

# MIT Open Access Articles

# *On Gaussian Beams Described by Jacobi's Equation*

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *[Please](https://libraries.mit.edu/forms/dspace-oa-articles.html) share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

**Citation:** Smith, Steven T. "On Gaussian Beams Described by Jacobi's Equation." SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 74, no. 5 (January 2014): 1637–1656. © 2014 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics

**As Published:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/130915996

**Publisher:** Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics

**Persistent URL:** <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/92544>

**Version:** Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

**Terms of Use:** Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.



## **ON GAUSSIAN BEAMS DESCRIBED BY JACOBI'S EQUATION**∗

STEVEN T. SMITH†

**Abstract.** Gaussian beams describe the amplitude and phase of rays and are widely used to model acoustic propagation. This paper describes four new results in the theory of Gaussian beams.  $(1)$  A new version of the Cerven $\acute{y}$  equations for the amplitude and phase of Gaussian beams is developed by applying the equivalence of Hamilton–Jacobi theory with Jacobi's equation that connects Riemannian curvature to geodesic flow. Thus the paper makes a fundamental connection between Gaussian beams and an acoustic channel's so-called intrinsic Gaussian curvature from differential geometry. (2) A new formula  $\pi (c/c'')^{1/2}$  for the distance between convergence zones is derived and applied to the Munk and other well-known profiles. (3) A class of "model spaces" are introduced that connect the acoustics of ducting/divergence zones with the channel's Gaussian curvature  $K = cc'' - (c')^2$ . The model sound speed profiles (SSPs) yield constant Gaussian curvature in which the geometry of ducts corresponds to great circles on a sphere and convergence zones correspond<br>to antipodes. The distance between caustics  $\pi (c/c'')^{1/2}$  is equated with an ideal hyperbolic cosine SSP duct. (4) An intrinsic version of Červený's formulae for the amplitude and phase of Gaussian beams is derived that does not depend on an extrinsic, arbitrary choice of coordinates such as range and depth. Direct comparisons are made between the computational frameworks used by the three different approaches to Gaussian beams: Snell's law, the extrinsic Frenet–Serret formulae, and the intrinsic Jacobi methods presented here. The relationship of Gaussian beams to Riemannian curvature is explained with an overview of the modern covariant geometric methods that provide a general framework for application to other special cases.

**Key words.** paraxial ray, Gaussian beam, acoustic ray, Jacobi's equation, Gaussian curvature, Riemannian curvature, Hamilton–Jacobi equation

#### **AMS subject classifications.** 53Z05, 76Q05, 78A05, 78M30, 35F21, 70G45

**DOI.** 10.1137/130915996

# **Notation and SI units.**



∗Received by the editors April 8, 2013; accepted for publication (in revised form) June 2, 2014; published electronically October 16, 2014.

<http://www.siam.org/journals/siap/74-5/91599.html>

†MIT Lincoln Laboratory, 244 Wood Street, Lexington, MA 02420 [\(stsmith@ll.mit.edu\)](mailto:stsmith@ll.mit.edu). This work was sponsored by the Department of the United States Navy under Air Force contract FA8721- 05-C-0002. Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the United States Government.

**1. Introduction.** This paper uses Jacobi's equation to derive new formulae for the geometric spreading loss and phase through Gaussian beams and thus provides an alternate method for paraxial ray tracing [\[5,](#page-20-0) [4,](#page-19-0) [10,](#page-20-1) [11,](#page-20-2) Chapter 4; [18,](#page-20-3) [19,](#page-20-4) [21,](#page-20-5) [24,](#page-20-6) [17,](#page-20-7) Chapter 3]. The new formulation, though mathematically equivalent to well-known expressions, provides new geometric insight into the physical and intrinsic geometric characteristics of Gaussian beams and their relationship to the Gaussian and Riemannian curvature of the propagation medium. Thus the expressions introduced in this paper establish the connection between Gaussian beams and the intrinsic geometry of the propagation medium. Four new geometrically motivated ideas for ducting are presented:  $(1)$  the Cerven'  $\gamma$  equations for the amplitude and phase of Gaussian beams are expressed in a new form using the equivalence of the Hamilton–Jacobi equations that involves the Hamiltonian and Jacobi's equation that involves Riemannian curvature; (2) a new formula  $\pi (c/c'')^{1/2}$  for the distance between convergence zones is derived, where  $c(z)$  is the sound speed profile (SSP); (3) the intrinsic geometry of acoustic ducting is shown to be equivalent to great circles on a sphere with convergence zones corresponding to antipodes; and  $(4)$  a coordinate-free "intrinsic" version of Cerven $\acute{y}$ 's formulae for the amplitude and phase of Gaussian beams is presented.

Paraxial ray methods are generally known as "Gaussian beams" because each ray is treated as representing a volume or ray tube in which the ray's amplitude and phase in the transverse plane perpendicular to the ray's tangent is determined by a Gaussian density. Transversely along the ray, Jacobi's equation determines the geometric spreading loss, expressed using Riemannian or sectional curvatures, or, in the case of two-dimensional rays, the Gaussian curvature. Tangentially, the relative time lag of nearby rays determines the phase of the Gaussian beam, which themselves are described by complex solutions  $Ae^{j\varphi}$  to the Hamilton–Jacobi equations, where A is the beam amplitude,  $\varphi$  is the beam phase, and  $j = \sqrt{-1}$ . Therefore, the name "Gaussian beam" is highly suitable because Gaussian beams are completely determined by Gauss's eponymous curvature.

One interesting example of a new physical insight derived from Jacobi's equation is a simple formula for the distance between caustics: it is shown that the halfwavelength distance is about  $\pi(c/c'')^{1/2}$ , a quantity that depends entirely on the SSP. It will be proved that the distance between convergence zones for a Munk profile with parameter  $\epsilon$  and scaled depth W meters is about  $\pi \epsilon^{-1/2}W$  meters. For SSPs with an idealized hyperbolic cosine profile  $c(z) = c_0 \cosh(z - z_0)/W$ , this distance is shown to equal exactly  $\pi W$  meters for all rays. Caustics arise with positive Gaussian curvature; when the curvature is negative, rays diverge and Jacobi's equation quantifies their divergence, or transmission loss. For example, for linear SSPs with slope c' the geometric spreading at time  $t \lesssim c^{-1}$  is about  $ct + \frac{1}{6}c(c')^2t^3$ . These results are both a direct consequence of the fact that the Gaussian curvature of the propagation medium equals  $K = cc'' - (c')^2$ . Classifying the SSP by its Gaussian curvature will allow for the introduction of model spaces for convergent ducts whose curvature is constant positive, divergence zones with constant negative curvature, and simple nonrefractive spreading with vanishing curvature. Another feature arising from this work is an accounting of the additional spreading loss for either reflected or transmitted rays at an interface, at which point the Gaussian curvature is not defined.

Direct comparisons are made between the computational frameworks derived from the three different approaches to Gaussian beams: (1) Snell's law [\[6,](#page-20-8) p. 374; [22,](#page-20-9) [7;](#page-20-10) [8,](#page-20-11) p. 141; [13,](#page-20-12) Chapter 3; [17,](#page-20-7) [33\]](#page-20-13), (2) a variant of the extrinsic Frenet–Serret established by Červený and colleagues  $[10, 11]$  $[10, 11]$ , and  $(3)$  the new intrinsic methods presented here. Bergman [\[1,](#page-19-1) [2,](#page-19-2) [3\]](#page-19-3), apparently the first to recognize the application of Jacobi's equation to ray tracing, recently adopted methods from general relativity to address the problem of computing ray amplitudes in a relativistic acoustic field. The nonrelativistic intrinsic results developed in this paper are equivalent to many of Bergman's if one uses the space-like part of his pseudo-Riemannian Lorentz metric.

It is perhaps noteworthy that Jacobi's equation and its full implications for Gaussian beams has, apparently, not yet appeared in the acoustical literature. This lacuna might be defended by a few historical observations. Lord Rayleigh was initially dismissive of the practical applications for acoustic refraction, noting in 1877, "almost the only instance of acoustical refraction, which has practical interest, is the deviation of sonorous rays from rectilinear course due to the heterogeneity of the atmosphere" [\[26,](#page-20-14) p. 129]. Though the foundations of non-Euclidean geometry had been established by this time, differential and Riemannian geometry remains relatively little known in engineering applications to this day, although covariant analysis is the natural approach to many physical and engineering problems, as will be seen in its application to Gaussian beams here.

In section [2](#page-3-0) the ray equations are developed using the classical Euler–Lagrange, Frenet–Serret, and Hamilton–Jacobi formulations, followed by the amplitude and phase along a specific ray using Cerven $\acute{y}$ 's Hamilton–Jacobi approach. This standard development is then recast using an intrinsic parameterization that will be shown equivalent to Jacobi's equation. Section [3](#page-8-0) develops an intrinsic formulation of Gaussian beams based on Jacobi's equation and explores the physical consequences of this approach, including a computation of the distance between convergence zones and a classification of SSPs using "model spaces" of constant Gaussian curvature.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**2. Gaussian beams in horizontally stratified isotropic media.** The simplest and most frequently encountered case of acoustic rays in a horizontally stratified isotropic medium will be analyzed. As usual, denote the three spatial coordinates by the variables  $x, y$ , and  $z$ , time by  $t$ , the spatial infinitesimal arclength by  $ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ , and the depth-dependent SSP by  $c(z)$ , differentiable except at a discrete set of points where either  $c(z)$  itself is discontinuous (e.g., Snell's law) or its first derivative  $c'(z) = dc/dz$  is discontinuous (e.g., method of images at a boundary). Paths  $\mathbf{x}(t) = (r(t), \phi(t), z(t))^T$  are expressed using cylindrical coordinates, with  $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ ,  $\phi = \tan^{-1}(y/x)$ , and z (pointing down), so that  $ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 + dz^2$ . The physical solution is independent of these coordinates. The time required to travel along an arbitrary continuous path equals

<span id="page-3-1"></span>(2.1) 
$$
T[\mathbf{x}(t)] = \int dt = \int \frac{1}{c(z)} \frac{ds}{dt} dt = \int c^{-1}(z)(\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{z}^2)^{1/2} dt,
$$

where  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = d\mathbf{x}/dt$  and  $ds/dt = c(z)$ . The Fermat metric is represented by the quadratic function  $g(\dot{\mathbf{x}}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}) = c^{-2}(z)(r^2 + r^2\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{z}^2)$ , whose square-root appears in [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1). This Riemannian metric has coefficients  $\mathbf{G} = (g_{ij})$  and induces an inner product  $\langle \dot{\mathbf{x}}, \dot{\mathbf{y}} \rangle = g(\dot{\mathbf{x}}, \dot{\mathbf{y}}) = c^{-2}(z)(\dot{r}_1 \dot{r}_2 + r^2 \dot{\phi}_1 \dot{\phi}_2 + \dot{z}_1 \dot{z}_2)$  and norm  $\|\dot{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 = g(\dot{\mathbf{x}}, \dot{\mathbf{x}})$  on the space of tangent vectors  $(\dot{r}, \phi, \dot{z})^T$  at each point  $(r, \phi, z)^T$ .

Before the main results of the paper involving the geometry of acoustic ducting and divergence are presented, a concise background of ray theory is provided in subsections [2.1](#page-4-0) and [2.2](#page-5-0) using the Euler–Lagrange, Frenet–Serret, and Hamilton–Jacobi formulations. Subsection [2.3](#page-5-1) derives the amplitude and phase along a specific ray using Cervený's Hamilton–Jacobi approach. Cervený's equations are recast in section  $2.4$ 



<span id="page-4-1"></span>FIG. 1. Rays for the Munk SSP [\[17\]](#page-20-7) with with parameter  $\epsilon = 0.00737$ , scaled depth  $\bar{z} =$  $(z-z_0)/W$  with  $W = 650$  m, and (nonconstant) Gaussian curvature  $K = \epsilon c_0^2/W^2$  at  $z_0$ , yielding convergence zones at about every  $\pi \epsilon^{-1/2}W = 23.8 \text{ km}$  (Theorem [3.5](#page-13-0)) as illustrated by the red dots (*•*). The rays are computed using a standard fourth-order Runge–Kutta ODE solver.



<span id="page-4-2"></span>FIG. 2. Rays for the hyperbolic cosine SSP  $c(z) = c_0 \cosh(z - z_0)/W$  with  $z_0 = W = 1$  km. This SSP yields a space of constant positive curvature, resulting in a duct with convergent rays and caustics independent of any initial elevation angle  $\theta_0$  at ranges  $\pi W = 3.14159...$  km (Theorem [3.6](#page-15-0)), illustrated by the red dots (*•*). The rays are computed using a standard fourth-order Runge–Kutta ODE solver.

using an intrinsic parameterization that will be shown in section [3](#page-8-0) to yield Jacobi's equation expressed in a form that yields geometric insight into acoustic ducting.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**2.1. Ray equations: Euler–Lagrange and Frenet–Serret.** Fermat's principle implies that rays satisfy the Euler–Lagrange equation,  $(d/dt)(\partial L/\partial \dot{x})-(\partial L/\partial x)=$ **0**, with either initial conditions or boundary conditions for eigenrays. Attention is restricted to the  $(r, z)$ -plane because radial symmetry implies that  $\phi(t) \equiv \phi_0$ . The Euler–Lagrange equations with Lagrangian  $L(t; r, z; \dot{r}, \dot{z}) = c^{-1}(z)(\dot{r}^2 + \dot{z}^2)^{1/2}$  and radial symmetry  $\phi \equiv 0$  yield the well-known differential ray (Christoffel) equations

<span id="page-4-3"></span>(2.2) 
$$
\ddot{r} - 2(c'/c)\dot{r}\dot{z} = 0, \qquad \ddot{z} + (c'/c)(\dot{r}^2 - \dot{z}^2) = 0.
$$

Figures [1](#page-4-1) and [2](#page-4-2) illustrate computed rays determined by the Munk and hyperbolic cosine SSPs. Parameterization by travel-time  $t$  is said to be "natural" or "intrinsic" because rays minimize travel-time. It is often computationally convenient to parameterize rays by "extrinsic" arclength  $ds = (dr^2 + dz^2)^{1/2}$ , in which case [\(2.2\)](#page-4-3) becomes the first Frenet–Serret formula  $(d^2/ds^2)(r, z)^T = (c'/c)(dr/ds)(dz/ds, -dr/ds)^T$ , i.e.,  $d\mathbf{T}/ds = \varkappa \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\mathbf{T} = (dr/ds, dz/ds)^T$  is the ray's tangent vector,  $\mathbf{N} = (dz/ds, dz/ds)^T$  $-dr/ds$ <sup>T</sup> is its normal (always defined in the same direction), and  $\varkappa = (c'/c) \times$  $(dr/ds) = -c_n/c$  is the ray's extrinsic curvature, and  $c_n = (\partial c/\partial x) \cdot \mathbf{N} = -c'(dr/ds)$ is the first derivative of the SSP  $c$  in the normal direction. The quadratic coefficients for the tangent vector (first derivative) terms that appear in the ray equations

(equation [\(2.2\)](#page-4-3)) are called Christoffel symbols of the second kind [\[12,](#page-20-15) Chapter 1; [15,](#page-20-16) [27,](#page-20-17) [29\]](#page-20-18) and are crucial in quantifying the amplitude and phase along the ray caused by geometric spreading. A continuous version of Snell's law [\[6,](#page-20-8) [8,](#page-20-11) [13,](#page-20-12) [17,](#page-20-7) [33\]](#page-20-13) yields the integral solution

<span id="page-5-3"></span>(2.3) 
$$
r(z; \theta_0) = \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{ac(z_l)}{(1 - a^2 c^2(z_l))^{1/2}} dz_l,
$$

in which  $a = c^{-1}(z_0) \cos \theta_0$  is the Snell invariant with initial conditions  $(r(0), z(0)) =$  $(0, z_0)$  and  $(\dot{r}(0), \dot{z}(0)) = c(z_0)(\cos \theta_0, -\sin \theta_0).$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**2.2. Ray equations: Hamilton–Jacobi equation.** Rays satisfy the canonical form of the Euler–Lagrange equations  $z' = \partial H/\partial \zeta$ ,  $\zeta' = -\partial H/\partial z$  with Hamiltonian  $H(r; z; \zeta) = \zeta z' - L(r; z; z')$  and  $\zeta = \partial L/\partial z'$ . The travel-time T of [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) satisfies the Hamilton–Jacobi equation  $(\partial T/\partial r) + H(r, z; \partial T/\partial z) = 0$ , which reduces to the well-known eikonal equation  $(\partial T/\partial r)^2 + (\partial T/\partial z)^2 = c^{-2}(z)$  for the Hamiltonian  $H(r; z; \zeta) = -(c^{-2}(z) - \zeta^2)^{1/2}$  corresponding to the Lagrangian in section [2.1.](#page-4-0)

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**2.3. Paraxial ray equations: Hamilton–Jacobi form.** Ray amplitude is determined by the spreading of nearby rays, and phase away from the ray is determined by time differences, so that rays are viewed as tubes or beams possessing both amplitude and phase rather than the skeletal objects determined by [\(2.2\)](#page-4-3). As first established by Cerven $\acute{y}$  and colleagues [\[10,](#page-20-1) [11,](#page-20-2) [18,](#page-20-3) [24,](#page-20-6) [17\]](#page-20-7), the equations for the amplitude and phase along a ray tube are given by the canonical equations after a convenient change of variables involving the ray itself. Let s be the arclength along the ray and let  $\delta q$  be the small or infinitesimal distance away from the ray, measured perpendicularly along the normal **N** at arclength s such that

<span id="page-5-2"></span>(2.4) 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} r(s, \delta q) \\ z(s, \delta q) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r(s) \\ z(s) \end{pmatrix} + \delta q \begin{pmatrix} dz/ds \\ -dr/ds \end{pmatrix},
$$

and let

$$
\delta p = \partial T / \partial \delta q
$$

be the small or infinitesimal derivative of travel-time w.r.t.  $\delta q$  from the ray along the line **N**, all illustrated in Figure [3.](#page-6-1) Thus,  $\delta q$  quantifies the spread of nearby rays and therefore determines the ray's amplitude, and as shown by Cerven $\gamma$  [\[11\]](#page-20-2) the conjugate momentum  $\delta p$  appears in the difference in time-of-travel nearby rays along **N** and thus determines the ray's phase. Following Cerveny [\[11\]](#page-20-2) (also see Wolf and Krötzsch [\[34\]](#page-20-19)) Hamilton–Jacobi theory is used to determine the governing equations for  $\delta q$  and  $\delta p$ .

 $(hT, N)$  is the Jacobian matrix along the ray at distance s at  $\delta q = 0$  with scale By the chain rule  $\partial T/\partial(s,\delta q) = \partial T/\partial(r,z)\cdot J$ , where  $J = \partial(r,z)/\partial(s,\delta q) =$ factor  $h(s, \delta q) = 1 - ((c'/c)(dr/ds))|_{\delta q=0} \delta q = 1 + (c_n/c)|_{\delta q=0} \delta q$ . The eikonal equation expressed in the ray-centered coordinates s and  $\delta q$  is  $h^{-2}(s, \delta q)(\partial T/\partial s)^2$  +  $(\partial T/\partial \delta q)^2 = c^{-2}(s, \delta q)$ , corresponding to the Hamiltonian  $H(s, \delta q, \delta p) = -h(s, \delta q) \times$  $(c^{-2}(s, \delta q) - \delta p^2)^{1/2}$ . For small  $\delta q$  and  $\delta p$  up to second-order,



<span id="page-6-1"></span>Fig. 3. Ray tube in a horizontally stratified medium. The azimuthal component, not shown, is perpendicular to the page. All distances in the figure represent actual, extrinsic Euclidean distance. The extrinsic distance between nearby rays along the normal vector **N** is denoted as δq, and the nearby ray's additional length equals  $\frac{1}{2}c(\delta p/\delta q)\delta q^2 = \frac{1}{2}c(p/q)\delta q^2$ , where  $q = \lim_{\delta q \to 0} \delta q/\delta \theta_0$  is the extrinsic geometric spreading, and  $\delta p = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \delta q}$  and  $p = \frac{\partial T}{\partial q}$  are the conjugate momenta corresponding to δq and q.

(2.6) 
$$
\frac{1}{c(s,\delta q)} = \frac{1}{c_s} - \frac{c_n}{c_s^2} \delta q - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{c_{nn}}{c_s^2} - 2 \frac{c_n^2}{c_s^3} \right) \delta q^2 + \cdots,
$$

(2.7) 
$$
H(s; \delta q; \delta p) = -hc^{-1}(s, \delta q) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_s^2 \delta p^2\right) + \cdots
$$

<span id="page-6-2"></span>(2.8) 
$$
= -c_s^{-1} + \frac{c_{nn}}{2c_s^2} \delta q^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_s \delta p^2 + \cdots,
$$

where  $c_s = c(z(s))$  and  $c_{nn} = c''(z(s))(dr/ds)^2$  is the second derivative of the SSP in the direction of the ray normal.

Applying Hamilton's equations of motion  $d\delta q/ds = \partial H/\partial \delta p$ ,  $d\delta p/ds = -\partial H/\partial \delta q$ to [\(2.8\)](#page-6-2) yields the paraxial ray tracing equations, a system of ordinary differential equations [\[5,](#page-20-0) [10,](#page-20-1) [11,](#page-20-2) [17,](#page-20-7) [18,](#page-20-3) [19,](#page-20-4) [21,](#page-20-5) [24,](#page-20-6) [28\]](#page-20-20)

(2.9) 
$$
\frac{d\delta q}{ds} = c_s \,\delta p, \qquad \delta q(0) = 0,
$$

(2.10) 
$$
\frac{d\delta p}{ds} = -\frac{c_{\rm nn}}{c_s^2} \delta q, \qquad \delta p(0) = c_0^{-1} \delta \theta_0,
$$

the initial conditions arising from the facts that the spread of rays separated by a small angle  $\delta\theta_0$  is zero at  $s = 0$ , and the differential time equals  $\delta T = c_0^{-1} \delta q \delta\theta_0$ .

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**2.4. Paraxial ray equations: Sturm–Liouville form.** Before explaining the full significance of the complete second-order terms in the paraxial ray equations in the next section, it will be helpful to express  $(2.9)$ – $(2.10)$  as a single second-order differential equation using the natural or intrinsic parameters of the problem. Consistent with much of existing literature on paraxial rays, rays are parameterized using the arclength s. However, physically rays minimize not arclength but travel-time  $t$ , and therefore the paraxial ray's underlying physical and geometric properties will be revealed by using the intrinsic parameterization  $dt = c^{-1} ds$ . Also the variables  $\delta q$ and  $\delta p = \partial T / \partial \delta q$  are expressed using arclength or distance; the corresponding intrinsic variables arise by converting distance  $\delta q$  to travel-time  $\delta \tilde{q}$  and slowness  $\delta p$  to the dimensionless  $\delta \tilde{p}$  using the sound speed c:

<span id="page-6-3"></span>(2.11) 
$$
\delta \tilde{q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c^{-1} \delta q \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \tilde{p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c \delta p.
$$

Note that  $\delta \tilde{q}$  is the travel-time between nearby rays and  $\delta \tilde{p} = \partial T / \partial \delta \tilde{q}$  is the traveltime derivative along a straight-line path normal. The geometric significance of these intrinsic coordinates is made clear by the following new theorem.

<span id="page-7-1"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>THEOREM 2.1. Let  $\delta q$  and  $\delta p$  satisfy [\(2.9\)](#page-6-2)–[\(2.10\)](#page-6-2). Then these extrinsic first*order paraxial ray equations are equivalent to the intrinsic second-order paraxial ray equation*

(2.12) 
$$
\delta \ddot{\tilde{q}} + (cc'' - (c')^2) \delta \tilde{q} = 0,
$$

*where*  $\delta \tilde{q} = c^{-1} \delta q$ ,  $\delta \tilde{p} = c \delta p$ ,  $\delta \ddot{q} = (d^2/dt^2) \delta \tilde{q}$ , and the coefficient  $cc'' - (c')^2$  is the *Gaussian curvature of the propagation medium w.r.t. to the Fermat metric of* [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1)*.*

The proof involves parameterizing by travel-time  $t$  and expressing the Hamilton form of the paraxial ray equations of  $(2.9)$ – $(2.10)$  as the coupled first-order system,

(2.13) 
$$
\delta \dot{\tilde{q}} = -c'(dz/ds) \delta \tilde{q} + \delta \tilde{p}, \qquad \delta \tilde{q}(0) = 0,
$$

(2.14) 
$$
\delta \dot{\tilde{p}} = -c c_{\rm nn} \, \delta \tilde{q} + c'(dz/ds) \, \delta \tilde{p}, \quad \delta \tilde{p}(0) = \delta \theta_0.
$$

Taking another derivative of [\(2.13\)](#page-7-0) yields  $\delta \ddot{q} + K \delta \tilde{q} = 0$  with  $K = cc'' - (c')^2$ . The theorem is thus proved by demonstrating that this expression for  $K$  is precisely the Gaussian curvature w.r.t. the Fermat metric of  $(2.1)$ . Given an arbitrary metric q on a two-dimensional manifold with coefficients  $g_{ij} = g(X_i, X_j)$  w.r.t. a basis  $\{X_i\}$ , the Gaussian curvature is determined [\[27,](#page-20-17) [29\]](#page-20-18) by the expression  $K = R_{1212}/(g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2)$ in which  $R_{ijkl} = \sum_n g_{in} R^n_{jkl}$ ,  $R^i_{jkl} = (\partial \Gamma^i_{lj}/\partial x^k) - (\partial \Gamma^i_{kj}/\partial x^l) + \sum_n (\Gamma^n_{lj} \Gamma^i_{kn} - \Gamma^n_{kj} \Gamma^i_{ln})$ are the coefficients of the Riemannian curvature tensor  $\tilde{R}$ , and the Christoffel symbols (of the second kind)  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_l g^{kl} ((\partial g_{il}/\partial x^j) + (\partial g_{jl}/\partial x^i) - (\partial g_{ij}/\partial x^l))$  appear in the quadratic coefficients in the ray (geodesic) equations

(2.15) 
$$
\ddot{x}^k + \sum_{ij} \Gamma^k_{ij} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j = 0
$$

with  $(g^{kl})=(g_{ij})^{-1}$  representing the matrix inverse of the matrix of metric coefficients [\[15,](#page-20-16) [27,](#page-20-17) [29\]](#page-20-18). The Christoffel symbols expressed as quadratic forms

(2.16) 
$$
(\Gamma_{ij}^1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c'/c \\ -c'/c & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\Gamma_{ij}^2) = \begin{pmatrix} c'/c & 0 \\ 0 & -c'/c \end{pmatrix}
$$

can be read directly from the ray equations of [\(2.2\)](#page-4-3); these along with the matrix  $(g_{ij}) = c^{-2} {1 \choose 0 \quad 1}$  can be used directly with the preceding equations to compute the Gaussian curvature  $K = cc'' - (c')^2$ . As will be proved, [\(2.12\)](#page-7-0) is precisely Jacobi's equation; therefore, the Hamiltonian form of the paraxial ray equations  $(2.9)$ – $(2.10)$ is mathematically and physically equivalent to the intrinsic Jacobi's equation. This equivalence between Cerveny's formulation of Gaussian beams and Jacobi's equation is a new result. The functional form of the Gaussian curvature will be used to derive the distance between convergence zones and "model spaces" of constant curvature for the SSP.

## <span id="page-8-0"></span>**3. Intrinsic geometry of Gaussian beams.**

**3.1. Transverse amplitude of a Gaussian beam.** This section contains an analysis of Gaussian beams that use Jacobi's equation to quantify their amplitude and phase. All the results obtained so far have been for rays and infinitesimal variations around them. In contrast, Gaussian beams have real, finite physical width that must be accounted for in the plane transverse to the ray. The physical width has different implications for the Gaussian beam's amplitude and phase. The amplitude is determined by both the geometric spreading loss along the ray and the initial amplitude distribution in the transverse plane. The phase is determined by the differential change in travel-time along the transverse plane. Mathematically, the scaled normal vector  $\delta q$ **N** represents the infinitesimal change in ray position as a function of the take-off angle for a fixed path length s. Gauss's lemma implies that this travel-time is fixed to first-order but says nothing about the second-order terms, i.e.,  $\mathbf{x}(s; \theta_0) + \delta q \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{x}(s; \theta_0 + \delta \theta_0) + O(\delta \theta_0^2)$  for  $\delta \theta_0 > 0$ . This ray-front curvature effect is seen in Euclidean space by holding a ruler next to a circle or cylinder and observing the size of gap—a circle of radius R has a gap size of  $R \sec \delta \theta - R = (R/2) \delta \theta^2 + O(\delta \theta^4)$ . The second-order travel-time difference is often physically significant because it is of the order of several wavelengths at a broad range of frequencies  $f_0$  and therefore does contribute to the Gaussian beam's phase  $2\pi f_0 t$ . Because the travel-time difference in the transverse plane is quadratic, the phase of the Gaussian beam necessarily has a Gaussian distribution. Furthermore, these second-order effects are already accounted for in the Riemannian curvature terms determining the Gaussian beam's amplitude, so the Gaussian beam's amplitude in the transverse plane is determined by the effect of geometric spreading on initial conditions. In this subsection we will quantify the impact of the initial conditions on the amplitude and the second-order travel-time differences on the phase. Both quantities are determined by the ray distance  $\delta q$ , which will now be formalized for both extrinsic and intrinsic geometric spreading.

<span id="page-8-2"></span>DEFINITION 3.1 (geometric spreading). Let  $\mathbf{x}(s; \theta_0) = (r(s; \theta_0), z(s; \theta_0))^T$  be *a ray parameterized by arclength s with initial conditions*  $\mathbf{x}(0; \theta_0) = (0, z_0)^T$  *and*  $(d/ds)\mathbf{x}(0;\theta_0) = (\cos\theta_0, -\sin\theta_0)^T$  *in a horizontally stratified media with SSP c(z)*. *The* extrinsic geometric spreading q(s) *along the ray caused by a change in elevation angle*  $\theta_0$  *is defined to be* 

(3.1) 
$$
q(s) = ||(\partial \mathbf{x}(s; \theta_0) / \partial \theta_0)_s||_2,
$$

*where*  $\lVert \cdot \rVert_2$  *denotes the standard* 2*-norm and the standard notation*  $(\partial/\partial \theta_0)_s$  *means partial differentiation w.r.t.*  $\theta_0$  *while holding arclength s constant.* 

<span id="page-8-1"></span>Theorem 3.2 (geometric spreading for horizontally stratified media [\[17\]](#page-20-7)). *Let*  $\mathbf{x}(s) = (r(s; \theta_0), z(s; \theta_0))^T$  be a ray in a horizontally stratified medium with a twice*differentiable SSP* c(z) *parameterized by arclength* s *and with initial elevation angle*  $\theta_0$ . The extrinsic geometric spreading q and the corresponding canonical variable p = ∂T /∂q *along the ray are determined by*

<span id="page-8-3"></span>(3.2) 
$$
q = \lim_{\delta \theta_0 \to 0} \delta q / \delta \theta_0, \qquad p = \lim_{\delta \theta_0 \to 0} \delta p / \delta \theta_0
$$

*and satisfy the Hamilton equations*

$$
(3.3) \t\t dq/ds = cp,
$$
\t\t q(0) = 0,

(3.4) 
$$
dp/ds = -(c_{nn}/c^2)q, \qquad p(0) = c_0^{-1}.
$$

## Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

The proof is a trivial application of the definition of the small or infinitesimal difference  $\delta q$  defined in [\(2.4\)](#page-5-2). The theorem follows immediately by dividing [\(2.9\)](#page-6-2)–  $(2.10)$  by  $\delta\theta_0$  and taking the limit. Thus we have a complete description of the extrinsic geometric spreading for Gaussian beams, including the complete secondorder terms introduced in [\(2.10\)](#page-6-2). To appreciate the geometric significance of the spread, we will define the *intrinsic* geometric spreading and equate this concept with Jacobi's equation first encountered in Theorem [2.1,](#page-7-1) expressed in a new theorem.

DEFINITION 3.3 (intrinsic geometric spreading for horizontally stratified media). Let  $(r(t; \theta_0), z(t; \dot{\theta}_0))$  be a ray in a horizontally stratified medium with a twice*differentiable SSP* c(z) *as in Theorem* [3.2](#page-8-1)*, but parameterized by path time* t*. The* intrinsic geometric spreading  $\xi(t)$  *along the ray is defined to be* 

(3.5) 
$$
\xi(t) = \lim_{\delta \theta_0 \to 0} \frac{\delta \tilde{q}}{\delta \theta_0} = c^{-1} q(t) = \tilde{q}(t),
$$

*where*  $\delta \tilde{q}$  *is the travel-time between nearby rays separated by*  $\delta \theta_0$  *at their initial point defined in* [\(2.11\)](#page-6-3)*, and* q(t) *is the extrinsic geometric spreading parameterized by time.* (Intrinsic geometric spreading is denoted by the Arabic letter 'ayn  $(\xi)$ , pronounced like the end of "nine" spoken with a strong Australian accent) in recognition of the mathematician Ibn Sahl, who discovered Snell's law of refraction around 984. Ibn Sahl used the symbol  $\xi$  to denote the center of a lens [\[25\]](#page-20-21).)

The intrinsic geometric spreading is a consequence of an obvious corollary to Theorem [3.2](#page-8-1) arising from  $(2.13)$ – $(2.14)$  for the intrinsic variables

<span id="page-9-2"></span>(3.6) 
$$
\tilde{q} = c^{-1}q = \lim_{\delta\theta_0 \to 0} \delta\tilde{q}/\delta\theta_0 = \xi
$$
, and  $\tilde{p} = cp = \lim_{\delta\theta_0 \to 0} \delta\tilde{p}/\delta\theta_0 = \dot{\xi} + c'\sin\theta\xi$ .

Note that the path time derivative  $\tilde{p} = \partial T/\partial \tilde{q}$  w.r.t. distances along the extrinsic path normal **N** is *not* exactly equal to the derivative  $\dot{\mathcal{E}} = (d/dt)\mathcal{E}$  of the intrinsic geometric spreading; the geometric reason for this difference will be explained in section [3.7.](#page-17-0) We are now ready to introduce Jacobi's equation and thereby compute the ray's amplitude determined by the geometric spreading, as well as a Gaussian beam's phase in the transverse plane perpendicular to the ray's tangent.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>THEOREM 3.4 (intrinsic geometric spreading for horizontally stratified media). Let  $(r(t; \theta_0), z(t; \theta_0))^T$  be a ray in a horizontally stratified medium with a twice*differentiable SSP* c(z) *parameterized by path time* t *and with initial elevation angle*  $\theta_0$ . The intrinsic geometric spreading  $\xi(t)$  along the ray satisfies the Sturm–Liouville *equation*

<span id="page-9-0"></span>(3.7) 
$$
\ddot{\xi} + K(t)\xi = 0; \quad \xi(0) = 0, \ \dot{\xi}(0) = 1,
$$

*where*

$$
(3.8) \t K = cc'' - (c')^2
$$

*is the acoustic Gaussian curvature of the Fermat metric*  $g(\dot{\mathbf{x}}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}) = c^{-2}(z)(\dot{r}^2 + \dot{z}^2)$  of [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1)*.*

Equation [\(3.7\)](#page-9-0) is simply the intrinsic Jacobi's equation in two dimensions encoun-tered above in [\(2.12\)](#page-7-0). The theorem follows immediately by dividing (2.12) by  $\delta\theta_0$  and taking the limit. In general, Jacobi's equation for an arbitrary Riemannian manifold is

(3.9) 
$$
\nabla^2_T V + R(V,T)T = 0,
$$

where  $\nabla_T^2 \mathbf{V}$  is the second covariant derivative along the ray's tangent vector  $\mathbf{T} =$  $(\dot{r}, \dot{z})^T$  of the variation vector  $\mathbf{V} = \delta \mathbf{x}/\delta \theta_0 = \mathcal{E} \mathbf{Y}$  along the unit vector  $\mathbf{Y} = -(\dot{z}, -\dot{r})^T$ , and the Riemannian curvature tensor equals

(3.10) 
$$
R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z,
$$

where  $[X, Y] = XY - YX$  is the Lie bracket [\[12,](#page-20-15) [15,](#page-20-16) [29\]](#page-20-18). Note that the orthonormal frame  $(T, Y)$  is parallel along the ray, i.e.,  $\nabla_T T = 0$  (equation [\(2.2\)](#page-4-3)) and  $\nabla_T Y = 0$  so that  $\nabla_T \bm{V} = \xi \bm{Y} + \xi \nabla_T \bm{Y} = \xi \bm{Y}$ . Furthermore,  $[\bm{T}, \bm{V}] \equiv \bm{0}$  because the vector fields *T* and *V* are defined as independent partial derivatives of the ray  $\mathbf{x}(t; \theta_0)$ ; therefore,  $\nabla_T V = \nabla_V T$  by the property  $\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$  of covariant differentiation. Taking another covariant derivative w.r.t. *T* and computing an inner product with *Y* along with the definition for Gaussian curvature [\[12,](#page-20-15) [15,](#page-20-16) [29\]](#page-20-18)

$$
(3.11) \t\t K = g(R(Y,T)T,Y)/(g(T,T)g(Y,Y) - g(T,Y)^2)
$$

yields Jacobi's equation of [\(3.7\)](#page-9-0).

Jacobi's equation provides a physically appealing description of Gaussian beams; indeed, interpretations of Jacobi's equation and Gaussian curvature provide the following new insights into the problem of ray acoustics. At depths where the SSP  $c(z)$ is concave, i.e., a sound duct below which rays refract upward and above which they refract down,  $cc'' > 0$ ,  $c' \approx 0$ , and the acoustic Gaussian curvature is positive, yielding a sinusoidal behavior with wavelength—the distance between caustics—of about  $\pi cK^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  for the geometric spreading, as expected and encountered with caustics in sound duct (Figures [1](#page-4-1) and [2\)](#page-4-2). Note that caustics, defined to be points where the geometric spreading vanishes (these are called "conjugate points" in the mathematical literature), will occur at integer multiples of the range

<span id="page-10-0"></span>(3.12) half-wavelength 
$$
\approx \pi c K^{-1/2} \approx \pi (c/c'')^{1/2}
$$

(the last approximation valid when the SSP's second derivative dominates). At depths where the SSP  $c(z)$  is convex, i.e., divergent zones below which rays refract downward and above which they refract up, or at depths with a linear SSP,  $cc'' \leq 0$ ,  $(c')^2 > 0$ , and the acoustic Gaussian curvature is negative, yielding an exponentially growing solution to geometric spreading with length constant of about  $(-K)^{-1/2}$ , and therefore a large spreading loss. Constant SSPs imply that the acoustic Gaussian curvature is zero, yielding geometric spreading that simply grows linearly with the path length, as expected. At interfaces where the SSP or its first derivative are discontinuous, such as the bottom or surface where the method of images is used to model reflections, [\(3.7\)](#page-9-0) can be integrated using the standard modifications, provided below, necessitated by the Dirac delta function. These observations will all be formalized in section [3.4](#page-13-1) below. It also shown below that the known extrinsic formulae for the geometric spreading loss based on Snell's law and variants of the extrinsic Frenet–Serret formulae [\[10,](#page-20-1) [11,](#page-20-2) [24,](#page-20-6) [17\]](#page-20-7) satisfy Jacobi's equation.

Equation [\(3.7\)](#page-9-0) may be proved directly via the second variation, but this direct approach, which involves a long, messy computation that provides almost no geometric insight, motivates introduction of the cleaner and simpler modern covariant approach. Nevertheless, direct computation establishes one important result for readers without backgrounds in covariant differentiation and Riemannian geometry, so we will provide a sketch in this paragraph. Gauss's lemma assures us that nearby rays

are perpendicular to a given ray's tangent vector  $(\dot{r}, \dot{z})^T$  [\[14,](#page-20-22) Chapter 5; [15,](#page-20-16) [29\]](#page-20-18); therefore, nearby rays all take the form  $\delta \mathbf{x} = (\xi(t) \dot{z} \, \delta \theta_0, -\dot{\xi}(t) \dot{r} \, \delta \theta_0)^T$  for the geometric spreading function  $\xi(t)$  along the ray. It can be shown using the Hessian matrix of the Fermat metric of [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) w.r.t.  $(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}})$ , and application of the Christoffel equation [\(2.2\)](#page-4-3), that the second variation  $[14]$  of the travel-time of  $(3.14)$  equals

<span id="page-11-1"></span>(3.13)

$$
\delta^2 L[\delta \mathbf{x}] = L[\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}] - L[\mathbf{x}] - \delta L[\delta \mathbf{x}] - o(\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|^2)
$$
  
=  $\delta \theta_0^2 \int_0^{t_{\text{end}}} ((-c^{-2}(cc'' - (c')^2) + (c'/c)\ddot{z}) \xi^2 + \dot{\xi}^2 + 2(c'/c)\dot{z}\xi \dot{\xi}) dt.$ 

Because these second-order terms necessarily satisfy the Euler–Lagrange equation for nearby extremals  $\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}$ , the function  $\delta \mathbf{x}$  must satisfy the Euler–Lagrange equation for the second variation, known as Jacobi's equation. Applying the Euler–Lagrange equation for the unknown function  $\xi(t)$  to the function appearing in the second variation of [\(3.13\)](#page-11-1) yields Jacobi's equation of [\(3.7\)](#page-9-0). This establishes Theorem [3.4,](#page-9-1) but it does not provide any immediate connection to the problem's geometry or intrinsic curvature, nor does it suggest a method to generalize the result to three (or more) dimensions. These connections will now be established within the broadly general framework of covariant differentiation.

**3.2. Properties of geometric spreading.** In this section we will review, in the context of the results presented above, some simple properties about geometric spreading. First, the geometric spreading  $q(t)$  quantifies the distance of nearby rays from the ray  $\mathbf{x}(t)$ , parameterized by its initial elevation angle  $\theta_0$ . Second, in the trivial case of a constant SSP, geometric spreading simply equals range,  $q(t) = ct$  (constant SSP). Third, and crucially for understanding the results presented in this paper, the direction of geometric spreading is perpendicular to the ray's (extrinsic) tangent vector,  $\mathbf{T} = d\mathbf{x}/ds$ , and is therefore also perpendicular to the ray's intrinsic tangent vector  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = (d\mathbf{x}/ds)(ds/dt) = c(z)\mathbf{T}$ . This orthogonality property, called Gauss's lemma because it is among of the first key observations by Gauss in his establishment of the intrinsic theory of surfaces, is also a straightforward consequence of Euler's first variation of the functional  $L[\mathbf{x}(t)] = \int_0^{t_{\text{end}}} F(t, \mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}) dt$  (as in [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1)) along an extremal  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  with only the initial endpoint fixed. The first variation formula for an arbitrary functional variation  $\delta \mathbf{x}(t)$  such that  $\delta \mathbf{x}(0) = 0$  is

<span id="page-11-0"></span>(3.14) 
$$
\delta L[\delta \mathbf{x}] = L[\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}] - L[\mathbf{x}]
$$

$$
= \int_0^{t_{\text{end}}} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{x}}} \right) \delta \mathbf{x} dt + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{x}}} \delta \mathbf{x} \Big|_0^{t_{\text{end}}} = (\partial F / \partial \dot{\mathbf{x}}) \delta \mathbf{x} (t_{\text{end}}) = 0,
$$

where the integral vanishes because **x** is assumed to be an extremal and satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation, and  $\delta \mathbf{x}(0) = 0$  because the starting point is fixed. The first variation must vanish necessarily for arbitrary functions  $\delta \mathbf{x}(t)$ ; therefore,  $(\partial F/\partial \dot{\mathbf{x}}) \delta \mathbf{x}(t_{\text{end}}) = 0$ . In our specific case of minimum travel-time rays,  $F(t, \mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}) =$  $c^{-1}(z)(r^2 + \dot{z}^2)^{1/2}$  and  $\delta \mathbf{x} = (\partial \mathbf{x}/\partial \theta_0)_t \delta \theta_0$ , and Gauss's lemma follows immediately from [\(3.14\)](#page-11-0) for the case of acoustic rays:

<span id="page-11-2"></span>(3.15) 
$$
c^{-2}(z)\left(\left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)_{\theta_0}\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \theta_0}\right)_t + \left(\frac{dz}{dt}\right)_{\theta_0}\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta_0}\right)_t\right) = 0,
$$

## Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Note that this basic argument holds for all extremal paths, whether or not they are minimizing or pass through a conjugate point, also known as a caustic. Consequently from Gauss's lemma, a fourth property is that the geometric spreading depends on both  $\dot{r}$ - and  $\dot{z}$ -components of the ray's tangent vector. Fifth, there is a geometric spreading term in the range-azimuthal  $(r, \phi)$ -plane, which, by symmetry, is trivially  $\partial q(t)/\partial \phi_0 = r(t)$ . This intuitively obvious fact will be proved as an illustration of the covariant formalism introduced below. Sixth, and finally, geometric spreading as defined here is physically extrinsic (relative to the metric of travel-times between points) because pressure transducers integrate power over a physical area, which is why the Euclidean or 2-norm appears in Definition [3.1.](#page-8-2) Nonetheless, a simple change of scale (multiplication by  $1/c(z)$ ) converts the problem of geometric spreading to an intrinsic framework that depends only upon the travel-time between points, i.e., only on rays.

The transmission loss along a ray tube caused by geometric spreading is given by the infinitesimal area at a standard reference range  $(r_{ref} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 \,\text{m})$  divided by the infinitesimal area of the ray tube at an arbitrary distance  $t$ , both scaled by the inverse sound speed along the ray [\[17\]](#page-20-7):

(3.16) TL (geom.) = 
$$
\lim_{\delta \phi, \delta \theta \to 0} \frac{c_s r_{\text{ref}}^2 \cos \theta_0 \, \delta \theta \, \delta \phi}{c_0 r q(t) \, \delta \theta \, \delta \phi} = \frac{c_s \cos \theta_0}{c_0 r q}
$$
 (re 1 m<sup>2</sup>).

When the density also varies with depth, Newton's second law must be included:

(3.17) 
$$
\text{TL (geom.)} = \frac{\rho_s c_s \cos \theta_0}{\rho_0 c_0 r q} \text{ (re 1 m}^2).
$$

**3.3. Transverse phase of a Gaussian beam.** The differential time  $\delta t_e$  perpendicular to the ray (extrinsic) determines the differential phase  $e^{j \delta \varphi}$  of the Gaussian beam, where  $\delta\varphi = 2\pi f_c \,\delta t_e$ ,  $f_c$  is the center or carrier frequency of the sound propagating along the ray, and  $j = \sqrt{-1}$ . In terms of the extrinsic geometric spreading variables q and  $p = \partial T / \partial q$ , the differential time a distance  $\delta \eta$  along the ray normal at  $(r(s), z(s))^T$  is given by the formulae [\[11,](#page-20-2) [17\]](#page-20-7)

(3.18) 
$$
\delta t_{\rm e} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{q} \, \delta \eta^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\xi} + c' \sin \theta \right) \, \delta \mu^2,
$$

<span id="page-12-1"></span>

<span id="page-12-0"></span>FIG. 4. Phase of the Gaussian beam along the ray's extrinsic normal  $N = dT/ds$ . The differential time  $\delta t_e$  a distance  $\delta \eta$  along the normal is given by the quadratic  $\delta t_e = \frac{1}{2}(p/q) \delta \eta^2 =$  $\frac{1}{2}c^{-2}(\xi/\xi + c'\sin\theta)\delta\eta^2$ . All distances in the figure represent extrinsic Euclidean distances.

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

where  $\delta \mu = c^{-1} \delta \eta$ . This fact is illustrated in Figure [4.](#page-12-0) To compare this extrinsic formula with the intrinsic results developed in section [3.7,](#page-17-0) it is worthwhile to give a brief geometric proof of [\(3.18\)](#page-12-1). By inspection, or formally by Gauss's lemma of [\(3.15\)](#page-11-2), the differential path time along the ray normal is a quadratic function of distance up to second order, i.e.,

<span id="page-13-4"></span>(3.19) 
$$
\delta t_{\rm e} = \frac{1}{2} Q_{\rm e} \, \delta \eta^2
$$

for some quadratic coefficient  $Q_{e}$  to be determined. As seen in Figure [4,](#page-12-0) the slope of this quadratic function at  $\delta\eta$  equals  $\delta\theta$ , the differential change in angle:

<span id="page-13-3"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span>(3.20) 
$$
\delta \theta = cd(\delta t_e)/d(\delta \eta)|_{\delta \eta = \delta q = q \delta \theta_0} = cQ_e \delta q = cqQ_e \delta \theta_0.
$$

However,  $\delta\theta$  may be computed directly via an inner product along with [\(3.3\)](#page-8-3),

$$
(3.21) \quad \delta\theta = \langle \mathbf{N}, (d/ds)\mathbf{x}(s;\theta_0 + \delta\theta_0) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{N}, (d/ds)\big(\mathbf{x}(s;\theta_0) + q\,\delta\theta_0\,\mathbf{N}\big) \rangle = cp\,\delta\theta_0.
$$

<span id="page-13-5"></span>Equating  $\delta\theta$  from [\(3.20\)](#page-13-2) and [\(3.21\)](#page-13-3) yields  $Q_e = p/q$ , from which [\(3.19\)](#page-13-4) becomes the first equality of  $(3.18)$ . Applying  $(2.13)$  to  $(3.18)$  yields

(3.22) 
$$
c^2 \frac{p}{q} = \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\xi} + c' \sin \theta
$$

and the second equality.

Summarizing the results of the previous sections, the transverse amplitude and phase of a Gaussian beam emanating a distance  $\delta\eta$  normal to the ray and with initial source level (SL) and azimuth end elevation angle fan widths  $\delta\phi_0$  and  $\delta\theta_0$  is given by

(3.23) 
$$
P(s; \theta_0; \delta \mu) = \left[\frac{\text{SL} \cdot c_s \cos \theta_0}{c_0 r q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[j2\pi f_c \left(t(s) + \frac{p}{2q} \delta \eta^2\right)\right],
$$

<span id="page-13-6"></span>(3.24) 
$$
= \left[\frac{\mathrm{SL}\cdot a}{r\xi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[j2\pi f_c\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{\xi}}{\xi} + c'\sin\theta\right)\delta\mu^2\right)\right].
$$

Note that Gaussian beam is an appropriate name for rays with this functional form. A Gaussian distribution of amplitude is typically introduced through the initial conditions for the Gaussian beam.

## <span id="page-13-1"></span>**3.4. Munk, linear, and SSPs with constant curvature.**

**3.4.1. Munk profile.** The distance between convergence zones/cycle distances for the Munk profile  $c(z) = c_0(1 + \epsilon(\bar{z} + e^{-\bar{z}} - 1))$  with  $\bar{z} = (z - z_0)/W$  can be computed directly via [\(3.12\)](#page-10-0). At  $z = z_0$ , the Gaussian curvature  $K = cc'' - (c')^2 = \epsilon c_0^2/W^2$ , proving the new theorem.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Theorem 3.5. *The cycle distance of the Munk profile approximately equals*

(3.25) 
$$
\frac{\text{Munk profile}}{\text{CZ distance}} \approx \pi \epsilon^{-1/2} W.
$$

For example, for the nominal parameters  $\epsilon = 0.00737$  and  $W = 650$  m, this yields a distance of 23.8 km (Figure [1\)](#page-4-1).

**3.4.2. Linear SSPs.** The ideas developed to this point are nicely illustrated using the example of Gaussian beams in medium with linear SSP  $c(z) = c_0 + \gamma z$ , in which case rays are simply circles in  $(r, z)$ -space [\[17\]](#page-20-7):

(3.26) 
$$
r(\theta) = z_{0\gamma} \sec \theta_0 \left( \sin \theta_0 + \sin(\theta - \theta_0) \right),
$$

(3.27) 
$$
z(\theta) = -c_0 \gamma^{-1} + z_{0\gamma} \sec \theta_0 \cos(\theta - \theta_0),
$$

where the ray emanates from  $(0, z_0)$  with initial elevation angle  $\theta_0$ , and  $z_{0\gamma} = z_0 + c_0 \gamma^{-1}$ . Arclength is given by  $s = z_0 \gamma \theta$ , and eigenrays to  $(r, z)$  are determined by the angles

$$
(3.28) \quad \theta_0 = 2 \operatorname{atan2} (2rz_{0\gamma} - (r^2 + (z_{0\gamma} - z)^2)(r^2 + (z_{0\gamma} + z)^2), z_{0\gamma}^2 - z^2 - r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

(3.29) 
$$
\theta = \operatorname{atan2}(r - z_{0\gamma} \tan \theta_0, z + c_0 \gamma^{-1}) + \theta_0,
$$

with travel-time

(3.30) 
$$
t = \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \left( \frac{\tan \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} \cos \theta_0}{\tan \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{c_0 + \gamma z}{c_0 + \gamma z_0} \cos \theta_0 \right)} \right),
$$

assuming no caustics along the ray. Because  $c_{nn} \equiv 0$ , the Hamilton equations [\(3.3\)](#page-8-3)– [\(3.4\)](#page-8-3) are easily solved in closed form,

<span id="page-14-0"></span>(3.31) 
$$
q = z_{0\gamma} \sec^2 \theta_0 \left( \left( 1 - a^2 (c_0 + \gamma z)^2 \right)^{1/2} - |\sin \theta_0| \right)
$$
, and  $p = \frac{1}{c_0 + \gamma z_0}$ .

Likewise, because the Gaussian curvature  $K \equiv -\gamma^2$  is constant (the propagation medium is a space of constant negative curvature, i.e., it is a hyperbolic space), Jacobi's equation [\(3.7\)](#page-9-0) has the closed-form solution  $\xi(t) = \gamma^{-1} \sinh \gamma t$ , or  $\mathcal{E}(t) \approx t + \frac{1}{6}\gamma^2 t^3$  for  $t \lessapprox \gamma^{-1}$ , yielding  $q = c\gamma^{-1} \sinh \gamma t \approx ct(1 + \frac{1}{6}\gamma^2 t^2)$ , a much simpler expression than [\(3.31\)](#page-14-0). The expression for the relative delay is

(3.32) 
$$
p/q \approx c_1^{-1}c^{-1}t^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{6}\gamma^2t^2\right),
$$

a new result.

**3.4.3. SSPs with constant curvature.** The linear SSP example above is an example of a medium with constant (negative) Gaussian curvature—a hyperbolic space. In general, it is worthwhile to ask which SSPs yield spaces of constant positive or negative curvature, because these SSPs serve as models for both convergent and divergent ray propagation. Solving the second-order nonlinear differential equation  $cc'' - (c')^2 = K$  for constant K yields

(3.33) 
$$
c(z) = c_0 \cosh(z - z_0)/W,
$$

$$
c(z) = c_0 \sinh(z - z_0)/W,
$$

$$
c(z) = c_0 \cos(z - z_0)/W,
$$

$$
c(z) = c_0 \cos(z - z_0)/W,
$$

$$
c(z) = c_0 \sin(z - z_0)/W,
$$

$$
c(z) = c_0 + \gamma z,
$$

$$
K = -c_0^2/W^2 < 0,
$$

of which only the first has constant positive curvature.

The hyperbolic cosine SSP  $c(z) = c_0 \cosh(z - z_0)/W \approx c_0 + \frac{1}{2}c_0W^{-2}(z - z_0)^2$  is the solution for an acoustic duct, which traps rays near depth  $z<sub>0</sub>$ . Regions modeled by hyperbolic cosine SSPs are described in the literature with increasing frequency [\[30,](#page-20-23) p. 156; [9,](#page-20-24) [31,](#page-20-25) [35,](#page-20-26) [23,](#page-20-27) [20,](#page-20-28) [3,](#page-19-3) [32,](#page-20-29) [16,](#page-20-30) p. 354]; Bergman [\[3\]](#page-19-3) observes that this profile yields constant curvature. The solution to Jacobi's equation for intrinsic ge-ometric spreading in Theorem [3.4](#page-9-1) equals  $\xi(t) = K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin K^{\frac{1}{2}}t$ , with half-wavelength travel-time between caustics determined by  $\pi K^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \pi W/c_0$  (cf. [\(3.12\)](#page-10-0)). The range between caustics equals  $c_0$  times the travel-time for all small initial elevation angles  $\theta_0$ ; therefore, by invariance, the range between caustics for all initial elevation angles necessarily equals  $\pi W$ , yielding the following new and useful theorem [\[30,](#page-20-23) [9,](#page-20-24) [31,](#page-20-25) [3\]](#page-19-3).

<span id="page-15-0"></span>THEOREM 3.6. Let  $c(z) = c_0 \cosh(z - z_0)/W$  be an SSP with hyperbolic cosine *profile. Then for any initial elevation angle*  $\theta_0$ *, speed*  $c_0$ *, and depth*  $z_0$ *, the range between caustics and focusing regions is given by the constant*

(3.34) 
$$
half-wavelength = \pi c_0 K^{-1/2} = \pi W.
$$

This theorem is illustrated in Figure [2](#page-4-2) over a large range of initial elevation an-gles. Porter [\[23\]](#page-20-27) uses a value of  $W^{-1} = 0.0003 \,\mathrm{m}^{-1}$  for his hyperbolic cosine SSP and observes without explanation that "the rays refocus perfectly at distances of about every 10 km." Indeed, Theorem [3.6](#page-15-0) establishes that all rays refocus perfectly every  $\pi/0.0003 = 10.47$  km, precisely as shown in Porter's Figure 8 on p. 2020 [\[23\]](#page-20-27). This is also verified by the closed-form functional form of rays with SSP, available from Snell's law,  $\cosh(z-z_0)/W = \sec\theta_0(1-\sin^2\theta_0\cos^2(r/W))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ; the property of constant curvature also yields simple solutions for the intrinsic geometric spreading  $\mathcal{E}(t) = (c_0/W)\sin(c_0t/W)$  and both the intrinsic phase  $\dot{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{E} = (W/c_0)\cot(c_0t/W)$  and the extrinsic phase  $p/q$  via [\(3.22\)](#page-13-5). In practice, it is the quadratic term  $\cosh z \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2}z^2$ of the hyperbolic cosine profile that dominates ray behavior in a duct, and ducting regions with quadratic SSPs and approximately constant positive curvature are quite common. This establishes the approximate distance between caustics in a duct given in [\(3.12\)](#page-10-0).

In all other solutions with physical positive speed  $c(z) > 0$ , the Gaussian curvature is a negative constant, and rays diverge from each other according to the intrinsic geometric spreading  $\xi(t) = K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sinh K^{\frac{1}{2}} t \approx t + \frac{1}{6} K t^3$ , which equals  $t + \frac{1}{6} (c_0^2/W^2) t^3$  for the downward refracting SSP  $c(z) = c_0 \sinh(z - z_0)/W$  and the trigonometric SSPs  $c(z) = c_0 \cos(z - z_0)/W$  with divergence zones. The trigonometric SSPs of constant negative curvature with convergent ducts (such that  $c'' > 0$ ) are in fact nonphysical because the sound speed must be negative at such depths; physical ducting behavior with positive sound speed is described by the first hyperbolic cosine solution. The case of linear SSPs, whose constant curvature is negative, was treated in the previous subsection. Finally, SSPs with vanishing constant curvature  $K \equiv 0$  and intrinsic geometric spreading  $\xi(t) = t$  are obviously given by the SSP with constant speed  $c_0$ .

**3.5. Beam amplitude and phase based upon Snell's law.** From Figure [5](#page-16-0) it is graphically obvious that the geometric spreading  $q(t) = ||(\partial x(t; \theta_0)/\partial \theta_0)_t||_2$  satisfies the trigonometric relationships

<span id="page-15-1"></span>(3.35) 
$$
q = c \xi = \left( \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial \theta_0} \right)_t^2 + \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta_0} \right)_t^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial \theta_0} \right)_z \sin \theta = -\left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta_0} \right)_r \cos \theta,
$$

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.



<span id="page-16-0"></span>Fig. 5. Geometric spreading expressed using ray-centered and extrinsic range and depth coordinates. All distances in the figure represent extrinsic Euclidean distances.

where  $\theta = \tan^{-1}(\dot{z}/\dot{r})$  is the elevation angle of the ray at point t. This figure is drawn using an implicit assumption Gauss's lemma, namely, that the tangent vectors  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $(\partial \mathbf{x}/\partial \theta_0)_t$  are perpendicular. It is therefore instructive in establishing the relationship between Snell's law and the intrinsic approach to show how Gauss's law implies [\(3.35\)](#page-15-1). An additional benefit will be an equation for the transverse phase of a Gaussian beam expressed in Snell's law form.

The first-order Taylor series expansion of the expressions  $r(t; \theta_0)$  and  $z(t; \theta_0)$  yields

(3.36) 
$$
\delta r = \dot{r} \, \delta t_{\rm e} + (\partial r / \partial \theta_{0})_{t} \, \delta \theta_{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta z = \dot{z} \, \delta t + (\partial z / \partial \theta_{0})_{t} \, \delta \theta_{0}.
$$

<span id="page-16-1"></span>Fixing the variables z and r, i.e.,  $\delta z = 0$  and  $\delta r = 0$ , respectively, yields the relationships

$$
(3.37) \qquad (\partial r/\partial \theta_0)_z = -\cot \theta \cdot (\partial z/\partial \theta_0)_r = (\partial r/\partial \theta_0)_t - \cot \theta \cdot (\partial z/\partial \theta_0)_t
$$

between partial derivatives. Gauss's lemma (equation [\(3.15\)](#page-11-2)) provides the additional relationship

(3.38) 
$$
(\partial r/\partial \theta_0)_t + \tan \theta \cdot (\partial z/\partial \theta_0)_t = 0
$$

<span id="page-16-2"></span>that along with [\(3.37\)](#page-16-1) yields [\(3.35\)](#page-15-1). The conclusion is that the intrinsic geometric spreading

(3.39) 
$$
\xi = c^{-1} (\partial r / \partial \theta_0)_z \sin \theta = -c^{-1} (\partial z / \partial \theta_0)_r \cos \theta
$$

in Snell's law form satisfies Jacobi's equation [\(3.7\)](#page-9-0), where  $r(z; \theta_0)$  is given by Snell's law (equation  $(2.3)$ ).

A benefit of this intrinsic viewpoint of Snell's law is a new expression for the transverse phase of a Gaussian beam, obtained by direct application of [\(3.22\)](#page-13-5) to  $(2.3)$  and  $(3.35)$ . Differentiating the first equality of  $(3.35)$  w.r.t. s and applying the identities  $dz/ds = \sin \theta$  the Frenet–Serret equations of section [2.1](#page-4-0) yield

(3.40) 
$$
p/q = \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \theta_0}\right)_z^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \theta_0}\right)'_z \sin \theta + \frac{c'}{c^2} \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\sin \theta},
$$

where  $(\partial r/\partial \theta_0)_z' = (d/dz)(\partial r/\partial \theta_0)_z$  as usual. These terms are immediately available via [\(2.3\)](#page-5-3):

(3.41) 
$$
\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \theta_0}\right)_z = -\frac{\sin \theta_0}{c(z_0)} \int_{z_0}^z \frac{c(z_t)}{\left(1 - a^2 c^2(z_t)\right)^{3/2}} dz_t,
$$

(3.42) 
$$
\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \theta_0}\right)_z' = -\frac{\sin \theta_0}{c(z_0)} \frac{c(z)}{\left(1 - a^2 c^2(z)\right)^{3/2}}.
$$

Likewise, differentiating the first equality of [\(3.39\)](#page-16-2) w.r.t. t yields  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{1-z^{n}} = c^{2}p/q - c' \sin \theta$ , consistent with  $(3.6)$  and  $(3.22)$ .

**3.6. Beam amplitude and phase based upon ray angle.** The derivation of the phase of a Gaussian beam above leads to another formulation of the amplitude of a Gaussian beam, which has been used in Gaussian beam applications. Equations  $(3.3)$  and  $(3.21)$  imply that up to second-order in  $\delta\theta_0$ ,

(3.43) 
$$
q(s) = \int_0^s (\partial \theta / \partial \theta_0)_s, ds,
$$

a formula that has been used by others to compute the extrinsic geometric spreading. The transverse phase of a Gaussian beam, up to second-order, is then given by

(3.44) 
$$
\frac{cp}{q} = \left(\int_0^s \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta_0}\right)_{s_1} ds_1\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta_0}\right)_s
$$

<span id="page-17-0"></span>and [\(3.18\)](#page-12-1).

**3.7. Intrinsic transverse amplitude and phase of a Gaussian beam.** The amplitude and phase of a Gaussian beam has been fully developed in section [3](#page-8-0) for the computationally practical case of a Gaussian beam defined extrinsically along a straight line normal to the ray. In this section, it is explained how Jacobi's equation quantifies precisely the same construct intrinsically if the extrinsic straight line in  $(r, z)$ -space is replaced with an intrinsic geodesic normal to the ray. Figure [6](#page-17-1)



<span id="page-17-1"></span>Fig. 6. Intrinsic view of ray tube in a horizontally stratified medium, in the tangent plane at the point  $(0, z(0))$ . The azimuthal component, not shown, is perpendicular to the page. All distances in the figure represent travel-time, not Euclidean distance. Note that the extrinsic ray normal  $N = dT/ds$ —the straight line in extrinsic coordinates used to define  $\delta q$  in  $(2.4)$ —is not straight using intrinsic coordinates and diverges a small amount from the time-minimizing geodesic in the normal direction, shown here as the vector *Y* . The extrinsic normal vector **N** is preferred for numerical computation of the differential path time  $\delta t_e = \frac{1}{2}(p/q) \, \delta \eta^2$ ; however, the intrinsic normal vector **Y**, from which the differential travel-time is given by  $\delta t_i = \frac{1}{2} (c^{-2} \xi/\xi) \delta \eta^2 = \frac{1}{2} (p/q - c' \sin \theta), \delta \eta^2$ , appears in the intrinsic formulation of Gaussian beam. The path time along either intrinsic *Y* or extrinsic **N** equals  $\delta \tilde{q} = \xi \delta \theta_0$  to first-order.

illustrates the difference: because "straight lines" in  $(r, z)$ -space are actually curved intrinsically—they are not time-minimizing geodesics—there is a small difference between the differential path times. This accounts for the extra term  $c' \sin \theta$  seen in [\(3.6\)](#page-9-2), [\(3.22\)](#page-13-5), and [\(3.24\)](#page-13-6). Though computationally impractical, the following new theorem connects Jacobi's equation with the differential path time along rays normal to the ray at the center of the Gaussian beam.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>Theorem 3.7 (intrinsic transverse phase of a Gaussian beam, two-dimensional case). Let  $\delta t_i$  be the travel-time difference between the surface of constant travel-time t *and an intrinsic distance* δμ *along the intrinsic transverse plane (i.e., defined by geodesics) to the ray at point*  $\mathbf{x}(t; \theta_0)$ *, and let*  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  *be the solution to Jacobi's equation* [\(3.7\)](#page-9-0) *along the ray. Then*

(3.45) 
$$
\delta t_{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\xi} \delta \mu^2 + O(\delta \mu^3).
$$

The proof of Theorem [3.7](#page-18-0) involves another application of Gauss's lemma and a Taylor series expansion about  $\mathbf{x}(t; \theta_0)$  and is comparable to the proof of [\(3.18\)](#page-12-1) above. Consider the change of elevation angle  $\theta(t) = \tan^{-1}(\dot{z}/\dot{r})$  of the ray: let  $\delta\theta$  be the difference between  $\theta$  and the slope of the ray  $\mathbf{x}(t; \theta_0 + \delta \theta)$  intersecting the transverse plane at distance  $\delta \mu = \xi \delta \theta_0$  along a geodesic emanating perpendicularly from the ray with initial unit tangent vector *Y*, i.e.,  $g(Y, Y) = 1$  and  $g(T, Y) = 0$ . This definition of  $\delta\theta$  equates to the relation

(3.46) 
$$
\delta\theta = \langle \mathbf{Y}, \tau_{\delta\mu}^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t; \theta_0 + \delta\theta) - \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t; \theta_0) \rangle,
$$

where  $\tau_{\delta\mu}^{-1}$  is the inverse parallel translation along the geodesic between the points  $\mathbf{x}(t; \theta_0)$  and  $\mathbf{x}(t; \theta_0 + \delta \theta)$  in direction *Y*. A straightforward Taylor series analysis of the differential equations describing parallelism [\[15\]](#page-20-16) shows that for any arbitrary vector field  $\mathbf{X}(\delta\mu)$ ,  $\tau_{\delta\mu}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\delta\mu) = \mathbf{X}(0) + O(\delta\mu^2)$ —the proof involves so-called normal coordinates defined so that at the single point where  $\delta \mu = 0$ ,  $g_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$  and rays in the direction *Y* have the coordinates  $(tY^1, tY^2)$ , implying that  $\Gamma_{ij}^k(0) = 0$ . The implication of this local analysis about the point  $\mathbf{x}(t; \theta_0)$  is that

<span id="page-18-1"></span>(3.47) 
$$
\delta\theta = \dot{\xi}\,\delta\theta_0 + O(\delta\theta_0^2)
$$

because, up to second-order,

(3.48) 
$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t; \theta_0 + \delta \theta) = (d/dt) \big( \mathbf{x}(t; \theta_0) + \xi(t) \mathbf{Y}(t) \big) = \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t; \theta_0) + \dot{\xi} \mathbf{Y} + \xi \nabla_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{Y} = \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t; \theta_0) + \dot{\xi} \mathbf{Y}
$$

by the parallelism of  $Y$  along the ray. Now the change in slope  $\delta t_i$  of the ray is equal to the slope of the quadratic function  $\delta t_i = (1/2)Q_i \, \delta \mu^2 + O(\delta \mu^3)$  for some coefficient  $Q_i$  that is implied by Gauss's lemma. The slope of this function at  $\delta \mu$  equals

<span id="page-18-2"></span>(3.49) 
$$
\delta\theta + O(\delta\theta^3) = \tan \delta\theta = d(\delta t_i)/d(\delta \mu) = Q_i \delta \mu = Q_i \delta \theta_0.
$$

Equating [\(3.47\)](#page-18-1) and [\(3.49\)](#page-18-2), we conclude that  $Q_i = \frac{1}{2} \xi$ , establishing the theorem.

The quadratic coefficient  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x)$  for the phase is physically consistent with two easily imagined examples. For flat space where the Gaussian curvature vanishes,  $\xi(t) \equiv t$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{E}}(t) \equiv 1$ , and the wavefront of constant t is a circle of radius t, in which case the gap size equals  $\delta t_i - t \sec \delta \theta_0 - t = (t/2)(\delta \theta_0)^2 + O(h^4) = (1/2)(1/t)(\delta \mu)^2 + O(h^4)$ ,

as predicted by Theorem [3.7.](#page-18-0) For the second example, consider acoustic propagation in a space of constant positive curvature  $K = R^{-2}$ , e.g., S-waves emanating from a (geologically unlikely) earthquake on the north pole of the earth. In this case the rays are all great circles on the sphere that intersect at the north pole, i.e., longitudinal lines. At every point around the equator, equivalently, in the plane transverse to every ray at the equator, all rays have equal travel-time to the north pole; therefore,  $\delta t_i = 0$ around the equator. Indeed, solving Jacobi's equation [\(3.7\)](#page-9-0) yields  $\xi(t) = \sin(t/R)$ for which  $\dot{\xi} = \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi) = 0$  at the equator, an easily obtained intuitive result that is again consistent with Theorem [3.7.](#page-18-0)

**4. Conclusions.** Paraxial ray theory is an essential and useful component of modern acoustic modeling. There are deep and important connections between the classically derived paraxial ray equations for the amplitude and phase along a Gaussian beam and the second-order variation—called Jacobi's equation—along geodesics encountered in differential geometry. This paper demonstrates how known results in paraxial ray theory correspond to their counterparts in differential geometry and shows how both new equations and new insights into the properties of acoustic rays are obtained from an intrinsic, differential geometric point of view. It is shown how the intrinsic Gaussian curvature affect the spreading of Gaussian beams and how the specific form of this intrinsic curvature  $(K = cc'' - (c')^2$  for a horizontally stratified medium with SSP  $c(z)$ ) allows one to easily compute the distance between caustics within a duct, as well as the geometric spreading for either a duct or a region with linear SSP. These results allow the introduction of SSPs yielding constant Gaussian curvature, which serve as model spaces for convergent acoustic ducts (positive curvature), divergence zones (negative curvature), and nonrefractive spreading (zero curvature). It is proved for the model of a hyperbolic cosine SSP that the range between caustics is constant for all rays. Intrinsic versions of the amplitude and Gaussian beams are introduced. The intrinsic geometric spreading is shown to be equivalent to its extrinsic counterpart after scaling by the position-dependent sound speed. The intrinsic phase of a Gaussian beam, which is the phase along geodesics, not straight lines, emanating in a normal direction from the ray, is shown to be equivalent up to a small additive term to the phase of a paraxial ray as it is typically defined. Because the differential geometric approach is quite general, all results may be generalized to three dimensions, and in all cases, the connection is made with known results derived from Snell's law, Hamilton's equations, or the Frenet–Serret formulae. The results may also be applied to other special cases of applied interest, such as a spherically stratified sound speed.

**Acknowledgment.** The author thanks Arthur Baggeroer for his encouragement and critiques of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[1] D. R. Bergman, Application of Differential Geometry to Acoustics: Development of a Generalized Paraxial Ray-Trace Procedure from Geodesic Deviation, Technical Report NRL/MR/7140–05-8835, Naval Research Laboratory, 2005.
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>[2] D. R. BERGMAN, *Generalized space-time paraxial acoustic ray tracing*, Waves Random Complex Media, 15 (2005), pp. 417–435.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>[3] D. R. Bergman, Internal symmetry in acoustical ray theory, Wave Motion, 43 (2006), pp. 508–516.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[4] L. BOS AND M. A. SLAWINSKI, Regions of invalidity of ray-centered coordinates, Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math., 63 (2010), pp. 227–236.

- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[5] L. Bos and M. A. Slawinski, Elastodynamic equations: Characteristics, wavefronts, and rays, Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math., 63 (2009), pp. 23–37.
- <span id="page-20-10"></span><span id="page-20-8"></span>[6] L. M. Brekhovskikh, Waves in Layered Media, 2nd ed., Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [7] L. M. BREKHOVSKIKH AND O. A. GODIN, Acoustics of Layered Media II, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, pp. 85–86.
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>[8] L. M. BREKHOVSKIKH AND YU. P. LYSANOV, Fundamentals of Ocean Acoustics, 3rd ed., American Institute of Physics Press, New York, 2003.
- <span id="page-20-24"></span>[9] H. K. Brock, R. N. Buchal, and C. W. Spofford, Modifying the sound speed profile to improve the accuracy of the parabolic equation technique, J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 62 (1977), pp. 543–552.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[10] V. ČERVENÝ, Ray tracing algorithms in three-dimensional laterally varying layered structures, in Seismic Tomography, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1987, pp. 99–133.
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[11] V. ČERVENÝ, Seismic Ray Theory, chapter 4, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001, pp. 237–267.
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>[12] J. Cheeger and D. G. Ebin, Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>[13] C. S. CLAY AND H. MEDWIN, Acoustical Oceanography: Principles and Applications, Wiley, New York, 1977.
- <span id="page-20-22"></span><span id="page-20-16"></span>[14] I. M. GELFAND AND S. V. FOMIN, Calculus of Variations, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1963.
- [15] S. Helgason, Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- <span id="page-20-30"></span><span id="page-20-7"></span>[16] U. Ingard, Noise Reduction Analysis, Jones & Bartlett, Sudbury, MA, 2010.
- [17] F. B. JENSEN, W. A. KUPERMAN, M. B. PORTER, AND H. SCHMIDT, Computational Ocean Acoustics, 2nd ed., American Institute of Physics Press, New York, 2011.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[18] N. JOBERT, G. JOBERT, AND G. NOLET, Ray tracing for surface waves, in Seismic Tomography, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1987, pp. 275–300.
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[19] H. B. Keller and D. J. Perozzi, Fast seismic ray tracing, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 43 (1983), pp. 981–992.
- <span id="page-20-28"></span>[20] M. M. KORDICH AND D. A. POLLET, Sound Intensity Prediction System (SIPS): Volume 1— Reference Manual, Technical Report NSWCDD/TR-97/144, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division, Dahlgren, VA, 1997.
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>[21] B. Nair and B. S. White, High-Frequency wave propagation in random media: A unified approach, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 51 (1991), pp. 374–411.
- <span id="page-20-27"></span>
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>[22] A. D. PIERCE, Acoustics, Acoustical Society of America, New York, 1989, pp. 371–419.<br>[23] M. B. PORTER, The time-marched fast-field program (FFP) for modeling acoustic puls M. B. PORTER, The time-marched fast-field program (FFP) for modeling acoustic pulse propagation, J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 87 (1990), pp. 2013–2023.
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>[24] M. B. PORTER AND H. P. BUCKER, Gaussian beam tracing for computing ocean acoustic fields, J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 89 (1987), pp. 1349–1359.
- <span id="page-20-21"></span>[25] R. RASHED, A pioneer in anaclastics: Ibn Sahl on burning mirrors and lenses, Isis, 81 (1990), pp. 464–491.
- <span id="page-20-17"></span><span id="page-20-14"></span>[26] J. W. S. RAYLEIGH, Theory of Sound, vol. 2, Dover, New York, 1945.
- <span id="page-20-20"></span>[27] H. RUND, The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1959.
- [28] M. C. Shen and J. B. Keller, Uniform ray theory of surface, internal and acoustic wave propagation in a rotating ocean or atmosphere, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 28 (1975), pp. 857–875.
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>[29] M. Spivak, A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, 3rd ed., Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1999.
- <span id="page-20-23"></span>[30] I. Tolstoy and C. S. Clay, Ocean Acoustics Theory and Experiment in Underwater Sound, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- <span id="page-20-25"></span>[31] A. TOLSTOY, D. H. BERMAN, AND E. R. FRANCHI, Ray theory versus the parabolic equation in a long-range ducted environment, J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 78 (1985), pp. 176–189.
- <span id="page-20-29"></span>[32] R. A. VADOV, Regional distinctions in the time structure of the sound field produced by a point source in the underwater sound channel, J. Acoust. Phys., 52 (2006), pp. 533–543.
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>[33] E. K. WESTWOOD AND P. J. VIDMAR, Eigenray finding and time series simulation in a layeredbottom ocean, J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 81 (1987), pp. 912–924.
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>[34] K. B. WOLF AND G. KRÖTZSCH, Geometry and dynamics in refracting systems, Eur. J. Phys., 16 (1995), pp. 14–20.
- <span id="page-20-26"></span>[35] R. ZHANG AND G. JIN, Normal-mode theory of average reverberation intensity in shallow water. J. Sound Vibration, 119 (1987), pp. 215–223.