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#### Abstract

A search for physics beyond the standard model in events with at least three leptons is presented. The data sample, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $19.5 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ of proton-proton collisions with center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}=8 \mathrm{TeV}$, was collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC during 2012. The data are divided into exclusive categories based on the number of leptons and their flavor, the presence or absence of an opposite-sign, same-flavor lepton pair (OSSF), the invariant mass of the OSSF pair, the presence or absence of a tagged bottom-quark jet, the number of identified hadronically decaying $\tau$ leptons, and the magnitude of the missing transverse energy and of the scalar sum of jet transverse momenta. The numbers of observed events are found to be consistent with the expected numbers from standard model processes, and limits are placed on new-physics scenarios that yield multilepton final states. In particular, scenarios that predict Higgs boson production in the context of supersymmetric decay chains are examined. We also place a $95 \%$ confidence level upper limit of $1.3 \%$ on the branching fraction for the decay of a top quark to a charm quark and a Higgs boson $(t \rightarrow c H)$, which translates to a bound on the left- and right-handed top-charm flavor-violating Higgs Yukawa couplings, $\lambda_{t c}^{H}$ and $\lambda_{c t}^{H}$, respectively, of $\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{t c}^{H}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{c t}^{H}\right|^{2}}<0.21$.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The recent discovery of a Higgs boson [1-3] at the relatively low mass of about 125 GeV implies that physics beyond the standard model (BSM) may be observable at energy scales of around 1 TeV . Supersymmetry (SUSY) is a prominent candidate for BSM physics because it provides a solution to the hierarchy problem, predicts gauge-coupling unification, and contains a "natural" candidate for dark matter [4-6]. Supersymmetry postulates the existence of fermionic superpartners for each standard model (SM) boson, and of bosonic superpartners for each SM fermion. For example, gluinos, squarks, and winos are the superpartners of gluons, quarks, and $W$ bosons, respectively. The superparter of a lepton is a slepton. In $R$-parity [7] conserving SUSY models, supersymmetric particles are created in pairs, and the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is stable. If the LSP interacts only weakly, as in the case of a dark matter candidate, it escapes detection, leading to missing transverse energy ( $\left.E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}\right)$. Here, $R$-parity is defined by $R=(-1)^{3 B+L+2 s}$, with $B$ and $L$ the baryon and lepton numbers, and $s$ the particle spin. All SM particles have $R=+1$ while all superpartners have $R=-1$.

A wide range of BSM scenarios predict multilepton final states [8], where by "multilepton," we mean three or more

[^0]charged leptons. Since multilepton states are relatively rare in the SM, searches in the multilepton channel have good potential to uncover BSM physics.

Given the rich SUSY particle spectrum, multilepton final states in SUSY events take on multiple forms. For example, a cascade of particles initiated by the decay of a heavy gluino can proceed through intermediate squarks, winos, and sleptons to produce a final state that is democratic in lepton flavor, i.e., equally likely to contain electrons, muons, or $\tau$ leptons. Direct pair production of the superpartners of the electron and muon (selectron and smuon, respectively) can yield a multilepton state dominated by $\tau$ leptons should the superpartner of the $\tau$ lepton (stau) be substantially lighter than the selectron and smuon, as is expected in some models. Another path to a multileptonic final state arises from top-squark production in which the top squark decays to leptonically decaying third-generation quarks and to a $Z$ boson that yields an opposite-sign sameflavor (OSSF) lepton pair. In these latter events, bottomquark jets ( $b$ jets) might also be present. Similarly, many other multileptonic signatures are possible.

Besides SUSY, other BSM scenarios can yield multileptonic final states, such as $t \rightarrow c H$ transitions, with $t$ a top quark, $c$ a charm quark, and $H$ a Higgs boson. The $t \rightarrow c H$ process is extremely rare in the SM but can be enhanced through the production of new particles in loops [9,10]. The top quark is the heaviest SM particle, and is thus the SM particle that is most strongly coupled to the Higgs boson. Since the $t \rightarrow c H$ process directly probes the flavorviolating couplings of the top quark to the Higgs boson, it provides a powerful means to search for BSM physics
regardless of the underlying new-physics mechanism. The $t \rightarrow c H$ decay can give rise to a multilepton signature when a top quark in a top quark-antiquark $(t \bar{t})$ pair decays to the cH state, followed by the decay of the Higgs boson to leptons through, e.g., $H \rightarrow Z Z^{*}$ or $H \rightarrow W W^{*}$ decays, in conjunction with the leptonic decay of the other top quark in the $t \bar{t}$ pair.

In this paper, we present a search for BSM physics in multilepton channels. The search is based on a sample of proton-proton collision data collected at $\sqrt{s}=8 \mathrm{TeV}$ with the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) detector at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) in 2012, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $19.5 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. The study is an extension of our earlier work [11], which was based on a data set of $5.0 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ collected at $\sqrt{s}=7 \mathrm{TeV}$. A related search, presented in Ref. [12], uses the 8 TeV data set to investigate $R$-parity-violating SUSY scenarios. References [13-17] contain recent, related results from the ATLAS Collaboration, and Refs. [12,18-27] contain the same from CMS.

Because of the wide range of possible BSM signatures, we have adopted a search strategy that is sensitive to different kinematical and topological signatures, rather than optimizing the analysis for a particular model. We retain all observed multilepton candidate events and classify them into multiple mutually exclusive categories based on the number of leptons, the lepton flavor, the presence of $b$ jets, the presence of an OSSF pair indicative of a $Z$ boson, and kinematic characteristics such as $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ and $H_{\mathrm{T}}$, where $H_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the scalar sum of jet transverse momentum ( $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) values. We then confront a number of BSM scenarios that exhibit diverse characteristics with respect to the population of these categories.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, a brief summary of the CMS detector and a description of the trigger is presented. Section III discusses the event reconstruction procedures, event selection, and event simulation. The search strategy and the background evaluation methods are outlined in Secs. IV and V. Section VI contains a discussion of systematic uncertainties. The results are presented in Sec. VII. Sections VIII and IX present the interpretations of our results for SUSY scenarios and for the $t \rightarrow c H$ process, respectively. A summary is given in Sec. X.

## II. DETECTOR AND TRIGGER

The CMS detector has cylindrical symmetry around the direction of the beam axis. The coordinate system is defined with the origin at the nominal collision point and the $z$ axis along the direction of the counterclockwise proton beam. The $x$ axis points toward the center of the LHC ring and the $y$ axis vertically upwards. The polar angle $\theta$ is measured with respect to the $z$ axis. The azimuthal angle $\phi$ is measured in the $x-y$ plane, relative to the $x$ axis. Both angles are measured in radians. Pseudorapidity $\eta$ is
defined as $\eta=-\ln [\tan (\theta / 2)]$. The central feature of the detector is a superconducting solenoidal magnet of field strength 3.8 T. Within the field volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal calorimeter, and a brass-and-scintillator hadron calorimeter. The tracking detector covers the region $|\eta|<2.5$ and the calorimeters $|\eta|<3.0$. Muon detectors based on gas-ionization detectors lie outside the solenoid, covering $|\eta|<2.4$. A steel-and-quartz-fiber forward calorimeter covers $|\eta|<5.0$. A detailed description of the detector can be found in Ref. [28].

A double-lepton trigger ( $e e, \mu \mu$, or $e \mu$ ) is used for data collection. At the trigger level, the leptons with the highest and second-highest transverse momentum are required to satisfy $p_{\mathrm{T}}>17 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $p_{\mathrm{T}}>8 \mathrm{GeV}$, respectively. The lepton trigger efficiency is determined using an independent data sample based on minimum requirements for $H_{T}$ [11]. After application of all selection requirements, the trigger efficiencies are found to be $95 \%, 90 \%$, and $93 \%$, respectively, for the $e e, \mu \mu$, and $e \mu$ triggers. Corrections are applied to account for the trigger inefficiencies.

## III. EVENT RECONSTRUCTION, SELECTION, AND SIMULATION

The particle-flow (PF) method $[29,30]$ is used to reconstruct the physics objects used in this analysis: electrons, muons, hadronically decaying $\tau$ leptons $\left(\tau_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$, jets, and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$.

Electrons and muons are reconstructed using measured quantities from the tracker, calorimeter, and muon system. The candidate tracks must satisfy quality requirements and spatially match energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter or tracks in the muon detectors, as appropriate. Details of the reconstruction and identification procedures can be found in Ref. [31] for electrons and in Ref. [32] for muons.

Hadronically decaying $\tau$ leptons predominantly yield either a single charged track (one-prong decays) or three charged tracks (three-prong decays) with or without additional electromagnetic energy from neutral-pion decays. Both one-prong and three-prong $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ decays are reconstructed using the hadron plus strips algorithm [33].

The event primary vertex is defined to be the reconstructed vertex with the largest sum of charged-track $p_{T}^{2}$ value and is required to lie within 24 cm of the origin in the direction along the $z$ axis and 2 cm in the transverse plane.

Jets are formed from reconstructed PF objects using the anti- $k_{\mathrm{T}}$ algorithm $[34,35]$ with a distance parameter of 0.5 . Corrections are applied as a function of jet $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\eta$ to account for nonuniform detector response [36]. Contributions to the jet $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ values due to overlapping $p p$ interactions from the same or neighboring bunch crossing ("pileup") are subtracted using the jet area method described in Ref. [37].

Finally, $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ is the magnitude of the vector sum of the transverse momenta of all PF objects.

We require the presence of at least three reconstructed leptons, where by "lepton" we mean an electron, muon, or $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ candidate. Electron and muon candidates must satisfy $p_{\mathrm{T}}>10 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $|\eta|<2.4$. At least one electron or muon candidate must satisfy $p_{\mathrm{T}}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$. The $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ candidates must satisfy $p_{\mathrm{T}}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $|\eta|<2.3$. Events are allowed to contain at most one $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ candidate. Leptonically decaying $\tau$ leptons populate the electron and muon channels.

Leptons from BSM processes are typically isolated, i.e., separated in $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^{2}+(\Delta \phi)^{2}}$ from other physics objects. To reduce background from the semileptonic decays of heavy quark flavors, which generally yield leptons within jets, we apply lepton isolation criteria. For electrons and muons, we define the relative isolation $I_{\text {rel }}$ to be the sum of the $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ values of all PF objects within a cone of radius $\Delta R=0.3$ around the lepton direction (excluding the lepton itself), divided by the lepton $p_{T}$ value, and require $I_{\text {rel }}<0.15$. For $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ leptons, the sum of energy $E_{\text {iso }}^{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}$ within a cone of radius $\Delta R=0.5$ around the lepton direction is required to satisfy $E_{\text {iso }}^{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}<2 \mathrm{GeV}$. In all cases, we account for the effects of pileup interactions [37].

The signal scenarios contain prompt leptons, where by "prompt" we mean that the parent particles decay near the primary vertex. To ensure that the electrons and muons are prompt, their distance of closest approach to the primary vertex is required to be less than 2 cm in the direction along the beam axis and 0.02 cm in the transverse plane.

We construct OSSF pairs from charged lepton $\ell^{+} \ell^{-}$ combinations, with $\ell$ an electron or muon. Events with an OSSF pair that satisfies $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}<12 \mathrm{GeV}$ are rejected to eliminate background from low-mass Drell-Yan processes and $\mathrm{J} / \psi$ and $\Upsilon$ decays. If there is more than one OSSF pair in the event, this requirement is applied to each pair. Events with an OSSF pair outside the $Z$ boson mass region (defined by $75<m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}<105 \mathrm{GeV}$ ) but that satisfy $75<m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-} \ell^{(1) \pm}}<105 \mathrm{GeV}$, where $\ell^{(1) \pm}$ is an electron or muon with the same (different) flavor as the OSSF pair, are likely to arise from final-state photon radiation from the Z-boson decay products, followed by conversion of the photon to a charged lepton pair. Events that meet this condition are rejected if they also exhibit kinematic characteristics consistent with background from events with a $Z$ boson and jets $(Z+$ jets background $)$.

Jets are required to satisfy $p_{\mathrm{T}}>30 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $|\eta|<2.5$ and are rejected if they lie within a distance $\Delta R=0.3$ from a lepton that satisfies our selection criteria. The identification of $b$ jets is performed using the CMS combined secondary-vertex algorithm [38] at the medium working point. This working point yields a tagging efficiency of roughly $70 \%$ for jets with a $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ value of 80 GeV , with a misidentification rate for light-flavor events of less than $2 \%$ and for charm-quark jets of roughly $20 \%$.

Samples of simulated events are used to determine signal acceptance and to evaluate some SM backgrounds. The simulation of SM events is based on the MADGraph (version 5.1.3.30) [39] event generator with leading-order CTEQ6L1 [40] parton distribution functions (PDF), with the Geant4 [41] package used to describe detector response. The cross sections are normalized to next-to-leading (NLO) order [42-44]. The simulation of signal events is performed using both the MadGraph and PYthia (version 6.420) [45] generators, with the description of detector response based on the CMS fast simulation program [46]. Parton showering for all simulated events is described using PYTHIA. The simulated events are adjusted to account for the multiplicity of pileup interactions observed in the data, as well as for differences between data and simulation for the jet energy scale, rate of events with initial-state radiation (ISR) [23], and $b$-jet tagging efficiency [38].

## IV. MULTILEPTON EVENT CLASSIFICATION

Multilepton event candidates are separated into mutually exclusive search channels. The level of the SM background varies considerably between the different categories. The overall sensitivity to new physics is maximized by separating the low- and high-background channels. Events with exactly three leptons generally suffer from a higher background level than events with four or more leptons, as do events with a $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ candidate. We therefore categorize events with three leptons separately from those with four or more, and events with a $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ candidate separately from those without such a candidate. Similarly, events with a tagged $b$ jet suffer higher background from $t \bar{t}$ events, and so are categorized separately from events without a tagged $b$ jet.

We also define categories based on the number $n$ of OSSF dilepton pairs that can be formed using each lepton candidate only once (OSSF $n$ ). For example, both $\mu^{+} \mu^{-} \mu^{-}$ and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-} e^{-}$events fall into the OSSF1 category, while $\mu^{+} \mu^{+} e^{-}$and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$events fall into the OSSF0 and OSSF2 categories, respectively. Events with an OSSF pair exhibit larger levels of background than do OSSF0 events.

We further classify events with at least one OSSF pair as being "on- $Z$ " if the reconstructed invariant mass $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}$of any of the OSSF dilepton pairings in the event lies in the $Z$-boson mass region $75<m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}<105 \mathrm{GeV}$. Since there is considerably less SM background above the $Z$-boson region than below it, we also define "above-Z" and "below-Z" categories, but for three-lepton events only, where for above- $Z$ (below- $Z$ ) events all possible OSSF pairs satisfy $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}>105 \mathrm{GeV}$ ( $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}<75 \mathrm{GeV}$ ). Additionally, we classify events with four leptons as being "off- $Z$ " if all possible OSSF pairs have $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}$values outside the $Z$-boson mass region.

Events with SUSY production of squarks and gluinos may be characterized by a high level of hadronic activity compared to SM events. We therefore separate events according to whether $H_{\mathrm{T}}$ is larger or smaller than 200 GeV . Similarly, we subdivide events into five $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$
bins: four bins of width 50 GeV from 0 to 200 GeV , and a fifth bin with $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}>200 \mathrm{GeV}$. For the purposes of presentation in Tables II and III, a coarser $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ binning has been used.

## V. BACKGROUND ESTIMATION

## A. Overview

The largest background category for trilepton events arises from $Z+$ jets events in which the $Z$ boson decays to a lepton pair while the third lepton candidate is either a misidentified hadron or a genuine lepton from heavy-flavor decay. This background dominates the low- $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ and low$H_{\mathrm{T}}$ channels. As described below (Secs. V B, V C, and V F), this background is evaluated from data.

Search channels with $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ candidates suffer from higher background compared to those with only electrons and muons because sufficiently narrow jets tend to mimic hadronically decaying $\tau$ leptons. We measure the background due to misidentified $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ decays from data (Sec. V C).

Background events containing three or more prompt genuine leptons and a significant level of $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ can arise from SM processes such as $W Z+$ jets or $Z Z+$ jets production if both electroweak bosons decay leptonically. This type of background is referred to as "irreducible" because its characteristics are similar to the search signature. We use simulation to estimate the irreducible background (Sec. VD). Comparison between data and simulation demonstrates that the $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ distribution is well modeled for processes with genuine $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$, viz., SM model processes with neutrinos [32,47].

Another major source of background is $t \bar{t}$ production in which each top quark produces a $W$ boson that decays leptonically, with a third lepton arising from the semileptonic decay of the $b$-jet daughter of one of the two top quarks. The character of this background differs significantly from the background due to $Z+$ jets events, in which the jets are relatively soft. Simulation is used to evaluate the $t \bar{t}$ background (Sec. V E).

Two varieties of photon conversion are relevant to consider. "External" conversion of an on-shell photon in the detector material predominantly results in an $e^{+} e^{-}$pair, which is eliminated using a collection of tracking and kinematic criteria appropriate to the small opening angle of the pair. In contrast, the "internal" or "Dalitz" conversion of a virtual photon produces a $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$pair almost as often as an $e^{+} e^{-}$pair. When an internal conversion is also asymmetric, i.e., when one of the leptons has a very low $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ value, the low $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ track can fail to be reconstructed or to satisfy the selection criteria. Drell-Yan processes accompanied by the high- $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ lepton from an asymmetric conversion constitute a significant source of background for trilepton channels. We estimate this background from data (Sec. V F).

Remaining backgrounds arise from rare SM processes such as triple-boson production or $t \bar{t}$ production in
association with a vector boson and are estimated from simulation.

In the following subsections we describe the estimation of main SM backgrounds.

## B. Misidentified prompt and isolated electrons and muons

Processes such as $Z(\rightarrow 2 \ell)+$ jets and $W^{+} W^{-}(\rightarrow 2 \ell)+$ jets predominantly generate dilepton final states. However, rare fluctuations in the hadronization process of an accompanying jet can provide what appears as a third prompt and isolated lepton, contributing to the background in the trilepton event category. Simulation of rare fragmentation processes can be unreliable. Therefore, we use dilepton data to evaluate this background [11,48].

Consider a dilepton data sample, such as an $e^{+} e^{-}$ sample, that shares attributes such as the $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ and $H_{\mathrm{T}}$ values with a trilepton search channel such as $e^{+} e^{-} \mu$. The number of background events in the $e^{+} e^{-} \mu$ channel that originate from $e^{+} e^{-}$dilepton events is given by the number of misidentified isolated muons in the $e^{+} e^{-}$sample. We estimate this number to be the product of the observed number of isolated tracks in the dilepton sample and a proportionality factor $f_{\mu}$ between isolated tracks and muons. The factor $f_{\mu}$ depends on the selection requirements of the search channel and, in particular, its heavyflavor content. Since the impact parameters of tracks are generally larger for heavy-flavor decays than for lightflavor (pion and kaon) decays, the average impact parameter value of nonisolated tracks is a good indicator of the heavy-quark content. Therefore, we characterize the variation of $f_{\mu}$ from sample to sample as a function of the average impact parameter value of nonisolated tracks in the dilepton sample.

The factor $f_{\mu}$ is determined in a procedure [11] that considers the numbers of nonisolated muons and tracks in the dilepton samples. We use the difference between crosschecks performed with $e e$ and $\mu \mu$ samples to evaluate a systematic uncertainty. From a sample of $Z\left(\rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)+$ jets events, we determine $f_{\mu}=(0.6 \pm 0.2) \%$, where the uncertainty is systematic. Using an analogous procedure with a sample of $Z\left(\rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)+$jets events, we find $f_{e}=$ $(0.7 \pm 0.2) \%$ for the background from misidentified electron candidates.

## C. Misidentified $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{h}}$ leptons

The probability to misidentify an isolated $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ lepton is determined by calculating an extrapolation ratio $f_{\tau}$ defined by the number of $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ candidates in the isolation-variable signal region $E_{\text {iso }}^{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}<2.0 \mathrm{GeV}$ to the number in a sideband region $6.0<E_{\text {iso }}^{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}<15.0 \mathrm{GeV}$ for an event sample in which no genuine $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ leptons are expected, namely $Z+$ jets events with $Z \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$. The extrapolation ratio is sensitive to the level of jet activity in an event. We study the variation of this ratio with respect to $H_{\mathrm{T}}$ and the number
of jets, using a variety of jet-triggered and dilepton samples, and assign a systematic uncertainty of $30 \%$ based on the observed variation. Using this procedure we obtain $f_{\tau}=(20 \pm 6) \%$.

To estimate the $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ background in a search channel, the number of candidates in the isolation sideband region of the corresponding dilepton sample is multiplied by the extrapolation ratio, analogously to the procedure for $f_{\mu}$ described in Sec. V B for the background from misidentified electrons and muons.

## D. Irreducible background from $W Z$ and $Z Z$ production

The irreducible background, from $W Z+$ jets and $Z Z+$ jets events where both electroweak bosons decay leptonically, is evaluated using samples of simulated events corrected for the measured lepton reconstruction efficiency and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ resolution. The simulated $W Z$ and $Z Z$ distributions are normalized to corresponding measured results obtained from WZ- and ZZ-dominated data control samples, defined by selecting events with on $-Z$, low $-H_{\mathrm{T}}$, and $50<E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}<100 \mathrm{GeV}$ requirements, or two-on- $Z$, low$H_{\mathrm{T}}$, and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}<50 \mathrm{GeV}$ requirements, respectively. The normalization factors have statistical uncertainties of $6 \%$ and $12 \%$, again respectively.

The $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ distribution is examined in individual twodimensional bins of $H_{\mathrm{T}}$ and the number of reconstructed vertices in the event. In an individual bin, the $x$ and $y$ components of $E_{T}^{\text {miss }}$ are found to be approximately Gaussian. The $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ resolution is adversely affected by both pileup and jet activity, but in different ways. The effects of pileup are stochastic, affecting the Gaussian widths of the distributions, while jet activity affects the tails. We apply smearing factors to the Gaussian widths of the simulated events so that the $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ resolution matches that of the data. The corrections to the widths vary from a few percent to as high as around $25 \%$ depending on the bin. The effects of jet activity are accounted for in the evaluation of systematic uncertainties, which are determined by varying the smearing factors and assessing the level of migration between different bins of $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ and $H_{\mathrm{T}}$.

For purposes of validation, Fig. 1 shows the distribution of $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ for an on- $Z$, low- $H_{\mathrm{T}}$, trilepton (eee, ee $\mu, е \mu \mu$, and $\mu \mu \mu), W Z$-dominated data control sample defined by $75<m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}<105 \mathrm{GeV}, H_{\mathrm{T}}<200 \mathrm{GeV}$, and $50<M_{\mathrm{T}}<$ 100 GeV , where $M_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the transverse mass [49] formed from the $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ vector and the lepton not belonging to the OSSF pair. The results are shown in comparison to simulated results that include the above-mentioned corrections.

## E. Background from $\boldsymbol{t} \overline{\boldsymbol{t}}$ production

The background from $t \bar{t}$ events is evaluated from simulation, with corrections applied for lepton efficiencies and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ resolution as described in Sec. V D. Figure 2


FIG. 1 (color online). Distribution of $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ for a WZ-enriched data control sample, in comparison to the result from simulation. "Misidentified" refers to SM background from Drell-Yan events, misidentified $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ decays, and internal photon conversions. The simulation is normalized to a control region in data.
shows the distributions of $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ and $H_{\mathrm{T}}$ for the data and corrected simulation in a $t \bar{t}$-enriched control sample selected by requiring events to contain an opposite-sign $e \mu$ pair and at least one tagged $b$ jet.


FIG. 2 (color online). Distribution of (top) $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ and (bottom) $H_{\mathrm{T}}$ for a $t \bar{t}$-enriched data control sample, in comparison to the result from simulation.

TABLE I. Typical values for systematic uncertainties.

| Source of uncertainty | Magnitude $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Luminosity | 2.6 |
| ISR modeling | $0-5$ |
| $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ resolution for $W Z$ events | $\sim 4$ |
| Jet energy scale $(W Z)$ | 0.5 |
| $b$-jet tagging | $0.1(W Z), 6(t \bar{t})$ |
| Muon ID/isolation at $10(100) \mathrm{GeV}$ | $11(0.2)$ |
| Electron ID/isolation at $10(100) \mathrm{GeV}$ | $14(0.6)$ |
| $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$-lepton ID/isolation at $10(100) \mathrm{GeV}$ | $2(1.1)$ |
| Trigger efficiency | 5 |
| $t \bar{t}$ cross section/isolation variable | 50 |

## F. Backgrounds from asymmetric internal photon conversions

The background from photon conversions is evaluated from data by selecting a low- $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$, low- $H_{\mathrm{T}}$ control region defined by $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}<30 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $H_{\mathrm{T}}<200 \mathrm{GeV}$ and measuring the ratio of the number of events with $\mid m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-} \ell^{(1) \pm}}-$ $m_{Z} \mid<15 \mathrm{GeV}$ to those with $\left|m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-} \gamma}-m_{Z}\right|<15 \mathrm{GeV}$. We find a result of $(2.0 \pm 0.3) \%$ for electrons and $(0.7 \pm$ $0.1) \%$ for muons, where the uncertainty is statistical. We multiply these factors by the measured $\ell^{+} \ell^{-} \gamma$ rates in the signal regions to estimate the rate of photon-conversion background events in these regions, with a systematic uncertainty of $50 \%$.

TABLE II. Observed (Obs.) numbers of events with four or more leptons in comparison with the expected (Exp.) numbers of SM background events. "On- $Z$ " refers to events with at least one $e^{+} e^{-}$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$(OSSF) pair with dilepton mass between 75 and 105 GeV , while "Off-Z" refers to events with one or two OSSF pairs, none of which fall in this mass range. The OSSFn designation refers to the number of $e^{+} e^{-}$and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$pairs in the event, as explained in the text. Search channels binned in $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ have been combined into coarse $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ bins for the purposes of presentation. All uncertainties include both the statistical and systematic terms. The channel marked with an asterisk is used for normalization purposes and is excluded from the search.

| $\geq 4$ leptons $H_{\mathrm{T}}>200 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} \\ (\mathrm{GeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=0,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b}=0 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=1, \\ \text { Obs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N_{b}=0 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=0, \\ \text { Obs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N_{b} \geq 1 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=1,$ | $\begin{gathered} N_{b} \geq 1 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OSSF0 | $\ldots$ | $(100, \infty)$ | 0 | $0.01_{-0.01}^{+0.03}$ | 0 | $0.01_{-0.01}^{+0.06}$ | 0 | $0.02_{-0.02}^{+0.04}$ | 0 | $0.11 \pm 0.08$ |
| OSSF0 | $\ldots$ | $(50,100)$ | 0 | $0.00_{-0.00}^{+0.02}$ | 0 | $0.01_{-0.01}^{+0.06}$ | 0 | $0.00_{-0.00}^{+0.03}$ | 0 | $0.12 \pm 0.07$ |
| OSSF0 |  | $(0,50)$ | 0 | $0.00_{-0.00}^{+0.02}$ | 0 | $0.07_{-0.07}^{+0.10}$ | 0 | $0.00_{-0.00}^{+0.02}$ | 0 | $0.02 \pm 0.02$ |
| OSSF1 | Off-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 0 | $0.01_{-0.01}^{+0.02}$ | 1 | $0.25 \pm 0.11$ | 0 | $0.13 \pm 0.08$ | 0 | $0.12 \pm 0.12$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 1 | $0.10 \pm 0.06$ | 0 | $0.50 \pm 0.27$ | 0 | $0.42 \pm 0.22$ | 0 | $0.42 \pm 0.19$ |
| OSSF1 | Off-Z | $(50,100)$ | 0 | $0.07 \pm 0.06$ | 1 | $0.29 \pm 0.13$ | 0 | $0.04 \pm 0.04$ | 0 | $0.23 \pm 0.13$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(50,100)$ | 0 | $0.23 \pm 0.11$ | 1 | $0.70 \pm 0.31$ | 0 | $0.23 \pm 0.13$ | 1 | $0.34 \pm 0.16$ |
| OSSF1 | Off-Z | $(0,50)$ | 0 | $0.02_{-0.02}^{+0.03}$ | 0 | $0.27 \pm 0.12$ | 0 | $0.033_{-0.03}^{+0.04}$ | 0 | $0.31 \pm 0.15$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(0,50)$ | 0 | $0.20 \pm 0.08$ | 0 | $1.3 \pm 0.5$ | 0 | $0.06 \pm 0.04$ | 1 | $0.49 \pm 0.19$ |
| OSSF2 | Off-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 0 | $0.01_{-0.01}^{+0.02}$ | ... | ... | 0 | $0.01_{-0.01}^{+0.06}$ | ... |  |
| OSSF2 | On-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 1 | $0.15{ }_{-0.15}^{+0.16}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | $0.34 \pm 0.18$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| OSSF2 | Off-Z | $(50,100)$ | 0 | $0.03 \pm 0.02$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | $0.13 \pm 0.09$ | . . | $\ldots$ |
| OSSF2 | On-Z | $(50,100)$ | 0 | $0.80 \pm 0.40$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | $0.36 \pm 0.19$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| OSSF2 | Off-Z | $(0,50)$ | 1 | $0.27 \pm 0.13$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | $0.08 \pm 0.05$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| OSSF2 | On-Z | $(0,50)$ | 5 | $7.4 \pm 3.5$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 2 | $0.80 \pm 0.40$ | . . |  |
| $\geq 4$ leptons $H_{\mathrm{T}}<200 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }} \\ (\mathrm{GeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=0,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b}=0 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=1,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b}=0 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=0, \\ \text { Obs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N_{b} \geq 1 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=1,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b} \geq 1 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ |
| OSSF0 | . . | $(100, \infty)$ | 0 | $0.11 \pm 0.08$ | 0 | $0.17 \pm 0.10$ | 0 | $0.03_{-0.03}^{+0.04}$ | 0 | $0.04 \pm 0.04$ |
| OSSF0 | $\ldots$ | $(50,100)$ | 0 | $0.01_{-0.01}^{+0.03}$ | 2 | $0.70 \pm 0.33$ | 0 | $0.00_{-0.00}^{+0.02}$ | 0 | $0.28 \pm 0.16$ |
| OSSF0 | $\ldots$ | $(0,50)$ | 0 | $0.01_{-0.01}^{+0.02}$ | 1 | $0.7 \pm 0.3$ | 0 | $0.00_{-0.00}^{+0.02}$ | 0 | $0.13 \pm 0.08$ |
| OSSF1 | Off-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 0 | $0.06 \pm 0.04$ | 3 | $0.60 \pm 0.24$ | 0 | $0.02_{-0.02}^{+0.04}$ | 0 | $0.32 \pm 0.20$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 1 | $0.50 \pm 0.18$ | 2 | $2.5 \pm 0.5$ |  | $0.38 \pm 0.20$ | 0 | $0.21 \pm 0.10$ |
| OSSF1 | Off-Z | $(50,100)$ | 0 | $0.18 \pm 0.06$ | 4 | $2.1 \pm 0.5$ | 0 | $0.16 \pm 0.08$ | 1 | $0.45 \pm 0.24$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(50,100)$ | 2 | $1.2 \pm 0.3$ | 9 | $9.6 \pm 1.6$ | 2 | $0.42 \pm 0.23$ | 0 | $0.50 \pm 0.16$ |
| OSSF1 | Off-Z | $(0,50)$ | 2 | $0.46 \pm 0.18$ | 15 | $7.5 \pm 2.0$ | 0 | $0.09 \pm 0.06$ | 0 | $0.70 \pm 0.31$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(0,50)$ | 4 | $3.0 \pm 0.8$ | 41 | $40 \pm 10$ | 1 | $0.31 \pm 0.15$ | 2 | $1.50 \pm 0.47$ |
| OSSF2 | Off-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 0 | $0.04 \pm 0.03$ | ... | ... | 0 | $0.05 \pm 0.04$ | ... | ... |
| OSSF2 | On-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 0 | $0.34 \pm 0.15$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 0 | $0.46 \pm 0.25$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| OSSF2 | Off-Z | $(50,100)$ | 2 | $0.18 \pm 0.13$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | $0.02_{-0.02}^{+0.03}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| OSSF2 | On-Z | (50, 100) | 4 | $3.9 \pm 2.5$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | $0.50 \pm 0.21$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| OSSF2 | Off-Z | $(0,50)$ | 7 | $8.9 \pm 2.4$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 1 | $0.23 \pm 0.09$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| OSSF2 | On-Z | $(0,50)$ | *156 | $160 \pm 34$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 | $2.9 \pm 0.8$ | $\ldots$ |  |

TABLE III. Observed (Obs.) numbers of events with exactly three leptons in comparison with the expected (Exp.) numbers of SM background events. "On- $Z$ " refers to events with an $e^{+} e^{-}$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$(OSSF) pair with dilepton mass between 75 and 105 GeV , while "Above-Z" and "Below-Z" refer to events with an OSSF pair with mass above 105 GeV or below 75 GeV , respectively. The OSSF $n$ designation refers to the number of $e^{+} e^{-}$and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$pairs in the event, as explained in the text. Search channels binned in $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ have been combined into coarse $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ bins for the purposes of presentation. All uncertainties include both the statistical and systematic terms. The channels marked with an asterisk are used for normalization purposes and are excluded from the search.

| 3 leptons $H_{\mathrm{T}}>200 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }} \\ (\mathrm{GeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=0,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b}=0 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=1,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b}=0 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=0,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b} \geq 1 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=1,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b} \geq 1 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OSSF0 | $\ldots$ | $(100, \infty)$ | 5 | $3.7 \pm 1.6$ | 35 | $33 \pm 14$ | 1 | $5.5 \pm 2.2$ | 47 | $61 \pm 30$ |
| OSSF0 |  | $(50,100)$ | 3 | $3.5 \pm 1.4$ | 34 | $36 \pm 16$ | 8 | $7.7 \pm 2.7$ | 82 | $91 \pm 46$ |
| OSSF0 |  | $(0,50)$ | 4 | $2.1 \pm 0.8$ | 25 | $25 \pm 10$ | 1 | $3.6 \pm 1.5$ | 52 | $59 \pm 29$ |
| OSSF1 | Above-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 5 | $3.6 \pm 1.2$ | 2 | $10.0 \pm 4.8$ | 3 | $4.7 \pm 1.6$ | 19 | $22 \pm 11$ |
| OSSF1 | Below-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 7 | $9.7 \pm 3.3$ | 18 | $14.0 \pm 6.4$ | 8 | $9.1 \pm 3.4$ | 21 | $23 \pm 11$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 39 | $61 \pm 23$ | 17 | $15.0 \pm 4.9$ | 9 | $14.0 \pm 4.4$ | 10 | $12.0 \pm 5.8$ |
| OSSF1 | Above-Z | $(50,100)$ | 4 | $5.0 \pm 1.6$ | 14 | $11.0 \pm 5.2$ | 6 | $6.8 \pm 2.4$ | 32 | $30 \pm 15$ |
| OSSF1 | Below-Z | $(50,100)$ | 10 | $11.0 \pm 3.8$ | 24 | $19.0 \pm 6.4$ | 10 | $9.9 \pm 3.7$ | 25 | $32 \pm 16$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(50,100)$ | 78 | $80 \pm 32$ | 70 | $50 \pm 11$ | 22 | $22.0 \pm 6.3$ | 36 | $24.0 \pm 9.8$ |
| OSSF1 | Above-Z | $(0,50)$ | 3 | $7.3 \pm 2.0$ | 41 | $33.0 \pm 8.7$ | 4 | $5.3 \pm 1.5$ | 15 | $23 \pm 11$ |
| OSSF1 | Below-Z | $(0,50)$ | 26 | $25.0 \pm 6.8$ | 110 | $86 \pm 23$ | 5 | $10.0 \pm 2.5$ | 24 | $26 \pm 11$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(0,50)$ | *135 | $130 \pm 41$ | 542 | $540 \pm 160$ | 31 | $32.0 \pm 6.5$ | 86 | $75 \pm 19$ |
| 3 leptons $H_{\mathrm{T}}<200 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $m_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }} \\ (\mathrm{GeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=0,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b}=0 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=1,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b}=0 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=0,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b} \geq 1 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}=1,$ <br> Obs. | $\begin{gathered} N_{b} \geq 1 \\ \text { Exp. } \end{gathered}$ |
| OSSF0 |  | $(100, \infty)$ | 7 | $11.0 \pm 4.9$ | 101 | $111 \pm 54$ | 13 | $10.0 \pm 5.3$ | 87 | $119 \pm 61$ |
| OSSF0 |  | $(50,100)$ | 35 | $38 \pm 15$ | 406 | $402 \pm 152$ | 29 | $26 \pm 13$ | 269 | $298 \pm 151$ |
| OSSF0 |  | $(0,50)$ | 53 | $51 \pm 11$ | 910 | $1035 \pm 255$ | 29 | $23 \pm 10$ | 237 | $240 \pm 113$ |
| OSSF1 | Above-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 18 | $13.0 \pm 3.5$ | 25 | $38 \pm 18$ | 10 | $6.5 \pm 2.9$ | 24 | $35 \pm 18$ |
| OSSF1 | Below-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 21 | $24 \pm 9$ | 41 | $50 \pm 25$ | 14 | $20 \pm 10$ | 42 | $54 \pm 28$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(100, \infty)$ | 150 | $150 \pm 26$ | 39 | $48 \pm 13$ | 15 | $14.0 \pm 4.8$ | 19 | $23 \pm 11$ |
| OSSF1 | Above-Z | $(50,100)$ | 50 | $46.0 \pm 9.7$ | 169 | $140 \pm 48$ | 20 | $18 \pm 8$ | 85 | $93 \pm 47$ |
| OSSF1 | Below-Z | $(50,100)$ | 142 | $130 \pm 27$ | 353 | $360 \pm 92$ | 48 | $48 \pm 23$ | 140 | $133 \pm 68$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(50,100)$ | *773 | $780 \pm 120$ | 1276 | $1200 \pm 310$ | 56 | $47 \pm 13$ | 81 | $75 \pm 32$ |
| OSSF1 | Above-Z | $(0,50)$ | 178 | $200 \pm 35$ | 1676 | $1900 \pm 540$ | 17 | $18.0 \pm 6.7$ | 115 | $94 \pm 42$ |
| OSSF1 | Below-Z | $(0,50)$ | 510 | $560 \pm 87$ | 9939 | $9000 \pm 2700$ | 34 | $42 \pm 11$ | 226 | $228 \pm 63$ |
| OSSF1 | On-Z | $(0,50)$ | *3869 | $4100 \pm 670$ | *50188 | $50000 \pm 15000$ | *148 | $156 \pm 24$ | 906 | $925 \pm 263$ |

## VI. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

The evaluation of systematic uncertainties for the SM background is partially discussed in the previous section. In this section, we discuss additional sources of uncertainty, both for the background estimates and the signal predictions.

Simulated signal and background samples are subject to uncertainties from the trigger, lepton-identification, and isolation requirements. The latter two uncertainties are combined into a single term that is approximately $1.5 \%$ for leptons with $p_{\mathrm{T}}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$. The trigger efficiency uncertainties are approximately $5 \%$. Uncertainties associated with the jet energy scale [36], $b$-jet tagging efficiency [38], $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ resolution, and luminosity [50] affect signal efficiencies as well as background estimates determined from simulation. The signal efficiencies are subject to an additional uncertainty, from the ISR modeling [23]. Uncertainties in the cross section calculations affect the signal samples and simulation-derived background estimates, with the exception of the background from $W Z$
and $Z Z$ production, whose normalization is determined from data.

We assign a $50 \%$ uncertainty to the estimate of the misidentified lepton background arising from $t \bar{t}$ production, which is a combination of the uncertainty attributed to the cross section and an uncertainty derived from the level of agreement between data and simulation for the distribution of the isolation variable.

The total systematic uncertainty per channel varies between $3 \%$ and $40 \%$. Table I list representative values for some of the individual terms.

## VII. RESULTS

Table II presents the results of the searches for events with four or more leptons, and Table III the results for exactly three leptons. The observed numbers of events are seen to be in overall agreement with the SM expectations.
Three excesses in the data relative to the SM estimates are worth noting in Table II. All concern events in the OSSF1, off-Z category with one $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$-lepton candidate, no
tagged $b$ jet, and $H_{\mathrm{T}}<200 \mathrm{GeV}$. Specifically, we observe 15, 4 , and 3 events for $0<E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}<50 \mathrm{GeV}$, $50<E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}<100 \mathrm{GeV}$, and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}>100 \mathrm{GeV}$, respectively, when only $7.5 \pm 2.0,2.1 \pm 0.5$, and $0.60 \pm 0.24$ SM events are expected, for an expectation of $10.1 \pm 2.4$ events in the combined $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ range. We determine the single-measurement probability to observe 22 or more events when the expected number is $10.1 \pm 2.4$ events to be about $1 \%$. However, once trial factors are incorporated to account for the 64 independent channels of the analysis, the probability to observe such a fluctuation increases to about $50 \%$. Alternatively, the joint probability to observe at least as large an excess for all three channels considered individually is about $5 \%$. We account for systematic uncertainties and their correlations when evaluating these probabilities.

## VIII. INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS FOR SUPERSYMMETRIC SCENARIOS

We consider five new-physics scenarios that appear in the framework of the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) [4,5]. They involve sleptons (including staus), bottom and top squarks, higgsinos, gravitinos, neutralinos, and charginos, where higgsinos are the superpartners of the Higgs bosons, the gravitino $\tilde{G}$ is the superpartner of the graviton, while neutralinos (charginos) are mixtures of the superpartners of neutral (charged) electroweak vector and Higgs bosons. The first three scenarios feature the gravitino as the LSP, while the lightest neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ is the LSP for the other two scenarios. The first and last two scenarios proceed through the production of third-generation squarks, yielding final states rich in heavy-flavor jets. Taken together, these five scenarios present a wide spectrum of multilepton signatures.

Our search results lack striking departures from the SM, and we set limits on the production cross sections of the five
scenarios. The limits are determined using the observed numbers of events, the SM background estimates, and the predicted event yields. For each scenario, we order the search channels by their expected sensitivities and then combine channels, starting with the most sensitive one. For ease of computation and with a negligible loss in overall sensitivity, we do not consider channels once the number of signal events integrated over the retained channels reaches $90 \%$ of the total. The list of selected channels thus depends not only on the scenario considered, but also on the assumed superpartner masses and branching fractions.

We set $95 \%$ confidence level (C.L.) upper limits on the signal parameters and cross sections using the modified frequentest $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{s}}$ method with the LHC-style test statistic [51-53]. Lognormal nuisance-parameter distributions are used to account for uncertainties.

## A. Natural higgsino NLSP scenario

We first present a supersymmetric scenario in which the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ neutralino is a higgsino that forms the next-toLSP (NLSP) state [21]. We refer to this scenario as the "natural higgsino NLSP" scenario. This scenario arises in gauge-mediated SUSY-breaking (GMSB) models [54]. Production proceeds through the right-handed top-antitop squark pair $\tilde{t}_{R} \tilde{t}^{*}{ }_{R}$, with the subsequent decays $\tilde{t}_{R} \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}$or $\tilde{t}_{R} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}(i=1,2)$, where $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}$is the lightest chargino and $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ the second-lightest neutralino (both taken to be higgsinos), with the $\tilde{q}^{*}$ state the charge conjugate of the $\tilde{q}$ state. The $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ states each decay to the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ and SM particles. Figure 3 shows an event diagram and a schematic mass spectrum. The last step in each of the two top-squark decay chains is the decay $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow H \tilde{G}$ or $Z \tilde{G}$, yielding an $H H, H Z$, or $Z Z$ configuration, with $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ from the undetected gravitino. Note that we assume $H \tilde{G}$ and $Z \tilde{G}$ to be the only two possible decay modes for the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ higgsino [54].


FIG. 3 (color online). Event diagram and a schematic superpartner mass spectrum for the GMSB natural higgsino NLSP scenario, with $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{ \pm}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\right)$ the lightest chargino (neutralino), $H$ the lightest MSSM Higgs boson, and $\tilde{G}$ a gravitino. Particles in parentheses in the event diagram have a soft $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ spectrum.

Beyond the top-squark pair production diagram of Fig. 3, the natural higgsino NLSP scenario also encompasses direct higgsino pair production, in which the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}$and $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}$ states of Fig. 3 (plus other di-higgsino states) are produced through electroweak interactions, leading to the same $H H$, $H Z$, and $Z Z$ configuration as in Fig. 3, but with less jet activity [54]. Our search results are also sensitive to this scenario.

Of the five new-physics scenarios we examine, the natural higgsino NLSP scenario exhibits the largest range with respect to its population of the different search channels. The channels with highest sensitivity are those that require $b$ jets, and, for the decays through the $H Z$ and $Z Z$ states, the channels with on $-Z$ and off- $Z$ requirements.

The natural higgsino NLSP scenario is complex because the higgsino can decay to either a $Z$ or Higgs boson, while the Higgs boson has many decay modes that lead to leptons. We consider seven decay channels for the $H H$ configuration: $W W^{*} W W^{*}, \quad Z Z^{*} Z Z^{*}, \quad \tau \tau \tau \tau, \quad W W^{*} Z Z^{*}$, $W W^{*} \tau \tau, Z Z^{*} \tau \tau$, and $Z Z^{*} b b$, and three decay channels for the $H Z$ configuration: $W W^{*} Z, Z Z^{*} Z$, and $\tau \tau Z$, where $W^{*}$ and $Z^{*}$ indicate off-shell vector bosons.

Signal events for the natural higgsino NLSP scenario are generated using MadGraph, as described in Sec. III. The $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ higgsinos are assigned masses 5 GeV below and above the mass of the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{ \pm}$higgsino, respectively, while the gravitino is assumed to be massless. In the limit of no mixing between higgsinos and gauginos, the light neutralinos and charginos become degenerate [54]. The 5 GeV splitting is representative of proximity to this limit. We generate signal events for a range of $\tilde{t}_{R}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{ \pm}$mass values. Cross sections for both the strong and electroweak production processes are assigned an uncertainty of $20 \%$, which also accounts for the uncertainties associated with the PDFs and with the renormalization and factorization scales.

Figure 4 shows the excluded regions in the plane of $m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{ \pm}}$ versus $m_{\tilde{t}}$. The results are shown for several choices for the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow H \tilde{G}$ branching fraction. One-dimensional exclusion plots with fixed choices for the branching fraction and chargino mass are shown in Fig. 5. The search sensitivity is larger for lower chargino masses because of the larger cross section. There is less sensitivity for the Higgs-bosondominated mode in comparison with the $Z$-bosondominated mode. Figure 6 shows the results as a function of the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow H \tilde{G}$ branching fraction and the top squark mass for different chargino masses.

## B. Slepton co-NLSP scenario

We next consider the slepton co-NLSP scenario [21,53], in which mass-degenerate right-handed sleptons $\tilde{\ell}_{R}$ (selectron, smuon, stau) serve together as the NLSP. This scenario arises in a broad class of GMSB models and can lead to a multilepton final state [55-58]. The process proceeds primarily through gluino $\tilde{g}$ and squark $\tilde{q}$ pair


FIG. 4 (color online). The $95 \%$ confidence level upper limits in the top squark versus chargino mass plane, for the natural higgsino NLSP scenario with the following $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ branching fractions: $\quad \mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow H \tilde{G}\right)=\mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow Z \tilde{G}\right)=0.5 \quad$ (top), $\quad \mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow\right.$ $H \tilde{G})=1.0 \quad$ (middle), and $\quad \mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow Z \tilde{G}\right)=1.0 \quad$ (bottom). Both strong and electroweak production mechanisms are considered. The region to the left and below the contours is excluded. The region above the diagonal straight line is unphysical.
production [59]. An event diagram and schematic mass spectrum are shown in Fig. 7. The $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ neutralino is taken to be a bino, the superpartner of the B gauge boson. The bino decays to a lepton and the NLSP, while the NLSP decays to the gravitino LSP and an additional lepton. Depending on the mass spectrum, the events can have large $H_{\mathrm{T}}$. Channels


FIG. 5 (color online). The $95 \%$ confidence level upper limits on cross section times branching fraction $\mathcal{B}$, for the natural higgsino NLSP scenario for $\mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow H \tilde{G}\right)=\mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow Z \tilde{G}\right)=0.5$ (top), $\mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow H \tilde{G}\right)=1.0$ (middle), and $\mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow Z \tilde{G}\right)=1.0$ (bottom). The charged higgsino mass is fixed at 150 GeV (left) and 350 GeV (right). The region to the left of the vertical line on the right plots is unphysical and limited by the charged higgsino mass.
with no tagged $b$ jets and off-Z OSSF pairs exhibit the largest sensitivity for this scenario.

Beyond production through squarks and gluinos, production through chargino-neutralino or right-handed slepton pairs is possible. The decay of each parent eventually leads to a bino $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$, which decays as shown in Fig. 7, leading to a final state with multileptons and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ as for the strongproduction process. The relative importance of the strongand weak-production mechanisms depends on the values of the superpartner masses.

Signal events for the slepton co-NLSP scenario are generated using the pythia generator. The superpartner mass spectrum is parametrized in terms of the masses of the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{ \pm}$chargino and the gluino. The remaining superpartner masses are chosen to be $m_{\tilde{e}_{R}}=0.3 m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{ \pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}=0.5 m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{ \pm}}$, $m_{\tilde{e}_{L}}=0.8 m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}}$, and $m_{\tilde{q}}=0.8 m_{\tilde{g}}$, with no mixing of the left- and right-handed slepton and squark components, and with the higgsino masses so large that their contributions are negligible. The cross sections are calculated at NLO using K-factors from Prospino [60] and are assigned a $30 \%$


FIG. 6 (color online). The $95 \%$ confidence level upper limits on the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow H \tilde{G}\right)$ for the natural higgsino NLSP scenario with fixed charged higgsino mass of 150 GeV (top), 250 GeV (middle), and 350 GeV (bottom) assuming $\mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow H \tilde{G}\right)+\mathcal{B}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow Z \tilde{G}\right)=1.0$. The region to the left of the vertical line on the right plots is unphysical and limited by the charged higgsino mass.
theoretical uncertainty, taking into account cross section, scale, and PDF uncertainties.

The $95 \%$ C.L. exclusions limits for the slepton co-NLSP scenario are shown in Fig. 8 (left) as a function of the gluino and chargino masses. In the region dominated by strong superpartner production, the exclusion curve asymptotically approaches a horizontal plateau, while it tends towards a vertical line in the region dominated by weak superpartner production.

## C. The stau-(N)NLSP scenario

In the stau-NLSP scenario, the right-handed stau lepton is the NLSP. This scenario arises for moderate to large values of the MSSM parameter $\tan \beta$ [4,5]. Massdegenerate right-handed selectrons and smuons decay to the stau through the three-body processes $\tilde{\mathrm{e}}_{R} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_{R} \tau e$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{R} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_{R} \tau \mu$. The stau decays as $\tilde{\tau}_{R} \rightarrow \tilde{G} \tau$. Pair production of selectrons or smuons leads to a multilepton final state dominated by $\tau$ leptons. A diagram and schematic mass spectrum are shown in Fig. 9.

Besides the stau-NLSP scenario, we also consider the stau-NNLSP scenario in which mass-degenerate righthanded selectrons and smuons are co-NLSPs, while the right-handed stau is the next-to-next-to-lightest SUSY particle (NNLSP). The process proceeds via electroweak pair production of staus. The staus decay to the NLSP and a $\tau$ lepton. The NLSPs decay to a $\tau$ lepton and gravitino.

The search channels most sensitive to the stau-(N)NLSP scenarios contain $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ leptons, no tagged $b$ jets, off-Z OSSF pairs, and large $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$. Signal events for the stau-(N)NLSP model are generated using PYTHIA [45]. The cross sections are normalized to NLO calculations using PROSPINO [60] and are assigned a $30 \%$ theoretical uncertainty.

The $95 \%$ C.L. exclusion limits for the stau-(N)NLSP scenario are shown in Fig. 8 (bottom). When the mass difference between the stau and the other sleptons is small, the leptons are soft. This results in low signal efficiency, which causes the exclusion contour to become nearly parallel to the diagonal for points near the diagonal. The difference between the expected and observed limits in the region below the diagonal is driven by the excesses observed between the data and SM estimates in the four-ormore lepton, OSSF1, off- $Z, \tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ channels without $b$ jets, noted in Sec. VII.

## D. Third-generation SMS scenario T1tttt

In the T1tttt simplified model spectra (SMS) scenario [58,61,62], pair-produced gluinos each decay to a top quark and a virtual top squark. The virtual top squark decays to a top quark and the LSP, where the LSP is the lightest neutralino. Thus each gluino undergoes an effective threebody decay to two top quarks and the LSP, yielding four top quarks in the final state. Each top quark can potentially yield a $b$ jet and a leptonically decaying $W$ boson, leading to a multilepton final state with $b$ jets and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$. Because of the large number of jets, the $H_{\mathrm{T}}$ value can be quite large. An event diagram and schematic mass spectrum are shown in Fig. 10.

The presence of four top quarks in the final state results in four $b$ quarks and four $W$ bosons. The $W$-boson decays can produce up to four leptons with large $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$. The SM background is significantly reduced by requiring the presence of a $b$ jet. This requirement represents an improvement with respect to our analysis of the 7 TeV data [11].


FIG. 7 (color online). Event diagram and a schematic superpartner mass spectrum for the GMSB slepton co-NLSP scenario.

Signal events for the T1tttt scenario are generated using MadGraph. The cross sections are calculated at the NLO plus next-to-leading-logarithm (NLL) level [59,63-66] with uncertainties that vary between $23 \%$ and $27 \%$ [67].


FIG. 8 (color online). The $95 \%$ confidence level upper limits for the slepton co-NLSP model in the gluino versus chargino mass plane (top) and for the stau-(N)NLSP scenarios in the stau versus degenerate-smuon and -selectron mass plane (bottom). The region to the left and below the contours is excluded.

The $95 \%$ C.L. exclusion limits in the gluino versus LSP mass plane are shown in Fig. 11 (left). We exclude gluinos with mass values below 1 TeV over much of this plane.

## E. Third-generation SMS scenario T6ttWW

In the T6ttWW SMS scenario, we search for SUSY signals with direct bottom-squark pair production [62,68]. An event diagram and schematic mass spectrum are shown in Fig. 12. The bottom squark decays as $\tilde{b} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}$, while the chargino decays as $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-} \rightarrow W^{-} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$. This scenario populates channels with tagged $b$ jets.

For simplicity, we consider on-shell charginos. The $W$ boson from the chargino decay can be either on- or offshell. Signal events are generated using MadGraph with normalization of the cross section performed to $\mathrm{NLO}+$ NLL [59,63-66]. The uncertainty of the cross section calculation is $30 \%$ [67].

Figure 11 (bottom) shows the exclusion limits for the T6ttWW scenario in the chargino versus bottom-squark mass plane. The mass of the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ is assumed to be 50 GeV . We exclude bottom squarks with mass values less than 550 GeV . This result complements our study of this same scenario performed using same-sign dilepton events and obtains similar conclusions [22].

## IX. RARE DECAY $\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \boldsymbol{C H}$

Beyond the SUSY scenarios examined in Sec. VIII, we interpret our results in the context of the flavor-changing decay of a top quark to a Higgs boson and a charm quark. Although not forbidden in the SM, the SM branching fraction is predicted to be extremely small $\left(10^{-13}-10^{-15}[9,10]\right)$, due to suppression both by the Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani mechanism [69] and by the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa quark-mixing matrix [70] factor. Observation of the $t \rightarrow c H$ transition can therefore provide evidence for BSM physics, i.e., for non-SM particles produced virtually in loops. In this


FIG. 9 (color online). Event diagram and a schematic superpartner mass spectrum for the GMSB stau-(N)NLSP scenario.
sense the $t \rightarrow c H$ transition plays a complementary role to SUSY searches compared to the direct superpartner production scenarios considered in Sec. VIII.

In addition, the $t \rightarrow c H$ decay directly probes the flavorviolating couplings of the Higgs boson to the top quark. Since up-type quark-flavor violation is less constrained than down-type quark-flavor violation [71], exploration of this issue is of general interest.

The production of a $t \bar{t}$ pair followed by the decay of one top quark to a $c H$ state and the other to a $b W$ state can yield a multilepton signature, especially if the Higgs boson decays through one of the following channels:
(i) $H \rightarrow W W^{*} \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$,
(ii) $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$, or
(iii) $H \rightarrow Z Z^{*} \rightarrow$ jjel, $\nu \nu \ell \ell$, elle,
where $j$ refers to a jet. If the $t \rightarrow b W$ decay also produces a lepton, there can be up to five leptons in an event.

To simulate signal events, we generate a $t \bar{t}$ sample in which one top quark decays to $c H$ and the other to $b W$. We assume $m_{H}=126 \mathrm{GeV}$ [72] and that the Higgs boson has SM branching fractions. We only consider the decay modes listed above because the contributions of other Higgs boson decay modes to the multilepton final state are found to be negligible. Signal events are generated using MadGraph,
with normalization performed at the next-to-next-toleading order [73].

The signal events predominantly populate channels with three leptons, a tagged $b$ jet, no $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$-lepton candidate, and an OSSF off- $Z$ pair or no OSSF pair. The most sensitive channels are listed in Table IV. The main source of SM background arises from $t \bar{t}$ production. The observed numbers of events are seen to be in agreement with the SM expectations to within the uncertainties.

Using the same limit-setting procedure as in Sec. VIII, we obtain a $95 \%$ C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction of $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow c H)<1.3 \%$. The measured branching fraction is $\left(1.2_{-0.3}^{+0.5}\right) \%$. The uncertainties include both the statistical and systematic terms. The observed limit corresponds to a bound on the left- and right-handed top-charm flavorviolating Higgs Yukawa couplings, $\lambda_{t c}^{H}$ and $\lambda_{c t}^{H}$, respectively, of $\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{t c}^{H}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{c t}^{H}\right|^{2}}<0.21$. This result represents a significant improvement compared with the inferred result $\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{t c}^{H}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{c t}^{H}\right|^{2}}<0.31$ from Ref. [9], which is based on our 7 TeV results [11]. Reference [14] presents recent results from the ATLAS Collaboration. Table V presents upper limits from individual Higgs boson decay modes. All other decay modes are ignored when calculating these


FIG. 10 (color online). Event diagram and a schematic superpartner mass spectrum for the SMS T1tttt scenario.



FIG. 11 (color online). 95\% confidence level upper limits for the T1tttt scenario in the LSP versus gluino mass plane (top) and for the T6ttWW scenario in the chargino versus bottom-squark mass plane (bottom) are shown. Masses to the left and below the contours are excluded.
limits. It is seen that the $H \rightarrow W W^{*} \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$ mode dominates the overall result.

TABLE IV. The ten most sensitive signal regions for the $t \rightarrow$ cH process, along with the number of observed (Obs.), background (Exp.), and expected signal (Sig.) events, assuming $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow c H)=1 \%$, ordered by sensitivity. All signal regions shown have exactly three selected leptons. The results are binned in $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}, H_{\mathrm{T}}$, number of tagged $b$ jets or $\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ candidates, and, if an OSSF pair is present, its invariant mass with respect to the Z-boson mass window.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { OSSF } \\ & \text { pair } \end{aligned}$ | $N_{\tau_{\mathrm{h}}}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }} \\ (\mathrm{GeV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} H_{\mathrm{T}} \\ (\mathrm{GeV}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Obs. | Exp. | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below-Z | 0 | 50-100 | 0-200 | $\geq 1$ | 48 | $48 \pm 23$ | $9.5 \pm 2.3$ |
|  | 0 | 50-100 | 0-200 | $\geq 1$ | 29 | $26 \pm 13$ | $5.9 \pm 1.3$ |
| Below-Z | 0 | 0-50 | 0-200 |  | 34 | $42 \pm 11$ | $5.9 \pm 1.2$ |
|  | 0 | 0-50 | 0-200 | $\geq 1$ | 29 | $23 \pm 10$ | $4.3 \pm 1.1$ |
| Below-Z | 0 | 50-100 | $>200$ | $\geq 1$ | 10 | $9.9 \pm 3.7$ | $3.0 \pm 1.1$ |
| Below-Z | 0 | 0-50 | $>200$ | $\geq 1$ | 5 | $10 \pm 2.5$ | $2.8 \pm 0.8$ |
| Below-Z | 0 | 50-100 | 0-200 | 0 | 142 | $125 \pm 27$ | $9.7 \pm 2.1$ |
| ... | 1 | 0-50 | 0-200 | $\geq 1$ | 237 | $240 \pm 113$ | $13.1 \pm 2.6$ |
|  | 0 | 50-100 | 0-200 | 0 | 35 | $38 \pm 15$ | $4.3 \pm 1.1$ |
| Above-Z | 0 | 0-50 | 0-200 | $\geq 1$ | 17 | $18 \pm 6.7$ | $2.8 \pm 0.8$ |

TABLE V. Comparison of the observed (Obs.) and median expected (Exp.) 95\% C.L. upper limits on $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow c H)$ from individual Higgs boson decay modes, along with their one standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) uncertainties. The uncertainties include both statistical and systematic terms.

|  | Upper limits on $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow c H)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higgs boson decay mode | Obs. | Exp. | $1 \sigma$ range |  |
| $\mathcal{B}\left(H \rightarrow W W^{*}\right)$ | $=23.1 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $(1.0-2.2) \%$ |
| $\mathcal{B}(H \rightarrow \tau \tau)$ | $=6.2 \%$ | $7.01 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $(3.5-7.7) \%$ |
| $\mathcal{B}\left(H \rightarrow Z Z^{*}\right)$ | $=2.9 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $4.11 \%$ | $(2.9-6.5) \%$ |
| Combined |  | $1.3 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $(0.9-1.7) \%$ |

leptons, where one of these leptons can be a hadronically decaying $\tau$ lepton. We search in channels with $e^{+} e^{-}$or $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$pairs that are either consistent or inconsistent with $Z$ boson decay, in channels without such a pair, in channels


FIG. 12 (color online). Event diagram and a schematic superpartner mass spectrum for the SMS T6ttWW scenario.
with or without a hadronically decaying $\tau$-lepton candidate, in channels with and without a tagged bottom-quark jet, in events with and without a large level of jet activity (measured with the scalar sum of jet $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ values), and in different bins of missing transverse energy. We find no significant excesses compared to the expectations from standard model processes. The search is performed separately for events with exactly three leptons and with four or more leptons.

We examine a broad class of supersymmetric scenarios that, taken together, populate a broad spectrum of multilepton final states. Compared to previous results, we probe new regions of the parameter space for the natural higgsino next-to-lightest supersymmetric particle (NLSP), slepton co-NLSP, and stau-(N)NLSP scenarios, where (N)NLSP denotes the (next-to-)next-to-lightest-supersymmetric particle. In addition, we investigate scenarios with gluino pair production followed by gluino decay to a top-antitop pair and the lightest supersymmetric particle, and direct bottom-squark pair production. Cross section upper limits at $95 \%$ confidence level are presented for all these scenarios.

We further explore rare transitions of the top quark to a charm quark and a Higgs boson, $t \rightarrow c \mathrm{H}$. We set a $95 \%$ confidence level upper limit of $1.3 \%$ on the branching fraction of this decay, which corresponds to an upper bound $\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{t c}^{H}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{c t}^{H}\right|^{2}}<0.21$ on the flavor-violating couplings of a Higgs boson to a tc quark combination.
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