmission into corresponding segments of a digitally modulated waveform using a pre-computed table of samples. This approach is useful in hardware and software implementations, providing computational advantages of  $20 \times$  relative to conventional techniques for modulation.

In the receiver, we divide our examination into two different areas: the functions of *channel separation* (isolating the desired signal) and *detection*, the process of recovering the original transmitted data from the channel waveform.

**Channel Separation:** In this stage of processing, the receiver has to isolate the desired narrow-band signal from a wideband input signal. This processing typically has a computational complexity that is proportional to the bandwidth of the *input* signal. We demonstrate new techniques that remove this dependence, allowing the wideband receiver to be scaled to wider input bandwidths.

- The frequency shifting filter provides flexible and efficient frequency translation with a computational complexity proportional to the output sample rate of the channel filter. The computation relative to conventional techniques is reduced by a factor equal to the ratio between input and output bandwidths of the channel separator, which can be several hundred or more in modern wideband receivers.
- The channel separation process is computed using random sub-sampling of discrete waveforms to enable efficient filtering. This new new approach uses only a subset of the available input samples while maintaining controlled signal-to-noise levels at the output. The computational complexity is again decoupled from the input sample rate, and depends instead on the required output signal quality.

**Detection:** To complete the process of recovering data, we present a *multi-threshold matched filter detector* that can provide a more effective balance between computation and the output confidence levels needed for efficient overall system performance. Computational reductions of five or ten fold are demonstrated relative to a full matched filter detector, depending on the required output quality and input noise levels.

#### Designing flexible signal processing algorithms

In the design of a signal processing algorithm, a typical goal is to produce an algorithm that can compute a specific result using some minimum amount of computation. From a systems perspective, however, it is appropriate to design algorithms that can help provide efficiency in the context of the *entire communications system*. This approach is especially important in the case of systems that will be expected to provide different services in a wide range of operating conditions.

Several common themes emerged from this work that have proven useful in producing efficient, flexible algorithms. These themes can be viewed as a general approach to the development of effective signal processing algorithms in a larger communications system. The steps of this approach are:

- (1) Identify specific modes of flexibility that are useful in providing overall system efficiency.
- (2) Identify explicit relationships between input and output data samples for each processing function, and
- (3) Efficiently develop algorithms using the results of (1) and (2) through the removal of unnecessary intermediate processing steps and the use of other tech-

niques borrowed from other disciplines, e.g. approximate and randomized algorithms.

We must identify specific modes of flexibility needed for the overall communications system to adapt to changing conditions. We can also typically improve performance by eliminating types of flexibility that are unnecessary for efficient global adaptation. An example of this is the matched filter detector described above. Because of mobility and dynamic channel conditions, the receiver often experiences varying levels of signalto-noise ratio (SNR). In the context of the entire system it is desirable for the detector to produce constant quality output (measured as bit-error rate) in the presence of variable input signal quality. In Chapter 6, we demonstrate a detector that can do this efficiently by reducing its computation under favorable SNR conditions.

We need a more explicit understanding of the input to output data relationships for specific functions. To achieve the goal of flexibility with efficiency, it is important to understand which specific input samples are relevant to the computation of a particular output value, as well as their relative importance. In the channel separation process discussed in Chapter 5, this understanding leads us to decouple the *length* of a filter response from the *number* of samples that are used to compute the output value. In the case of the detector from Chapter 6, this knowledge enables us identify the set of samples that contain information about specific bits to be estimated, and to to preferentially process those input samples that contribute most to a useful result.

The final development step combines the understanding of the data relationships and the targeted modes of flexibility. In some cases, the role of a particular processing function within the overall system enables a designer to specify a minimum level of quality that is suitable for efficient global operation under current conditions. An approach that provides the ability to simply approximate a more optimal computation in a flexible way might then lead to more efficient algorithm. Because of the inherent statistical properties of noisy signals, we found that it is useful in such cases to apply techniques that provide *statistical*, rather than deterministic, performance guarantees as a way to achieve more efficient resource usage for desired levels of output quality. This is true for the detector described above and for the random sub-sampling scheme that approximates the output of the channel separation filter using statistical techniques.

In other cases, where approximations to ideal output values are not appropriate, it is often possible to use the explicit data relationships to provide improved efficiency. In Chapters 4 and 5, we describe digital modulation and frequency translation approaches that produce results identical to conventional approaches while using significantly less computation. In these cases, understanding data relationships enabled the removal of unnecessary computation steps and the creation of more efficient algorithms without sacrificing any useful modes of flexibility.

#### Structure of this Thesis

Future wireless system designs will need to provide flexible and efficient service in the face of more dynamic channel conditions and varying performance demands due to heterogeneous traffic. We claim that an approach that provides dynamic specification of physical layer signal processing functionality can satisfy these demands and meet the end-to-end needs of the users. Because this thesis focuses on the processing required in the physical layer of the communications system, Chapter 2 provides a review of the relevant characteristics of the wireless channel and their implications in light of the trends for future systems.

We present a discussion of a general design approach for flexible and efficient signal processing algorithms in Chapter 3, highlighting some of the common themes that run throughout this work. The approach we describe begins with an understanding of the specific modes of flexibility that will be required in each stage of processing. We also describe how a clear understanding of the relationships between the input and output samples of a signal processing function is essential to the design of flexible, efficient algorithms. The design approach then concludes by using the flexibility goals and data relationships to produce efficient algorithms using a number of techniques from the field of computer science. In this work, these techniques include the use of random sub-sampling, functional composition for efficient computation and conditional termination of processing to reduce computation. In addition, the flexible use of look-up table based mapping enables a trade-off between memory and computation. These design techniques are used in conjunction with the abstract processing specifications and analysis tools of signal processing to produce flexible algorithms.

In Chapter 4 we begin to describe the specific algorithms developed for signal processing functions, starting with the transmitter. The processing required to encode digital information into waveforms can be viewed as a direct mapping of data bits into sequences of output samples. This understanding leads us to a flexible look-up table based approach that provides a wide choice of trade-offs between memory and computation in an efficient digital modulator implementation, and we demonstrate the significant performance gains of this approach relative to conventional modulation techniques.

We also describe the implementation of our digital modulation techniques in a software radio system that was designed and built as part of the SpectrumWare Project. This project has resulted in a number of applications that demonstrate the utility of flexible physical layer processing. Some of these applications are described in both Chapters 4 and 5.

In Chapter 5, we begin discussion of the digital receiver with the problem of separating a narrowband channel from a wideband digital sample stream. This channel separation task consists of two distinct steps: frequency translation and bandwidth reduction. The first algorithm presented is a composite digital filter that performs part of the frequency translation step as the channel filter output is computed. This results in a significant reduction in the computation required for the frequency translation step of channel separation.

We then present an approach to the bandwidth reduction step that is designed to balance the output precision needs of the overall system with the desire to minimize computational complexity. The key idea is to compute the output of the channel filter using only a subset of the available input samples. We describe algorithms for choosing this subset of input samples in a non-deterministic manner to prevent narrowband aliasing while carefully controlling the signal-to-noise ratio at the output of the channel filter.

One of the significant results of Chapter 5 is that the computational complexity of the channel separation function need not depend on the *input* sample rate. Instead, we demonstrate that the channel separation function can be implemented with a complexity that depends on the *output* sample rate and the level of interfering signals are present in the wideband input signal at the time the algorithm is run.

Another essential function of a digital receiver is detection, where an estimate is made of the original transmitted data based on the samples of the received signal. In Chapter 6, we describe a new approach to detection, where the goal will be to produce estimates with a bounded probability of error using minimum computation. We present an algorithm that can produce such estimates with significantly reduced computation relative to conventional detection techniques. These performance gains result from two distinct improvements, both of which involve the evaluation of only a subset of the relevant samples for each data symbol estimate. For typical pulse shapes used to transmit data, there is an uneven distribution of signal energy over the duration of the pulse. We demonstrate that a significant reduction in computation is possible with little effect on error probability by preferentially analyzing only those samples that contain the most signal energy. Further performance gains are then achieved through the introduction of a conditional test that enables the detection process to terminate early under favorable conditions, resulting is a detection algorithm that has a statistical running time.

Finally, Chapter 7 summarizes the main contributions and themes of this work and indicates some directions for future work. A review and discussion of related work is presented in various places throughout the thesis.

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

## Chapter 2

## Flexible Design of Wireless Systems

Communication is the transfer of information from the source to the destination. In this process, resources are consumed: electrical power, RF spectrum, computational resources or elapsed time. In this chapter, we review how future trends will make it more challenging for mobile wireless systems to accomplish their communications objectives while efficiently using their limited resources.

We begin with a review of some of the functions to be performed in the physical layer processing for a wireless communications system and some of the relevant characteristics of the RF wireless channel. We then describe how decisions about the allocation of system resources impact the ability of the system to be flexible and efficient. In particular, we will show that a *static* allocation of system resources to individual users and a *static* design of the individual wireless links will result in unacceptable levels of inefficiency. It is this need for an adaptive, flexible physical layer implementation that motivates the work in this thesis.

## 2.1 Signal processing in the Physical Layer

The physical layer provides the interface between the higher layers of the system and the underlying physical communications medium, the analog wireless channel. Processing steps required in the physical layer are shown in Figure 2-1.

Processing in this layer has long been referred to as *signal processing* because it has involved continuous signals instead of discrete data. In the transmitter, the *modulation* process transforms digital information into continuous signals appropriate for the wireless channel. Because the wireless channel is a shared medium, the receiver performs functions that provide for *signal isolation* in addition to *demodulation*. After



Figure 2-1: A wireless communications system.

isolating the signal components corresponding to the desired transmitted signal, the demodulator recovers the digital data from these received waveforms. In the figure we see that the higher layer functions, such as source and error correction coding and decoding are separated from the signal processing functions.

In the earliest *analog* wireless communications systems, these signal processing functions encoded analog source signals directly into RF signals, so the source and error coding stages were not present. As systems were developed to communicate digital information, signal processing was still performed on continuous signals in the analog domain. In modern systems, however, significant portions of the physical layer processing are now performed using *digital signal processing* (DSP) techniques.

Digital signal processing still involves signals, but they are now sampled and quantized representations of continuous waveforms. The processing of these discretetime signals is performed as numerical operations on sequences of samples. Signal processing has now become a *computational* problem and systems are designed with ever greater portions of the "physical layer" implemented in the digital domain due to its relative advantages in cost, performance and flexibility [Frerking, 1994].

When we consider the different layers of the wireless communications system in Figure 2-1, we see that the physical layer is typically the largest consumer of resources in the system. For example, several studies have found that wireless network adapters

for personal digital assistants often consume about as much power as the host device itself [Lorch and Smith, 1998, Stemm and Katz, 1997]. Within the wireless network adapter, almost all of this power will be consumed in the physical layer implementation. This becomes clear when we consider that the upper layers of the protocol stack might require only a few operations *per bit* in an efficient implementation. In the physical layer, however, the signal processing might require tens of thousands of arithmetic operations to communicate a single bit from source to destination [Mitola, 1995].

#### Software Signal Processing

Moving the physical layer processing into software allows it to be treated as one part of the total processing required in the data communications process. The signal processing functions are computationally intensive and need to be well-matched to the properties of the wireless channel, but they are still only part of the processing chain whose overall goal is efficient and reliable communication. Although there has traditionally been a hard partition between the signal processing functionality and the higher layers of the system, that line in beginning to blur as more functionality is moved in to software. This allows signal processing functions to be more tightly integrated with the higher layers of the communication system, and also allows us to consider the design of the system as a whole, instead of separate parts.

In the chapters ahead, we demonstrate how to build the components of the physical layer in a way that provides not only the flexibility required for future systems, but also improved computational efficiency relative to existing techniques. As we have investigated this area, we have tried to incorporate and apply techniques and principles that have been used successfully to design efficient computer algorithms and software systems in the past. As a result, we have been able to identify new directions and new techniques that we believe will have significant impact on the design of future wireless communication systems.

## 2.2 Effects of Mobility on the Wireless Channel

In this section, we review some basic properties of the wireless RF channel and attempt to show how the desire for greater mobility and wider coverage leads to a more dynamic and challenging operating environments for wireless systems.

#### 2.2.1 Propagation characteristics and capacity

The physical channel only conveys continuous-valued, analog signals. The design of an efficient communications system will therefore require an understanding of how such signals behave in the wireless channel, not just the abstract view of digital communications as seen at the higher layers of a communications protocol stack. At a fundamental level, the wireless channel is not binary: data are not simply either "received" or "lost". Rather, the relevant phenomena are often smoothly varying and communications is often a matter of degree, of varying levels of confidence. There is a qualitative difference between the traditional interface presented to the upper layers of the system and the actual limitations due to the properties of the channel.

One important effect seen in the wireless channel is the *attenuation* of the signal as it moves from transmitter to receiver. The strength of the received signal relative to a fixed level of uncertainty present, called *noise*, is important because it determines the capacity of the channel to convey information. Shannon's equation for channel capacity shows that the ability of a system to reliably communicate information through a fixed-bandwidth (W Hz) channel depends on the ratio of the power of the received signal (P) to the power (N) of the noise (which is treated as a random signal) [Cover and Thomas, 1990]:

$$C = W \log_2\left(1 + \frac{P}{N}\right)$$
 bits/second (2.1)

From this we see that the theoretical limit on the capacity (C) of such communications is not fixed, but rather changes with the received signal strength.

In free space, the signal attenuation is between transmitter and receiver is proportional to  $1/d^2$ , where d is the distance between transmitter and receiver. In a terrestrial system, the attenuation can be much more severe; because of effect of the ground, signal attenuation is often assumed to be proportional to  $1/d^4$ , although measurements show that the exponent ranges from 2 to 6 in different environments [Rappaport, 1996]. In a wireless system the strength of the signal sensed by the receiver can vary significantly with range, often by many orders of magnitude.

Another effect of propagation is the attenuation due to objects in the environment. In an indoor environment, the signal passes through walls and floors, causing significant reduction in signal strength, often by several orders of magnitude. In an outdoor environment, foliage, rain, contours of the landscape, etc. can cause attenuation of signals traveling from transmitter to receive [Rappaport, 1991].

The wireless channel is also a shared medium in which signals are combined, leading to several types of *interference*. In one case, signals reflect off objects like buildings, walls, trucks, etc., producing *multi-path reception*. In this situation, multiple copies of the signal combine additively at the receiver. The separate copies of the signal experience different propagation delay and attenuation and cause self-interference. The effect is particularly severe when the time differential between successive arrivals equals or exceeds the time interval at which successive data symbols are encoded in the RF signal [Lee and Messerschmitt, 1994].

*Co-channel* interference often results from the *geographical re-use* of the same RF band in multiple locations (e.g. cellular telephone systems). This scheme is used to increase system capacity and relies on careful allocations of different frequency bands to limit interference. It is difficult or impossible for a receiver to separate co-channel signals without some additional techniques such as "smart" antennas or spread-spectrum approaches [J. C. Liberti and Rappaport, 1999].

Since techniques to isolate signals are imperfect, other transmitters in close proximity can also cause *adjacent channel* interference. Transmitters that share a channel are typically required to use different frequency bands or different time periods. However, the ability of a receiver to remove interfering signals is limited by unintentional emissions of transmitters using adjacent frequency bands and the desire to minimize the complexity of the processing at the receiver. All real receiver implementations suffer from imperfect signal separation techniques that are a compromise to reduce processing complexity.

All of these propagation effects must be taken into account in the design of a wireless communications system. It is generally the case that operating closer to theoretical limits on capacity in (2.1) requires an implementation with significantly more complex processing. Thus, as conditions change the theoretical capacity of a wireless link changes, or conversely, the complexity required to provide a fixed rate changes; if attenuation or interference conditions improve, a flexible system could provide better service with the same complexity or could provide the same service using a less complex processing solution. Similarly, when a particular system is designed to operate at a fixed rate under different conditions, its fixed capacity is an artifact of the implementation, not of the underlying theoretical limits.

#### 2.2.2 Dynamic conditions

The environmental factors described above can seriously affect the performance of a communications system, even in a relatively static situation. More significant, however, are the effects of *dynamically changing* conditions. This is one of the primary differences between a *fixed* wireless system and a *mobile* wireless system.

The motion of one or both ends of a transmission link during a communications session can change the propagation range and cause variations in signal levels at the receiver. Relative motion between the transmitter and receiver also causes dynamic multi-path interference conditions that depend on the frequencies of the signals, ranges, relative speed of motion, etc. In large systems, motion during communications can also result in *hand-off* between different areas of coverage. This technique is used to manage capacity and provide increased coverage, but can also result in rapid changes in the attenuation and interference that mobile users experience as they move between coverage areas.

Mobility during operation makes the dynamic nature of the wireless channel more severe. Dynamic propagation characteristics affect the strength and properties of the signal sensed by the receiver, and this has a direct effect on the theoretical capacity of a wireless communications system, as well as implications for the complexity of the processing required in the receiver.

### 2.3 Effects of Diverse Communications Services

We have seen that the trends toward increasing mobility and more widespread coverage in future wireless systems can have a significant impact on the performance of a wireless systems. Another such trend is the diversification of available services, which results in increased heterogeneous traffic. Different types of traffic have significantly different demands on the communications system. In the case of voice and data services, the different requirements for error rates, latency and jitter can lead to completely different system designs. These differences can be seen in many different parts of the system design, such as source coding schemes for compression, error coding techniques, medium access control (MAC) protocols, etc. In the case of the MAC protocol, constant-bit-rate data, such as voice, is best handled using a reservationbased access scheme, whereas bursty data traffic leads to a contention-based access control scheme [Stallings, 1990]. There are certainly hybrid approaches that try to provide better performance in the case of mixed traffic, but any static solution will be a compromise that either inefficiently uses resources or limits service flexibility.

The wider variety of channel conditions and desired services seen by the system will impact the design at the same time as capacity demands will require increased efficiency. The diversity of conditions and services may lead to different, even conflicting, demands on the system and will limit the ability of the system design to be optimized to improve efficiency and performance.

## 2.4 Design of Wireless Communications Systems

To identify aspects of the design process that might be profitably changed to meet the demands of designing the flexible and efficient systems of tomorrow, we begin with the end-to-end principle for system design. This principle provides guidance as to the best way to implement functionality in a communication system. In the classic paper on the subject by Saltzer, Reed and Clark [Saltzer et al., 1981], the authors state:

The function in question can completely and correctly be implemented only with the knowledge and help of the application standing at the endpoints

#### 2.4. DESIGN OF WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS

#### of the communication system.

In particular, we are interested in those functions of the communications system such as medium access control, error control, etc. that have a direct impact on the physical layer signal processing.

One of the first steps in the design of a system is to identify the types of end-toend services that will be provided, including types of traffic, access patterns, length of sessions, continuous or intermittent connectivity. The cost of providing these services will depend heavily on the anticipated range of channel conditions and the available resources, such as RF spectrum.

In the past, large scale wireless systems were designed to carry homogeneous traffic, offering uniform service to all users; channel conditions could be treated as static by designing to "worst-care" conditions. This approach enabled a static allocation of shared system resources to users and allowed the system to be optimized for a single type of functionality. The complexity of the system was reduced since there was no provision to re-allocate shared resources after the initial design.

Future systems will need to support a dynamic mix of heterogenous traffic. There will be no "common case" for which the resource allocation can be optimized and hence any static allocation scheme will involve accepting inherent inefficiencies. The end-to-end principle indicates that resource allocations can best occur when the requirements of an individual user are known, which will be at the time that service is requested. Even in current proposals for future systems, there is an acknowledgement that dynamic allocation of bandwidth to users based on requested services can improve efficiency and should be used [Grant et al., 2000].

Dynamic allocation of system resources will have a significant impact on physical layer processing, providing the potential to take advantage of the specific channel conditions and service requirements of individual users in order to more efficiently allocate resources to each. We have seen that dynamic channel conditions can result in "unused capacity" when conditions are better than those for which a static design was made. Recovering this capacity though the use of flexible physical layer designs will provide a much-needed improvement in overall system efficiency.

On a smaller scale, each mobile device within a larger wireless communications network needs to use its own resources efficiently. The end user in a wireless system has the most information about desired types of service, available resources, and local channel conditions. In future systems, the unpredictable needs of users and changing conditions will make it impossible to optimize for a single type of service.

In order to support diverse services, systems of the future will need some scheme to efficiently support dynamic types of traffic and conditions. This must extend to the physical layer, which contains most of the processing that depends on channel conditions and medium access control methods. In the remaining chapters of this thesis we describe a general approach for flexible signal processing algorithm development, as well as a number of specific algorithms that will enable more efficient use of both systems resources (such as RF spectrum) and local resources (such as computation and power).

## Chapter 3

## Balancing Flexibility and Performance

From the preceding chapter we see that there is a mismatch between the highly dynamic nature of the wireless channel and the use of static design techniques for the physical layer processing of the associated signals. While this mismatch has been tolerable in the past, growing demand for mobile wireless connectivity will make it necessary that the wireless systems of the future use their resources efficiently to support heterogeneous traffic over a wide range of channel conditions and user constraints.

In particular, wireless communications system will require the ability to:

- Adapt to significant changes in the operating environment or in the desired end-to-end functionality,
- Provide this dynamic functionality using, in some appropriate sense, a "minimal" amount of resources, thereby allowing the system to recover or conserve its limited resources, and
- Gracefully degrade performance in situations where desired performance is beyond the capabilities of the system for current conditions.

In this work, we make some initial steps in the direction of designing a more flexible communications system. We begin this process at a fundamental level: the level of the algorithms that perform the signal processing required for the wireless channel. These signal processing algorithms are the workhorses that couple the digital user to the physical RF channel. If low-level algorithms cannot operate effectively in changing conditions, then there is little hope of building from them a more complex system that can. In this chapter, we present some of the major themes that have been significant in the algorithm development work that comprises this thesis. These themes can viewed as general approach to DSP algorithm design that has resulted in number of useful algorithms for flexible wireless systems. They also highlight some approaches to algorithm design that are relatively uncommon in the design of DSP algorithms. These kinds of approaches are more common in the field of computer science, particularly in the areas of computer system and computer algorithm design.

### 3.1 Key Elements for Flexible Algorithm Design

In this chapter, we present some of the common themes that have emerged in the development of the different algorithms we present in this thesis. These themes are:

- 1. the identification of specific modes of flexibility that are useful in providing overall system efficiency under changing conditions and performance requirements,
- 2. the identification of explicit relationships between input and output data samples for each processing function, and
- 3. the use of the results of (1) and (2) to develop efficient algorithms through the removal of unnecessary intermediate processing steps and the use of techniques with statistical performance characteristics.

#### 3.1.1 Flexibility to support function and performance

Up to this point, we have simply stated we want to design algorithms that are flexible. Here we attempt to provide a clear picture of what this flexibility looks like and how we decide which types of flexibility are appropriate.

What are the specific types of flexibility that are desirable and what kinds of flexibility are unnecessary? Before answering this question, we first note there are two general ways that flexibility can be manifested. In some cases, flexibility might provide a smooth change in an algorithm's behavior as conditions change, for example, an algorithm needs to compensate as signal strength slowly fades as a mobile recedes from the wireless base station. In other cases, flexibility might provide a different choice in a set of discrete operating modes. A step change may be triggered by some gradual change that reaches a threshold value, or it may be due to a sudden change in conditions or desired functionality, for example, a mobile might hand-off to a different base station because of motion or to a different operating mode in response to a change in type of traffic (e.g., voice to data). It is also helpful to understand more precisely how providing flexibility within an algorithm can force us to give up efficiency in processing. One way to understand this is to realize that efficiency is often gained when multiple abstract processing steps can be combined for implementation. The principle is widely used in different areas of computer science, from computer algorithm design to compiler and computer language design.

Providing flexible operation affects performance because it introduces partitions that limit our ability to combine processing steps. To see this, consider a hypothetical processing system that consists of a series of abstract stages. Many factors influence where we place partitions in an actual implementation; we note a few that are relevant to our work. We might need to place partitions in the actual implementation at points where:

- we need to expose an intermediate result between two stages, or
- subsequent processing depends on information not known at design time (late binding or re-binding), or
- there is a need to reduce excessive complexity that would occur with a larger composition of processing.

We will see examples of each of these partition decisions as we examine the different DSP functions in our wireless communications system.

This common step of determining specific desired modes of flexibility is an important first step of the algorithm design process. As we examine each function in our system, we try to compose functions where possible, but retain modularity to provide desired modes of flexibility. We do not have any general rules, other than to say that we determine the desired modes of flexibility in each stage by considering the basic functions of each stage in light of the flexibility desired of the overall system.

#### 3.1.2 Understanding explicit data dependencies

A second common theme in our work has been the importance of understanding the relationship between a particular output sample of a processing function and the input samples that are required to compute it. It is important to identify this explicit relationship for several reasons: it allows us to compose processing functions, as described in the previous section, and it also allows us to develop techniques to compute *approximate* results in appropriate situations.

It seems rather obvious that we would need to understand how each output depends on individual input samples as we design signal processing algorithms, but this relationship is not always clear at the outset. DSP algorithms are often developed using analytical techniques based on frequency domain representations of the signals and processing systems, and these often require the assumption that the signals are of infinite duration. These design techniques tend to lead to a "stream-based" view of processing. In this view, the input-output relationship for a particular processing stage is characterized under the assumption that input and output will be infinite sequences of samples that represent continuous signals.

This stream-based view tends to blur the relationship between individual elements of the output sequence and the specific elements in the input sequence on which each output value depends. This viewpoint of data processing is adequate in situations where processing is repetitive and no conditional behavior is required. It does not provide much insight, however, in situations where it might be useful to specify different types of processing under different situations. This is precisely the type of processing that we would like to consider in our search for flexible algorithms. "One size fits all" processing might be well matched to an approach where static conditions exist or are assumed, but makes it difficult to exploit opportunities to reduce computation when favorable conditions allow.

#### 3.1.3 Techniques for efficiency with flexibility

The final step of our approach uses the results of the first two steps to design algorithms that can efficiently provide the flexibility we desire. This step has taken two general forms in our work.

In some cases, a clear understanding of input-to-output data relationships has led to the conclusion that current approaches using multiple processing steps can be modified to use fewer steps, yielding improved efficiency. In Chapter 4 we describe direct waveform synthesis, a technique for synthesizing digital modulation waveforms using a single mapping step implemented with a look-up table. This technique provides significant computational advantages over conventional approaches as it removes unnecessary intermediate steps that provide no useful flexibility to the overall system. The new approach provides a useful form of flexibility in its use of resources through the ability to use memory to reduce computational requirements.

In Chapter 5 we demonstrate a similar improvement for the frequency translation step of a wideband receiver. In this case, two steps of frequency translation and digital filtering are combined to reduce computational complexity. The resulting algorithm retains the ability to provide fine control of frequency translation necessary in a digital receiver system.

In other signal processing functions, we demonstrate that improved efficiency can be achieved by designing algorithms that produce approximate results with reduced computation when possible. In the random sub-sampling scheme for channel filtering in Chapter 5 and in the novel detection algorithm presented in Chapter 6 we are able to improve efficiency by exploiting techniques that provide only statistical guarantees of required computation.

Many signal processing functions have inherent statistical behavior because they involve random signals. In real-time DSP systems, however, the algorithms themselves are usually deterministic: they perform pre-determined processing on signals with some assumed statistical properties. This processing will produce a result that will have some statistical guarantee of performance, not because of the algorithm itself, but because of the random properties of the input signals. Deterministic algorithms are used because they ensure zero computational variance, allowing implementations to provide performance guarantees in real-time systems so that processing will always be completed by a processing deadline [Winograd et al., 1996].

Unlike DSP, computer algorithms often have conditional behavior that depends on the actual input data. This leads to algorithms that, unlike traditional DSP algorithms, have statistical running-time performance guarantees. We have identified a number of such cases where these techniques can provide improved performance. The matched filter detector we present in Chapter 6 reduces computation by providing a possibility of early termination and therefore does not have a deterministic running time. In Chapter 5, our sub-sampling scheme that uses randomness in processing to break up patterns and allow reduced computation. The use of randomness means that we will only know the *expected amount* of computation required to provide some desired level of output quality.

## 3.2 Related Work

In the previous sections we have described some approaches that have been important as we have designed algorithms that use flexible behavior to provide good performance. In this section we summarize work by other researchers that is related to some of theses goals: producing signal processing algorithms and systems with flexible behavior.

#### **Approximate Processing**

Work by Zilberstein [Zilberstein, 1993] and Zilberstein and Russell [Zilberstein, 1996] addresses the problem of a system operating in a real-time environment where the system is required to perform some type of deliberation prior to performing an action. In particular, their work addresses the case where the time required to select an optimal action degrades the system's overall utility, requiring the trade-off of decision quality for deliberation cost. This work focuses on the use of anytime algorithms that allow a variable execution time to be specified to provide a time/quality trade-off.



Figure 3-1: Performance profiles for (a) a conventional algorithm and (b) anytime algorithm (adapted from [Zilberstein, 1996]).

The difference between these algorithms and conventional algorithms is clearly seen in a *performance profile* that quantify the specific time/quality tradeoff for each. In figure 3-1, for example, we see a conventional algorithm in (a) where the output quality remains zero until the algorithm finishes computing the complete result. In (b), however, we see an algorithm for which the output quality gradually increases from zero to maximum as more computation time is expended. While performance profiles can be found for any algorithm, only algorithms with profiles that facilitate the trade-off of output quality for computational resource usage are useful for approximate processing.

Work by Nawab *et. al.* [Nawab et al., 1997] brings the concepts of approximate processing to the area of digital signal processing. They note that DSP seems to be a good application of the concepts of approximate processing because there exists a rich set of tools for quantifying the performance of DSP algorithms. These tools distinguish DSP from some other types of computational problems in that there are very precise ways to quantify and compare the output of DSP algorithms. Additionally, there has been a great deal of analysis on resource requirements for DSP systems such as arithmetic complexity and memory usage.

Their work presents several specific DSP algorithms developed with an eye toward application in approximate processing systems. They show, for example, that the fast-Fourier transform (FFT) has a natural *incremental refinement* structure that allows the algorithm output quality (in terms of probability of signal detection) to be improved by evaluating additional stages of the FFT computation. This concept of incremental refinement is seen as a key idea, since refinement of the quality of a DSP algorithm output thorough additional computation fits quite well with approximate processing ideas and many traditional DSP algorithms already display a natural incremental refinement property.
A general approach for developing ASP algorithms is found in work by Winograd [Winograd, 1997]. The approach is based on the idea of a *decomposition* of either the input signal or the processing system into multiple components. In one case, a partial result can then be computed by using only a subset of the decomposed signal elements passed through the processing system, alternatively, the original input signal can be passed through selected portions of the decomposed system to develop an output signal with the desired degree of accuracy or precision.

Our work in thesis this examines the use of some of these techniques in the context of the physical layer processing in a wireless system. Some of the results that we present in later chapters began with the idea of decomposing the input signal to produce an approximation of the output for a specific function. We also provide some analytical tools that are helpful in understanding the quantitative effects of some of these decompositions, as well as some ideas about which of the decompositions techniques proposed in [Winograd, 1997] might be most useful.

Other relevant results by Ludwig [Ludwig, 1997] demonstrate a technique to implement a digital filter that uses a filter structure with variable order to reduce computation in a frequency selective filter. This work demonstrates reduced computation relative to a fixed-order filter while maintaining a minimum ratio between passband and stop-band power at the filter output. In this work we also develop a technique to approximate the output of an frequency selective filter, but treat it as an approximation of the input samples stream through sub-sampling instead of approximating the filter itself.

#### FFTW: the Fastest Fourier Transform in the West

Another approach at using flexibility to achieve improved performance is seen in the FFTW project [Frigo and Johnson, 1997]. This work focuses on a single signal processing function: the fast Fourier transform (FFT). The goal of the project was to develop a flexible implementation that would provide good performance in different computational environments.

FFTW uses a library of FFT algorithms and automatic code generation to produce a number of alternative implementations for computing an FFT with a given size and dimension. FFTW then measures which piece of code results in the fastest-running program. This allows FFTW to produce a dynamic design for an FFT algorithm that can adapt to different size or dimension for data sets, or even different environmental factors of the host computer, such as size of memory, number of register, etc. FFTW is able to use this flexibility to produce FFT implementations that outperform many other implementations, including a number of implementations that were optimized for a specific processor platforms.

In order to optimize its performance, FFTW measures the execution time of differ-

ent algorithms to determine which is fastest. This is an example of a system that can improve its global performance in different computational environments by adapting to changing conditions. In our work, the goal it to enable this type of system design on a larger scale in a wireless communications system where adapting signal processing algorithms to different conditions or resource constraints would help to improve overall system efficiency.

# Chapter 4

# Flexible Processing in a Digital Transmitter

In this chapter, we begin our examination of specific signal processing functions in wireless communications systems. In particular, we start with the signal processing functions required in a wireless transmitter.

In chapter 2, we described a transmitter as being composed of two different functions: the source encoder and the channel encoder. We now further decompose the channel encoder into smaller components, as shown in Figure 4-1. This figure shows two distinct processing steps: error correction coding and digital modulation. The input to the channel coder is a bit-stream that has typically been processed to remove redundancy, thereby achieving an efficient representation. The error correction coding is a step that intentionally re-introduces limited redundancy in order to protect the data as it is transmitted over an unreliable channel. Sufficient redundancy is introduced to enable the receiver either to correct errors incurred in transmission, or to detect such errors and recover through other means such as retransmission. Error coders have been extensively studied elsewhere, see for exam-



Figure 4-1: Stages of processing within the Channel Encoder

ple [Wicker, 1995, Berlecamp et al., 1987], and will not be further discussed here.

Instead, we focus on the second processing step of the channel encoder: the digital modulator. The modulator performs the processing required to transform the data into a form appropriate for transmission through a particular physical medium or channel. After examining the specific functions required in this modulation step, we review some of the conventional approaches used to perform the required processing in the transmitter.

The remainder of the chapter will then be devoted to a presentation of a novel technique for digital modulation that extends some of the ideas of DDS to more complex digital modulation waveforms. We describe how this technique, which we term *direct waveform synthesis* (DWS), enables the creation of an efficient digital modulator appropriate for many different types of wireless systems.

## 4.1 Overview: The Digital Modulator

In this work we concentrate on modulation techniques for encoding digital data into signals. Hence we are concerned here only with systems that transmit digital information. Many wireless communications systems are designed to communicate analog waveforms (often representing voice or images) directly using techniques such as amplitude modulation (AM) or frequency modulation (FM), but the current trend in wireless systems is to transform such waveforms into some digital representation for transmission.

Another important characteristic of the techniques we examine is that they are used to generate waveforms in the *digital domain*. We do not generate continuous waveforms (which can be used to represent digital data, e.g. a square-wave voltage signal) that can be coupled directly to an antenna for transmission, but rather sequences of discrete samples that *represent* continuous waveforms. This approach requires conversion, at some point, of this digital representation into an analog waveform for transmission, but there are many significant advantages to separating the functions, as we shall see.

In Chapter 2 we described some distinguishing characteristics of the wireless channel. Understanding these characteristics is crucial as we consider the choice of waveforms to transmit digital information through these channels. One important characteristic is that a wireless RF channel is a *passband* channel, requiring the *translation* of generated signals to a higher frequency for transmission. This is precisely what happens in AM radio: the relatively low-frequency components of audible sound waveforms are translated to a much higher frequency band for transmission. The AM receiver then translates the signal back down to *baseband* to reproduce the original sounds.



Figure 4-2: Typical modulation techniques for encoding bits into a continuous waveform: (a) amplitude modulation, (b) frequency modulation, and (c) phase modulation.

In a digital wireless system, it is useful to think of the modulation process as encoding the digital data into a high frequency signal. In Figure 4-2 we show several common techniques for encoding digital information into a continuous waveform. These various techniques modify, or modulate, a high frequency *carrier* signal according to the two possible values of the bits of the original data; in Figure 4-2, the modification is made to either the amplitude, the frequency, or the phase of the sinusoidal carrier signal, respectively. There are also modulation techniques that can modify the carrier waveform to produce more than two distinct patterns. These techniques might use multiple values for amplitude or combine modifications to both amplitude and phase to create a larger set of distinct patterns to encode data. These approaches can then encode larger alphabets than just zero or one. Instead, they can encode *B* bits as a single symbol into a section of the carrier waveform by using  $2^B$ distinguishable modifications of the carrier sinusoid. In such a system, the task of the receiver is to distinguish among the  $2^B$  different possible forms of the signal in order to determine which symbol was transmitted in each specific time interval.

Another point to note regarding Figure 4-2 is that, in each case, the encoding of successive symbols is separated into disjoint time intervals. This is not true in general, and there are very good reasons why the sections of the encoded carrier waveform corresponding to continuous symbols often overlap significantly. We examine the implications of these overlapping segments more in the sections to come.

Many different modulation techniques have been devised for encoding a sequence of input data symbols into a waveform that can be transmitted through a wireless RF channel. Phase shift keying (PSK), frequency shift keying (FSK) and quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) are just few of the more common techniques. The wireless environment can vary significantly for different systems, and modulation techniques are designed to provide different sets of trade-offs between system performance and resource consumption. Some modulation formats can be optimized for high datarate, power-constrained applications, while others might enable relatively simple and inexpensive receivers [Lee and Messerschmitt, 1994, Proakis, 1995].

A flexible technique that can support many different modulation techniques is clearly useful. If we desire a system that can provide efficient operation in a wide range of environments while supporting different applications, it is evident that we may need to change between different modulation techniques as we encode data for wireless transmission.

## 4.2 Conventional Digital Waveform Generation

In this section we present some conventional approaches to waveform generation for communications signals. The first subsection presents a typical technique for encoding digital information into waveforms using pulse-amplitude modulation (PAM), which can be generalized to include a broad class of linear modulation techniques that are useful in different environments and applications. Following this, we review a technique known as direct digital synthesis (DDS) that has been used to efficiently generate simple discrete waveforms, such as sinusoidal carrier waveforms.

Following this review, we show how to extend some of the key ideas of DDS to the synthesis of more complicated waveforms, and we describe a new technique for digital waveform synthesis that provides many of the advantages of DDS in a more flexible and efficient digital modulator.

#### 4.2.1 Conventional techniques for digital modulation

Digital modulation can be viewed as modifying a sinusoidal carrier waveform to encode a sequence of data symbols for transmission. We can also view modulation as mapping individual data symbols to distinct continuous waveforms known as *pulses*. A composite waveform is formed by combining multiple, time-shifted pulses, which are sent sequentially through the channel as a single continuous waveform, as in Figure 4-2. Particular sets of pulses for encoding the symbols are chosen for their spectral properties and to enhance the receiver's ability to distinguish among different possible waveforms as it recovers the original data.

One widely used technique for constructing a set of pulses is to use scaled versions of a single prototype pulse. In pulse-amplitude modulation, the final transmitted waveform is a sum of time-shifted pulses, each weighted by an appropriate scaling value that corresponds to the bit it represents. Although the input data are typically binary, it is often useful to use more than two pulses, as we noted before. We often map blocks of bits into data *symbols*, each of which encodes multiple input data bits. For example, we may map blocks of two bits each into a set of four symbol values,  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ , which correspond to four different scaling values, say  $\{-3, -1, +1, +3\}$ . In a PAM system, this would result in a set of four pulses that have similar shape, but different amplitudes. In this particular example, the set of scaling constants are real-valued: they lie on a one-dimensional line.

In some modulation schemes, the scaling constants do not lie on a line, but rather form a two dimensional pattern. In general, then, scaling constants corresponding to different symbols in the alphabet can be complex-valued. It is helpful to visualize the set using a plot of the different values in a symbol constellation. Figure 4-3 shows two different constellations, each with 16 different (complex) values. The 16 symbols in each of these constellations represent different sequences of four bits of the input data. To create the corresponding waveform, we use consecutive symbols values to modulate versions of a prototype pulse, p(t), that are uniformly shifted in time:

$$s(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k p(t - kT_b)$$
(4.1)

Here s(t) is the resulting continuous waveform as a function of time, t. The summing index k indexes the elements in the sequence of symbols,  $a_k$ , that encode the original data bits. The constant  $T_b$  (another term for symbol is *baud*) is the time interval between consecutive shifts of the pulse as it encodes the data symbols. The sum in (4.1) is over multiple shifted and scaled pulses because the duration of the pulse can, in general, exceed the shift interval. This situation often occurs in systems that are designed to be efficient in their use of RF spectrum; a pulse that has a compact



Figure 4-3: Two symbol constellations used to map blocks of four bits into complex symbols for PAM, commonly known as (a) 16-QAM and (b) 16-PSK.

frequency-domain representation will tend to have a long time-domain representation.

It is helpful to note here that using a set of complex-valued symbols will result in a complex-valued s(t). Of course, a wireless channel can only convey one-dimensional signals: the voltage signal coupled to the antenna for transmission is a real-valued function of time. The complex-valued signals are therefore converted to real-valued signals during the translation to higher frequencies prior to transmission.

Because we are specifically interested in generating discrete sequences, we also define a discrete form of (4.1) in which  $s_n$  is a sequence of uniformly spaced samples of s(t):  $s_n = s(nT_s)$ , where  $T_s$  is the time interval between samples:

$$s_n = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k p[n - kN_s] \tag{4.2}$$

The discrete pulse p[n] is a discrete version of the pulse p(t) and the time shift has now become a simple shift in the time index by  $kN_s$ , where  $N_s$  is the (integer) number of samples in the output sequence occurring in each symbol interval,  $T_b = N_s T_s$ .

In (4.2) weighted and shifted pulses are combined by simple addition to form the output sequence that will eventually be converted to an analog waveform and transmitted through the channel. The limits on the summation in (4.2) indicate that each output sample may, in theory, depend on an infinite number of symbols. In practice, though, pulse shapes are used that have a finite duration and often simply



Figure 4-4: Conventional QAM modulator

correspond to truncated versions of much longer pulses that are attractive for other reasons (such as spectral properties) [Frerking, 1994, Lee and Messerschmitt, 1994]. It is normal, however, for pulses to overlap even after truncation because they are often still longer in duration than the symbol interval.

A typical algorithm for digital modulation is illustrated in Figure 4-4. Here we see that there are several steps in the production of the final output sequence. The first step is to map the input bits to a sequence of complex-valued symbols. Next, this symbol sequence is passed through a set of digital filters called pulse-shaping filters which multiply the real and imaginary components of the input symbol values by the sequence p[n] representing the desired pulse shape and summing the result as in (4.2). A final step is often included: the discrete waveform is translated to a higher frequency before conversion to an analog signal. This translation to an *intermediate frequency* (IF) allows the complex-valued sequence to be transformed to a real-valued sequence prior to conversion to the analog domain [Lee and Messerschmitt, 1994]. This operation is equivalent to multiplication of the real and imaginary components by a cosine and sine waveforms with frequency  $f_c$  (the *carrier* frequency), as shown in Figure 4-4:

$$s_{IF}[n] = \operatorname{Real}\left[e^{j2\pi f_c nT_s} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k p[n-kN_s]\right]$$

$$= \cos(2\pi f_c nT_s) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k,r} p[n-kN_s] - \sin(2\pi f_c nT_s) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k,i} p[n-kN_s]$$

$$(4.3)$$

We assume that p[n] is a real-valued pulse and that  $a_k = a_{k,r} + ja_{k,i}$  are the real and imaginary components of the symbol values.

So we see that the conventional approach is characterized by a series of mapping steps: bit to symbols, symbols to discrete waveforms, and baseband waveforms to IF waveforms. We will refer back to this series of mappings as we examine new techniques to generate digital waveforms that provide both more flexibility and more computational efficiency.

#### 4.2.2 Direct digital synthesis of a sinusoidal carrier signal

To understand how we can develop new techniques to produce channel waveforms, it is helpful to examine a waveform generation technique known as direct digital synthesis (DDS), which has become increasingly popular in recent years.

This technique is well understood and widely used in the design of digital communications systems. In this technique, a sinusoidal signal is synthesized from precomputed values instead of by computing the values of the samples as they are needed. This technique can take several different forms, depending on the amount of flexibility needed in the waveform to be synthesized.

Because a sinusoid is periodic, the single-frequency form of this technique efficiently generates a sinusoid of fixed frequency: we simply pre-compute the samples for a single period of the desired sinusoid and then cycle through and continuously output these values to produce a continuous sinusoidal sequence. One requirement for this technique is that we use an integral number of samples per cycle of the sinusoid. In this case,  $T_c = kT_s$ , the period of the carrier signal is a integral multiple of the sample interval,  $T_s$ . This scheme provides no flexibility as to the frequency of the sinusoid generated, but it is computationally very efficient.

A more powerful scheme, the phase-accumulator technique, uses a table of precomputed values and a *phase accumulator* to generate sinusoidal sequences of different frequencies. This approach uses a circular buffer containing a large number of samples, say  $2^N$ , of a single period of a sinusoid. A sinusoidal sequence with period of the form  $T_c = 2^N T_s/k$  can be generated by outputting every  $k^{th}$  sample in the circular buffer. The phase accumulator refers to an accumulator that stores the index value of the current sample. This value corresponds to the phase value of the sinusoid that is being generated.

This technique allows a system to avoid computing (and re-computing) in realtime the values of the sinusoidal sequence,  $s_n = \cos(2\pi f_c nT_s)$ , where  $f_c$  is the desired carrier frequency, by using the buffer of pre-computed samples. These samples of a transcendental function are particularly difficult to generate in digital logic, and other alternatives to this look-up table approach include approximation with Taylor series or use of the cordic algorithm [Frerking, 1994].

The phase accumulator technique is more flexible than the single-frequency technique because it can generate sinusoids with different frequencies. This additional flexibility, however, comes at the cost of reduced performance: we must compute a new index value for each output sample. Although DDS techniques are relatively straight forward, they can provide significant computational savings in a digital transmitter relative to a direct-computation approach. Relative to using a high-precision analog oscillator, DDS has the advantage of using simple hardware components; it can even be implemented in software.

### 4.3 Direct Waveform Synthesis for Modulation

In the previous section, we discussed two conventional techniques for generating discrete waveforms for communications systems. We first discussed a typical implementation of a digital modulator, which computes each output sample using the summation representation shown in (4.2). We also discussed DDS: a technique for synthesizing relatively simple waveforms, such as sinusoids, using a look-up table of pre-computed output samples. This technique required only a simple computation to find the correct index in a table for each output sample to be produced.

In this section we apply some of the ideas from DDS to produce a new simple and efficient digital modulation technique. Our goal here is to produce a digital modulator that can easily synthesize a discrete waveform using a table of pre-computed output samples, thereby greatly reducing the computation required in a digital transmitter.

There are two challenges that must be overcome to produce a practical digital modulation technique that uses less computation. First, the technique requires a mapping from input bits to output samples that works in the general case of overlapping transmit pulses. Equation (4.2) showed that each output sample might depend on a large number of input bits; we will show that this condition does not preclude look-up table technique similar to DDS, but that it can lead to prohibitively large look-up tables in some situations.

This is the second challenge: many DDS implementations use table with tens to hundreds of entries, and many tricks have been developed to reduce even these modest tables to smaller sizes [Frerking, 1994, Reed, 1998]. The most straight forward lookup tables for a digital modulator can contain thousands or millions of entries. Such a modulator may not be feasible, even though 256 megabits of memory may soon be available on a single chip.

We overcome this second challenge by decomposing a large look-up table into smaller tables, whose total size is also much smaller than the single original. Different decompositions provide a wide range of operating points that enable a flexible tradeoff between computation and memory requirements.

In the next sections, we describe the *direct waveform synthesis* (DWS) technique for directly synthesizing a baseband digital waveform. We also extend the basic DWS



Figure 4-5: Typical  $\sin(x)/x$  pulse shape for a bandwidth-efficient system, where  $x = \pi t/T$  and T is the symbol interval.

technique to allow the synthesis of digital waveforms at passband, thereby removing the need to translate the baseband waveform to IF, and also to allow the mapping of multiple symbols at a time, thereby providing more flexibility in the computationmemory trade-off.

#### 4.3.1 A new approach to modulation: Direct mapping

It is useful here to look at a typical pulse shape used in digital communications systems. Figure 4-5 shows such a pulse, which has the characteristic  $\sin(x)/x$  shape that is typical of pulses used in systems where it is important to use the available RF spectrum efficiently. Pulses with this shape maximize the rate at which data symbols can be transmitted minimizing the width of the frequency band used for the transmission. We discuss further properties of these pulses in Chapter 6, where we discuss reception.

A true  $\sin(x)/x$  pulse shape has an infinite duration in time, so modulators approximate it by symmetrically truncating the pulse to K symbol periods. This truncation tends to spread the energy of the pulse into adjacent frequency bands, and the degree of truncation must be chosen to balance this spreading with the computational savings of truncation. The choice of the specific value of K used to approximate a longer pulse is not the focus of this work, but specific effects of truncation are described



Figure 4-6: Proposed QAM modulator

in [Welborn, 1999]. In this work our results will be derived in terms of an arbitrary K.

In any case, the pulses used to transmit consecutive symbols will overlap in time because truncation to a single symbol period spreads too much energy. From (4.2) we see that we need sum only over the symbols for which the shifted version of p[n] is non-zero:

$$s_n = \sum_{k: \ p[n-kN_s] \neq 0} a_k p[n-kN_s]$$
(4.4)

From this result we begin to see how we can pre-compute the output samples  $s_n$ . Each output sample depends on K input symbols, or BK bits when we use a constellation of  $2^B$  symbols. During a single symbol interval,  $N_s$  output samples all depend on the same K input symbols, that is, the output waveform in that interval is completely determined by K input symbols.

To produce our direct mapping, it is now clear that we can map a sequence of BK input bits to  $N_s$  output samples. In this way, we can produce a look-up table that contains all possible symbol-length sequences of output samples by computing these sequences for all possible  $2^{BK}$  sequences of input bits. Once we have produced this table, we can use it to efficiently synthesize a continuous sequence that corresponds to the discrete-time digital waveform. This technique is shown in Figure 4-6. The steps of this *direct waveform synthesis* technique in its simplest form are summarized here:



Figure 4-7: Section of a synthesized 4-PAM waveform with  $N_s = 8$  samples per symbol interval. Individual table entries are indicated by boxes.

- Step 0: Compute entries of look-up table for all  $2^{BK}$  possible input bit sequences. Prepend bit sequence to be transmitted with B(K-1) dummy bits to initialize modulator. Set k = 0.
- Step 1: Compute index for table entry using bits Bk+1 through B(k+K). Output  $N_s$  samples of the discrete output waveform,  $s_n$ .

Step 2: Set k = k + 1, goto Step 1.

Figure 4-7 shows a typical section of a synthesized waveform where each table entry (indicated by boxes) contains eight samples of a discrete waveform. It is important to note that this algorithm does not produce an *approximation* to the waveform produced using the conventional technique shown in Figure 4-4. Both schemes produce exactly the same output sequence when they use the same pulse shape and associated parameters. Even the conventional technique must use an approximation to any infinite-length pulse in order to implement the digital pulse shaping filter. Although they are separate table entries, the segments of output samples produced using the DWS technique will always "fit together" to form a smooth, continuous sequence because of the process of using a sliding window of BK input bits.

#### 4.3.2 Decomposing tables to reduce memory

The size of the look-up table is  $2^{BK} \times N_s \times W$  bytes when an output sample is W byte. For example, a 4-PAM system (B = 2) with a 6 symbol-length pulseshaping transmit filter (K = 6) generating 10 samples per symbol  $(N_s = 10)$  and 2 bytes/sample requires a table size of 80 Kbytes, which is quite reasonable and would actually fit in the cache on most modern processors. For larger constellations or longer pulses the table size grows rapidly (exponentially in the length of the pulse or number of bits/symbol). For example, a 16-QAM system (B = 4) using an 8 symbol-length pulse-shaping transmit filter generating 10 samples per symbol and 2 bytes/sample would require 80 gigabytes to store the look-up table.

One way to reduce this growth in table size is to decompose the large table into several smaller tables in which we perform multiple look-ups of *partial* waveforms and then add the pre-computed values to produce the final waveform. To use this technique we must find an appropriate way to decompose the required sample sequences. This decomposition must satisfy two requirements. First, it must be "linear" in the sense that we can sum values obtained from decomposed tables to produce the same final output value as would be produced by the original table. Second, each component table must depend on a *smaller number* of input bits so that total size of the smaller tables is less than the size of the original table.

One solution that satisfies both requirements is to decompose the original table into D separate tables by having the index of each table depend only on  $\frac{K}{D}$  consecutive symbols (or  $\frac{BK}{D}$  consecutive bits) instead of the full K symbols (or BK bits), as shown in Figure 4-8. It other words, each table contains partial sums of only K/D terms from (4.4) instead of full sums of K terms, as in the original table. In the extreme case of D = K, each table has  $2^B$  entries that correspond to scaled versions of the pulse p[n] for each symbol in the constellation.

Now the total table space using the decomposition is  $D \times 2^{\frac{BK}{D}} \times N_s \times W$  bytes, and the cost of using this decomposition is that we perform D look-ups in each symbol interval and D-1 additions for every output sample produced. (The actual cost is less: we can use the same index computation result in each of the D tables in consecutive steps. This way we still only perform one index computation per symbol.) The previous example of a 16-QAM system with an 8 symbol-length pulseshaping filter would now require  $4 \times 2^8 \times N_s \times W = 20$  kilobytes (with D = 4) or  $2 \times 2^{16} \times N_s \times W \approx 2.5$  megabytes (with D = 2) when  $N_s = 10$  and W = 2bytes/sample.



Figure 4-8: QAM modulator using parallel look-ups to reduce table size.

#### 4.3.3 Extensions of direct waveform synthesis

#### Direct synthesis of passband waveforms

In our discussion of the conventional approaches to digital modulation, we noted that a digital modulator often produces the baseband digital waveform and then translates it to a higher frequency prior to conversion to the analog domain.

This DWS technique can be modified to directly produce a digital waveform that has *already* been translated to IF. This approach is useful when we need to produce an output waveform that contains multiple signals combined using frequency multiplexing, as might be done in a wideband cellular or PCS transmitter. For such a system, each of the individual signals can be generated at passband with the correct center frequency and then simply added to produce the desired composite waveform. We can see how DWS can be used to produce a digital waveform at passband by rewriting (4.4) from above:

$$s_{IF}[n] = \text{Real}\left[e^{j2\pi f_c nT_s}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}a_k p[n-kN_s]
ight]$$

#### 4.3. DIRECT WAVEFORM SYNTHESIS FOR MODULATION

$$= \sum_{k: \ p[n-kN_s]\neq 0} m_k \cos(2\pi f_c n T_s + \theta_k) p[n-kN_s]$$
(4.5)

where  $a_k = m_k e^{j\theta_k}$  is the magnitude-phase form of the complex symbol value. We will assume that the pulse shape p[n] is real-valued in (4.5), although we can still use this technique for a complex-valued p[n] if we modify the equations properly. In order to synthesize the digital waveform at passband as above, we combine the cosine term and the pulse sequence p[n] in (4.5). If we restrict ourselves to using an IF carrier frequency that has an integral number of periods in one symbol interval,  $f_c = m/(N_sT_s)$  for some integer m, then we can combine the frequency translation into the pulse shape by defining  $p_{IF}(t) = \cos(2\pi f_c t)p(t)$ . The time-shifted versions of the discrete form of this pulse now become:

$$p_{IF}[n-kN_s] = [\cos(2\pi f_c t)p(t)]_{t=nT_s-kN_sT_s} = \cos(2\pi f_c nT_s - 2km\pi)p[n-kN_s]$$
(4.6)

This shows that the composite pulse  $p_{IF}[n]$  can be shifted in time by any number of symbol periods without affecting the frequency translating component because of the  $2\pi$ -periodicity of the cosine term. We can now rewrite the equation for the passband signal using the composite pulse:

$$s_{IF}[n] = \sum_{k: \ p[n-kN_s]\neq 0} m_k \cos(2\pi f_c nT_s + \theta_k) p[n-kN_s] = \sum_{k: \ p[n-kN_s]\neq 0} m_k p_{IF,k}[n-kN_s]$$
(4.7)

To synthesize a waveform at passband, we simply use the same technique as before, but we compute the entries in the look-up table using the composite pulse sequence  $p_{IF,k}[n] = \cos(2\pi f_c nT_s + \theta_k)p[n].$ 

#### Storing multiple symbols per table entry

In an earlier section we discussed how the DWS technique can result in an extremely large table in some situations and how this table growth can be alleviated through table decomposition. The technique allowed us to select from several different decompositions (i.e., D = 1, 2, ...) for a waveform in order to trade-off between memory requirements and computational complexity. It is also possible to generalize the DWS technique to produce sample sequences for *multiple* symbols with each table look-up. Instead of mapping K input symbols to a segment of output samples representing a single symbol interval, we could map (K + M - 1) input symbols to an M-symbol interval. This technique could allow us to only marginally increase the number of input symbols (especially if K is relatively large) and yet produce two or three times as many output samples with each look-up. The point of this generalization is not to replace the special case of M = 1, but simply to provide the system designer with more options to trade-off between memory and computation in a digital waveform synthesizer.

Using this generalization, each table entry now contains  $M \times N_s$  samples and there are  $2^{B \times (K+M-1)}$  entries if we use a single table. The total memory space required for the general case using a decomposition is now:  $D \times 2^{\frac{B \times (K+M-1)}{D}} \times M \times N_s \times W$  bytes and the cost of using the table decomposition to reduce memory is still the same: we perform D-1 additions for each output sample.

One case in which this technique of storing multiple symbol intervals in each table entry is useful is in  $\pi/4$ -differential-quadrature PSK ( $\pi/4$ -DQPSK), which is used in digital cellular radio systems [Wiesler and Jondral, 1998]. In this modulation format, two different sets of four symbols (with a 45° rotational offset) are used to alternately encode the data. In this situation, the modulator needs to maintain some state to determine which constellation should be used for encoding, and to use two tables for the different cases. If the DWS technique is used to produce the waveform, blocks of four bits could be mapped directly to segments that are two symbol-periods in length, and there would be no need to maintain any state or multiple tables.

## 4.4 Performance and Resource Trade-offs

#### 4.4.1 Performance comparison

To compare our proposed architecture with the conventional architecture, we have tabulated the operation counts required to produce a single output sample for each scheme.

The operations required to produce a single output sample of a digital waveform at IF using the conventional architecture (as in Figure 4-4) are summarized in Table 4.1. In this table we assume that the local oscillator that generates the IF carrier is implemented using direct digital synthesis techniques. For the proposed DWS architecture, Table 4.2 summarizes the operations required to produce a single output sample. In this case, the operations required to compute the table index are performed only once for each sequence of  $MN_s$  samples, which are stored in a contiguous region of the look-up table.

An example of the total operations required for a typical modulator is helpful to understand the difference in operations required for these two approaches. For the case of a 1 M-baud (1 million symbols/second) modulator with  $N_s = 8$  and K = 4, the total operation count is summarized for each function below.

Function	Required Operations per Output Sample	
Pulse Shaping	$2 \times K \times N_s$ multiply-accumulate ops	
IF Carrier Genera- tion	2 array-fetches + increment DDS phase accumu- lator	
Translation to IF	2  multiplies + 1  addition	

Table 4.1: Required operations for conventional QAM modulator

Function	Required Operations per Output Sample	
Index Computation	$\frac{1}{MN_s} \times \begin{cases} B - bit shift \\ modulo operation \\ add symbol value \end{cases}$	
Table Look-up	array-fetch + increment sample index	

Table 4.2: Required operations for proposed DWS modulator

#### Conventional approach:

- Pulse Shaping:  $2 \times 4 \times 8$  MACs  $\times 8$  million samples/second= 512 millions MACs/second
- IF Carrier Generation: 16 million array-fetches/second + 8 million increments per second
- Translate to IF: 16 million multiplies/second + 8 million adds/second

#### Direct waveform synthesis approach:

- Index computation: 1 million ×( bit-shift + power-of-two modulo operation + addition) per second
- Table Look-up: 8 million array-fetches/second + 8 million increments/second

Clearly the DWS technique required much fewer operations. Although there are several different types of operations involved, there is about a factor of 25 difference between the total counts for the two: about 20 million operations per second compared to 500+ million operations per second for the conventional approach. Even this higher number seems modest by today's standards, but when we consider scaling to a system that modulates *billions* of symbols per second, the differences become more substantial.

#### 4.4.2 Memory versus computation

The trade-offs provided between use of memory and computation through the use of DWS are fairly straightforward. In the most general case, the total amount of memory required is  $D \times 2^{\frac{B \times (K+M-1)}{D}} \times M \times N_s \times W$  bytes and the computation is essentially D-1 addition operations per output sample. Because of the many parameters in the above equation, we have included a few plots that illustrate the different trade-offs available for a typical set of parameters. In Figure 4-9 we show the total memory requirements for both a 8-PAM system and a 16-QAM system. These plots show the trade-off between memory usage and computation using the table decomposition described above to generate sample sequences for multiple (M) symbols. The computation required in each case shown in the plots is determined by the value of D used to decompose the table (the computation is D-1 additions per output sample). In each of these two plots, the most important point is that there are a variety of operating points (indicated by the points where D divides K + M - 1 on the various lines), allowing the system to choose between the use of memory and computation according to other constraints that might exist in the system.



Figure 4-9: Plots showing memory requirements versus number of symbol periods per table entry for (a) 8-PAM and (b) 16-QAM waveform synthesis with K = 6,  $N_s = 10$ .

Modulation	Algorithm	Transmit Rate
BPSK (K=16)	Table Look-up	18.9 Mbit/sec
	(512  Kbytes, D=1)	
	Direct Computation	0.3 Mbit/sec
16-QAM (K=16)	Table Look-up	28.3 Mbit/sec
	(2048  Kbytes, D=4)	
	Direct Computation	1.2 Mbit/sec
16-QAM (K=10)	Table Look-up	36.8 Mbit/sec
	(16384 Kbytes, D=2)	
	Direct Computation	1.9 Mbit/sec
16-QAM (K=4)	Table Look-up	72.2 Mbit/sec
	(512  Kbytes, D=1)	
	Direct Computation	4.6 Mbit/sec
64-QAM (K=8)	Table Look-up	38.7 Mbit/sec
	(128  Kbytes, D=4)	
	Direct Computation	3.6 Mbit/sec

Table 4.3: Results of software implementations of conventional QAM modulator and DWS modulator.

## 4.5 Empirical Performance Evaluation

To provide a further comparison of the DWS technique, we have implemented both DWS and a conventional modulator (represented by Figure 4-4 and Table 4.1) in software. To determine the relative performance of each, we measured the time required for each technique to modulate a large number of data symbols. The results of this test are presented as maximum transmission rates indicated by measure runtimes and are summarized in Table 4.5 for a number of different cases. These different cases include three constellation sizes and different lengths for the pulse-shaping filter. For the DWS implementation, the table also shows the amount of memory that was required for the look-up table. The tests were performed using a laptop with a 366 kHz Celeron processor with a 256 kByte cache.

In most cases, DWS was able to support a transmission about  $20 \times$  higher than the conventional approach. This improvement is even seen when the required lookup table was far too large to fit in the cache of the processor. In the last case shown, 64-QAM, only a  $10 \times$  performance improvement was indicated. In this case, the relatively poor performance of DWS is probably due to the decomposition into four smaller tables to obtain a reasonable total memory size (using D=2 would have required 256 Mbytes of memory).

### 4.6 Implementation of a DWS Modulator

An implementation of the DWS modulation algorithm was done as part of the SpectrumWare Project at the MIT Laboratory for Computer Science. In this project, we have developed hardware and software components that enable the implementation of wireless communications systems in which all of the physical layer processing is performed in software on a general purpose computer. Details of both the RF interface hardware and the software programming environment are available in [Bose et al., 1999, Ismert, 1998, Bose, 1999].

The DWS algorithm was implemented in this software radio environment to study the usefulness of a flexible wireless transmitter architecture. Figure 4.6 shows the graphical user interface for the DWS modulator, a time-domain trace of the synthesized digital waveform, and a constellation diagram detected by looping the digital signal back to the receive portion of the system. The user interface provides software control of a number of feature of the system, including modulation format, receiver filter size and symbol timing and phase recovery controls.

This DWS implementation was used in an investigation of an adaptive voice compression and modulation scheme presented in [Rao, 2000]. This work demonstrates a system that uses a number of different voice compression algorithms and the flexible DWS modulator to provide efficient voice communications under a wide range of different SNR conditions. Under favorable conditions, the system provides higher quality voice using a high data rate compression and large constellation. Under worsening SNR conditions, better voice quality is attained by switching to voice compression algorithms that require lower bit-rates and using successively smaller signal constellations to improve the bit-error rate.

An example of this concept is illustrated in Figure 4.6. Here we see an 8-PSK constellation under two different levels of SNR. At the lower level, excessive bit-error rate can be prevented by switching to a 4-PSK constellation that provides a lower bit-rate with a lower BER.

## 4.7 Summary

Direct waveform synthesis provides a significant performance improvement over conventional approaches to digital modulation. This gain comes from its ability to directly synthesize waveforms using a simple table look-up based on the input bits to the modulator. We have also presented a technique that provides a flexible trade-off



Figure 4-10: Graphical user interface for an implementation of a direct waveform synthesis digital modulator.



Figure 4-11: Received signal constellation diagrams for (a) 8-PSK under relatively high SNR (b) 8-PSK under low SNR and (c) 4-PSK under low SNR.

between computation and memory usage for DWS by allowing the mapping to be implemented using a number of smaller tables instead of a single large table.

In the context of a wireless communications system, the digital modulator does not need to provide much flexibility during operation. Any change to the modulation format will require coordination between receiver and transmitter, and such changes will therefore tend to be infrequent. DWS provides a good balance of efficient performance, flexible implementation properties and the ability to be reconfigured if channel conditions or system requirements so dictate.

# Chapter 5

# Flexible Processing in a Digital Receiver

We have characterized the channel coder function in a wireless transmitter as a oneto-one mapping from a sequence of data bits into a sequence of distinctive pulses for transmission. At the receiver, the primary task is to reverse this mapping, thereby recovering the original data bit-stream. After this channel decoding, source decoding reproduces the original source information.

In Figure 5-1 we see a representation of the processing steps performed in the receiver. Also shown in this figure is a further decomposition of the channel decoder into several specific functions. The first two of these functions are channel separation and symbol detection. The combined task of these two functions is to reproduce the error-encoded bit stream passed to the digital modulator in the transmitter. Of course, the sequence of bits produced at the output of the detector may contain errors. Because the original encoded sequence contained some controlled amount of redundancy, the error decoder can detect the presence of many errors and either correct them or take other appropriate actions to handle the corrupted data.

In this work, we examine the processing required for the functions of channel



Figure 5-1: Required processing steps in a digital receiver.

separation and symbol detection. Our first goal is to develop a more fundamental understanding of the functionality required by these two processing steps in the digital domain. We then use this understanding to develop algorithms that provide both flexibility and improved efficiency over conventional techniques in a wide range of situations.

The channel decoder is traditionally divided into the specific steps of channel separation and detection because the wireless channel is a shared medium that combines many signals. The distortion caused by the channel takes on several forms: additive interfering signals, random noise, delayed versions of the same signal, etc. We therefore model the input to a digital receiver as a sum of an indexed sequence,  $s_n$ , representing the signal of interest, with I interfering signals from other nearby transmitters and a random noise component,  $n_n$ :

$$r_n = s_n + \sum_{i=1}^{I} s_{i,n} + n_n \tag{5.1}$$

The overall process of channel decoding requires the recovery of the transmitted data from this received signal. Algorithms for this type of analysis are sensitive to interference in the input signal, but often perform well in the presence of only additive random noise. We therefore divide the processing of the received wideband signal into two stages: first, the channel separation stage removes interfering signals, transforming the input sequence into another sequence that is simply a noisy version of the original signal, from which the detector produces an estimate of the original data encoded by the digital modulator.

In the next few sections we discuss the problems of channel separation in more detail and describe current techniques used to solve these problems. We then present several new techniques that enable us to perform the functions required in a channel separator in manner that provides both flexibility and greater efficiency than conventional approaches. We discuss the problem of detection, the second stage of processing, in the next chapter.

### 5.1 Overview: Channel Separation

We have seen that the discrete sequence of samples at the input to a wideband receiver is the sum of the desired signal and undesired interfering signals. We also assume that the signal contains additive random noise. This noise includes several effects, such as low level random signals picked up by the antenna and thermal noise generated inside the analog circuitry of the receiver.

The goal of channel separation is to prepare the received sequence, enabling the

#### 5.1. OVERVIEW: CHANNEL SEPARATION

detector to recover the original data sequence from the received signal. Stated another way, the channel separator should produce a sequence containing components in the received signal corresponding to the signal of interest, while removing the interference.

To facilitate separation, it is common to restrict, through regulation, the emissions of potential interfering transmitters, so that any interfering signals will occur in disjoint frequency bands in the RF spectrum. This technique for providing *multiple access* to the physical medium is known as *frequency division multiple access* or FDMA. Other techniques such as time division multiple access (TDMA) and code division multiple access (CDMA) can also be used to allow multiple transmitters to share the same band of frequencies, but are not addressed here. In FDMA, separating the desired signal from potential *adjacent channel* interferers is equivalent to extracting from the received sequence only those components that occur within the band of frequencies corresponding to the signal of interest.

This separation is accomplished through the use of digital filters that pass signal components in the desired band of frequencies, the *passband*, and attenuate signal components outside of this band, in the region known as the *stopband*. In a wideband receiver the use of digital filters to perform channel separation can lead to an implementation with very high computational complexity. For a receiver in which the channel separation is performed in the digital domain, the work required to extract individual channels from the input of a wideband receiver provides a first-order estimate of the computational resources required for the *entire* receiver [Mitola, 1995, Wepman, 1995].

The reasons for this high computational burden are several. First, a wideband digital receiver will necessarily have a high input sample rate to adequately represent the wideband input signal. In addition, to cleanly extract a narrow band of frequencies, the receiver must accurately resolve those frequencies at the boundary of the band of interest. These two conditions combine to produce a situation that can require large amounts of processing to perform channel separation using conventional techniques. Before addressing this problem, however, we examine the basic steps that are widely used to perform the entire channel separation procedure using digital filters.

In Figure 5-2 we see the conceptual steps that are often used to perform channel separation. The results of these same steps on a hypothetical wideband signal (represented in the frequency domain) are shown in Figure 5-3. First, there is a *frequency translation* step. Here the wideband signal is shifted in frequency to bring the band of interest into the passband of a digital filter and the interfering signals into the stopband. This translation is represented in Figure 5-2 by a multiplication of the received sequence and a complex sinusoidal sequence. The magnitude of the frequency shift is determined by the frequency of the complex sinusoidal sequence.

The second step in this process is *bandwidth reduction*. Here the filter produces



Figure 5-2: Typical processing for narrowband channel selection.

an output sequence in which the components at different frequencies are attenuated or amplified according to the frequency response of the filter. Because this output sequence does not contain any significant components outside the band of interest, it is now possible to represent the desired signal using a lower sample rate without significantly distorting those components within the band of interest. If there were significant signal components present outside the band of interest, reducing the sample rate would cause *aliasing* of those components into the band of interest [Oppenheim and Schafer, 1989]. Hence a final step of *sample-rate reduction*, or *decimation*, reduces the rate of samples in the sequence by a constant factor D, the decimation rate. This rate reduction is also important because it reduces the processing load in subsequent stages.

Much of the work in this chapter was initially motivated by the desire to develop efficient techniques to perform the steps of the channel separation process in a wideband digital receiver implemented in software as part of the SpectrumWare project. One of the early goals of this project was to implement such a receiver that could extract a 30 kHz channel from a 25 mega-sample per second input sample stream. It soon became clear that conventional approaches to frequency translation and filtering would result in a design that would require too much computation to run in real-time on the 200 Mhz personal computers used in the implementation. Many of the results presented in the remainder of this chapter have helped produce an efficient software implementation of a wideband receiver, which will be described in more detail in a later section. In the next section, however, we first examine the specific steps of the channel separation process in more detail and then review some of the techniques that have been developed to perform them.



Figure 5-3: Conceptual steps used for conventional approach to narrowband channel selection: (a) frequency translation, (b) bandwidth reduction, and (c) sample rate reduction.



Figure 5-4: Block diagram showing frequency translation of desired signal before filtering and decimation.

## 5.2 Conventional Approaches to Channel Separation

To understand the level of computation required to separate a narrowband channel in a wideband digital receiver, it is helpful to look at the specific operations that are required. We assume that the filtering is performed using a digital FIR filter, which can be advantageous whenever there is a relatively large decimation factor [Oppenheim and Schafer, 1989].

In a direct implementation, as shown in Figure 5-4, both the frequency translation and filtering steps require computation proportional to  $R_{in}$ , which is itself at least twice the bandwidth of the wideband input measured in Hertz. This minimum sample rate requirement is a consequence of the Nyquist Sampling Theorem which describes the lowest sample rate needed to represent a band-limited continuous signal using discrete samples without inducing distortion [Lee and Messerschmitt, 1994]. In Figure 5-4,  $r_n$  is the received wideband sample sequence,  $h_n$  is the order-M channel filter (with M + 1 coefficients),  $y_n$  is the filter output and  $y_{n'}$  is the decimated filter output. To perform the translation, we multiply  $r_n$  by a complex exponential sequence to get  $x_n$ , with the desired signal at complex baseband. The output of the cascaded translation and filtering steps is:

$$y_n = \sum_{m=0}^{M} h_m x_{n-m} = \sum_{m=0}^{M} h_m r_{n-m} e^{-j2\pi f_c(n-m)T_s}$$
(5.2)

where  $s_n = e^{-j2\pi f_c nT_s}$  is a complex sinusoidal sequence with frequency  $f_c$  (the original carrier frequency) and  $T_s$  is the interval between samples.

Cascaded frequency translation and filtering is a very common approach and is seen in many digital receiver implementations [Frerking, 1994, Mitola, 1995]. It provides the flexibility of modifying the amount of frequency translation without redesigning the entire digital filter. In particular, the technique of DDS is often used to provide precise and flexible frequency translation. One drawback of this approach is that the frequency translation stage requires a complex multiplication be performed for *every* input sample prior to filtering (in addition to generating the complex sequence  $s_n$ ). This computational load can become quite high, especially as we consider the design of receivers with wider input bandwidths: the wider input bandwidth requires proportionally higher sample rates and higher computation for frequency translation.

For filtering, M + 1 multiply-accumulate operations are required for each output sample, where the output rate is  $R_{out} = R_{in}/D$ . An example of a wideband digital receiver with typical values for these parameters helps to make these relationships more concrete:

A wideband receiver for a cellular telephone base station needs to access 12.5 MHz of spectrum, so we will use  $R_{in} = 30$  M samples/second. We assume that a narrowband voice channel of 30 kHz would require a filter with about 1800 coefficients [Zangi and Koilpillai, 1999] and we will use a decimation factor of D = 600 to produce a complex-valued output sequence with  $R_{out} = 50$  k samples/second. Using these numbers, the computation required *each second* to generate the output sequence for a single narrowband voice channel is  $50,000 \times 1800 = 90$  million complex × real multiply-accumulate operations (for filtering), plus at least 30 million complex × real multiplications for frequency translation.

Many techniques have been used to make this computationally-intensive filtering task more manageable. We now examine a few of these techniques and how each improves efficiency over the conventional approach.

One common technique is to use a cascade of multiple FIR filters that perform the bandwidth reduction and sample-rate reduction in several stages. Using this approach, a lower average number of operations per output sample can be achieved [Frerking, 1994, Orfanidis, 1996]. The basis for this improvement is that the each of the multiple stages (except the last) computes an intermediate result that is used in computing multiple output samples in order to amortize the computational costs.

Another approach, the *filter bank*, is appropriate when multiple independent narrowband channels are to be extracted from the same wideband input sequence simultaneously. If each of the desired output channels has identical bandwidth and response (just different center frequencies) then techniques exist that can exploit the special relationship between the multiple sets of filter coefficients. These techniques can compute the multiple output sequences at a lower average cost than multiple, independent single channel filters [Crochiere and Rabiner, 1981, Zangi and Koilpillai, 1999]. This approach is similar to the recursive decomposition approach used by the fast Fourier transform, which can be viewed as a bank of uniformly-spaced frequency-selective filters.

A third approach, often used in dedicated digital filtering hardware, is a special filter structure known as a cascade integrator-comb (CIC) filter or Hogenauer filter [Baines, 1995, Hogenauer, 1981]. This technique uses a special filter structure with cascaded stages of accumulators and combs that can implement a bandpass filter using no multiplication operations. This approach is effective where multiple addition operations are more economical than a single multiplication.

All of these approaches have several characteristics in common:

- Each approach statically specifies the filter passband and stopband.
- In each approach, filter complexity is proportional to input sample rate; as receivers are designed with wider input bandwidths, the cost of extracting a constant-width channel increases as well.
- Finally, each approach needs a *larger* number of input samples, relative to the direct approach of (5.2), to compute a *particular* output sample. In a sense, they are less efficient in their use of each input sample relative to the single high-order filter whose coefficients are optimized to provide a desired filter response. This increased input-output dependence is ameliorated by the fact that each input sample is used in the computation of multiple output samples.

In the remainder of this chapter, we develop an alternative approach to channel separation that scales more efficiently with the input bandwidth (or  $R_{in}$ ) of a receiver. This requires that we *decouple* the some of effects that unnecessarily lead to this computational dependence on  $R_{in}$ .

In the next section, we present an new algorithm that performs frequency translation with computational complexity proportional to the *output* sample rate. Following this, we describe how to separate the bandwidth reduction step into two independent dimensions: interference rejection and SNR improvement. Decoupling can reduce the computational complexity of the bandwidth reduction step, permitting less work to achieve the desired result. We present a technique that uses *random sub-sampling* of the input sequence to achieve such a reduction. We also present experimental results that demonstrate the algorithm's effectiveness in performing channel separation in a wideband digital receiver.



Figure 5-5: Block diagram showing (a) frequency translation of desired signal before filtering and decimation, and (b) new approach that uses a composite filter to reduce computation.

## 5.3 A New Approach to Frequency Translation

In this section, we present a technique for reducing the cost of the frequency translation step in the channel separator. To understand this change, we look again at the right-hand side of (5.2). The frequency translation component can be partially factored from the summation. Combining steps by defining a new set of filter coefficients yields:

$$y_n = e^{-j2\pi f_c nT_s} \sum_{m=0}^M h_m r_{n-m} e^{j2\pi f_c mT_s} = e^{-j2\pi f_c nT_s} \sum_{m=0}^M c_m r_{n-m}$$
(5.3)

where  $c_m = h_m e^{j2\pi f_c mT_s}$  are new composite filter coefficients. Figure 5-5 shows that the steps of frequency translation and filtering have effectively been reversed between part (a) (the conventional approach) and part (b) where the multiplication required for the translation now occurs at the lower output rate. What is actually happening here is that we have transformed the (typically) real-valued lowpass filter into a complex-valued bandpass filter that passes only the positive frequency component of the desired passband signal. This decimating filter is applied directly to the received sample sequence and then there is a frequency translation of the decimated filter output sequence (at the lower output sample rate) to place the desired output signal at a center frequency of zero at complex baseband. Although the idea of combining frequency translation with an FIR filter is not new, previous approached required the use of multiple sets of filter coefficients, thereby restricting it usefulness [Frerking, 1994]. Our approach combines only the *time-invariant* part of the translation with the filter coefficients and factors the *time-varying* portion to accomplish arbitrary frequency translation using a single set of compound coefficients.

This technique has several advantages over the multi-stage technique. The most obvious is that we can dramatically reduce the amount of computation required for frequency translation. The generation of the complex exponential sequence and the frequency translation take place at the lower sample rate,  $R_{out}$ , and we have therefore reduced this portion of the computation by a factor of D. We are required to precompute the compound filter coefficients  $c_n$  one time, but this is trivial when we consider the potential savings as the filter might be used to generate thousand or millions of output samples. We still retain the ability to fine-tune the channel filter to the desired signal because we can vary the frequency after the filter to track any small changes in carrier frequency. The largest part of the frequency translation, the part that we combined with the filter coefficients in (5.3), does not typically need to be changed rapidly, so the increased efficiency of the frequency translating filter comes at the price of a mode of flexibility that was unnecessary.

Another advantage of this technique has to with the type of multiplication operations that are performed at each point in the processing. In the conventional approach, the received samples are real-valued and when we multiply by the complex sinusoidal sequence,  $s_n$ , we have a complex-valued output sequence. (This is indicated by the *double* line connecting the two processing blocks in figure 5-5(a).) The filter coefficients in the conventional approach are real-valued, which is reasonable since this is typically a low-pass filter with a response that has even symmetry. The multiplications in the filter in figure 5-5(a) are thus complex  $\times$  real. If we were to use complex-valued coefficients for the filter  $h_n$  we would need to perform complex  $\times$ complex multiplications and this would more than double the computation required.

In the new approach shown in part (b), the filter coefficients are already complexvalued, so that we must perform  $real \times complex$  multiplications, as before. If we choose to design the filter  $c_m = h_m e^{j2\pi f_c mT_s}$  using a complex-valued  $h_n$  filter, however, we could conceivably reduce the length of the filter by a factor of two while still satisfying the same passband and stopband specifications [Ochi and Kambayashi, 1988, Komodromos et al., 1995]. This complex-valued design would not result in any additional run-time computation (we would still perform  $real \times complex$  multiplications to evaluate the filter output), but we would get up to a  $2\times$  reduction in computation due to the reduced length of the filter sequence,  $c_n$ .
In the case of the example wideband base station receiver described in Section 5.2, this new technique allows the complexity of the frequency translation to be reduced by a factor of 600, from 30 million to only 50 thousand *complex* × *real* multiplies. In addition, the use of a complex-valued design for the initial filter  $h_n$  might reduce the complexity of the filtering by as much as a factor of two, from 90 million to 45 million multiplies. The overall reduction in computation is thus over 60% in this particular application.

The disadvantage of this algorithm relative the conventional approach is that it requires that the composite filter coefficients be computed before filtering, or recomputed to tune the filter to a significantly different carrier frequency. In a system with sufficient memory to store multiple filter definitions, selecting a different carrier frequency for the filter would simply require using a different set of pre-computed filter coefficients.

## 5.3.1 Implementation of a narrowband filtering system

The frequency translation techniques described above were implemented in a number of wireless applications developed in the SpectrumWare Project. One such application was a narrowband demodulator for the analog AMPS cellular telephone system [Bose et al., 1999]. The composite filter technique was essential in this implementation, enabling it to perform real-time separation of a 30 kHz channel from a 10 MHz wideband input, in addition to FM demodulation and audio processing. The same technique was used in a number of other applications, including a study of effective ways to scale the channel separation applications to a multi-processor platform demonstrated linear performance improvements in a multi-processor system through the use of an architecture that separated data management and control functions from the signal processing functions [Vasconcellos, 1999]. This work demonstrated that a 4-processor Pentium III personal computer could perform channel separation for up to 32 narrowband AMPS channels simultaneously.

# 5.4 A New Approach to Bandwidth Reduction

Although it is relatively easy to understand the modification of the *frequency translation* step in the previous section, it is more difficult to see how to modify the *bandwidth reduction* step to remove the dependence of the computational complexity of the filtering on the input sample rate. To understand why the dependency arises, it is useful to look more closely at two specific effects of filtering: interference rejection and SNR improvement. Separating these two effects will allow us to design a filter sufficient to reject adjacent channel interference while performing the minimum work required to improve or maintain the output SNR.

In a receiver where we extract a narrowband signal from a wideband sample stream, it is often necessary to specify a sharp transition between passband and stopband to reject adjacent channel interference. This requirement often leads to a large number of coefficients in the resulting FIR filter, a direct implementation of which will have a computational complexity proportional to the input sample rate [Jackson, 1989]. A second consequence of using a high-order FIR filter is that we can get a significant improvement in the SNR of the output signal relative to the input signal. In fact, for every factor of two by which the narrowband signal is initially over-sampled, we can improve the SNR by 3 dB if appropriate filtering is performed to remove out-of-band noise [Wepman, 1995].

This means that the ability to reject adjacent channels is related to the *length* (in time) of the impulse response of the channel filter, while the improvement in SNR due to oversampling and filtering (as well as the amount of computation required) depends on the *number* of input samples used to compute each output sample. In practice it is the first effect, the rejection of potential interferers, that dominates the filter design; the choice of filter length then determines the number of input samples used, not the requirement for some minimum output SNR. In order to decouple these two effects, we present an approach in which a narrowband filter is designed with an impulse response that satisfies the filtering requirements, but then we compute the filter output values using *only a subset* of the available input samples.

In particular, we will use a filter with a sufficiently long time response to provide the sharp transition we desire, using only as many samples within that response interval as are necessary to produce or maintain the required output SNR. These ideas are shown more clearly in Figure 5-6(a)-(c). In part (a) of this figure we see a case where the number of samples in the input region of the filter is determined by the length of this region (the length of the filter response). In (b), we see where an increase in the length of the filter response will lead to a larger number of samples used when evaluating the filter output. Alternatively, in part (c), we see that we can decouple the *number* of samples used from the *length* of the response by using only a subset of the samples in the region corresponding to the filter input region.

In the following sections we analyze the usefulness of this approach and present several techniques that can be used to determine how such a subset of samples can be determined for a channel selection system.

### 5.4.1 Decoupling filter length and SNR

Almost all filter design strategies are based on the assumption that a digital filter will use a contiguous block of samples as input, as shown in Figure 5-6 parts (a) and (b). Algorithms to design optimal filters for channel selection applications are no excep-



Figure 5-6: Illustration of how we decouple the length of the filter input region from the *number* of samples that it contains.

tion, and often the optimal design is viewed as the lowest-order filter (smallest number of multiplications) that will provide a desired level of stopband attenuation and passband ripple, regardless of SNR considerations [Steiglitz et al., 1992, Jackson, 1989]. We will approach the design of such a filter by using a conventional filter design, and then *discard* some of the terms in the summation in order to reduce computation while maintaining an acceptable output signal quality. We will treat this discarding of terms as a removal of some of the samples in the input sample stream and we will try to determine which samples and how many samples can be safely discarded.

Any time that we simply discard some of the samples in a sample stream we will cause distortion to the signal represented by the sequence. The object of the work presented in this section is to determine whether we can minimize this distortion effect within the narrow band of frequencies that will be passed through the channel filter. The approach presented here is based on ideas from the area of randomized signal processing presented in [Bilinskis and Mikelsons, 1992]. However, in this work we use random choice in a different way. We introduce the randomness while processing a stream of uniformly spaced samples, as opposed to introducing the randomness while performing quantization or sampling of the original analog signals.

### 5.4.2 Random sub-sampling

The goal of the channel filter is to remove adjacent channels from the wideband signal so that sample rate can be reduced without causing the aliasing of other interfering signals into band of interest. This is accomplished by designing the filter to reject *all* potential interfering signals, and only then reducing the sample rate in order to reduce the computational load in subsequent stages. For the remainder of this chapter, we assume that the input to the channel filter is a sequence of uniformlyspaced real-valued samples of a signal with bandwidth  $W_0$ . We wish to generate an output sequence that contains only those components of this signal that lie within a certain narrow frequency band  $W_N \ll W_0$ .

This is often accomplished using a decimating FIR filter that passes only the band of frequencies we desire. In such a filter, the values of the output sequence are computed from the input using discrete-time convolution:

$$y_n = \sum_{m=0}^{M} h_m r_{n-m}$$
(5.4)

Here  $h_m$  is the length-(M + 1) sequence whose elements are the coefficients of the order-M FIR filter. The input sequence  $r_n$  is assumed to be infinite and n is the time index for the sample  $r_n$ . The order, M, of the filter has been chosen to sufficiently attenuate all out-of-band signal components so that, after filtering, we can compress

our representation of the output signal by the decimation factor of D. This need to resolve and remove out-of-band components is the primary factor that drives the determination of the minimum length of the filter response. The compression of the output representation of the decimating FIR filter is accomplished as we compute the output samples only for times n = kD. Each of these output samples will, of course, require M + 1 multiplications and M additions to compute.

Note that the filter order M is not chosen specifically to provide some required level of output SNR in the channel filter. Our idea is to produce output samples  $y'_n$ that only *approximate* the  $y_n$  to the extent that they still provide the desired level of SNR at the output while requiring fewer operations to compute. We will compute these approximate samples by only partially evaluating the summation shown in (5.4) for each sample:

$$y'_n = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{S}_n} h_m r_{n-m} \tag{5.5}$$

where the selection set  $S_n \subset \{0, 1, ..., M\}$  is the subset of the indices of the filter coefficients used to compute  $y_n$ . We would like to find a way to choose this subset that will adequately approximate the original output sequence  $y_n$  while using the smallest amount of computation, that is, using the smallest expected number of terms in each sum,  $E\{|S_n|\}$ .

Our investigation of this problem will begin with the development of some tools to provide a quantitative understanding of the effect of discarding input samples. We first introduce a new model that allows us to analyze the effect of discarding different sets of input samples. We also present an expression that represents the distortion caused by this operation of discarding samples. Using these tools, we then evaluate an algorithm that allows us to discard samples while bounding the distortion measured at the filter output. This is equivalent to reducing the computation required in channel separation while maintaining some minimum level of SNR at the filter output.

The technique that we present has two forms. The first makes no assumptions about the input signal: it simply discard samples randomly to reduce computation. The other form demonstrates that we can use knowledge about the input signal (not actual samples, but rather in terms of the expected distribution of energy at different frequencies) to further reduce computation for the same level of output distortion. This approach to reducing computation can be generalized in a number of ways, but in this work we restrict our consideration to a scheme that induces distortion that has a flat spectrum, that is, a type of distortion in which the error at each point in the sequence is uncorrelated with the error at other sample points. This *white* distortion is often easier to deal with in subsequent processing stages, such as a detector.



Figure 5-7: Diagram showing conventional FIR filter and model for approximating output samples.

### 5.4.3 Model for analysis

We will use the model shown in Figure 5-7 to analyze the effect of approximating the output samples through the use of random sub-sampling of the input.

In this figure we see the original filtering operation, shown in part (a), as well as the model that produces the approximate output samples in part (b). In part (b) the block labeled "Sample Selector" controls which samples will be used in the computation of the approximate output,  $y'_n$ . This selection process is modeled as multiplication by the sequence  $z_n$ : when a particular  $z_n = 0$ , the corresponding  $r_n$ will not contribute to the computation. The non-zero  $z_n$  can, in general, take on any value that will help us reduce the approximation error; we discuss how the values of the non-zero  $z_n$  are chosen later. We can now rewrite the expression for the  $y'_n$  as

$$y'_{n} = \sum_{m=0}^{M} h_{m} r_{n-m} z_{n-m} = \sum_{m=0, \ z_{n-m} \neq 0}^{M} h_{m} r_{n-m} z_{n-m}$$
(5.6)

Before we proceed with a complete analysis of this model for sub-sampling and its effects on the filter output, it is helpful to present a short example of one simple random sub-sampling scheme. We perform a simple analysis of this example case to build some intuition for sub-sampling before analyzing a more general rule for discarding samples in the sections that follow.

### 5.4. A NEW APPROACH TO BANDWIDTH REDUCTION

### Discarding samples using biased coin flips

One simple way to pick the values of  $z_n$  in Figure 5-7 is to use a biased coin that gives probability p of retaining a sample:

$$z_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} & \text{with probability } p\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(5.7)

Here the samples that are retained are multiplied by the constant 1/p. To understand the effect on the filter output of this discarding of samples, we use standard results from signal processing that describe the effect of passing a random signal through a linear filter like the one in Figure 5-7. Before showing these results, however, we need a few definitions.

We define the *autocorrelation sequence* (ACS) of a real-valued random sequence  $s_n$  as the expectation:

$$R_s[n,m] = E\{s_n s_m\}$$
(5.8)

A random sequence  $s_n$  is wide-sense stationary (WSS) if:

- 1. the expected value,  $E\{s_n\}$  is independent of time, and
- 2. the ACS can be written as a function only of the difference, k = m n, between the samples in the expectation:  $R_s[n, m] = R_s[k] = E\{s_n s_{n+k}\}$ .

When a sequence is WSS, we can also define the *power spectrum density* (PSD) as the discrete time Fourier transform (DTFT) of the ACS:

$$S_s(\Omega) = \text{DTFT}\{R_s[k]\} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_s[k]e^{-j\Omega k}$$
(5.9)

The subscript s in both  $R_s[k]$  and  $S_s(\Omega)$  refer to the original sequence  $s_n$ . We also note that whereas  $R_s[k]$  is a discrete sequence,  $S_s(\Omega)$  is a continuous function in the frequency domain. We use  $\Omega$  as the frequency domain parameter (as opposed to  $\omega$ ) to indicate that this is the transform of a discrete sequence and is therefore periodic in the frequency domain with period  $2\pi$ .

The sequence of filter coefficients  $h_n$  is a finite-length *deterministic* sequence, and we will write its DTFT as:

$$H(\Omega) = \text{DTFT}\{h_n\} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_k e^{-j\Omega k}$$
(5.10)

When a WSS random sequence is passed through a digital filter such as  $h_n$ , its output is also a WSS random sequence, and we can write the PSD of the output sequence in terms of in the input PSD and the filter. For the filter shown in Figure 5-7(a), the output PSD is [Oppenheim and Schafer, 1989]:

$$S_y(\Omega) = \text{DTFT}\{R_y[k]\} = |H(\Omega)|^2 S_r(\Omega)$$
(5.11)

For the case of our simple coin-flipping sample selector, we can now analyze the effect of discarding samples. The ACS and PSD of the selection sequence  $z_n$  defined in (5.7) are:

$$R_{z}[k] = E\{z_{n}z_{n+k}\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p}, & k = 0\\ 1, & k \neq 0 \end{cases}$$
(5.12)

$$S_z(\Omega) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} R_z[k] e^{-j\Omega k} = \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) + 2\pi \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(\Omega - 2\pi l)$$
(5.13)

The PSD of the input to the filter in Figure 5-7(b) is the PSD of the product  $r_n z_n$ , which is the periodic convolution of  $S_r(\Omega)$  and  $S_z(\Omega)$  [Oppenheim and Schafer, 1989]:

$$S_{\{rz\}}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} S_r(\theta) S_z(\Omega - \theta) d\theta$$
(5.14)

If we substitute from (5.13) and carry out the convolution we get:

$$S_{\{rz\}}(\Omega) = \frac{1-p}{2\pi p} \int_{2\pi} S_r(\theta) d\theta + \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{2\pi} S_r(\theta) \delta(\Omega - \theta + 2\pi l) d\theta$$
(5.15)

The second term in the right-hand side of this result reduces to simply  $S_r(\Omega)$  because of the sifting property of the integration with the impulses and the periodic spectrum of the PSD. This PSD at the filter output can now be written as simply the original filter output from (5.11) plus a second additive term:

$$S_{y'}(\Omega) = |H(\Omega)|^2 S_r(\Omega) + |H(\Omega)|^2 \left(\frac{1-p}{2\pi p} \int_{2\pi} S_r(\theta) d\theta\right)$$
(5.16)

This result in (5.16) helps us to understand the effect of discarding samples according to simple biased coin flips. The first term in (5.16) is equal to the PSD of the original output signal when no samples were discarded. The second term is additive and represents the distortion caused by discarding some samples. Note that when p = 1(all samples are used) the distortion is zero and the distortion increases as p decreases. In Figure 5-8, the distortion is plotted as a function of the probability p of retaining each sample for typical values of  $h_n$  and  $S_r(\Omega)$ . The results shown in this figure are developed more fully in the next few sections, but we can see that as p decreases from one to near zero the level of distortion increases to levels that exceed the signal



Figure 5-8: Distortion due to discarding input samples according to random coin flips.

of interest (at 0 dB in the plot, the power of the distortion equals the power of the signal itself).

### Analysis of the error sequence

The example of choosing the values of  $z_n$  using a biased coin helped us to gain some intuition about the effect of discarding input samples as we compute the output of a narrowband channel filter. Discarding samples led to additive distortion in (5.16) whose PSD increased in magnitude as samples were discarded. In order to understand how to choose the selection sequence  $z_n$  in a manner that will allow us to more carefully control the induced distortion, we now provide a more general analysis the effect of discarding samples.

We first define the error between the approximate filter output  $y'_n$  and the original output sequence:

$$e_n = y'_n - y_n \tag{5.17}$$

We would like this error sequence,  $e_n$ , to have zero mean (to provide an *unbiased* approximation) and, for a given choice of the sequence  $z_n$ , we would like to compute its variance, i.e., the mean-squared error (MSE) of the distorted output sequence relative to the original output:

$$\operatorname{var}(e_n) = E\{e_n^2\} \tag{5.18}$$



Figure 5-9: Model for analysis of error variance due to random sub-sampling.

In Figure 5-9, we have combined the two schemes from Figure 5-7 to produce the error sequence for the purpose of analysis. Before using this combined model to derive the relationship between the input sequences and the output variance, we need to state a few assumptions that will simplify the derivation.

We again assume that the input sequence  $r_n$  is wide-sense stationary. We also assume that the  $z_n$  are chosen independently of the values of  $r_n$ . This allows us to fully realize the computational savings of discarding some input samples without examination, as well as allowing us to pre-compute  $z_n$ . It is important that the sequence  $z_n$  have a non-zero mean; we will see later that this determines the amplitude of the desired signal in the output sequence. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $E\{z_n\} = 1$ . This specific choice prevents problems with scaling factors later but does not limit our choice of sequences, as long as we scale them appropriately. We also will define  $v_n = z_n - 1$  to simplify the notation in our analysis (so  $E\{v_n\} = 0$ ). We can now write the ACS for the filter input sequence,  $d_n = r_n z_n - r_n$  (the distortion sequence), as:

$$R_d[k] = E\{d_n d_{n+k}\} = E\{r_n r_{n+k} v_n v_{n+k}\} = R_r[k]R_v[k]$$
(5.19)

Our model for approximating the filtering operation has several desirable characteristics. First, if the length of the filter (M + 1 coefficients) is greater than the decimation factor, then some input sample will be required in the computation of *multiple* output values. When this is the case, our model will ensure that these samples are used in every such computation or in none of them. This is useful in a real implementation where much of the cost of the computation is retrieving a sample from memory, not in performing the actual arithmetic operation  $(h_m r_{n-m})$ .

In a sense, we are approximating the *input sequence* as opposed to approximating the *filter*. The selection set  $S_n$  can be viewed as the set of filter coefficients that are

used to compute each output. If this set were the same for every n, it would simply define a new filter that is an approximation of the original filter. This approximation approach could be evaluated using standard filter response techniques and the analysis of such an approach is not part of this work.

### **Problem Statement**

Using the model shown in Figure 5-9 and the definitions above, we now state our problem more precisely:

Find the sequence  $z_n$  that minimizes the expected amount of computation required to approximate the filter output while ensuring that the error variance is less than or equal to a bound B:

$$\min\left[\Pr\{z_n \neq 0\}\right] \quad \text{such that} \quad E\{e_n^2\} \le B \tag{5.20}$$

### Finding the Error Variance

To analyze the effects of  $z_n$  on the variance of the error sequence, we first write the power spectrum density of the output of the filter in Figure 5-9. This output PSD can be written in terms of the input PSD and the frequency response of the filter, similar to (5.11):

$$S_e(\Omega) = |H(\Omega)|^2 S_d(\Omega) \tag{5.21}$$

The variance of  $e_n$  can be written as the inverse DTFT of this PSD evaluated at k = 0:

$$\operatorname{var}(e_n) = R_e[0] = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} S_e(\Omega) e^{-j\Omega k} d\Omega\right]_{k=0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} S_e(\Omega) d\Omega$$
(5.22)

Writing this variance in terms of the input sequence PSD:

$$\operatorname{var}(e_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} |H(\Omega)|^2 S_d(\Omega) d\Omega$$
(5.23)

The PSD of the input sequence,  $S_d(\Omega)$ , represents the distribution in *frequency* of the expected distortion (the squared difference,  $d_n^2$ ) caused by discarding samples according to the sequence  $z_n$ . To reduce the variance at the output we would ideally like this distortion to occur at frequencies for which the amplitude of  $H(\Omega)$  in (5.23) is small: the *stopband* of the filter. If  $d_n$  is a white sequence then  $S_d(\Omega)$  will be constant for all  $\Omega$  (since  $d_n$  must also be zero-mean). This implies, from (5.23), that the output variance will be simply proportional to the input variance,  $E\{d_n^2\}$ , and that the proportionality factor will depend only on the response of the bandpass filter,  $H(\Omega)$ .

If we substitute in (5.23) using the definition of the DTFT of  $R_d[k]$ ,

$$\operatorname{var}(e_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} |H(\Omega)|^2 \left[ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_d[k] e^{-j\Omega k} \right] d\Omega$$
(5.24)

Exchanging the order of summation and integration, we get:

$$\operatorname{var}(e_n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_d[k] \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} |H(\Omega)|^2 e^{-j\Omega k} d\Omega \right]$$
(5.25)

The expression in the square brackets above is the inverse DTFT of the squared response of the filter. This can be written as the ACS of the deterministic, finite-length sequence of filter coefficients  $h_n$ , which we will call  $c_h[k]$ :

$$c_h[k] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} h[m]h[k+m] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2\pi} |H(\Omega)|^2 e^{-j\Omega k} d\Omega$$
 (5.26)

We can now combine all of these results to show that the output error variance is simply the sum of a product of three sequences:

$$\operatorname{var}(e_n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_r[k] R_v[k] c[k] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_r[k] (R_z[k] - 1) c_h[k]$$
(5.27)

To check this result, consider the case of zero output distortion: choosing  $z_n = 1$  for all n results in  $R_v[k] = 0$  for all k, giving zero error.

This result in (5.27) is significant for several reasons. First, it shows that the error variance of our approximation scheme depends on the sequence  $v_n$  (and hence  $z_n$ ) only through its ACS. Second, it shows that the error variance depends linearly on all three of the key parts of the system: the ACS of the input sequence, the ACS of the selection sequence and the coefficients of the channel selection filter.

### Finding a Good Selection Sequence

We can now begin to look at how (5.27) helps us choose the selection sequence  $z_n$ , minimizing the amount of computation to produce an output with a specified bounded variance. This sequence will have the following properties:

1. It provides a bounded error variance, given by (5.27).



Figure 5-10: Random sub-sampling using a transformed sequence for sample selection.

- 2. It allows us to discard as many samples as possible, that is to maximize the probability that  $z_n = 0$ , subject to property (1) above.
- 3. It produces a distortion with uncorrelated error values at each point in the sequence.

We now identify two separate cases as we try to decide which samples to discard. In (5.27), we saw that the induced error variance depended only on the ACS of the received wideband sequence and the ACS of the channel filter. Although it is conceivable that in some cases we may have a good idea of the spectral distribution of the received signal (and therefore its ACS), we may not always have this information. We therefore identify two cases as we try to find a good choice for the selection sequence,  $z_n$ .

Although it is well known how to generate a random sequence with a desired ACS (e.g. by generating "shaped noise", see [Stark and Woods, 1986]), we found no prior work on how to directly generate such a sequence with a relatively high probability that  $z_n = 0$ . Instead, we will start with a candidate selection sequence,  $x_n$ , that has a desirable ACS and perform a sequence transformation to convert it to a sequence  $z_n$  that has more zero elements and an ACS that remains "close" to that of  $x_n$ , i.e.  $R_z[k] \approx R_x[k]$ . This sequence transformation approach is shown in Figure 5-10, which also depicts the creation of the initial sequence  $x_n$  by the filtering of a white noise sequence  $w_n$  with the shaping filter  $g_n$ . We will describe how the filter  $g_n$  is chosen in a later section.

In terms of our transformation scheme, the error variance of (5.27) can be written as two components: one component due to choice of the original sequence  $x_n$  (the first term below), and another to the transforming effect that introduces more zeros and produces  $z_n$ :

$$\operatorname{var}(e_n) = \left[\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_r[k](R_x[k] - 1)c_h[k]\right] + \left[\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_r[k](R_z[k] - R_x[k])c_h[k]\right] \quad (5.28)$$

We can perform a similar decomposition to that of (5.19) which gave us ACS for the distortion sequence  $d_n$ . This decomposition also has two terms: the first term is the portion of the autocorrelation due to the initial choice of  $x_n$ , the second term corresponds to the part of the distortion due to the transformation process:

$$R_d[k] = R_r[k]R_v[k] = R_r[k](R_x[k] - 1) + R_r[k](R_z[k] - R_x[k])$$
(5.29)

Because we want the distortion to be uncorrelated at each sample (white), we would like  $R_d[k]$  to be non-zero only for k = 0. To achieve this goal requires that for all  $k \neq 0$ : (1) we choose the sequence  $x_n$  to make the first term in the right-hand side of (5.29) zero, and (2) we choose the transformation such that  $(R_z[k] - R_x[k]) = 0$  in the second term. We now explain these two steps in more detail. We will first describe the way that our sequence transformer is designed, and then discuss the best way to choose the initial sequence  $x_n$ .

#### The Sequence Transformer

Given a sequence  $x_n$  with ACS  $R_x[k]$ , we want to produce a sequence  $z_n$  with  $R_z[k] \approx R_x[k]$  while increasing the number of zero elements,  $z_n = 0$ . Furthermore, we saw in (5.29) that we must have  $R_z[k] - R_x[k] = 0$  for  $k \neq 0$  while minimizing the difference  $R_z[0] - R_x[0]$  to minimize the error variance due to the choice of transformation.

We now present a transformation that will change some of the elements of the sequence to zero while ensuring that the difference sequence,  $d_n$  will be *white*, that is, it will satisfy  $E\{d_nd_{n+k}\} = 0$  for  $k \neq 0$ . This may not be the optimal transformer, since there may be some sequences that allow even less computation for the same error variance, producing a *non-white*  $d_n$ . In addition, we would like our sequence transformer to be able to transform the sequence one element at at a time: each element of the transformed sequence,  $z_n$ , will depend on only the corresponding element of the candidate sequence,  $x_n$ .

To design this transform scheme, we begin with a general rule for transforming the individual elements of  $x_n$ :

$$z_n = \begin{cases} f(x_n) & \text{with probability } q(x_n) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(5.30)

This rule requires that we define two mappings:  $f: \Re \to \Re$  and  $q: \Re \to [0,1]$ . In

choosing f and q to accomplish our goals, we first compute the ACS of the transformed sequence  $z_n$ . For the case  $k \neq 0$  we have

$$E\{z_{n}z_{n+k}\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z_{n}z_{n+k}p(z_{n}, z_{n+k})dz_{n}dz_{n+k}$$
  
= 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_{n})q(x_{n})f(x_{n+k})q(x_{n+k})p(x_{n}, x_{n+k})dx_{n}dx_{n+k}$$
(5.31)

To ensure that  $E\{z_n z_{n+k}\} = E\{x_n x_{n+k}\}$  for  $k \neq 0$ , we now choose  $f(x_n) = x_n/q(x_n)$ , giving:

$$E\{z_n z_{n+k}\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_n x_{n+k} p(x_n, x_{n+k}) dx_n dx_{n+k} = E\{x_n x_{n+k}\}$$
(5.32)

A similar computation allows us to find  $R_z[0]$ :

$$R_{z}[0] = E\{z_{n}^{2}\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x_{n}^{2}}{q(x_{n})} p(x_{n}) dx_{n} = E\left\{\frac{x_{n}^{2}}{q(x_{n})}\right\}$$
(5.33)

Now, since  $0 \le q(x_n) \le 1$ , it is clear that  $R_z[0] \ge R_x[0]$ , with equality only in the case where  $q(x_n) = 1$ , for all values of  $x_n$  that occur with non-zero probability. This implies that anytime  $\Pr\{q(x_n) < 1\} > 0$ , our sequence transformer will induce some non-zero output distortion as seen in the second term of (5.28). We wish to find the function q that minimizes the expected computation (minimizes  $\Pr\{z_n \neq 0\}$ ) for a given bounded output distortion,  $\operatorname{var}(e_n)$ .

To simplify the problem of finding the best q, we assume that the  $x_n$  are drawn from a discrete distribution:  $x_n \in \mathcal{X}$  (and therefore  $z_n \in \mathcal{Z}$ ). This simplification will allow us to solve a discrete optimization problem to find the best choice for  $q(x_n)$  and does not significantly affect the eventual result. We use the notation  $x_i$  to indicate an element of the set  $\mathcal{X}$  and we refer to the probability mass function over these values using  $p_i$ , that is  $\Pr\{x_n = x_i\} = p_i$ . We will also use the notation  $q_i$  to refer to the values of function  $q(x_n)$ , that is  $q(x_n) = q_i$  when  $x_n = x_i$ . So we now have  $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Z}$ and  $q: \mathcal{X} \to [0, 1]$ .

In order to ensure  $R_z[k] = R_x[k]$  for  $k \neq 0$ , we choose  $f(x_i) = x_i/q_i$ , and (5.33) now becomes  $R_z[0] = E\{x_i^2/q_i\}$  when we use a discrete distribution for  $x_n$ .

At this point, finding the best choice for the function  $q_i$  can be written as an optimization problem. The goal is to choose the values of  $q_i$  providing the highest probability of  $z_n = 0$  (lowest probability that  $z_n \neq 0$ ) given a bounded distortion for the transformer:

#### **Problem Statement**

Find  $q_i$  to give

$$\min\left[\sum_{i} p_{i} q_{i}\right] \quad \text{such that} \quad E\{x_{i}^{2}/q_{i}\} = \sum_{i} \frac{p_{i} x_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}} \le \beta$$
(5.34)

Note that although the value we actually need to bound is  $R_z[0] - R_x[0] = E\{x_i^2/q_i\} - E\{x_i^2\}$ , since both terms in the difference are positive and  $E\{x_i^2\}$  is fixed for the given sequence  $x_n$ , we can equivalently bound the first term alone.

#### Solution

We can assume that equality holds in the constraint above at any optimal solution; otherwise we would be able to increase one of the  $q_i$  to achieve equality, thereby reducing the sum to be minimized. This constraint is a hyperbolic surface in the  $q_i$ s and since all of the parameters are non-negative, this is a convex surface. The convexity implies that there exists a single global minimum point on the constraint surface. Any global solution to this constrained minimization in (5.34) will also satisfy pair-wise optimality for the individual  $p_i$ s. That is, the same global solution will also satisfy:

$$\min\left[p_i q_i + p_j q_j\right] \quad \text{such that} \quad \frac{p_i x_i^2}{q_i} + \frac{p_j x_j^2}{q_j} = \alpha_{ij} \tag{5.35}$$

for some fixed  $\alpha_{ij}$  for all  $i \neq j$ . If this were not so, then we would be able to improve on the global optimum. Because of this pairwise optimality condition, we can solve the pairwise problem by eliminating  $q_j$  through substitution in the above, setting the derivative equal to zero and finding the optimal  $q_i$  (and  $q_j$  by symmetry):

$$q_{i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{ij}} |x_{i}| \left( p_{i} |x_{i}| + p_{j} |x_{j}| \right)$$
(5.36)

These relationships allow us to show that the ratio  $q_i/q_j$  does not depend on  $\alpha_{ij}$ :  $q_i/q_j = |x_i|/|x_j|$ . From here we can easily find the global solution:

$$q_i = |x_i| \left(\frac{E\{|x_n|\}}{\beta}\right) \tag{5.37}$$

This result for the values of  $q_i$  is not quite complete. If any of the  $x_i$  are such that  $|x_i| > \beta/E\{|x_n|\}$  this would result in a value of  $q_i$  that is greater than one, which would be unacceptable for a probability value. For such  $x_i$ , the optimal solution is to choose  $q_i = 1$ , so that such values will never be changed as we transform the se-

quence  $x_n$  into  $z_n$ . The intuition here is that these are the outlying values of  $x_i$  in the distribution, and changing these values with non-zero probability causes more distortion than simply changing the values of smaller-magnitude  $x_i$  with higher probability. Only those with smaller  $|x_i|$  are subject to possible transformation according to (5.30) and in the limit as  $\beta \to E\{x_n^2\}$  none of the  $x_i$ s will be subject to rounding. In this case we will not be able to transform any elements to zero, but will have  $z_n = x_n, \forall n$  in order to satisfy the bound on distortion. To capture this effect, we define the set  $S_R$  as those  $x_i$  that will be subject to being transformed with non-zero probability, that is, those  $x_i$  such that  $|x_i| < \beta/E\{|x_n|\}$ . The final rule for our sequence transformer is now:

$$z_n = \begin{cases} x_n/q(x_n) & \text{with probability } q(x_n) \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - q(x_n) \end{cases}$$
(5.38)

Where the values of  $q(x_n)$  are given by:

$$q(x_n) = q_i \text{ for } x_n = x_i, \text{ where } q_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \notin \mathcal{S}_R \\ |x_i| \left(\frac{E\{|x_n|\}}{\beta}\right) & \text{if } x_i \in \mathcal{S}_R \end{cases}$$
(5.39)

The set  $S_R$  consists of  $x_i$  that are subject to rounding (those  $x_i$  for which  $q_i < 1$ ) are those for which:

$$\mathcal{S}_R$$
:  $x_i$  such that  $|x_i| < \frac{\beta}{E\{|x_n|\}}$  (5.40)

### 5.4.4 Analytical evaluation of the sequence transformer

Before analyzing the results above, it is helpful to review the overall goal of our random sub-sampling scheme, which is to approximate the output of narrowband channel selection filter by using only a subset of the input samples. The particular approach of using a selection sequence to model the effect of sub-sampling is motivated by the high costs of memory retrieval relative to multiplication operations. The idea is to use a selection sequence  $z_n$  so that those samples not discarded could be multiplied by some non-zero value to reduce the effect of sub-sampling.

Our analysis showed that MSE distortion due to sub-sampling depends on the ACS of selection sequence. There is no known prior work on generating such a sequence with large proportion of zeros, we therefore decided to use a two-step approach: choose a candidate sequence,  $x_n$ , that has desired ACS, followed by a transformation that would increase the number of zero elements. As we evaluate the performance of the sequence transformer, we must keep in mind that this approach is not the entire solution to our main problem of minimizing the computation for a channel filter in a digital receiver through sub-sampling the input, as defined by (5.20). In general, there may be an entire class of sequences that cannot be generated through our two-

step approach, yet provide superior performance when used to select which samples should be discarded in our random sub-sampling approach. This being said, we now analyze the performance of our sequence transformation scheme.

The performance of the sequence transformer can be measured by determining how much it is able to reduce the cost of computing the filter output for a given bound on error variance. This cost reduction is simply the probability that a particular element of the input sequence will be discarded:

$$\Pr\{z_n = 0\} = E\{1 - q(x_n)\} = \sum_i p_i(1 - q_i)$$
(5.41)

Substituting the expression for  $q_i$  from (5.39), we can write the complement of this, the amount of computation required:

$$\Pr\{z_n \neq 0\} = \sum_i p_i q_i = \frac{E\{|x_n|\}}{\beta} \sum_{i: x_i \in S_R} p_i |x_i| + \sum_{i: x_i \notin S_R} p_i$$
(5.42)

We can use this result to compare the two cases that we identified earlier to see if having knowledge of the received wideband signal and the filter response can help to reduce computation.

### Case I: Autocorrelation of received sequence is unknown

In the first case, we assume no knowledge of the ACS of the received sequence  $r_n$ . We recall that the error variance given in (5.28) had two components. The first of these is due to the choice of  $x_n$ . Because we do not know  $R_r[k]$ , it is reasonable to choose  $x_n = 1$  for all n. This will give zero distortion due to the first term (since we will have  $R_x[k] = 1$ ,  $\forall k$ ). The distortion due to the transformation, the second term in (5.28), is simply  $R_r[0](R_z[0] - R_x[0])c_h[0]$ . For our sequence  $x_n$  we have a trivial distribution,  $x_n = 1$  with probability one. For a given amount B of allowable distortion in this case, we have:

$$\operatorname{var}(e_n) = R_r[0](R_z[0] - R_x[0])c_h[0] = R_r[0](\beta_1 - 1)c_h[0] = B$$
(5.43)

$$\beta_1 = \frac{B}{R_r[0]c_h[0]} + 1 \tag{5.44}$$

The transformer reduces to the simple case:

$$z_n = \begin{cases} \beta_1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{\beta_1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(5.45)

Using this rule, we will always have  $x_i < \beta_1/E\{|x_n|\} = \beta_1$ , so the expected computation is:

$$\Pr\{z_n \neq 0\} = \frac{1}{\beta_1}$$
(5.46)

This case where the candidate sequence is chosen as  $x_n = 1$  for all *n* corresponds to the simple case of using a biased coin that we discussed earlier in this chapter, although is is now clear how we should pick the probability  $p = 1/\beta_1$  to achieve a desired level of error. We will perform further analysis on these results in the next section to provide more insight on the effectiveness of this scheme for this case and the next.

#### Case II: Autocorrelation of received sequence is known

In this case, we assume that the ACS of the received sequence  $r_n$  is known. The first term of the error variance given in (5.28) was zero in Case I, but here we consider that we can find a non-trivial sequence  $x_n$  that will make this term small (or even zero), so let  $\epsilon = \sum_k R_r[k](R_x[k] - 1)c_h[k]$ . The total distortion for this case is now

$$\operatorname{var}(e_n) = \epsilon + R_r[0](\beta_2 - R_x[0])c_h[0] = B$$
(5.47)

$$\beta_2 = \frac{B - \epsilon}{R_r[0]c_h[0]} + R_x[0] = \beta_1 + \operatorname{var}(x_n) - \frac{\epsilon}{R_r[0]c_h[0]}$$
(5.48)

The transformer is:

$$z_{n} = \begin{cases} x_{n} & \text{if } x_{n} \notin \mathcal{S}_{R} \\ \frac{\beta_{2}}{E\{|x_{n}|\}} \cdot \operatorname{Sign}(x_{n}) & \text{with probability } |x_{n}|\beta_{2}^{-1}E\{|x_{n}|\} \text{ if } x_{n} \in \mathcal{S}_{R} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(5.49)

Using this rule, the expected computation from (5.42) is:

$$\Pr\{z_n \neq 0\} = \left(\frac{E\{|x_n|\}}{\beta_2}\right) \sum_{i: x_i \in \mathcal{S}_R} p_i |x_i| + \sum_{i: x_i \notin \mathcal{S}_R} p_i$$
(5.50)

#### Comparison of Cases I and II

At this point we have produced results that show the expected amount of computation that is required to produce the approximate filter output for two different cases. To determine whether the second case is able to improve on the performance of the first, we simply need to see which can produce an output with the same bounded error variance using less computation. As we consider the above results, we will need to understand the effect of the  $E\{|x_n|\}$  term that appears in (5.50). We do so in the context of our approach which generates  $x_n$  using an FIR filter to shape the spectrum of a white sequence, as in Figure 5-10. Here  $x_n$  has an ACS that is determined by the response of the filter  $g_n$ , which itself is determined from (5.28) in order to minimize  $\epsilon$ . When we generate  $x_n$  using an FIR filter, each sample of  $x_n$  will be the weighted sum of a large number of independent samples of the white sequence  $w_n$ . This will tend to produce samples of  $x_n$  that have a Gaussian distribution. When we consider (5.50), we see that for a Gaussian distribution with mean  $E\{x_n\} = 1$  (because  $z_n$  must also have unit mean and the transformer preserves the mean),  $E\{|x_n|\}$  is strictly greater than one. Although  $E\{|x_n|\} > 1$ , it is not much greater if the variance of  $x_n$  is relatively small so that the negative tail the distribution of  $x_n$  (the part affected by the absolute value operation) has a small area.

To get a qualitative feel for the relative performance of the two cases, we can now compare the results of (5.46) and (5.50). We consider the case of values of the bound B on the variance of the error in (5.20) for which most of the  $x_i$  are subject to rounding, that is,  $x_i \in S_R$  for most  $x_i$ . In this case, the second summation in (5.50) will be very small and the first summation will be approximately equal to  $E\{|x_n|\} \approx 1$ . We will then have  $\Pr\{z_n \neq 0\} \approx 1/\beta_2$ . Furthermore, if we assume that  $\epsilon$  in (5.48) is small, the we see that a non-zero variance for  $x_n$  will lead to  $\beta_2 > \beta_1$  and thus to an improvement in performance for case II relative to case I. To achieve this improved performance, however, we need to find a general solution which allows us to determine an ACS for a candidate sequence  $x_n$  with non-zero variance in addition to a small (or zero) resulting value for  $\epsilon$ , the first term in (5.28). This general solution is the goal of on-going work.

In the next section, we present the result of computer simulations that validate the results of (5.46) and provide a more concrete comparison of the two different cases.

# 5.5 Evaluation of Random Sub-sampling

Figure 5-11 shows the results of computer simulations that validate the performance of the sequence transformer analysis for the case where the autocorrelation is not known (Case I). In these simulations, random WSS signals were generated to represent the received signal. A selection sequence  $z_n$  was produced to simulate independent random rounding decisions according to the transformation rule in (5.45). In the figure, the solid lines represent the result of (5.46) plotted for specific values of  $R_r[0]$ and  $c_h[0]$  over a range of values for B, in dB relative to the power of the received signal. The individual points on the plot are the measured results of the error variance produced when sub-sampling the random signal by zeroing specific proportions of the



Figure 5-11: Output error variance relative to desired signal power versus proportion of input samples used for several cases of output signal relative bandwidth.

input samples. The plot shows results for five different values of  $c_h[0]$ , corresponding to different bandwidths for the desired signal relative to the wideband input. The results show that if we need to bound the distortion at some level, say -15dB relative to the received signal, the approach of Case I would provide some reasonable reduction in computation. The results shown are for the base case where there are no interfering signals in adjacent channels and all of the signal energy is in the desired band. If any other signals are present in the wideband input signal, the respective curves would shift upward to reflect the increased value of  $R_r[0]$ , the input signal variance.

A contrast between the approach of Case I and an alternative, a uniform subsampling scheme, is their behavior in the presence of interference. The qualitative effect of the random sub-sampling approach is to provide more uniform behavior, regardless of the location of any interfering signals relative to the desired signal.

For example, consider the case where a single interfering signal is present in the stopband of the channel filter. For the random sub-sampling approach, this would result in an upward shift of the curves in Figure 5-11 by 3 dB when the interferer is independent of the desired signal and has equal power (so  $R_r[0]$  will be twice as much

as with no interferer present). This result does not depend on the *location* of the interferer within the stopband: the interfering signal could have a center frequency anywhere in the stopband and the result would be additive uncorrelated distortion at about -15 dB relative to the desired signal (using half of the samples with a relative bandwidth of 2% in Figure 5-11).

If half of the samples are instead chosen *uniformly*, this is equivalent to decimation by a factor of two prior to filtering. The resulting effect would depend on the relative frequencies of the desired signal and the interferer. The interfering signal might be aliased into the stopband of the filter, resulting in negligible distortion. On the other hand, it is possible that the interferer would be aliased into the passband of the filter by the decimation, and this would result in significant distortion at the filter output (zero dB relative to the desired signal).

It is also interesting to note the difference between the individual curves in Figure 5-11 for the different relative bandwidths of the output signal. These curves show that there is smaller error variance when the bandwidth of the output signal is more narrow relative to the input bandwidth. This observation leads to a more general and important conclusion about the results of (5.46) and (5.50), which is that the amount of computation required to separate a narrowband signal with a fixed level of output distortion need not depend on the input bandwidth, but rather on output bandwidth and the amount of interference in the stopband.

For example, consider the case of a narrowband lowpass channel separation filter. If we increase the input sample rate while the output sample rate is held constant, the sum of the coefficients  $h_n$  will remain constant to provide constant gain at center of the passband (zero frequency). The number of coefficient in the filter, however, will increase in proportion to the increasing input bandwidth because its response must span a constant interval of time (and the sample interval  $T_s$  will decrease as the input bandwidth increases). The value of  $c_h[0]$  is the sum of the squared coefficients,  $c_h[0] = \sum_{n=0}^{M} h_n^2$ , and this will decrease in inverse proportion to an increasing input sample rate. From (5.43), we conclude that the computation,  $C_{NB}$ , required to separate the narrowband channel will thus remain constant if a fixed level of distortion (B) is specified in the output:

$$C_{NB} = PR\{z_n \neq 0\} \times \{\text{Length of filter}\} \approx \text{Constant as } \left(\frac{R_{in}}{R_{out}}\right) \text{ increases}$$
(5.51)

Although the amount of computation required for the channel filtering does not depend directly on the input sample rate, this amount does depend on the amount of *interference* present in the stopband. From (5.43) we see that if more interfering signals are present, the variance of the input signal,  $R_r[0]$ , will increase, resulting in an increasing amount of computation required to maintain a fixed level of output



Figure 5-12: Comparison of Case I and Case II results for three values of  $var(x_n)$ .

error variance, B.

In Figure 5-12, we show a comparison of the performance for the two different cases. This plot shows a comparison of the results for cases I and II from (5.46) and (5.50) for several different values of  $R_x[0]$  under the assumption that  $\epsilon = 0$  and the output signal bandwidth is 10% of the input bandwidth. Here we see that using a candidate sequence with a non-zero variance can further reduce the proportion of samples required to produce an output signal with a bounded error variance. As in the previous case, this plot shows the base case where all of the received signal power is assumed to be in-band, any interfering signals present in the stopband of the filter would result in an upward shift in each of the curves. The curves show that at low levels of distortion (less than -15 dB), increasing the variance of the candidate sequence reduces the computation required to produce a fixed quality output only to a point (when var( $x_n \approx 2$ ), beyond which a further increase in variance is not helpful.

# 5.6 Summary

In this chapter, we have addressed the two different steps of the channel selection process: frequency translation and bandwidth reduction through filtering. For frequency translation, the conventional approach provides excessive flexibility in frequency translation, but at the cost of significant excess computation. We have demonstrated how to perform the same function using a composite filter followed by final translation at the low output sample rate after filtering, this enabling the computation of the frequency translation step to be made proportional to the output sample rate.

We have also describes an unnecessary coupling that exists between the length of the filter response and the number of input samples used when computing the output of a narrowband channel separating filter using conventional approaches. In order to provide adequate out-of-band rejection, it may incur unnecessary computation by processing excessive samples. Instead, we have demonstrated that we can independently control filter response and output SNR.

We control output SNR by bounding the distortion caused by approximating the input signal through random sub-sampling. We then can perform bandwidth reduction using a relatively small number of input samples while maintaining the desired output SNR. This reduced number of samples reduces the number of memory accesses required to retrieve data, at the cost of potentially performing an additional multiplication for each input sample used. The two-step approach presented here utilizes a sequence transformer to produce white additive distortion (noise) at the output, although it is conceivable that a more general approach can be developed that provides greater computation reduction using non-white distortion.

# Chapter 6

# **Data Symbol Detection**

The job of the channel decoder is to analyze the signal recovered from the channel in order to determine the original bits that were sent by the transmitter. In the previous chapter, we described this process as a many-to-one mapping since there are many ways that the channel can corrupt the transmitted waveform sensed by the receiver, and the receiver must map each of these corrupted versions back to the original discrete data. We have already shown how the process of channel separation deals with the part of the channel distortion caused by interfering signals in other frequency bands. In this chapter, we will examine the second step of the process, detection.

We begin with an overview of the detection process, emphasizing the relationship between the input samples and the symbol to be estimated. We then review one widely-used approach to detection that relies on a special property of certain pulse shapes enabling us to make optimal symbol-by-symbol decisions. We then spend the remainder of the chapter describing a new approach to detection that enables the system to adapt the detector to efficiently provide a specified level of performance for existing channel conditions.

# 6.1 Overview: Symbol Detection

After channel separation has been completed, the receiver must make decisions about the individual symbols encoded in the received waveform. The sequence of processing steps in the receiver is shown in figure 6-1: in this figure, the symbol detector is divided into two different tasks, *synchronization* and *detection*. Synchronization is the process of determining the specific set of received samples that correspond to each particular symbol to be detected. The job of the detector is then to analyze the specific section of the received waveform that corresponds to a particular symbol and



Figure 6-1: Diagram of the channel decoder showing division of the symbol detector into synchronization and detection steps.

decide which is the most likely corresponding data symbol. In this chapter, we focus on the detection process under the assumption that the required synchronization has been accomplished. A more detailed discussion of techniques for synchronization in digital receivers is available in [Meyr et al., 1997].

The output of the detection process is the sequence of symbols that the receiver believes was originally transmitted. This sequence is passed to the error decoder, which will either correct those errors it can, or simply detect errors and take other appropriate actions. It is in this context of protecting against errors in the receiver that the overall role of the detector in the system becomes clear. In a noisy environment, the detector will always produce some errors. One of the functions of the receiver as a whole is to control the level of errors through various mechanisms to ensure that *end user* sees only an acceptable level of errors. These mechanisms are not limited to the detector, or even the channel decoder, but include redundancy at higher layers in the communication system or other techniques such as protocols for retransmission. To design an efficient and flexible system, we need to provide the required error performance in a way that efficiently uses the available system resources.

When seen in this light, the detector does not necessarily need to produce an *optimal* estimate of the received symbols in every situation. Rather, it needs to recover symbols in such a way that the required error performance is efficiently achieved through the composite behavior of all the error control mechanisms. Figure 6-2 shows a typical performance curve for an optimal digital detector. In this plot, we see that the probability of bit errors depends on the SNR of the received signal. If a particular system is designed such that the detector needs to achieve a bit error rate (BER) of  $10^{-4}$  at some worst-case SNR, say 8.5 dB SNR, then it will provide much better BER



Figure 6-2: Plot of bit-error rate versus SNR for a two level PAM system.

if the SNR is higher. For example, if the system is experiencing an SNR of 11 dB then the optimal detector will produce a BER of  $10^{-6}$ . This extra performance will not hurt the overall system performance, but if it is achieved at the cost of unnecessary resource consumption, then it will not be the most efficient solution.

In this chapter we will present an approach that enables the detector to efficiently provide a *desired* level of BER for a specific SNR from *current* channel conditions. Before presenting these results, we first review some conventional techniques to perform detection and try to develop an understanding of how this process can be modified to provide efficient and controllable performance trade-offs.

# 6.2 Conventional Approach to Detection

Conventional approaches to detection depend heavily on the type of distortion experienced in the wireless channel. In some cases multi-path reception causes a situation where a specific symbol in the encoded waveform is distorted by other preceding or following symbols. In such a case, symbol-by-symbol detection is not feasible, but the receiver must, in a sense, simultaneously estimate an entire sequence of symbols because of the *inter-symbol interference* (ISI) effects. We will not consider this case in the present work, but will consider the case of a system that experiences additive noise. We will consider this case in the context of a system that has limited RF spectrum available and needs to efficiently use this limited bandwidth to achieve the best possible data rate.

As we consider some standard approaches to this problem, we will review how the system can use pulse shaping techniques to effectively use its spectrum as well as the concept of the matched filter detector.

### 6.2.1 The design of pulses for digital modulation

In chapter 4 we discussed how to synthesize waveforms that encode sequences of symbols into a continuous waveform. We noted that each symbol can be encoded with a shaped pulse and then time-shifted pulses added together to form continuous waveform. At the same time we saw that these pulses often overlap. As we try to recover the values of original data symbols, we need to somehow separate the original pulse shapes that were added together. One common way to make this separation possible is to use pulses that satisfy the Nyquist Criterion for zero intersymbol interference (ISI) [Lee and Messerschmitt, 1994].

This criterion ensures that for each encoded symbol there will be one instant in time when the contributions in the composite waveform from all adjacent pulses will be zero (although there will still be noise present in the received waveform). Such a pulse shape is shown in figure 6-3, part (a). In part (b) of this figure are a series of eight scaled and time shifted pulses that encode eight consecutive data symbols, and in part (c) is the composite waveform, the sum of the components in (b). To estimate a specific symbol, the receiver can examine the waveform at that instant in time when only the desired corresponding pulse had a non-zero component (these instants are indicated by the black dots in the composite waveform). These locations in the continuous waveform are known as the ideal *slicing* points. This property makes it clear why the pulse has the distinctive shape with multiple zero-crossings: it is zero at time  $t = kT_b$  for all  $k \neq 0$  ( $T_b$  is the symbol, or *baud*, interval).

In systems that use such pulses, the transmitter ensures that the waveform is generated in such a way that this zero ISI condition will be met. The receiver has the task of identifying these specific points in time for each symbol. This fact indicates the importance in the receiver of the synchronization, or *symbol timing recovery*: the receiver needs to synchronize its time reference with the transmitter in order to examine the waveform at the correct locations. If the receiver is not precisely synchronized it will reduce the receiver's ability to determine the correct symbol in each interval. In practice the receiver will not be exactly synchronized, but it needs to get close enough to ensure that the small amount of ISI from the adjacent pulses does not cause excessive detection errors [Lee and Messerschmitt, 1994].

As we examine the detection process in the following sections, we treat the received



Figure 6-3: Representation of (a) an isolated pulse satisfying the zero ISI condition, (b) multiple scaled and shifted pulses and (c) the noise-free composite waveform. (In the plots,  $T = T_b$ , the symbol interval.)

pulses as if they are *isolated pulses*, that is, as if they are not part of a sequence of time-shifted pulses added together to form a continuous waveform. We will review a technique that is used to perform optimal detection on noisy versions of such pulses, in the sense that it will make decisions with minimum probability of error. This optimal detector is known as the matched filter, for reasons that we will describe later. The basic approach is to pass the received sequence through a digital filter and generate output samples that correspond to the ideal slicing points, as shown in figure 6-3(c). A model of this approach is shown in figure 6-4, part (a). This filter shows the transmit filter, an addition of noise (which we will treat as the channel distortion) and finally a receiver filter. The effects of interfering signals are not shown because we assume that they are removed in the channel separation process discussed in the previous chapter.

Although our discussion will focus on the detection for an isolated pulse, we will still be able to apply the results of this analysis to the case of a sequence of pulses because we will assume that it is the *cascaded response* of the transmit and receive filters that satisfies the Nyquist Criterion. This means that we can look at the output of the receive filter and treat is as an isolated pulse in the sense that there will still be an instant in time for each symbol where there will be zero contribution from pulses encoding the adjacent symbols. This situation will be equivalent to that in figure 6-3(c) if we assume that the waveform shown is at the output of the receive filter [Frerking, 1994].

As we begin our discussion of the detection problem in the next section, we will consider the case of only two symbols (i.e. 0 and 1). This makes the subsequent discussion more simple yet still captures the essential parts of our analysis. All of the results for the binary case of the detection problem can be generalized to case of M-symbol modulation with the appropriate modifications.

### 6.2.2 The matched filter detector

Given the assumptions described above, i.e., that there is correct synchronization and a binary constellation, we can consider the detection problem in a very simple form. We consider a length-N vector that takes on one of two possible values  $\mathbf{X} \in {\mathbf{s_0}, \mathbf{s_1}}$ . These two vectors represent the discrete-time waveforms that are transmitted through the channel when the transmitter sends either a zero or a one. The receiver has access to a noisy version of this vector:  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $\mathbf{Z}$  is a vector of independent, identically distributed Gaussian random variables (RVs) with mean zero and each with variance  $\sigma^2$ . The receiver must decide between two hypotheses: either the transmitter sent a zero (H = 0) or a one (H = 1). We assume that the prior probabilities of the two hypotheses (0 or 1) are equal.

If we wish to minimize the probability of making an incorrect decision, the opti-



Figure 6-4: Cascade of transmit pulse-shaping filter and receive filter whose combined response satisfies the Nyquist Criterion for zero ISI.

mal solution is well known as the *matched filter* or *correlation detector*, and detailed derivations are available in many texts, for example [Lee and Messerschmitt, 1994]. In this case of a discrete-time FIR implementation, we simply compute a weighted sum of the N observations and use a threshold test on this sum:

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i Y_i = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{Y}$$
(6.1)

In the general N-dimensional case, **b** is the vector of weights that is determined as the difference  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{s_1} - \mathbf{s_o}$  and  $b_i$  are its elements. The PDFs of this RV U when conditioned on each of the two hypotheses are shown in figure 6-6. The threshold test is made using the threshold  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T(\mathbf{s_0} + \mathbf{s_1})$  and the particular value of the sum, U = u. We decide  $\hat{H} = 0$  if  $u < \gamma$  and  $\hat{H} = 1$  if  $u > \gamma$ , where  $\hat{H}$  is our estimate.

The intuition here is that we project the received vector onto the line connecting the two possible vectors represented as points in N-space. We then choose as our estimate  $\hat{H}$  the point in the constellation that is closest to the projection of the received point onto the line (illustrated in figure 6-5 for 2-dimensional case). The conditional PDFs in figure 6-6 are the conditional distributions of the projection Ualong the line that passes through  $\mathbf{s_1}$  and  $\mathbf{s_0}$ .

In a binary system the minimum energy constellation is achieved by  $s_1 = -s_0$ .



Figure 6-5: Projection of noisy received vector onto the line connecting the two possible transmitted points.

Without loss of generality, we will assume this is true for the rest of the discussion in order to simplify the notation, giving  $\mathbf{b} = 2\mathbf{s}_1$  and  $\gamma = 0$ .

The probability of making an error,  $P_e$ , in our decision is always non-zero because of the Gaussian distributions. Due to symmetry and the equal prior probabilities of the hypotheses, this *unconditional* error probability is equal to the probability of error, *conditional* on either value of the transmitted bit:

$$P_{e} = \Pr\{\hat{H} \neq H\} = \Pr\{\hat{H} \neq H | H = 0\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{U|H}(u|H = 0) du = Q\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{b}^{T}\mathbf{b}}}{\sigma}\right)$$
(6.2)

Where the function Q(x) is the area under the tail of the Gaussian distribution given by

$$Q(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-y^2}{2}\right) dy$$
(6.3)

This function Q(x) becomes very small as x becomes large, but is always non-zero. The result in (6.2) show us that probability of error depends on the ratio between the total *energy* in the pulse ( $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}$ ) and the variance of the random noise ( $\sigma^2$ ).

In many implementations, the matched filter is used exactly as presented here. As already noted, this structure provides the solution to the detection problem with



Figure 6-6: Conditional PDFs for H=0 and H=1.

lowest possible probability of error for the conditions described above. This result can be generalized in a number of ways, including the case where the transmitted pulse is one of a set of  $2^b$  different pulses, so that b bits of information are communicated with each pulse transmitted, or the case where the prior probabilities of transmitted symbols are unequal.

# 6.3 Efficient Detection for Error Control

At this point, we have seen the conventional approach to a digital detector: the matched filter that provides an optimal decision under any SNR conditions and does so with a constant amount of computation for each decision. We now present a general framework for understanding the detection process that will not only allow us to represent conventional approaches to designing channel decoders, but will also enable us to develop a more general approach that allows more controlled symbol detection.

## 6.3.1 A general framework for detection

We first begin with a general framework that represents the channel decoder. We then consider a special case that corresponds to our detector with correct synchronization.

### The framework

Our framework begins with a very general model of the input to a digital signal processing function. This input is a sequence of uniformly spaced samples of analog waveform, with interval between samples of  $T_s$  seconds. The sample rate is therefore  $f_s = 1/T_s$  Hz, and this rate determines the maximum width of frequency band that the input sequence can represent. For a general channel decoder, the timing of the individual sample instants for the sequence is independent of the timing of any data symbols encoded by the analog signal. We will refer to this as asynchronous sampling, because in general it assumes no synchronization between the data encoded by the waveform and the samples that represent the waveform. Our channel separation techniques in the previous chapter, for example, required no synchronization of the sampling process. We will discuss this synchronization aspect of the framework more in Chapter 7.

The purpose of the framework is to help establish a more explicit relationship between input and output values. In a digital receiver, for example, the overall task is to produce an estimate of the original data symbol sequence encoded by the transmitter:  $\{\dots, \hat{a}_{k-1}, \hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_{k+1}, \dots\}$ . For each symbol to be estimated we therefore identify the set of all input samples that contain any information about that particular symbol. This set is the *footprint* of the symbol in the input sequence, and is represented in figure 6-7. In general, the footprints for different symbols can overlap, often to a very high degree. This overlap is caused by interference in the channel, as well as possible overlap due to pulse-shaping and coding at the transmitter.

Although there might be many samples in the footprint of a particular symbol, these samples are not all equally useful to the receiver as it performs detection. In the set of samples that contain non-zero information about a specific symbol,  $a_k$ , some samples may be very useful, others only slightly so. This idea will be important as we design a detection algorithm that can produce symbol estimates with some desired level of quality (probability of error) while efficiently using computational resources. The final piece of our framework, then, is an understanding of the *utility* of each of the samples in the footprint, either in an absolute sense, or in a relative sense of which samples are more useful than others.

### Approximating optimal output values

In general, a signal processing algorithm has access to a set of input data and there is some theoretical relationship that determines the quality of the best possible output value. In chapter 3 we discussed the idea of producing a flexible algorithm by efficiently approximating the optimal output value. As we consider approximating this optimal output for a general function, we can use several approaches:



Figure 6-7: Footprints of symbols within the sample sequence.

- Use only some of input data and "completely" process those samples, that is we decompose the input *data* in time. The assumption here is that using less data allows approximation with less computation than using the full set of data.
- Use the entire set of data and perform "sub-optimal" processing to produce an approximation. The assumption here is that the sub-optimal result would require less computation than the optimal result, that is we decompose the *system*. In some cases, it is even possible to identify algorithms that produce a series of successive approximations as the computation proceeds.
- Use a combination of these two approaches, where a sub-optimal computation is performed using only part of the input data.

As we seek to efficiently produce estimates of the transmitted data, it may sometimes be best to "squeeze" all of the relevant information out of each sample we use and only use as many as necessary. In other situations, it may be better to use all of the available data and find a way to efficiently extract only the information that we need.

In case of the matched filter detector, we see that the per-sample optimal processing is very simple; the matched filter implementation requires only a multiplyaccumulate operation. This means that performing sub-optimal processing on the entire data set is not likely to be much easier than performing optimal processing. On the other hand, if we consider using only some of the input samples, we can realize the savings of not retrieving those samples from memory for processing, in addition to the reduced processing.

### Onward to a controllable detector

Our goal in this chapter is to develop a detector that can control the quality of its output while efficiently using computational resources. We begin with the conventional matched filter detector and modify it to produce lower quality output decisions in a controllable manner. This leads us to approximate the matched filter computation with a sub-optimal approach that uses only part of the available input data. As part of our results, we demonstrate that there is a significant proportion of samples in our sample stream that are only marginally useful as we try to estimate the data symbols from the samples in the footprint. Using this knowledge, we design a detection algorithm that can be tuned to make estimates with a specified probability of error, allowing us to reduce the amount of computation required for detection.

We further demonstrate that if we are willing to accept only statistical guarantees on the amount of computation required, we can further reduce the computation required by introducing the idea of multiple threshold tests for the detector.

# 6.4 Detection for Controlled Error Probability

### 6.4.1 Data-efficient decisions

In a digital receiver, we often do not need to minimize the error, but rather to ensure that the error is below some maximum allowable level, say  $P_e \leq P_{e,\text{allow}}$ . To this end, we would like to solve the detection problem by minimizing the required amount of computation, subject to ensuring the desired upper bound on the probability of error.

Our first approach is to use the same technique as the matched filter: evaluate a weighted sum of observations and then use a simple threshold test. The difference in this approach, though, depends on our desire to simply bound the error probability. This leads to two distinct cases of the problem, the first of which we address here and the second of which we examine in the next section.

**Case I:** When the allowable error probability of the decision is *larger than* the *N*-observation minimum error as defined in (6.2),  $P_{e,\text{allow}} > P_{e,N}$  (i.e. less restrictive), we can always produce such a decision. In this case, we want to find the smallest number of  $M \leq N$  samples that always allows such a decision:
**Result:** The smallest number of observations M that always allows a decision with probability of error  $P_{e,M} \leq P_{e,\text{allow}}$  using a simple threshold test is the smallest M such that  $P_{e,\text{allow}} \leq Q\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{b}_M^T \mathbf{b}_M}}{2\sigma}\right)$  where  $\mathbf{b}_M$  is the vector of the M largest magnitude weights from  $\mathbf{b}$ .

This result says that to make the required decision using a minimum number of samples, we need only use the samples corresponding to the M largest magnitude weights in the weight vector **b**.

**Proof:** To show this, we define a family of RVs similar to U, but where the summation is truncated at n terms and then scaled to give a unit-magnitude expected value:

$$U_n = \frac{1}{\mathbf{b}_n^T \mathbf{b}_n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k Y_k \right) = \frac{\mathbf{b}_n^T \mathbf{Y}_n}{\mathbf{b}_n^T \mathbf{b}_n}$$
(6.4)

Here  $\mathbf{b}_n$  is the truncated column vector  $\mathbf{b}_n = [b_1 \ b_2 \ \dots \ b_n]^T$ . We also use the notation  $\mathbf{Y}_n$  to refer to similar truncations of the random vector  $\mathbf{Y}$ . Each  $U_n$  is a Gaussian RV with unit magnitude expected value (conditioned on each hypothesis):  $E\{U_n|H=0\} = -1$  and  $E\{U_n|H=1\} = 1$  for all  $n \in \{1...N\}$ . Additionally, the two conditional variances for each  $U_n$  are equal:

$$\operatorname{var}\{U_n|H=0\} = \operatorname{var}\{U_n|H=1\} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\mathbf{b}_n^T \mathbf{b}_n}$$
(6.5)

Because the value of the product  $\mathbf{b}_n^T \mathbf{b}_n$  is non-negative and non-decreasing as n goes from 1 to N, we can see from (6.5) that the conditional PDFs,  $p_{U_n|H}(u_n|h)$  have non-increasing variances as n increases from 1 to N. This fact is represented in figure 6-8 which shows the conditional PDFs  $p_{U_n|H}(u_n|H=1)$  for three different  $U_n$  with  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3$ . Because of these non-increasing variances, when we make a decision about the original hypothesis using each of the  $U_n$  and the same simple threshold test, we see that the probability of error for each decision (denoted by  $\hat{H}_n$ ) decreases as more terms in the sum are computed:  $P_{e,n_1} \ge P_{e,n_2} \ge P_{e,n_3}$  where  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3$  and the error probabilities are defined as:

$$P_{e,n} = \Pr\{\hat{H}_n \neq H\} = Q\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{b}_n^T \mathbf{b}_n}}{2\sigma}\right)$$
(6.6)

This result shows that if we desire to minimize the number of observations used and bound the error probability, we can easily solve for the smallest M such that  $P_{e,M} \leq P_{e,\text{allow}}$  as in (6.6) above. We can do even better, however, if instead of using the observations sequentially, we allow ourselves to choose a particular subset of m



Figure 6-8: Conditional PDFs for  $U_n$  conditioned on H = 1 for several values of n, where  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3$ .

of the N observations.

We define a more general family of RVs  $U_m$  that are also scaled, weighted partial sums of the observations, but where the samples are re-ordered according to the set of ordering indices  $(i_1...i_N)$ :

$$U_m = \frac{1}{\mathbf{b}_m^T \mathbf{b}_m} \sum_{k=1}^m b_{i_k} Y_{i_k} = \frac{\mathbf{b}_m^T \mathbf{Y}_m}{\mathbf{b}_m^T \mathbf{b}_m}$$
(6.7)

We use the notation  $\mathbf{b}_m$  to indicate a length-*m* truncated vector of the elements of **b** re-ordered according to  $(i_1...i_N)$  and similarly  $\mathbf{Y}_m$  for the observations. For this new family of RVs,  $U_m$ , we still have the property that each conditional PDF is Gaussian with unit-magnitude conditional mean and that the conditional variances for each  $U_m$  are equal:

$$\operatorname{var}\{U_m | H = 0\} = \operatorname{var}\{U_m | H = 1\} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\mathbf{b}_m^T \mathbf{b}_m}$$
 (6.8)

Using this result, we can re-order the observations to improve the quality of our *m*-observation decision for any fixed *m*. We choose the order in which the observations are used so that for each *m* (from 1 to *N*) the value of  $\mathbf{b}_m^T \mathbf{b}_m$  is maximized. This property is ensured if we choose the indices  $(i_1...i_N)$  in a greedy manner so that the magnitudes of the elements of  $\mathbf{b}_m$  are in descending order:  $|b_{i_1}| \geq |b_{i_2}| \geq ... \geq |b_{i_N}|$ . Choosing this ordering ensures that any decisions made using the simple decision rule and only a subset of *m* observations will have the highest quality (lowest conditional

variance and therefore probability of error) possible for each value of m.

We can now describe the solution to our problem for the case of  $P_{e,\text{allow}} > P_{e,N}$ and where the decision is made using a single threshold test. This solution is to

- 1. order the observations according to the magnitudes of the weights in **b**, and
- 2. find that M that is the smallest value of m that satisfies  $P_{e,m} \leq P_{e,\text{allow}}$ . We then evaluate the partial sum for  $U_M$  as in (6.7), deciding  $\hat{H} = 0$  if  $u_M < \gamma$  and  $\hat{H} = 1$  if  $u_M > \gamma$ , QED

#### 6.4.2 A generalized threshold test

In the previous section, we discussed making a decision with a desired probability of error in the case where  $P_{e,\text{allow}} \geq P_{e,N}$ . We now present a second case.

**Case II:** This case occurs when the allowable error probability is *smaller than* the minimum achievable with all N available samples,  $P_{e,\text{allow}} < P_{e,N}$ .

If we use the simple decision rule presented above we will not always be able to make a decision with the desired probability of error, even if we use all N of the available observations. If we use a more complex decision rule, however, we can make a satisfactory decision some of the time. To see this, we simply recall that the probability of error was equal to the area under the tail of the PDF that was in the "wrong" decision region. To provide a decision rule with a lower probability of error, we simply need to evaluate all of the observations and then move the boundaries of the decision regions. For example, to determine the decision region  $R_1$  (where we choose  $\hat{H} = 1$ ), we place the boundary at the value of  $u_N$  for which  $Q\left(\frac{\sqrt{b_N^T b_N}(u_N+1)}{\sigma}\right) = P_{e,\text{allow}}$ . When we do this for both hypotheses, we find that we now have three decision regions, as shown in figure 6-9:  $R_0$  for  $\hat{H} = 0$ ,  $R_1$  for  $\hat{H} = 1$ , and  $R_X$  for Cannot decide. These three regions are determined by the values  $u_N = -T$  and  $u_N = T$ , where the threshold T is defined by:

$$T = \left(\frac{\sigma \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(P_{e,\text{allow}})}{\sqrt{\mathbf{b}_N^T \mathbf{b}_N}}\right) - 1 \tag{6.9}$$

When the value of  $U_N$  is computed and we find that  $u_N$  is in either  $R_0$  or  $R_1$ , we can decide  $\hat{H} = 0$  or  $\hat{H} = 0$  with an acceptable probability of error. In the new third case, however, we could make a "best guess", but we will not be able to say that we have error probability less than  $P_{e,\text{allow}}$ . Another result that can be seen from



Figure 6-9: Conditional PDFs for H = 0 and H = 1 and the three decision regions defined by:  $(u_n < (-T)), (-T < u_N < T)$ , and  $(T < u_N)$ .

figure 6-9 is that we can determine the probability that our computed value  $U_N = u_N$  will exceed the threshold and allow a decision with the desired error probability. This *decision probability*,  $P_D$ , is equal to the area under the tails of the conditional PDF that exceeds the threshold T:

$$P_D = \Pr\left\{u_N \in \{R_1 \bigcup R_0\}\right\} = Q\left(\frac{T-\mu}{\sigma}\right) - Q\left(\frac{T+\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$
(6.10)

where  $\mu = E\{U_N | H = 1\}$  is the conditional mean of the *N*-term sum. We can use the above results to produce a decision rule for Case II above: we compute the threshold *T* that provides an acceptable probability of error, then make decisions only when the *N*-term sum exceeds this value (i.e. in  $R_0$  or  $R_1$ ). This will ensure an acceptable decision in the largest number of cases.

#### 6.4.3 Detection using multiple tests

We have seen that the idea of a more complex decision rule is useful when we want to produce higher quality decisions (error probability less than  $P_{e,N}$ ), although this does not allow us to make a decision in every case. We now use this idea to produce an algorithm that uses an additional threshold after partially evaluating the available observations in order to reduce the expected number of observations needed to solve our bounded error probability problem. For simplicity we design our algorithm only for the case when  $P_{e,\text{allow}} \ge P_{e,N}$  (case I above). When this is true, we know from the earlier section that we can always make a decision with the desired probability using at most some number  $M \le N$  of the observations.

#### The two-threshold approach

Our new approach is to combine the ideas of the two previous sections and develop an algorithm that uses (up to) two different threshold tests in the detection process. This approach evaluates some of the samples, then perform a three-region threshold test using a non-zero threshold. If decision is indicated, then the algorithm terminates. Otherwise, the algorithm evaluates the remaining samples and make a final decision using a two-region threshold test. More specifically, we will evaluate the first  $k_i$  samples and perform a test. If the sum does not exceed the threshold we continue to a total of  $k_f$  samples ( $k_f \leq N$ ) and make a final decision. Otherwise, terminate. This approach could easily generalize to more than two tests, but our analysis of the two-test case will be sufficient to demonstrate the key ideas of the approach.

Our analysis begins with the case where the initial test is performed after partial evaluation of  $k_i$  terms of the matched filter sum (we explain how to find the best  $k_i$  and  $k_f$  later):

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{k_f} b_i Y_i = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{Y} = S_1 + S_2$$
(6.11)

where:

$$S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k_i} b_i Y_i , \qquad S_2 = \sum_{i=k_i}^{k_f} b_i Y_i$$
(6.12)

These two sums are independent when conditioned on the case that a one (or zero) was transmitted. Given these two partial sums, we perform an initial test after the first  $k_i$  steps using threshold T, and decide "0", "1" or continue.

We now consider the effect on the probability of error (conditioned on H = 1) of incorporating this initial test at step  $k_i$ . We write the total conditional error probability produced using two tests,  $P_{e|H=1,total}$ , relative to the conditional probability of error using only the final test at the end,  $P_{e|H=1,k_f}$ :

$$P_{e|H=1,total} = P_{e|H=1,k_f} + \Delta P_{e|H=1}$$
(6.13)

We already know how to compute the first term on the right-hand side. To compute the second, it is helpful to look at the regions in the  $S_1 - S_2$  plane shown in figure 6-10. The six different regions shown in this plane represent the six different outcomes possible on the two tests:  $R_{a,b}$  means outcome *a* on the initial test and *b* on the final test.

The only regions in this figure that affect the  $\Delta P_{e|H=1}$  term in (6.13) are  $R_{0,1}$  and  $R_{1,0}$ . Although the areas of these regions are equal in Figure 6-10, the volumes under the joint PDF for each region are not. Region  $R_{1,0}$  contains those cases that would result in a correct decision on the initial test and an incorrect decision on the final test. In the situation where the final test is not performed if the algorithm terminates, this would be an outcome that *improves* the error performance: it produces a correct decision where an incorrect decision would have occurred with the single test. The cases that fall into region  $R_{0,1}$  are the opposite, i.e., they cause an incorrect decision where a correct decision would have previously been made. The remaining four regions in figure 6-10 do not matter when we compute the *relative* error performance,  $\Delta P_{e|H=1}$ , since  $R_{1,1}$  and  $R_{X,1}$  both produce a correct answer in either situation, and  $R_{0,0}$  and  $R_{X,0}$  always produce an incorrect answer.

Computing  $\Delta P_{e|H=1}$  is now the difference:

$$\Delta P_{e|H=1} = \int \int_{R_{0,1}} p(S_1, S_2|H=1) dS_1 dS_2 - \int \int_{R_{1,0}} p(S_1, S_2|H=1) dS_1 dS_2 \quad (6.14)$$

Here  $p(S_1, S_2|H = 1)$  is the conditional PDF for the two partial sums. This PDF is Gaussian and is centered over the point  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  where  $\mu_1 = E\{S_1|H = 1\}$  and  $\mu_2 = E\{S_2|H = 1\}$ . Although this integral cannot be solved in closed form, we can evaluate the relative error probability  $(\Delta P_{e|H=1})$  numerically, and we can see the effect of choosing different values for a threshold  $T_{k_i}$  for the initial test used at step  $k_i$ . To design the best two-test detector, we know that there will be a final zero-threshold test at some step  $k_f$  between M and N (since we must always satisfy  $P_{e,\text{total}} \leq P_{e,\text{allow}}$ ). We can initially compute the  $P_e$  due to this final test at each choice of  $k_f$ . For each possible value of  $k_f$  we then have some excess  $\Delta P_e$  to "spend" as we try to reduce the *expected* number of steps required for a decision.

For each value of  $k_f \in \{M...N\}$  we can find the reduction in computation for spending our excess  $\Delta P_e$  at each possible step  $k_i \in \{1...(k_f - 1)\}$ . We then choose the combination of  $k_i$  and  $k_f$  that minimizes the expected number of samples required for each decision:

$$E\{\text{Number of samples required}\} = k_f - (k_f - k_i) \Pr(|S_1| > T_{k_i})$$
(6.15)

1

This procedure allows us to design a detector that will use the minimum number of samples to produce a decision with  $P_{e,\text{total}} = P_{e,\text{allow}}$  using two threshold tests. If we wish to further improve performance (lower expected number of samples used for a given  $P_{e,\text{allow}}$ , we might consider designing a test that uses threshold tests at more than two places in the computation of the weighted sum of observations. However,



Figure 6-10: Plot of the six different regions for the different combination of outcomes of the two tests in the  $S_1$ - $S_2$  plane.

1

finding the best combination of step number and thresholds for each step to minimize the expected number of steps becomes more complex when more tests are used.

### 6.5 Performance of a two-test detector

Our goal in this final section is to quantify the potential performance gains are due to some of the approaches to detection describe in previous sections. These gains due to two specific effects which we will attempt to analyze separately. The first effect is that of evaluating only the minimum number of samples necessary to achieve a specific probability of error, as in Section 6.4.1.

This is seen by looking at the distribution of energy in a typical pulse, both for a raised cosine pulse and a rectangular pulse with the same total energy for comparison in Figure 6-11. Here we see the samples in sequential order in part (a), and also the cumulative energy in the samples when sorted by decreasing magnitude in (b). according to decreasing magnitude. We also see the cumulative energy (proportional to amplitude squared) available in an evaluation of the sorted samples. Clearly many samples are not useful to the receiver. In fact, this result shows that, in a sense, large portions of the transmitted waveform are not useful in helping to communicate data to receiver, although they are important for other reasons. Ons such function is in shaping the spectrum of the transmitted signal, i.e., they help to reduce interference seen by other receivers sharing the RF medium.

The implications of the energy distribution are seen in Figure 6-12, which shows a plot of theoretical BER performance versus number of samples used for several different level of signal-to-noise ratio. In each case, it is clear that almost all of the error performance is obtained from only a portion of the received samples.

These results illustrate how the idea of evaluating received samples in a greedy manner enables us to reduce computation relative to a detector matched to the entire pulse. In Figure 6-12 the computation could be reduced by almost a factor of  $5\times$  with little degradation in BER performance, or by more if higher BERs are tolerable. In a sense, this greedy evaluation is equivalent to using the received sample in order of *decreasing SNR*, which in this case would represent the ratio of signal energy to noise energy in each sample.

The second effect that improves performance is the idea of using a second threshold test to further reduce the average number of samples required for a decision with a desired probability of error. A second test allows us to pick a non-zero threshold to be used at some point prior to the final test. This threshold is chosen to balance the effect of preventing some later incorrect decisions with the effect of inducing some early additional errors. Performance is improved because *any* early decisions will reduce the average number of samples used per decision. The threshold provides



Figure 6-11: Plots of raised cosine and rectangular pulses (a) time domain and (b) cumulative energy in sorted samples.



Figure 6-12: Plot of BER versus number of samples used in decision for various levels of SNR.

a performance improvement by "spending" any excess error performance to reduce computation at the expense of a carefully-controlled increase in BER up to the desired maximum level.

The design of a two-test detector for specific set of performance specifications proceeds in several steps. The two-test detector is designed for a specific level of SNR and for a specific maximum probability of error. Given these two values, we first find the step number for the final threshold test,  $k_f$ . This is chosen as the smallest number of samples that will always provide a error probability less than the maximum allowable,  $P_{e,k_f} \leq P_{e,allow}$ . Figure 6-13 shows the performance for a detection scheme using only a final test at this lowest  $k_f$  for a range of SNR values, assuming that a minimum error probability is  $P_{e,allow} = 3 \times 10^{-3}$ . The unusual shape of the performance curve is due to the detection scheme using a different number of samples at different SNR levels. The performance curve jumps between members of a family of curves that would each be obtained using a fixed subset of the available samples. A few of these curves are shown in Figure 6-13 as dashed lines for the cases of decisions based on using only the largest one, two, or three samples. This single-test scheme will ensure a satisfactory error probability, but does not yet provide the lowest computation. In Figure 6-13, the data point marked with a star on the modified performance curve represents a BER of  $2.3 \times 10^{-3}$  at a SNR of 6 dB and uses only seven of the 32 input samples. This BER is below the acceptable bound, so we can further reduce the expected amount of computation by adding a second test



Figure 6-13: Modified performance curve for binary detection using minimum number of samples to achieve bounded BER.



Figure 6-14: Lowest threshold value for potential values of  $k_i$ .

at some step  $k_i < k_f$  that uses a non-zero threshold. This initial test will allow some decisions to be made early to reduce the expected computation, while still ensuring that the total error probability is below the allowable level.

Figure 6-14 shows the lowest threshold that can be used for each potential value of  $k_i < k_f$ . This information is used to determine which value for  $k_i$  will yield the lowest expected amount of computation, which is shown in Figure 6-15. In the example shown in the figures, using an initial threshold test after the fourth data sample reduces the expected amount of computation to about 5.3 samples per decision, a reduction of about 25% below the result for the single, fixed test after the seventh data sample.

There are several points to note in these results that would be interesting areas for further work. The ability of this scheme to reduce computation depends on knowledge of the current SNR conditions. This is not unreasonable for a wireless system; many current wide area wireless networks periodically transmit sequences of known data to enable the receiver to estimate channel conditions [Rappaport, 1996]. The effect of uncertainly in the SNR estimate has not been analyzed in this work, but would be important factor in an actual implementation. Equally important would be



Figure 6-15: Expected number of samples required for each bit decision using a two-test detector.

the scheme's performance under dynamic SNR conditions. This technique could be modified to use tests at different times and different threshold values in response to changes in SNR. This would add complexity to the implementation, but the potential savings in computation might be worth it.

## 6.6 Summary

This chapter describes an approach to data symbol detection that allows a wireless receiver to reduce computational complexity by computing decisions with a sub-optimal, but bounded, BER. The results show two effective techniques for reducing computation. The first technique is to approximate the optimal decision by using a partial evaluation of the matched filter sum. We showed that the best way to approximate the sum is to use evaluate the received samples using a greedy ordering according to the magnitude of the corresponding filter coefficients. We can compute how many samples should be evaluated in the partial sum as a function of the desired BER and the current SNR.

The second technique is used to further reduce computation by introducing a second threshold test after only a portion of the approximate sum has been evaluated. This initial test can be designed to allow some of the decisions to be made early while ensuring that the acceptable level of BER is achieved. This second technique results in a run-time that is statistical, but which is lower than the original run-time that was achievable using a deterministic decision rule.

# Chapter 7

# Summary, Contributions and Future Work

In this thesis, we presented the results of an investigation of how physical layer processing algorithms can balance flexibility and efficiency in wireless communication systems. The work was motivated by a number of trends that are changing the type of service expected from wireless communications systems of the future. These trends include more dynamic environmental conditions, heterogeneous traffic, and increasing demands for efficiency and performance. All of these trends have implications on the physical layer implementation of the communication system.

Given these trends, we believe that it will be difficult, if not impossible, to design a static physical layer that will be able to provide efficient service in all of the different anticipated situations. This led us to investigate the design of DSP algorithms that provide processing that is both flexible and computationally efficient.

As we began to develop algorithms that could balance flexibility and efficiency, it became clear that we needed a precise understanding about the specific types of flexibility that would be useful in each situation. In other words, we needed an improved understanding of how a system could be designed to exploit better conditions: e.g., how could it exploit better SNR conditions to lower processing requirements? In a number of cases addressed in this thesis, the answer to this question was that we could specify the requirements for processing in specific portions of the system so that in better conditions we could produce a sufficiently high quality result using less computation.

Furthermore, we began to understand that producing DSP algorithms to efficiently compute approximate results requires, in many cases, a more careful understanding of the specific relationship between input and output samples for the signal processing function in question.

In this thesis, this idea has been articulated through the concept of sub-sampling

and the idea of the footprint of a desired result. The random sub-sampling techniques described in Chapter 5 recognize that an approximate result for a frequency selective filter needs to be computed from data that span the same interval of time, but need only use a subset of those samples in that interval. Similarly, the idea of a *symbol footprint* in Chapter 6 stems from the need to identify the precise set of samples that had information relevant to a specific symbol estimate in a detector. In this case, an approximate result can be found using a subset of the samples in the footprint. This led to an investigation of which samples in the footprint were most useful and would therefore be used first.

In other situations, approximate results are not appropriate. In these cases, however, the same understanding of input-output data relationships led to algorithms that could produce the desired results using less computation by taking advantage of larger amounts of memory or removing intermediate processing steps.

In all of these cases, it was also important to understand the role of each processing stage within the large communications system. It is this understanding that led to the conclusion that approximate results would be sufficient in some cases, or that intermediate processing stages could be eliminated because they were unnecessary for overall system operation.

One final aspect of this work that has been instrumental in helping to guide the choice of problems and validate the results has been the implementation of the algorithms in a working wireless communications system. Some of these implementations were described in chapters 4 and 5 of this thesis. These implementation efforts helped to identify important issues for the design of new signal processing algorithms, such as the relative costs of memory access and computation, and have also led us to identify new areas for future investigation.

## 7.1 Contributions

This thesis has demonstrated that it is possible to design DSP algorithms for the physical layer of a wireless communications system that is itself designed to use flexibility to improve overall system performance. These algorithms allow the system to take advantage of variable conditions in the wireless channel and changing system performance requirements to provide more efficient system operation. In this algorithm development work, we have achieved the following:

• Developed an Efficient Digital Modulation Technique: Direct waveform synthesis is a technique that efficiently synthesizes digitally modulated waveforms at IF. This technique provides a  $20-25\times$  computation reduction relative to conventional techniques using a look-up table of pre-computed samples. We

#### 7.1. CONTRIBUTIONS

also described table decomposition techniques that allow a flexible trade-off between memory and computation required to synthesize different waveforms.

- Separated Bandwidth Reduction from SNR Control in FIR Filters: Conventional FIR filter design techniques assume that filters will operate on contiguous blocks of input samples. This assumption leads to an unnecessarily coupling between the *length* of the FIR filter and the *number* of input samples used. We have demonstrated a model for filtering that decouples the requirement for a long filter response from the number of input samples used, avoiding excessive computation. This decoupling is accomplished through algorithms that use only as many input samples as necessary to compute output signals with the desired SNR levels.
- Developed a Frequency-Translating Filter: Our technique shows how the function of arbitrary frequency translation can be combined with a decimating FIR filter through the design of a composite filter followed by a frequency shift at the lower output sample rate. We also described how the use of a complex-valued filter design can lead to an additional two-fold reduction in computation by reducing the number of coefficients required for the filter.
- **Demonstrated the Effectiveness of Random Sub-sampling:** This technique is used to realize the decoupling of bandwidth reduction and SNR control in the channel separation filter. Random sub-sampling provides an effective way to approximate the input sample stream of a narrowband FIR filter, allowing us to control the trade-off between computation and output SNR.

Using the analytical model we developed to understand this technique, we showed that amount of computation required to produce an output signal with desired SNR depends only on the output sample rate and the signal energy in the filter stopband, not on the filter input sample rate. This result allows us to increase the input bandwidth of the system without increasing the computation required to separate each channel or, conversely, to narrow the output bandwidth and reduce the required computation accordingly.

• Designed a Detector that Provides Controlled Error Probability: We demonstrate how to design a matched filter detector that uses multiple threshold tests to provide significant computational saving relative to a conventional implementation. The reduction in computation is due to two distinct effects. First, we demonstrate that widely-used pulse shaping techniques result in a concentration of the useful signal energy in a small number of samples. Our technique identifies those most useful samples, providing a reduction in computation of five-fold or more relative to evaluating the full matched filter sum.

Secondly, we introduce an additional threshold test early in the computation. The timing and threshold value for this test are chosen to minimize computation while ensuring the desired error probability for symbol decisions.

## 7.2 Future Work

Each of the accomplishments above demonstrate that understanding the role of the DSP algorithms in the context of the larger system can lead to significant performance gains. Future systems will experience more situations where dynamic channel conditions and changing application needs will call for a flexible physical layer design to more effectively provide the communications services desired by the endpoint users.

### 7.2.1 Investigation of Additional Physical Layer Functions

There are a number of other functions required in the physical layer of some wireless systems that were not investigated in this work. The synchronization functions required in a digital receiver are critical to effective symbol detection and, like detection, their complexity can vary heavily depending on the signal conditions and the demands of the systems. Flexible techniques to provide synchronization functions, such as symbol timing recovery, could lead to more efficient implementations and better performance.

Also, the trend toward higher data-rate systems will lead to a greater need for effective equalization techniques to compensate for multipath interference. Equalization often requires intensive processing and depends heavily on the conditions in the wireless channel. A flexible approach to equalization could lead to significant gains when favorable conditions allow a reduction in processing.

#### 7.2.2 Flexible System Design

This work involved the design of DSP algorithms that exhibit specific modes of flexibility in their behavior. These types of behavior were chosen to allow the overall system to benefit from changing conditions or performance requirements. Many of the improvements suggested in this work, however, allow a single stage of processing to improve its efficiency using information about the overall system requirements. An important area of study is how to coordinate flexible behavior changes among *multiple* components of a system to improve performance. Work in [Secor, 1996] investigates how to coordinate networks of processing stages to efficiently accomplish system processing goals. The use of such techniques in a wireless communications system could lead to further improvements in system performance under changing conditions.

## 7.3 Conclusions

The dynamic nature of the wireless operating environment and the variable performance requirements of wireless applications is in conflict with the traditional static implementations of the physical layer. The dynamic channel and variable performance requirements preclude effective static optimization of the physical layer processing, and provide an opportunity for flexible implementations to dramatically improve overall system performance. This presents an opportunity for flexible algorithms that can enable the entire communication system to adapt to the changing conditions and demands. We believe that future wireless systems will have to incorporate this type of physical layer flexibility if they are to provide the types of efficient communications services expected by the users of tomorrow.

It is often assumed that flexibility in an algorithm comes at the cost of reduced computational efficiency. In this thesis, however, we have demonstrated a suite of flexible algorithms that take advantage of changing conditions and system demands to provide significantly improved performance relative to static designs.

Although the results of this work are very encouraging, we believe that the algorithms presented are just a start, and that there are still many significant and interesting problems to be solved. Complex system requirements and technological advances have led to a merging of the fields of digital signal processing, theoretical computer science and communications system design. We believe that effective designs for the systems of tomorrow will need to draw on results from all of these disciplines to meet the growing demand for communication services without wires.

# Bibliography

- [Baines, 1995] Baines, R. (1995). The DSP Bottleneck. IEEE Communications Magazine, 33(5):46-54.
- [Berlecamp et al., 1987] Berlecamp, E., Peile, R., and Pope, S. (1987). The application of error controls to communications. *IEEE Communications Magazine*.
- [Bilinskis and Mikelsons, 1992] Bilinskis, I. and Mikelsons, A. (1992). Randomized Signal Processing. Prentice Hall.
- [Bose, 1999] Bose, V. (1999). Design and Implementation of Software Radios using a General Purpose Processor. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- [Bose et al., 1999] Bose, V., Ismert, M., Welborn, M., and Guttag, J. (1999). Virtual Radios. *IEEE JSAC issue on Software Radios*.
- [Cover and Thomas, 1990] Cover, T. and Thomas, J. (1990). Elements of Information Theory. John Wiley and Sons.
- [Crochiere and Rabiner, 1981] Crochiere, R. and Rabiner, L. (1981). Interpolation and decimation of digital signals: a tutorial review. *Proceedings of the IEEE*.
- [Frerking, 1994] Frerking, M. E. (1994). Digital Signal Processing in Communiations Systems. Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- [Frigo and Johnson, 1997] Frigo, M. and Johnson, S. (1997). The fastest fourier transform in the west. Technical Report MIT-LCS-TR-728, MIT Laboratory for Computer Science.
- [Grant et al., 2000] Grant, D., Randers, E., and Zvonar, Z. (2000). Data over cellular: A look at GPRS. *Communications System Design*.
- [Hogenauer, 1981] Hogenauer, E. (1981). An economical class of digital filters for decimation and interpolation. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing.*

- [Ismert, 1998] Ismert, M. (1998). Making Commodity PCs Fit for Signal Processing. In USENIX. USENIX.
- [J. C. Liberti and Rappaport, 1999] J. C. Liberti, J. and Rappaport, T. S. (1999). Smart Antennas for Wireless Communications: IS-95 and Third Generation CDMA Applications. Prentice Hall.
- [Jackson, 1989] Jackson, L. B. (1989). Digital Filters and Signal Processing. Kluwar Academic Publishers.
- [Komodromos et al., 1995] Komodromos, M., Russell, S., and Tang, P. T. P. (1995). Design of FIR filters with complex desired frequency response using a generalize remez algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital* Signal Processing.
- [Lee and Messerschmitt, 1994] Lee, E. A. and Messerschmitt, D. G. (1994). *Digital Communication*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2nd edition.
- [Lorch and Smith, 1998] Lorch, J. and Smith, A. (1998). Software strateies for portable computer energy management. *IEEE Personal Communications*.
- [Ludwig, 1997] Ludwig, J. (1997). Low power digital filtering using adaptive processing processing. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- [Meyr et al., 1997] Meyr, H., Moeneclay, M., and Fechtel, S. A. (1997). Digital Communication Receivers: Synchronization, Channel Estimation, and Signal Processing. John Wiley and Sons.
- [Mitola, 1995] Mitola, J. (1995). The Software Radio Architecture. *IEEE Commu*nications Magazine, 33(5):26-38.
- [Nawab et al., 1997] Nawab, S. H., Oppenheim, A. V., Chandrakasan, A. P., Winograd, J. M., and Ludwig, J. T. (1997). Approximate signal processing. J. VLSI Sig. Proc.
- [Ochi and Kambayashi, 1988] Ochi, H. and Kambayashi, N. (1988). Design of complex coefficient FIR digital filters using weighted approximation. In *Proceeding of ISCAS*.
- [Oppenheim and Schafer, 1989] Oppenheim, A. V. and Schafer, R. W. (1989). Discrete-Time Signal Processing. Prentice Hall.
- [Orfanidis, 1996] Orfanidis, S. (1996). Introduction to Signal Processing. Prentice-Hall.

- [Proakis, 1995] Proakis, J. G. (1995). Digital Communications. McGraw Hill.
- [Rao, 2000] Rao, S. (2000). Architecture for abaptible wirelesss networks. Master's thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- [Rappaport, 1991] Rappaport, T. (1991). The wireless revolution. *IEEE Communi*ations Magazine.
- [Rappaport, 1996] Rappaport, T. S. (1996). Wireless Communications: Principles and Practice. Prentice Hall.
- [Reed, 1998] Reed, J. H. (1998). Direct digital synthesis. In Tutorial notes from PMIRC 1998.
- [Saltzer et al., 1981] Saltzer, J. H., Reed, D. P., and Clark, D. D. (1981). End-to-end arguments in system design. In Second International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems.
- [Secor, 1996] Secor, M. (1996). Modelling and optimizing quality for networks of approximate processors. Master's thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- [Stallings, 1990] Stallings, W. (1990). Handbook of Computer-Communications standards, Vol. 2. Stallings/MacMillan.
- [Stark and Woods, 1986] Stark, H. and Woods, J. (1986). Probability, Random Porcesses, and Estimation Theory for Engineers. Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- [Steiglitz et al., 1992] Steiglitz, K., Parks, T. W., and Kaiser, J. F. (1992). METEOR: A constraint-based FIR filter design program. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Pro*cessing.
- [Stemm and Katz, 1997] Stemm, M. and Katz, R. (1997). Measuring and reducing energy consumptio of network interfaces in hand-held devices. *IEICE Transactions* on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications, and Computer Science, Special Issue on Mobile Computing.
- [Vasconcellos, 1999] Vasconcellos, B. (1999). Parallel signal processing for everyone. Master's thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- [Welborn, 1999] Welborn, M. (1999). Direct waveform synthesis for software radios. In *Proceedings of WCNC*.
- [Wepman, 1995] Wepman, J. A. (1995). Analog-to-Digital Convertors and Their Applications in Radio Receivers. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 33(5):39–45.

- [Wicker, 1995] Wicker, S. (1995). Error Control Systems for Digital Communications and Storage. Prentice Hall.
- [Wiesler and Jondral, 1998] Wiesler, A. and Jondral, F. (1998). Software radio structure for second generation mobile communication systems. In *Proceedings of VTC*.
- [Winograd, 1997] Winograd, J. M. (1997). Incremental refinement structures for approximate signal processing. PhD thesis, Boston University.
- [Winograd et al., 1996] Winograd, J. M., Nawab, J. T. L. S. H., Oppenheim, A. V., and Chandrakasan, A. P. (1996). Flexible systems for digital signal processing. In AAAI Fall Symposium on Flexible Computation.
- [Zangi and Koilpillai, 1999] Zangi, K. and Koilpillai, R. D. (1999). Software radio issues in cellular basestations. *IEEE JSAC issue on Software Radios*.
- [Zilberstein, 1993] Zilberstein, S. (1993). Operational Rationality through Compilation of Anytime Algorithms. PhD thesis, Computer Science Division, University of California at Berkeley.
- [Zilberstein, 1996] Zilberstein, S. (1996). Optimal composition of real-time systems. Artificial Intelligence.