

MIT Open Access Articles

The predictability of consumer visitation patterns

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *[Please](https://libraries.mit.edu/forms/dspace-oa-articles.html) share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Krumme, Coco, Alejandro Llorente, Manuel Cebrian, Alex ("Sandy") Pentland, and Esteban Moro. "The Predictability of Consumer Visitation Patterns." Sci. Rep. 3 (April 18, 2013).

As Published: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep01645

Publisher: Nature Publishing Group

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/88207>

Version: Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

Terms of use: Creative Commons [Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/) 3.0

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

SUBJECT AREAS: APPLIED PHYSICS COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE **STATISTICS** APPLIED MATHEMATICS

> Received 27 September 2012

> > Accepted 5 March 2013

Published 18 April 2013

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.K. (coco@media. mit.edu)

The predictability of consumer visitation patterns

Coco Krumme¹, Alejandro Llorente³, Manuel Cebrian^{2,6}, Alex ("Sandy") Pentland' & Esteban Moro^{3,4,5}

 1 Media Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA, 2 Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA, ³Instituto de Ingeniería del Conocimiento, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid 28049, Spain, ⁴Departamento de Matemáticas & GISC, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Leganés 28911, Spain, ⁵Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas CSIC-UAM-UCM-UC3M, Madrid 28049, Spain, ⁶NICTA, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia.

We consider hundreds of thousands of individual economic transactions to ask: how predictable are consumers in their merchant visitation patterns? Our results suggest that, in the long-run, much of our seemingly elective activity is actually highly predictable. Notwithstanding a wide range of individual preferences, shoppers share regularities in how they visit merchant locations over time. Yet while aggregate behavior is largely predictable, the interleaving of shopping events introduces important stochastic elements at short time scales. These short- and long-scale patterns suggest a theoretical upper bound on predictability, and describe the accuracy of a Markov model in predicting a person's next location. We incorporate population-level transition probabilities in the predictive models, and find that in many cases these improve accuracy. While our results point to the elusiveness of precise predictions about where a person will go next, they suggest the existence, at large time-scales, of regularities across the population.

uman economic behavior is curbed by human geography: constraints on mobility determine where we can
go and what we can buy. At the same time, the electiveness of shopping itself drives our movement.
Understanding consumer go and what we can buy. At the same time, the electiveness of shopping itself drives our movement. Understanding consumer patterns is important not only for modeling the dynamics of a market, but also in discovering how past performance predicts future behavior at the individual level. We are concerned less with predicting how much is spent or what is bought, and rather with where a person will go next.

Economic models of consumption incorporate constraint and choice to varying degrees. In part, shopping is driven by basic needs and constraints, with demand shaped by price, information and accessibility^{1,2}. At the same time, it is believed that shoppers will opt for greater variety if possible³, although empirical work finds that behaviors such as choice aversion⁴ and brand-loyalty can limit search⁵⁻⁷. How do choice and constraint connect? Investigations with mobile phone data find that individual trajectories are largely predictable $^{8\hbox{--}11}.$ Yet these models say little about the motivation for movement. At the same time, models of small-scale decision-making^{12–15} leave open the question of how individual heuristics might form large-scale patterns.

Here, we draw on a unique set of individual shopping data, with tens of thousands of individual time series representing a set of uniquely identified merchant locations, to examine how choice and necessity determine the predictability of human behavior. Data from a wealth of sensors might be captured at some arbitrary waypoint in an individual's daily trajectory, but a store is a destination, and ultimately, a nexus for human social and economic activity.

We use time series of de-identified credit card accounts from two major financial institutions, one of them North American and the other European. Each account corresponds to a single individual's chronologically ordered time series of purchases over 6–11 months, revealing not only how much money he spends, but how he allocates his time across multiple merchants. We filter for time series most likely to represent real individuals rather than cards used by multiple people, or cards representing infrequent or corporate usage. This leaves us with time series with at least 10 but no more than 50 unique stores per month, as well as at least 50 but no more than 120 purchases per month. The data is further described in the Methods section.

To quantify the predictability of shopping patterns, we compare individuals using two measures will be NEXT. First, we consider static predictability, using temporally-uncorrelated (TU) entropy to theoretically bound, and a frequentist model to predict where a person will be. Second, we consider a person's dynamics, by taking into account the sequence in which he visits stores. Here we use an estimate of sequence-dependent (SD) entropy to measure, and a set of Markov Chain models to predict location. Both entropy measures, and predictive model, are defined fully below.

Figure 1 [|] Probability of visiting a merchant, as a function of merchant visit rank, aggregated across all individuals. Dashed line correspond to power law fits $P(r) \sim r^{-\alpha}$ to the initial part of the probability distribution with $\alpha = 1.13$ for the European and $\alpha = 0.80$ for the North American database.

Results

At longer time scales, shopping behavior is constrained by some of the same features that have been seen to govern human mobility patterns. We find that despite varied individual preferences, shoppers are on the whole very similar in their overall statistical patterns, and return to stores with remarkable regularity: a Zipf's law $P(r) \sim$ $r^{-\alpha}$ (with exponent α equal to 0.80 and 1.13 for the North American and European datasets respectively) describes the frequency with which a customer visits a store at rank r (where $r = 3$ is his third most-frequented store, for example), independent of the total number of stores visited in a three-month period, see Figure 1. This holds true despite cultural differences between the North American and Europe in consumption patterns and the use of credit cards. While our main focus is not the defense of any particular functional form or generative model of visitation patterns, our results support those of other studies showing the (power law) distribution of human and animal visitation to a set of sites $16-19$.

A universal measure of individual predictability would be useful in quantifying the relative regularity of a shopper. How much information is in a shopper's time series of consecutive stores?

Informational entropy²⁰ is commonly used to characterize the overall predictability of a system from which we have a time series of observations. It has also been used to show similarities and differences across individuals in a population²¹.

We consider two measures of entropy:

(i) The temporally-uncorrelated (TU) entropy for any individual *i* is equal to $S_{TU}^{\alpha} = -\sum_{i \in M_{\alpha}} P_{\alpha,i} \log(P_{\alpha,i})$ where $P_{\alpha,i}$ is the

probability that user α visited location *i*. Note this measure is computed using only visitation frequencies, neglecting the specific ordering of these visits.

(ii) The sequence-dependent (SD) entropy, which incorporates compressibility of the sequence of stores visited, is calculated using the Kolmogorov complexity estimate^{22,23}.

We find a narrow distribution of TU and SD entropies across each population, Figure 2.

Another dataset, using cell phone traces²⁴, also finds a narrow distribution of entropies. This is not surprising, given the similarity of the two measures of individual trajectories across space. Yet we find a striking difference between the credit card and the cell phone data. In the shopping data, adding the sequence of stores (to obtain the SD entropy) has only a minor effect of the distribution, suggesting that individual choices are dynamic at the daily or weekly level. By contrast, cell phone data shows a larger difference. Why does this discrepancy occur? A possible explanation is that shoppers spread their visitation patterns more evenly across multiple locations than do callers. Even though visitation patterns from callers and from shoppers follow a Zipf's law (figure 1), callers are more likely to be found at a few most visited locations than are shoppers. This is true, but to a point. Consumers visit their single top location approximately 13% (North American) and 22% (European) of the time, while data from callers indicates more frequent visitation to top location. Yet shoppers' patterns follow the same Zipf distribution seen in the cell phone data, and the narrow distribution of

Figure 2 | Normalized entropy distributions for the North American and European populations. Normalized entropy is computed by dividing the TU and SD entropies by the logarithm of the number of different merchants visited by a customer. TU entropy distributions are slightly higher for both populations.

temporally-uncorrelated entropy indicates that shoppers are relatively homogenous in their behaviors.

An alternative explanation for our observed closeness of temporally-uncorrelated and sequence-dependent entropy distributions is the presence of small-scale interleaving and a dependence on temporal measurement. Over the course of a week a shopper might go first to the supermarket and then the post office, but he could just as well reverse this order. The ability to compare individuals is thus limited by the choice of an appropriate level of temporal resolution (not necessarily the same for each dataset) to sample the time series. With the large-scale mobility patterns inferred from cell phones, an individual is unable to change many routines: he drives to the office after dropping off the kids at school, while vice versa would not be possible. In the more finite world of merchants and credit card swipes, there is space for routines to vary slightly over the course of a day or week.

To test the extent to which the second hypothesis explains the discrepancy between shoppers and callers, we simulate the effect of novel orderings by randomizing shopping sequence within a 24-hour period, for every day in our sample, and find little change in the measure of SD entropy. In other words, the re-ordering of shops on a daily basis does little to increase the predictability of shoppers, likely because the common instances of order swapping (e.g. coffee before rather than after lunch) are already represented in the data. We then increase the sorting window from a single day to two days, to three days, and so forth.

Yet when we sort the order of shops visited over weekly intervals, thus imposing artificial regularity on shopping sequence, the true entropy is reduced significantly (figure 3). If we order over a sufficiently long time period, we approach the values seen in mobile phone data. Thus entropy is a sampling-dependent measure which changes for an individual across time, depending on the chosen window. While consumers' patterns converge to very regular distributions over the long term, at the small scale shoppers are continually innovating by creating new paths between stores.

In order to measure the predictability of an individual's sequence of visits, we train a set of first order Markov chain models. These models are based on the transition probabilities between different states, with the order of stores partially summarized in the first-order transition matrix. It is thus related to the SD entropy measure. We measure the probability of being at store x at time $t + 1$ as $Pr(X_{t+1} =$

Figure 3 | Sequence-dependent entropy for a number of "artificially" sorted" sequences. For each window size over which the time series is sorted, we measure the sequence-dependent entropy for the population and estimate the error of the mean. The horizontal solid line at the top of the figure indicates the average SD entropy for the original data whereas the dashed lines depict the band for the error of the mean.

 $x|X_t = x_t$) and compare the prediction values to the observed values for each individual. We build several models, varying the range of training data from 1 to 6 months of data for each individual, and compare the model output to test data range of 1 to 4 subsequent months.

We additionally compare the results of the Markov models to the simplest naive model, in which the expectation is that an individual will chose his next store based on his distribution of visitation patterns, e.g. he will always go to one of the top two stores he visited most frequently in the training window (recall that for most people this store visitation frequency is on average just 20–35%). Since this is a simply frequentist approach to the next-place prediction problem, it is strongly related to TU entropy which is computed using the probability that a consumer visits a set of stores.

Comparing the match between model and observed data, we find that using additional months of training does not produce significantly better results. Moreover, results show some seasonal dependency (summertime and December have lower prediction accuracy, for example). For fewer than three months of training data, the frequentist model does significantly better than the Markov model. This suggests the existence of a slow rate of environmental change or exploration that would slowly undermine the model's accuracy.

For each of the two populations, we next test a global Markov model, in which all consumers' transition probabilities are aggregated to train the model. We find that such a model produces slightly better accuracy that either the naive or the individual-based models (with accuracy \approx 25–27%) (figure 4). To test the sensitivity of this result we take ten global Markov models trained with 5% of time series, selected randomly. We find the standard deviation of the accuracy on these ten models increases to 3.6% (from 0.3% using all data), with similar mean accuracy. Thus the global Markov model depends on the sample of individuals chosen (for example, a city of connected individuals versus individuals chosen from 100 random small towns all over the world), but does in some cases add predictive power.

As previous work has indicated²⁵, mobility patterns can be predicted with greater accuracy if we consider the traces of individuals with related behaviors. In our case, even though we have no information about the social network of the customers, we can set a relationship between two people by analyzing the shared merchants they frequent. The global Markov model adds information about the plausible space of merchants that an individual can reach, by analyzing the transitions of other customers that have visited the same places, thus assigning a non-zero probability to places that might next be visited by a customer.

Yet in almost every case, we find that people are in fact less predictable that a model based exclusively on their past behavior, or even that of their peers, would predict. In other words, people continue to innovate in the trajectories they elect between stores, above and beyond what a simple rate of new store exploration would predict.

Discussion

Colloquially, an unpredictable person can exhibit one of several patterns: he may be hard to pin down, reliably late, or merely spontaneous. As a more formal measure for human behavior, however, information-theoretic entropy conflates several of these notions. A person who discovers new shops and impulsively swipes his card presents a different case than the one who routinely distributes his purchases between his five favorite shops, yet both time series show a high TU entropy. Similarly, an estimate of the SD entropy can conflate a person who has high regularity at one level of resolution (for example, on a weekly basis) with one who is predictable at another.

As example, take person A, who has the same schedule every week, going grocery shopping Monday evening and buying gas Friday morning. The only variation in A's routine is that he eats lunch at a different restaurant every day. On the other hand, person B some-

Figure 4 | Markov model results for different temporal windows in training and test. The solid red line indicates hit percentage for Markov model, dashed line exhibits accuracy for the naive model and the pointed line indicates results for the Global Markov model.

times buys groceries on Tuesdays, and sometimes on Sundays, and sometimes goes two weeks without a trip to the grocer. But every day, he goes to the local deli for lunch, after which he buys a coffee at the cafe next door. These individuals are predictable at different timescales, but a global measure of entropy might confuse them as equally routine.

Entropy remains a useful metric for comparisons between individuals and datasets (such as in the present and cited studies), but further work is needed to tease out the correlates of predictability using measures aligned with observed behavior. Because of its dependence on sampling window and time intervals, we argue for moving beyond entropy as a measure of universal or even of relative predictability. As our results suggest, models using entropy to measure predictability are not appropriate for the small scale, that is, their individual patterns of consumption.

Shopping is the expression of both choice and necessity: we buy for fun and for fuel. The element of choice reduces an individual's predictability. In examining the solitary footprints that together comprise the invisible hand, we find that shopping is a highly predictable behavior at longer time scales. However, there exists substantial unpredictability in the sequence of shopping events over short and long time scales. We show that under certain conditions, even perfect observation of an individual's transition probabilities does no better than the simplistic assumption that he will go where he goes most often.

Methods

Data. We sample tens of thousands individual accounts from one North American and one European financial institution. In the first case we represent purchases made by over 50 million accounts over a 6-month window in 2010–2011; in the second,

4 million accounts in an 11-month window. Data from transactions included timestamps with down-to-the-second resolution.

We filter each sample to best capture actual shoppers' accounts, to have sufficient data to train the Markov models with time series that span the entire time window, and to exclude corporate or infrequently used cards. We filter for time series in which the shopper visits at least 10 but no more than 50 unique stores in every month, and makes at least 50 but no more than 120 purchases per month. We test the robustness of this filter by comparing to a set of time series with an average of only one transaction per day (a much less restrictive filter), and find similar distributions of entropy for both filters.

The median and 25th/75th percentile merchants per customer in the filtered time series are 64, 46, and 87 in the North American (6 months) and 101, 69 and 131 in the European (11 months) dataset.

Kolmogorov estimate of true entropy. Kolmogorov entropy is a measure of the quantity of information needed to compress a given time series by coding its component subchains. For instance, if a subchain appears several times within the series, it can be coded with the same symbol. The more repeated subchains exist, the less information is need to encode the series.

One of the most widely used methods to estimate Kolmogorov entropy is the Lempel-Ziv algorithm^{22,23}, which measures SD entropy as:

$$
S_{SD}^{\alpha} \approx \frac{\log N}{\langle L(w) \rangle} \tag{1}
$$

where $\langle L(w) \rangle$ is the average over the lengths of the encoded words.

We can apply the algorithm to observed transitions between locations. A person with a smaller SD entropy is considered more predictable, as he is more constrained to the same sub-paths in the same order.

We can ignore the specific transition patterns between locations and simple define the temporal-uncorrelated (TU) entropy as:

$$
S_{TU}^{2} = -\sum_{i \in M_{x}} p_{x,i} \log(p_{x,i})
$$
 (2)

Note that random messages over a set of sub-cahins should satisfy $S^x_{SD} \approx S^x_{TU}$ with a large enough length of message. Given the distributions of the temporal-uncorrelated

and Kolmogorov entropies across a population, we can analyze the predictability of the mobility patterns by statistically comparing these two measures.

Markov model. Markov chains are used to model temporal stochastic processes, in which the present state depends only on the previous one(s). Mathematically, let X_t be a sequence of random variables such that

$$
P(X_t = x_t | X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}, X_{t-2} = x_{t-2}, X_{t-3} = x_{t-3}, \ldots) = P(X_t = x_t | X_{t-1})
$$
 (3)

then $\{X_t\}$ is said to be a Markov process of first order. This process is summarized with transition matrix $P = (p_{ij})$ where $p_{ij} = P(X_t = x_j | X_{t-1} = X_i)$. Markov chains can be considered an extension of a simple frequentist model in which

$$
P(X_t = x_t | X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}, X_{t-2} = x_{t-2}, X_{t-3} = x_{t-3}, \ldots) = P(X_t = x_t)
$$
(4)

applied on every observed state.

If the present transaction location depends in some part on the previous one, a 1st order Markov model would be able to predict the location with greater accuracy than a simple frequentist model.

Our methods allow us to note two relationships:

- Temporal-uncorrelated entropy and frequentist model: both use $P(X_t = x_t)$ without additional information. Temporal-uncorrelated is a good approximation of the distribution of states, and is thus related to the performance of the frequentist model.
- Sequence-dependent entropy and Markov model: SD entropy is a single measure of all sub-chain frequencies, and is thus related to the accuracy of a 1st order Markov model, which represents the probability of a single set of sub-chains occuring.
- 1. Nelson, P. Information and consumer behavior. The Journal of Political Economy 78, 311–329 (1970).
- 2. Belk, R. Situational variables and consumer behavior. Journal of Consumer research 157–164 (1975).
- 3. Dixit, A. & Stiglitz, J. Monopolistic competition and optimum product diversity. The American Economic Review 67, 297–308 (1977).
- 4. Iyengar, S. & Lepper, M. When choice is demotivating: Can one desire too much of a good thing? Journal of personality and social psychology 79, 995 (2000).
- 5. Jacoby, J. & Chestnut, R. Brand loyalty measurement and management (Wiley New York, 1978).
- 6. Muniz Jr, A. M. & O'guinn, T. C. Brand community. Journal of consumer research 27 (4), 412–432 (2001).
- 7. Bonfield, E. Attitude, social influence, personal norm, and intention interactions as related to brand purchase behavior. Journal of Marketing Research 379–389 (1974).
- 8. Brockmann, D., Hufnagel, L. & Geisel, T. The scaling laws of human travel. Nature 439 (7075), 462–465 (2006).
- Gonzalez, M. C., Hidalgo, C. A. & Barabási, A L. Understanding individual human mobility patterns. Nature 453 (7196), 779–782 (2008).
- 10. Bagrow, J. P. & Lin, Y.-R. Mesoscopic structure and social aspects of human mobility. PLoS ONE 7, e37676 (2012).
- 11. Lu, X., Bengtsson, L. & Holme, P. Predictability of population displacement after the 2010 haiti earthquake. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2012).
- 12. Ryan, M. & Bonfield, E. The fishbein extended model and consumer behavior. Journal of Consumer Research 118–136 (1975).
- 13. Wilson, D., Mathews, H. & Harvey, J. An empirical test of the fishbein behavioral intention model. Journal of Consumer Research 39–48 (1975).
- 14. Ajzen, I. & Fishbein, M. Attitude-behavior relations: A theoretical analysis and review of empirical research. Psychological Bulletin 84, 888 (1977).
- 15. Miniard, P. & Cohen, J. Modeling personal and normative influences on behavior. Journal of Consumer Research 169–180 (1983).
- 16. Viswanathan, G. et al. Optimizing the success of random searches. Nature 401, 911–914 (1999).
- 17. Bartumeus, F., Da Luz, M., Viswanathan, G. & Catalan, J. Animal search strategies: a quantitative randomwalk analysis. Ecology 86, 3078–3087 (2005).
- 18. Heisenberg, M. Is free will an illusion? Nature 459, 164–165 (2009).
- 19. Doyle, R. Free will: it's a normal biological property, not a gift or a mystery. Nature 459, 1052–1052 (2009).
- 20. Shannon, C. E. A mathematical theory of communication. Bell system technical journal 27 (1948).
- 21. Eagle, N., Macy, M. & Claxton, R. Network Diversity and Economic Development. Science 328, 1029- (2010).
- 22. Lempel, A. & Ziv, J. On the complexity of finite sequences. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 22, 75–81 (1976).
- 23. Li, M. & Vitányi, P. An introduction to Kolmogorov complexity and its applications (Springer-Verlag New York Inc, 2008).
- 24. Song, C., Qu, Z., Blumm, N. & Barabási, A. Limits of predictability in human mobility. Science 327, 1018–1021 (2010).
- 25. De Domenico, M., Lima, A. & Musolesi, M. Interdependence and predictability of human mobility and social interactions. In Proceedings of the Nokia Mobile Data Challenge Workshop. (2012).

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Erik Ross, Chaoming Song, Cesar Hidalgo and Laszlo Barabasi for useful discussions and comments on the manuscript.

Author contributions

Developed the ideas, methods, and analysis: C.K., A.L.P., M.C., S.P., E.M.E. Analyzed the data: C.K., A.L.P. Wrote the manuscript: C.K., A.L.P., M.C., E.M.E.

Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0)

How to cite this article: Krumme, C., Llorente, A., Cebrian, M., Pentland, A.(S.) & Moro, E. The predictability of consumer visitation patterns. Sci. Rep. 3, 1645; DOI:10.1038/ srep01645 (2013).