

## MIT Open Access Articles

*Elliptic Weyl Group Elements and Unipotent Isometries with  $P = 2$* 

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

**Citation:** Lusztig, George and Xue, Ting. "Elliptic Weyl Group Elements and Unipotent Isometries with  $P = 2$ ." *Representation Theory* 16 (2012): 270–275. © 2012 American Mathematical Society

**As Published:** <http://www.ams.org/journals/ert/2012-16-08/S1088-4165-2012-00415-0/S1088-4165-2012-00415-0.pdf>

**Publisher:** American Mathematical Society (AMS)

**Persistent URL:** <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/93078>

**Version:** Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

**Terms of Use:** Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.



## ELLIPTIC WEYL GROUP ELEMENTS AND UNIPOTENT ISOMETRIES WITH $p = 2$

GEORGE LUSZTIG AND TING XUE

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a classical group over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2 and let  $C$  be an elliptic conjugacy class in the Weyl group. In a previous paper the first named author associated to  $C$  a unipotent conjugacy class  $\Phi(C)$  of  $G$ . In this paper we show that  $\Phi(C)$  can be characterized in terms of the closure relations between unipotent classes. Previously, the analogous result was known in odd characteristic and for exceptional groups in any characteristic.

### INTRODUCTION

**0.1.** Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbf{k}$  of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ . Let  $\underline{G}$  be the set of unipotent conjugacy classes in  $G$ . Let  $\underline{W}$  be the set of conjugacy classes in the Weyl group  $\mathbf{W}$  of  $G$ . For  $w \in \mathbf{W}$  and  $\gamma \in \underline{G}$  let  $\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma$  be the variety of all pairs  $(g, B)$  where  $g \in \gamma$  and  $B$  is a Borel subgroup of  $G$  such that  $B$  and  $gBg^{-1}$  are in relative position  $w$ . For  $C \in \underline{W}$  and  $\gamma \in \underline{G}$  we write  $C \dashv \gamma$  when for some (or equivalently any) element  $w$  of minimal length in  $C$  we have  $\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma \neq \emptyset$ . In [L1, 4.5] a natural surjective map  $\Phi : \underline{W} \rightarrow \underline{G}$  was defined. When  $p$  is not a bad prime for  $G$ , the map  $\Phi$  can be characterized in terms of the relation  $C \dashv \gamma$  as follows (see [L1, 0.4]):

(a) *If  $C \in \underline{W}$ , then  $\Phi(C)$  is the unique unipotent class of  $G$  such that  $C \dashv \Phi(C)$  and such that if  $\gamma' \in \underline{G}$  satisfies  $C \dashv \gamma'$ , then  $\Phi(C)$  is contained in the closure of  $\gamma'$ .*

If  $p$  is a bad prime for  $G$ , then the definition of the map  $\Phi$  given in [L1] is less direct; one first defines  $\Phi$  on elliptic conjugacy classes by making use of the analogous map in characteristic 0 and then one extends the map in a standard way to nonelliptic classes. It would be desirable to establish property (a) also in bad characteristic. To do this it is enough to establish (a) in the case where  $C$  is elliptic (see the argument in [L1, 1.1].) One can also easily reduce the general case to the case where  $G$  is almost simple; moreover, it is enough to consider a single  $G$  in each isogeny class. The fact that (a) holds for  $C$  elliptic with  $G$  almost simple of exceptional type (with  $p$  a bad prime) was pointed out in [L2, 4.8(a)]. It remains then to establish (a) for  $C$  elliptic in the case where  $G$  is a symplectic or a special orthogonal group and  $p = 2$ . This is achieved in the present paper. In fact, Theorem 1.3 establishes (a) with  $C$  elliptic in the case where  $G$  is  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$  or  $SO_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$  ( $p = 2$ ); then (a) for  $G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{k})$  ( $p = 2$ ) follows from the analogous

---

Received by the editors April 4, 2011 and, in revised form, November 3, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20G99.

The first author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

©2012 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

result for  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$  using the exceptional isogeny  $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$ . Thus the results of this paper establish (a) for any  $G$  without restriction on  $p$ .

**0.2.** If  $w \in \mathbf{W}$  and  $\gamma \in \underline{G}$ , then  $G_{ad}$  (the adjoint group of  $G$ ) acts on  $\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma$  by  $x : (g, B) \mapsto (xgx^{-1}, xBx^{-1})$ . Let  $C \in \underline{\mathbf{W}}$  be elliptic. Let  $\gamma = \Phi(C)$ . The following result is proved in [L2, 0.2].

(a) For any  $w \in C$  of minimal length,  $\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma$  is a single  $G_{ad}$ -orbit.

The following converse of (a) appeared in [L2, 3.3(a)] in the case where  $p$  is not a bad prime for  $G$  and in the case where  $G$  is almost simple of exceptional type and  $p$  is a bad prime for  $G$  (see also [L1, 5.8(c)]):

(b) Let  $\gamma' \in \underline{G}$ . If  $C \dashv \gamma'$  and  $\gamma' \neq \Phi(C)$ , then for any  $w \in C$  of minimal length,  $\mathfrak{B}_w^{\gamma'}$  is a union of infinitely many  $G_{ad}$ -orbits.

Using 0.1(a) we see as in the proof of [L1, 5.8(b)] that (b) holds for any  $G$  without restriction on  $p$ . Namely, from [L1, 5.7(ii)] we see that  $\mathfrak{B}_w^{\gamma'}$  has pure dimension equal to  $\dim \gamma' + l(w)$  where  $l(w)$  is the length of  $w$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma$  has pure dimension equal to  $\dim \gamma + l(w)$ . Also, by [L1, 5.2], the action of  $G_{ad}$  on  $\mathfrak{B}_w^{\gamma'}$  or  $\mathfrak{B}_w^\gamma$  has finite isotropy groups. Thus,  $\dim \mathfrak{B}_w^{\gamma'} = \dim G_{ad}$  (see (a)) and to prove (b) it is enough to show that  $\dim \mathfrak{B}_w^{\gamma'} > \dim G_{ad}$  or equivalently that  $\dim \gamma' + l(w) > \dim \gamma + l(w)$  or that  $\dim \gamma' > \dim \gamma$ . But from 0.1(a) we see that  $\gamma$  is contained in the closure of  $\gamma'$ ; since  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$  it follows that  $\dim \gamma' > \dim \gamma$ , as required.

Note that (a) and (b) provide, in the case where  $C$  is elliptic, another characterization of  $\Phi(C)$  which does not rely on the partial order on  $\underline{G}$ .

### 1. THE MAIN RESULTS

**1.1.** In this section we assume that  $p = 2$ . Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space of finite dimension  $\mathbf{n} = 2n \geq 4$  with a fixed nondegenerate symplectic form  $(, ) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$  and a fixed quadratic form  $Q : V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$  such that (i) or (ii) below holds:

- (i)  $Q = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $Q \neq 0$ ,  $(x, y) = Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y)$  for  $x, y \in V$ .

Let  $Is(V)$  be the group consisting of all  $g \in GL(V)$  such that  $(gx, gy) = (x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in V$  and  $Q(gx) = Q(x)$  for all  $x \in V$  (a closed subgroup of  $GL(V)$ ). Let  $G$  be the identity component of  $Is(V)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all sequences  $V_* = (0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_{\mathbf{n}} = V)$  of subspaces of  $V$  such that  $\dim V_i = i$  for  $i \in [0, \mathbf{n}]$ ,  $Q|_{V_i} = 0$  and  $V_i^\perp = V_{\mathbf{n}-i}$  for all  $i \in [0, \mathbf{n}]$ . Here, for any subspace  $V'$  of  $V$  we set  $V'^\perp = \{x \in V; (x, V') = 0\}$ .

**1.2.** Let  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_\sigma$  be a sequence in  $\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_\sigma = n$ . (In the case where  $Q \neq 0$  we assume that  $\sigma$  is even.) For any  $r \in [1, \sigma]$  we set  $p_{\leq r} = \sum_{r' \in [1, r]} p_{r'}$ ,  $p_{< r} = \sum_{r' \in [1, r-1]} p_{r'}$ . We fix  $(V_*, V'_*) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  such that for any  $r \in [1, \sigma]$  we have

(a)  $\dim(V'_{p_{< r} + i} \cap V_{p_{< r} + i}) = p_{< r} + i - r$ ,  $\dim(V'_{p_{< r} + i} \cap V_{p_{< r} + i + 1}) = p_{< r} + i - r + 1$  if  $i \in [1, p_r - 1]$ ;

(b)  $\dim(V'_{p_{\leq r}} \cap V_{\mathbf{n} - p_{< r} - 1}) = p_{\leq r} - r$ ,  $\dim(V'_{p_{\leq r}} \cap V_{\mathbf{n} - p_{< r}}) = p_{\leq r} - r + 1$ . (Such  $(V_*, V'_*)$  exists and is unique up to conjugation by  $Is(V)$ .)

Let  $B$  (resp.  $B'$ ) be the stabilizer in  $G$  of  $V_*$  (resp.  $V'_*$ ). Let  $w$  be the relative position of the Borel subgroups  $B, B'$  (an element of the Weyl group of  $G$ ) and let  $C$  be the conjugacy class of  $w$  in the Weyl group (it is an elliptic conjugacy class).

A unipotent class  $\gamma$  in  $G$  is said to be adapted to  $(V_*, V'_*)$  if for some  $g \in \gamma$  we have  $gV_i = V'_i$  for all  $i$ . Note that  $\gamma$  is adapted to  $(V_*, V'_*)$  if and only if  $C \dashv \gamma$ .

There is a unique unipotent conjugacy class  $\gamma$  in  $G$  such that  $\gamma$  is adapted to  $(V_*, V'_*)$  and some/any element of  $\gamma$  has Jordan blocks of sizes  $2p_1, 2p_2, \dots, 2p_\sigma$ . (The existence of  $\gamma$  is proved in [L1, 2.6, 2.12]; the uniqueness follows from the proof of [L1, 4.6].)

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\gamma'$  be a unipotent conjugacy class in  $G$  which is adapted to  $(V_*, V'_*)$ . Then  $\gamma$  is contained in the closure of  $\gamma'$  in  $G$ .*

The proof is given in 1.5–1.8 (when  $Q = 0$ ) and in 1.9 (when  $Q \neq 0$ ).

**1.4.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of sequences  $c_* = (c_1 \geq c_2 \geq c_3 \geq \dots)$  in  $\mathbf{N}$  such that  $c_m = 0$  for  $m \gg 0$  and  $c_1 + c_2 + \dots = \mathbf{n}$ . For  $c_* \in \mathcal{T}$  we define  $c_*^* = (c_1^* \geq c_2^* \geq c_3^* \geq \dots) \in \mathcal{T}$  by  $c_i^* = |\{j \geq 1; c_j \geq i\}|$  and we set  $\mu_i(c_*) = |\{j \geq 1; c_j = i\}|$  ( $i \geq 1$ ); thus we have

(a)  $\mu_i(c_*) = c_i^* - c_{i+1}^*$ .

For  $i, j \geq 1$  we have

(b)  $i \leq c_j$  iff  $j \leq c_i^*$ .

For  $c_* \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $i \geq 1$  we have

(c)  $\sum_{j \in [1, c_i^*]} (c_j - i) + \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j^* = \mathbf{n}$ .

Indeed, the left-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \geq 1; i \leq c_j} (c_j - i) + \sum_{j \in [1, i], k \geq 1; c_k \geq j} 1 = \sum_{j \geq 1; i \leq c_j} (c_j - i) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \min(i, c_k) \\ & = \sum_{j \geq 1; i \leq c_j} (c_j - i) + \sum_{k \geq 1; i \leq c_k} i + \sum_{k \geq 1; i > c_k} c_k \\ & = \sum_{j \geq 1; i \leq c_j} c_j + \sum_{k \geq 1; i > c_k} c_k = \sum_{j \geq 1} c_j = \mathbf{n}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $c_*, c'_* \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $i \geq 1$  we have:

(d)  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j^* = \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c'_j$  iff  $\sum_{j \in [1, c_i^*]} (c_j - i) = \sum_{j \in [1, c'_i]} (c'_j - i)$  and we have  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j^* \geq \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c'_j$  iff  $\sum_{j \in [1, c_i^*]} (c_j - i) \leq \sum_{j \in [1, c'_i]} (c'_j - i)$ .

This follows from (c) and the analogous equality for  $c'_*$ .

For  $c_*, c'_* \in \mathcal{T}$  we say that  $c_* \leq c'_*$  if the following (equivalent) conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j \leq \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c'_j$  for any  $i \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j^* \geq \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c'^*_j$  for any  $i \geq 1$ .

We show the following:

(e) *Let  $c_*, c'_* \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $i \geq 1$  be such that  $c_* \leq c'_*$ ,  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j^* = \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c'^*_j$ . Then  $c_i^* \leq c'^*_i$ . If, in addition, we have  $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$ , then  $\mu_i(c'_*) > 0$ .*

We set  $m = c_i^*, m' = c'^*_i$ . From  $c_* \leq c'_*$  we deduce  $\sum_{j \in [1, i-1]} c_j^* \geq \sum_{j \in [1, i-1]} c'^*_j$  (if  $i = 1$  both sums are zero); using the equality  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j^* = \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c'^*_j$  we deduce  $c_i^* \leq c'^*_i$ ; that is,  $m \leq m'$ . From (d) we have  $\sum_{j \in [1, m]} (c_j - i) = \sum_{j \in [1, m']} (c'_j - i)$ .

Hence

(f) 
$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in [1, m]} c_j = \sum_{j \in [1, m']} c'_j + (m - m')i \\ & = \sum_{j \in [1, m]} c'_j + \sum_{j \in [m+1, m']} (c'_j - i) \geq \sum_{j \in [1, m]} c'_j \geq \sum_{j \in [1, m]} c_j; \end{aligned}$$

thus we have used  $c_* \leq c'_*$  and that for  $j \in [m + 1, m']$  we have  $i \leq c'_j$  (since  $j \leq c'^*_i$ , see (b)). It follows that the inequalities in (f) are equalities, hence  $c'_j = i$  for  $j \in [m + 1, m']$ . Thus  $\mu_i(c'_*) \geq m - m'$ . This completes the proof of (e) in the case where  $m > m'$ . Now assume that  $m = m'$ . From  $c_* \leq c'_*$  we have  $\sum_{j \in [1, m-1]} c_j \leq \sum_{j \in [1, m-1]} c'_j$ . Using this and (d) we see that

$$\sum_{j \in [1, m]} (c_j - i) = \sum_{j \in [1, m]} (c'_j - i) \geq \sum_{j \in [1, m-1]} (c_j - i) + c'_m - i,$$

hence  $c_m - i \geq c'_m - i$ . From  $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$  and  $c^*_i = m$  we deduce that  $c_m = i$ . (Indeed by 1.4(b) we have  $i \leq c_m$ ; if  $i < c_m$ , then  $i + 1 \leq c_m$  and by 1.4(b) we have  $m \leq c^*_{i+1} \leq c^*_i = m$ , hence  $c^*_{i+1} = c^*_i$  and  $\mu_i(c_*) = 0$ , a contradiction.) Hence  $c'_m \leq i$ . Since  $c^*_i = m$  we have also  $i \leq c'_m$  (see (b)), hence  $c'_m = i$ . Thus  $\mu_i(c'_*) > 0$ . This completes the proof of (e).

**1.5.** In this subsection (and until the end of 1.8) we assume that  $Q = 0$ . In this case we write  $Sp(V)$  instead of  $Is(V) = G$ . Let  $u$  be a unipotent element of  $Sp(V)$ . We associate to  $u$  the sequence  $c_* \in \mathcal{T}$  whose nonzero terms are the size of the Jordan blocks of  $u$ . We must have  $\mu_i(c_*) = \text{even}$  for any odd  $i$ . We also associate to  $u$  a map  $\epsilon_u : \{i \in 2\mathbf{N}; i \neq 0, \mu_i(c_*) > 0\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  as follows:  $\epsilon_u(i) = 0$  if  $((u - 1)^{i-1}(x), x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \ker(u - 1)^i : V \rightarrow V$  and  $\epsilon_u(i) = 1$  otherwise; we have automatically  $\epsilon_u(i) = 1$  if  $\mu_i(c_*)$  is odd. Now  $u \mapsto (c_*, \epsilon_u)$  defines a bijection between the set of conjugacy classes of unipotent elements in  $Sp(V)$  and the set  $\mathfrak{S}$  consisting of all pairs  $(c_*, \epsilon)$  where  $c_* \in \mathcal{T}$  is such that  $\mu_i(c_*) = \text{even}$  for any odd  $i$  and  $\epsilon : \{i \in 2\mathbf{N}; i \neq 0, \mu_i(c_*) > 0\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is a function such that  $\epsilon(i) = 1$  if  $\mu_i(c_*)$  is odd. (See [S, I, 2.6]). We denote by  $\gamma_{c_*, \epsilon}$  the unipotent class corresponding to  $(c_*, \epsilon) \in \mathfrak{S}$ . For  $(c_*, \epsilon) \in \mathfrak{S}$  it will be convenient to extend  $\epsilon$  to a function  $\mathbf{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$  (denoted again by  $\epsilon$ ) by setting  $\epsilon(i) = -1$  if  $i$  is odd or  $\mu_i(c_*) = 0$ .

Now let  $\gamma, \gamma'$  be as in 1.3. We write  $\gamma = \gamma_{c_*, \epsilon}, \gamma' = \gamma_{c'_*, \epsilon'}$  with  $(c_*, \epsilon), (c'_*, \epsilon') \in \mathfrak{S}$ . Let  $g \in \gamma_{c'_*, \epsilon'}$  be such that  $gV_* = V'_*$  and let  $N = g - 1 : V \rightarrow V$ . To prove that  $\gamma$  is contained in the closure of  $\gamma'$  in  $G$  it is enough to show that:

- (a)  $c_* \leq c'_*$  and that for any  $i \geq 1$ , (b) and (c) below hold;
  - (b)  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c^*_j - \max(\epsilon(i), 0) \geq \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c'^*_j - \max(\epsilon'(i), 0)$ ;
  - (c) if  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c^*_j = \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c'^*_j$  and  $c^*_{i+1} - c'^*_{i+1}$  is odd, then  $\epsilon'(i) \neq 0$ .
- (See [S, II, 8.2].) From the definition we see that  $c_i = 2p_i$  for  $i \in [1, \sigma]$ ,  $c_i = 0$  for  $i > \sigma$  and from [L1, 4.6] we see that  $\epsilon(i) = 1$  for all  $i \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$  such that  $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$ .

Now (a) follows from [L1, 3.5(a)]. Indeed, in *loc.cit.*, it is shown that for any  $i \geq 1$  we have  $\dim N^i V \geq \Lambda_i$  where

$$\Lambda_i = \sum_{j \geq 1; i \leq c_j} (c_j - i) = \sum_{j \in [1, c^*_i]} (c_j - i).$$

We have  $\dim N^i V = \sum_{j \geq 1; i \leq c'_j} (c'_j - i) = \sum_{j \in [1, c'^*_i]} (c'_j - i)$ , hence by 1.4(d) the inequality  $\dim N^i V \geq \Lambda_i$  is the same as the inequality  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c^*_j \geq \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c'^*_j$ .

Note also that, by 1.4(d),

- (d) we have  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c^*_j = \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c'^*_j$  iff  $\dim N^i V = \Lambda_i$ .

**1.6.** Let  $i \geq 1$ . We show that:

(a) If  $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$  and  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j^* = \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j'^*$ , then  $\epsilon'(i) = 1$ .

By 1.4(e) we have  $\mu_i(c'_*) > 0$ . Since  $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$  we see that  $i = 2p_d$  for some  $d \in [1, \sigma]$ . If  $\mu_i(c'_*)$  is odd, then  $\epsilon'(i) = 1$  (by definition, since  $i$  is even). Thus we may assume that  $\mu_i(c'_*) \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ . From our assumption we have that  $\dim N^i V = \Lambda_i$  (see 1.5(d)).

Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\sigma$  be vectors in  $V$  attached to  $V_*, V'_*, g$  as in [L1, 3.3]. For  $r \in [1, \sigma]$  let  $W_r, W'_r$  be as in [L1, 3.4]; we set  $W_0 = 0, W'_0 = V$ . From [L1, 3.5(b)] we see that  $N^i W'_{d-1} = 0$  at least if  $d \geq 2$ ; but the same clearly holds if  $d = 1$ . We have  $v_d \in W'_{d-1}$ , hence  $N^{2p_d} v_d = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (N^{2p_d-1}(v_d), v_d) &= (N^{p_d} v_d, N^{p_d-1} v_d) = ((g-1)^{p_d} v_d, (g-1)^{p_d-1} v_d) \\ &= (g^{p_d} v_d, v_d) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(We have used that  $(v_d, g^k v_d) = 0$  for  $k \in [-p_d + 1, p_d - 1]$  and  $(v_d, g^{p_d} v_d) = 1$ ; see [L1, 3.3(iii)].) Thus  $\epsilon'(i) = 1$ . This proves (a).

**1.7.** We prove 1.5(b). It is enough to show that, if  $\epsilon(i) = 1$  and  $\epsilon'(i) \leq 0$ , then  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j^* \geq \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j'^* + 1$ . Assume this is not so. Then using 1.5(a) we have  $\sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j^* = \sum_{j \in [1, i]} c_j'^*$ . Since  $\epsilon(i) = 1$  we have  $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$ ; using 1.6(a) we see that  $\epsilon'(i) = 1$ , a contradiction. Thus 1.5(b) holds.

**1.8.** We prove 1.5(c). If  $i$  is odd, then  $\epsilon'(i) = -1$ , as required. Thus we may assume that  $i$  is even. Using 1.5(a) and 1.4(e) we see that  $c_i^* \leq c_i'^*$ .

Assume first that  $c_i^* = c_i'^*$ . From  $\mu_i(c_*) = c_i^* - c_{i+1}^*, \mu_i(c'_*) = c_i'^* - c_{i+1}'^*$  we deduce that  $\mu_i(c_*) - \mu_i(c'_*) = c_{i+1}'^* - c_{i+1}^*$  is odd. If  $\mu_i(c'_*)$  is odd, we have  $\epsilon'(i) = 1$  (since  $i$  is even); thus we have  $\epsilon'(i) \neq 0$ , as required. If  $\mu_i(c'_*) = 0$ , we have  $\epsilon'(i) = -1$ ; thus we have  $\epsilon'(i) \neq 0$ , as required. If  $\mu_i(c'_*) \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ , then  $\mu_i(c_*)$  is odd so that  $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$  and then 1.6(a) shows that  $\epsilon'(i) = 1$ ; thus we have  $\epsilon'(i) \neq 0$ , as required.

Assume next that  $c_i^* < c_i'^*$ . By 1.5(a) we have  $\sum_{j \in [1, i+1]} c_j^* \geq \sum_{j \in [1, i+1]} c_j'^*$ ; using the assumption of 1.5(c) we deduce that  $c_{i+1}^* \geq c_{i+1}'^*$ . Combining this with  $c_i^* < c_i'^*$  we deduce  $c_i^* - c_{i+1}^* < c_i'^* - c_{i+1}'^*$ ; that is,  $\mu_i(c_*) < \mu_i(c'_*)$ . It follows that  $\mu_i(c'_*) > 0$ . If  $\mu_i(c_*) > 0$ , then by 1.6(a) we have  $\epsilon'(i) = 1$ ; thus we have  $\epsilon'(i) \neq 0$ , as required. Thus we can assume that  $\mu_i(c_*) = 0$ . We then have  $c_i^* = c_{i+1}^*$  and we set  $\delta = c_i^* = c_{i+1}^*$ . As we have seen earlier, we have  $c_{i+1}^* \geq c_{i+1}'^*$ ; using this and the assumption of 1.5(c) we see that  $c_{i+1}^* - c_{i+1}'^* = 2a + 1$  where  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ . It follows that  $c_{i+1}'^* = \delta - (2a + 1)$ . In particular, we have  $\delta \geq 2a + 1 > 0$ .

If  $k \in [0, 2a]$ , we have  $c'_{\delta-k} = i$ . (Indeed, assume that  $i + 1 \leq c'_{\delta-k}$ ; then by 1.4(b) we have  $\delta - k \leq c'_{i+1} = \delta - (2a + 1)$  hence  $k \geq 2a + 1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $c'_{\delta-k} \leq i$ . On the other hand,  $\delta = c_i^* < c_i'^*$  implies by 1.4(b) that  $i \leq c'_\delta$ . Thus  $c'_{\delta-k} \leq i \leq c'_\delta \leq c'_{\delta-k}$ , hence  $c'_{\delta-k} = i$ .)

Using 1.4(b) and  $c_{i+1}'^* = \delta - (2a + 1)$  we see that  $c'_{\delta-(2a+1)} \geq i + 1$  (assuming that  $\delta - (2a + 1) > 0$ ). Thus the sequence  $c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_\delta$  contains exactly  $2a + 1$  terms equal to  $i$ , namely  $c'_{\delta-2a}, \dots, c'_{\delta-1}, c'_\delta$ .

We have  $i > c_{\delta+1}$ . (If  $i \leq c_{\delta+1}$ , then from 1.4(b) we would get  $\delta + 1 \leq c_i^* = \delta$ , a contradiction.)

Since  $\delta > 0$ , from  $c_i^* = \delta$  we deduce that  $i \leq c_\delta$  (see 1.4(b)); since  $\mu_i(c_*) = 0$  we have  $c_\delta \neq i$  hence  $c_\delta > i$ . From the assumption of 1.5(c) we see that  $\dim N^i V = \Lambda_i$

(see 1.5(d)). Using this and  $c_\delta > i > c_{\delta+1}$  we see that [L1, 3.5] is applicable and gives that  $V = W_\delta \oplus W_\delta^\perp$  and  $W_\delta, W_\delta^\perp$  are  $g$ -stable; moreover,  $g : W_\delta \rightarrow W_\delta$  has exactly  $\delta$  Jordan blocks and each one has size  $\geq i$  and  $g : W_\delta^\perp \rightarrow W_\delta^\perp$  has only Jordan blocks of size  $\leq i$ . Since the  $\delta$  largest numbers in the sequence  $c'_1, c'_2, \dots$  are  $c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_\delta$  we see that the sizes of the Jordan blocks of  $g : W_\delta \rightarrow W_\delta$  are  $c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_\delta$ . Since the last sequence contains an odd number ( $= 2a + 1$ ) of terms equal to  $i$  we see that  $\epsilon_{g|_{W_\delta}}(i) = 1$ . (Note that  $(,)$  is a nondegenerate symplectic form on  $W_\delta$ , hence  $\epsilon_{g|_{W_\delta}}(i)$  is defined as in 1.5.) Hence there exists  $z \in W_\delta$  such that  $N^i z = 0$  and  $(z, N^{i-1} z) = 1$ . This implies that  $\epsilon_g(i) = 1$ ; that is,  $\epsilon'(i) = 1$ . This completes the proof of 1.5(c) and also completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 when  $Q = 0$ .

**1.9.** In this subsection we assume that  $Q \neq 0$ . Let  $\gamma, \gamma'$  be as in 1.3. We denote by  $\gamma_1, \gamma'_1$  the  $Is(V)$ -conjugacy class containing  $\gamma, \gamma'$ , respectively; let  $\gamma_2, \gamma'_2$  be the  $Sp(V)$ -conjugacy class containing  $\gamma_1, \gamma'_1$ , respectively. Note that Theorem 1.3 is applicable to  $\gamma_2, \gamma'_2$  instead of  $\gamma, \gamma'$  and with  $G$  replaced by the larger group  $Sp(V)$ . Thus we have that  $\gamma_2$  is contained in the closure of  $\gamma'_2$  in  $Sp(V)$  and then, using [S, II,8.2], we see that  $\gamma_1$  is contained in the closure of  $\gamma'_1$  in  $Is(V)$ . We have  $\gamma_1 = \gamma$  (see [S, I,2.6]). If  $\gamma'_1 = \gamma'$ , it follows that  $\gamma$  is contained in the closure of  $\gamma'$  in  $G$ , as required. If  $\gamma'_1 \neq \gamma'$ , then  $\gamma'_1 = \gamma' \sqcup \gamma''$  where  $\gamma'' = r\gamma'r^{-1}$  ( $r$  is a fixed element in  $Is(V) - G$ ). We see that either  $\gamma$  is contained in the closure of  $\gamma'$  or in the closure of  $r\gamma'r^{-1}$ . In the last case we have that  $r^{-1}\gamma r$  is contained in the closure of  $\gamma'$ . But  $r^{-1}\gamma r = \gamma$  so that in any case  $\gamma$  is contained in the closure of  $\gamma'$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 when  $Q \neq 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [L1] G. Lusztig, *From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes*, Represent. Theory **15** (2011), 494–530. MR2833465
- [L2] G. Lusztig, *Elliptic elements in a Weyl group: a homogeneity property*, Represent. Theory **16** (2012), 189–211.
- [S] N. Spaltenstein, *Classes unipotentes et sous-groupes de Borel*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 946, Springer-Verlag, 1982. MR672610 (84a:14024)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60208