## Spring 2005

Atomic Physics II (8.422) Prof. Wolfgang Ketterle

## Problem Set 8 Due Friday April 15

## THE DRESSED ATOM

We will derive and examine the dressed states of the atom + field system. We use the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \omega_o & \Omega_1 e^{-i\omega_L t} \\ \Omega_1 e^{i\omega_L t} & -\omega_o \end{array} \right)$$

where  $\hbar \omega_o$  is the separation between the two levels  $|a\rangle$  and  $|b\rangle$ ,  $\omega_L$  is the laser frequency, and  $\Omega_1 = eE_o/\hbar < a \mid z \mid b\rangle$  is the Rabi frequency.

- 1. Guess a solution of the form  $\Psi(t) = {b_1 e^{i\omega_{\alpha}t} \\ b_2 e^{i\omega_{\beta}t}}$ . Write the coupled equations from the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. If you choose the frequency correctly, the equations will be steady-state, containing no oscillating terms.
- 2. The equations from (1) are identical to a two-state system with a Hamiltonian that is a function of the Rabi frequency and the detuning  $(\delta_L = \omega_L \omega_o)$  only. Write this Hamiltonian.
- 3. Write the Hamiltonian from (2) in terms of trig-functions where  $\sin 2\theta = \Omega_1/\Omega$ where  $\Omega = (\Omega_1^2 + \delta_L^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the "effective" Rabi frequency.
- 4. Diagonalize this Hamiltonian to find the eigenvalues and associated eigenvectors.
- 5. Finally, use these results to find the time-dependent wavefunctions in the Schrödinger picture of our original Hamiltonian. (In part (1) you transformed to a different picture.) These are the time-dependent dressed states and are superpositions of | a > and | b >.

- 6. Identify the a.c. Stark shift in the dressed states. It appears as a shift in the energy levels of the two dressed states relative to the uncoupled states. What is the Stark shift in the limit  $\Omega_1 \ll \delta_L$ . Write the dressed states in this limit.
- 7. The polarization vector is the expectation value of the dipole operator for the dressed state. It can also be written  $\vec{P}(\omega_L, t) = \alpha(\omega_L)E_o\cos(\omega_L t)$ . Compare these two expressions, and express  $\alpha(\omega_L)$  as a function of  $|\langle a | z | b \rangle|^2$ . Substitute the oscillator strength  $f_{ab} = \frac{2m}{\hbar}\omega_o |\langle a | z | b \rangle|^2$  into the expression and compare it with the known expression  $\alpha(\omega_L) = \frac{e^2}{m}\frac{f_{ab}}{\omega_o^2 \omega_L^2}$ . Where do they agree? Comment briefly on the physics of  $\omega_L \to \omega_o$ .
- 8. Let  $\omega_L \to 0$ , and write the d.c. polarizability for both expressions. What approximation has been made that accounts for the difference?
- 9. Let  $| \Psi(t = 0) \rangle = | a \rangle$ . Express  $| \Psi(t) \rangle$  as a linear superposition of the dressed states. What is the probability P(t) that  $| \Psi(t) \rangle$  will be found in the state  $| a \rangle$ ? This shows that the dressed states contain the physics of Rabi "nutation" or oscillation.