

MIT Open Access Articles

Tectorial membrane travelling waves underlie abnormal hearing in Tectb mutant mice

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *[Please](https://libraries.mit.edu/forms/dspace-oa-articles.html) share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Ghaffari, Roozbeh, Alexander J. Aranyosi, Guy P. Richardson, and Dennis M. Freeman. "Tectorial Membrane Travelling Waves Underlie Abnormal Hearing in Tectb Mutant Mice." Nature Communications 1 (December 19, 2010).

As Published: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1094

Publisher: Nature Publishing Group

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/96488>

Version: Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

Terms of use: Creative Commons [Attribution-NonCommercial-Share](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/) Alike

ARTICLE

Received 19 Apr 2010 | Accepted 22 Sep 2010 | Published 19 Oct 2010 **DOI: 10.1038/ncomms1094**

Tectorial membrane travelling waves underlie abnormal hearing in *Tectb* mutant mice

Roozbeh Ghaffari^{1,2}, Alexander J. Aranyosi^{2,†}, Guy P. Richardson³ & Dennis M. Freeman^{1,2,4,5}

Remarkable sensitivity and exquisite frequency selectivity are hallmarks of mammalian hearing, but their underlying mechanisms remain unclear. Cochlear insults and hearing disorders that decrease sensitivity also tend to broaden tuning, suggesting that these properties are linked. However, a recently developed mouse model of genetically altered hearing (*Tectb*−/−) shows decreased sensitivity and sharper frequency selectivity. In this paper, we show that the *Tectb* mutation reduces the spatial extent and propagation velocity of tectorial membrane (TM) travelling waves and that these changes in wave propagation are likely to account for all of the hearing abnormalities associated with the mutation. By reducing the spatial extent of TM waves, the *Tectb* mutation decreases the spread of excitation and thereby increases frequency selectivity. Furthermore, the change in TM wave velocity reduces the number of hair cells that effectively couple energy to the basilar membrane, which reduces sensitivity. These results highlight the importance of TM waves in hearing.

nature communications | 1:96 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms1094 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications

¹ Speech and Hearing Bioscience and Technology Program, Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA.² Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA.³ School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QG, UK. 4 Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA.⁵ Eaton-Peabody Laboratory of Auditory Physiology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston, Massachusetts 02114, USA. †Present address: BioMEMS Resource Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02129, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.M.F. (email: freeman@mit.edu).

OUR ADIST AND SET ANALY STATE OF THE ALL STATES ARE SET AN INTERNATIONAL SURFACT AN AVAILABLE THE ADIST AND ARE SET AN AVAILABLE THAT AN AVAILABLE TH our natural ability to communicate with each other and to of mechanisms have been demonstrated whereby 'cochlear amplification'1–8 can effectively reduce viscous loss of energy (damping) and thereby increase both sensitivity and frequency selectivity, suggesting that sensitivity and frequency resolution are inextricably linked1–3. However, the direct coupling of these remarkable properties is challenged by a recent study of a mouse model of genetically altered hearing (*Tectb*−/−) with decreased sensitivity and strikingly sharpened frequency selectivity⁹⁻¹¹.

Tectb encodes β-tectorin, a glycoprotein that is localized to the tectorial membrane (TM) (ref. 12) and the absence of which leads to disruption of the TM's core structure and to significant changes in cochlear functio[n9](#page-5-0) . Targeted deletion of β-tectorin in *Tectb*−/− mice reduces sensitivity by \sim 10 dB SPL while simultaneously increasing the sharpness of tuning by about a factor of 2*–*3 at mid to high frequencies; at low frequencies, sensitivity is markedly reduced (~50dB SPL) (ref. 9). These physiological changes cannot be explained by a change in effective damping or by any other mechanism that links sharpened frequency selectivity to increased sensitivity.

Here, we show that differences in the wave properties of TMs of *Tectb*−/− and wild-type mice can account for all of the unexplained hearing properties of *Tectb*−/− mice. According to this mechanism, TM waves coordinate the activities of multiple outer hair cells (OHCs) and thereby have an important role in determining both sensitivity and frequency selectivity of cochlear amplification. At mid and high frequencies, the *Tectb* mutation reduces the spatial extent and velocity of TM waves, which can reduce cochlear sensitivity while simultaneously sharpening frequency selectivity. At low frequencies, the even greater reduction in TM wave velocity produces an even greater loss of sensitivity. These findings demonstrate mechanisms by which TM waves moderate a balance between sensitivity and exquisite frequency selectivity in mammalian hearing.

Results

TM travelling waves in *Tectb***−/− and wild-type mice**. To determine how the loss of β-tectorin affects TM waves, we investigated longitudinally propagating waves of radial motion along *Tectb*−/− and wild-type TMs (see Methods section). TM segments were isolated from the cochleae and suspended between two supports in a fluid-filled wave chamber ([Fig. 1a](#page-2-0)). Sinusoidal forces applied in the radial direction at one support generated waves that propagated longitudinally along the TM¹³. These waves were of nanometre scale in amplitude and observed over a broad range of frequencies (1– 18 kHz). An optical imaging system using stroboscopic illumination tracked displacement amplitude and phase at multiple points on the surface of the TM. Complex exponentials were fit to the waveforms over 16 phase cycles. These fits contain two free parameters: wavelength (λ) ; distance the wave travels while going through a full cycle of motion) and wave decay constant (σ) ; distance the wave travels before dissipating by a factor of *e* in amplitude) [\(Fig. 1b\)](#page-2-0).

Decay constants and wavelengths are reduced in *Tectb***−/− TMs**. We captured snapshots of radial motion along TM segments at one phase of a periodic driving signal ([Fig. 1c,d\)](#page-2-0). At 18kHz, the waveform of *Tectb*−/− TM segments excised from the middle to the basal third of the cochlea (referred to as 'basal *Tectb*−/− TM') had shorter wave decay constants (reduced by ~55%) and wavelengths (reduced by ~20%) than did basal wild-type TMs ([Fig. 1c](#page-2-0)). Across the apical segments, *Tectb*−/− TMs had considerably shorter wave decay constants (reduced by \sim 75%) and wavelengths (reduced by \sim 60%) compared with apical wild-type TMs, particularly at low frequencies ([Fig. 1d\)](#page-2-0).

Wave decay constant (σ) data were pooled across multiple basal and apical TM segments, further highlighting significant differences

Figure 1 | Longitudinally propagating waves of radial motion along the TM. (**a**) TM segments were suspended between two supports separated by 390–480µm in a wave chamber. Double-headed arrow indicates sinusoidal displacement of vibrating support at audio frequencies. Schematic waveform (green line overlaid on TM) is a displacement snapshot illustrating the wave-like nature of TM motion. (**b**) Schematic drawing of a decaying wave showing the peak amplitude (A), wave envelope (purple), wave decay constant σ and wavelength λ. (**c**, **d**) TM radial displacement versus longitudinal distance across basal and apical TM segments. Radial displacement was measured relative to a point on the TM, ~30µm from the edge of vibrating support. (**c**) Wave motion in response to 18-kHz stimulation for basal *Tectb*−/− (red open circles) and wild-type (blue pluses) TMs. Best fitting wave parameter estimates were σ =110 µm and λ =330 µm for *Tectb^{-/-}* mice, and σ =200 µm and λ=415µm for wild-type mice. (**d**) Wave motion in response to 3.5-kHz stimulation for apical *Tectb*−/− (red open circles) and wild-type (blue pluses) TMs. Best fitting wave parameter estimates were $\sigma = 90 \,\mu$ m and $\lambda = 220 \,\mu$ m for *Tectb^{-/-}* mice, and $σ=340 \mu m$ and $λ=560 \mu m$ for wild-type mice.

between *Tectb*−/− and wild-type TM samples ([Fig. 2a,b\)](#page-3-0). Across basal segments, *Tectb*−/− TMs (11 measurements) had a range of σ-values between 65 and 180µm at high frequencies (>10 kHz), whereas the range of σ-values for wild-type TMs $(16$ measurements) were all ≥185µm ([Fig. 2a\)](#page-3-0). Across apical segments, the σ-values for *Tectb*−/− TMs (19 measurements; $45-220 \mu m$) were significantly lower than those for wild-type TMs (15 measurements; \geq 190 μ m) at frequen $cies \geq 2 kHz$ [\(Fig. 2b](#page-3-0)).

The reduction in wave decay constants in *Tectb*−/− TMs ([Fig. 2a,b\)](#page-3-0) correlates with previously reported reductions in the bandwidth of frequency tuning curves in *Tectb^{-/-}* mice^{[9](#page-5-0)}. The bandwidth of frequency tuning at one cochlear location can be related to the spatial extent of tuning at one stimulus frequency by applying local scaling symmetry (the idea that frequency tuning curves at nearby characteristic frequencies (CFs) are identical when scaled by $f_{\rm CP}$ ^{14,15} and then mapping CFs to best cochlear locations^{[16](#page-5-2)}. Using this technique, we calculate a 30–50% reduction in spatial bandwidths at the 10 and 20-kHz best locations in *Tectb*−/− mice with respect to wild types, which is comparable to the reduction in the extent of TM waves in *Tectb*−/− mice at 10 and 20 kHz [\(Fig. 2a,b\)](#page-3-0).

Wave propagation velocities are reduced in *Tectb*−/− **TMs**. We computed the velocity of wave propagation (v_s) over a range of stimulus frequencies as the product of frequency and wavelength $(2πδx/δφ)$, where $\delta\varphi$ is the phase accumulation between two sites along the TM ([Fig. 3a,b](#page-3-1)) and δ*x* is the longitudinal distance separating those sites. Propagation velocities were generally smaller in *Tectb*−/− TMs than in wild-type TMs. Although these differences were smaller in basal segments ([Fig. 4a](#page-4-0)) than in apical segments [\(Fig. 4b](#page-4-0)), the differences were statistically significant in both regions.

Figure 2 | Spatial extent of basal and apical TM waves. (**a**, **b**) Wave decay constant versus stimulus frequency for basal and apical TMs. Spatial bandwidths of tuning at the 10- and 20-kHz best places calculated from neural tuning curves in *Tectb*−/− (red square with asterisk) and wild-type (blue square with asterisk) mice^{[9](#page-5-0)} are also included. (**a**) Basal *Tectb*−/− TM segments (*n*=4 TM preparations; red open circles) had wave decay constant (σ) values between 65 and 180 μ m for stimuli >10 kHz. In contrast, the σ -values of basal wild-type TM segments (*n*=4 TM preparations; blue closed circles) were all ≥185µm over the same stimulus frequencies (*P*-value <3.85 × 10−8). Black arrow indicates that characteristic frequencies (CFs) of basal TM segments extend beyond 10kHz. (**b**) Apical *Tectb*−/− TM segments (*n*=3 TM preparations; red open circles) had σ -values between 45 and 220 μ m for stimuli ≥2 kHz. The wave decay constants of apical wild-type TM segments (*n*=4 TM preparations; blue closed circles) were typically larger, ranging between 195 and 350µm, and >350µm for stimuli ≤7.5kHz (*P*-value <1.83 × 10−6). Upward blue arrows indicate that σ-values were considerably larger than the length of TM in the wave chamber. Double-sided black arrows denote range of CFs corresponding to apical TM segments. The grey shaded region denotes the range of frequencies (>10.5kHz) when TM motion was significantly attenuated (in the noise floor).

TM material properties account for changes in waves. The frequency-dependent wave properties of the TM provide information about the underlying TM material properties. For example, the velocity v_s of pure shear waves in an infinite, isotropic, viscoelastic material depends on the shear storage modulus *G*′ (which characterizes elastic energy stored during parallel motions of the surfaces of a deformable body), the shear viscosity η (which characterizes dissipation of energy) and density ρ , as follows:

$$
v_s = \sqrt{\frac{2(G^2 + \omega^2 \eta^2)}{\rho(G^2 + \sqrt{G^2 + \omega^2 \eta^2})}}
$$
(1)

where ω is the angular frequency of vibration^{13,17,18}. We can use this equation to estimate the material properties of the TM by finding

Figure 3 | Phase vs stimulus frequency for basal and apical TMs. (**a**) Basal *Tectb*−/− TM segments (*n*=3 TM preparations; red open circles) accumulated more phase (>10kHz) than did basal wild-type TMs (*n*=3 TM preparations; blue closed circles) at a location \sim 250 μ m from the vibrating support. (**b**) Phase accumulation was greater for apical *Tectb*−/− TMs (*n*=4 TM preparations; red open circles) compared with apical wildtype TMs (*n*=3 TM preparations; blue closed circles) at frequencies above 1kHz (at ~250 µm location). Lines are linear regression fits to the data. The grey shaded region denotes the range of frequencies (>11 kHz) when TM motion was too small to measure phase differences.

the values of *G*^{\prime} and η to best fit the measured values of v_s (density ρ is taken to be that of water). To account for boundary conditions of the wave chamber and attenuation of wave motion (wave decay constant), we analysed a finite difference model that consists of a longitudinally distributed series of masses coupled to viscous and elastic elements^{[13](#page-5-1)}. Sinusoidal stimuli applied to one end of this model of the TM launched travelling waves that were similar to those measured experimentally in wild-type and *Tectb*−/− TMs. Best fit estimates of the material properties (mean \pm s.d.) of basal *Tectb*−/− TMs (*G*′=18±1 kPa, η=0.17±0.06Pa·s; *n*=3 TM preparations) were smaller than those of wild-type TMs (*G*′=42±10 kPa, η = 0.23 \pm 0.07 Pa·s; $n = 7$ TM preparations). For apical TMs, the material properties of *Tectb^{-/-}* TMs (G' =1±0.1 kPa, η =0.12±0.13 Pa·s; $n=3$ TM preparations) were also reduced with respect to wild-type TMs $(G' = 14 \pm 4 \text{ kPa}, \eta = 0.21 \pm 0.12 \text{ Pa·s}; \eta = 4 \text{ TM preparations}.$ Thus, the shear storage modulus *G*′ was reduced by factors of 2.3 (basal) and 14 (apical) in the TMs of *Tectb*−/− mutants relative to wild types, whereas viscosity changes were small. We attribute the change in shear storage modulus to disruption of the TM's striated sheet matrix (caused by the absence of β-tectorin), which makes up the core structure of the TM and in which the radial collagen fibres are embedded. Without the striated sheet matrix, the radial collagen fibres lack uniform layout and structural support, thus giving rise to reduced shear storage modulus.

In vivo, TM is coupled to hair bundles, attached at the spiral limbus and bound below by a narrow subtectorial fluid space. We tested the effects of these cochlear loads on TM wave propagation

Figure 4 | Propagation velocity of basal and apical TM waves. Vertical lines mark the interquartile range and circles mark the median wave velocity measured in *Tectb*−/− (red open circles) and wild-type (blue closed circles) TMs at each stimulus frequency (horizontal axis). (**a**) In basal segments, the median velocities in *Tectb*−/− TMs (*n*=3 preparations) were smaller than those of wild types (*n*=7 preparations). Even at high frequencies, when differences were small (10–40%), these differences were statistically significant (*P*<2×10−4). (**b**) In apical segments, the median velocities in *Tectb*−/− TMs (*n*=3 preparations) were significantly smaller (*P*<0.043) than those of wild types (*n*=4 preparations), with differences at low frequencies (<5kHz) of as much as a factor of 6. The grey shaded region denotes the range of frequencies (>11 kHz) when TM motion was too small to measure wave velocity.

in *Tectb*−/− mice using a finite difference model (Supplementary Fig. S1a). We modelled OHC hair bundles as springs, the limbal attachment as an elastic solid and the subtectorial space as a viscous fluid. For physically plausible values of these parameters, the effects of these cochlear loads on wave motion were small (Supplementary Fig. S1b–d). Only the limbal attachment had a significant effect on the waves in wild-type and *Tectb*−/− mice; this effect was to increase the wavelength (by $\langle 15\% \rangle$.

Discussion

Results in this study demonstrate that the *Tectb* mutation alters TM wave properties. These changes in wave properties provide insight into the hearing abnormalities of *Tectb^{-/-}* mice, which are otherwise difficult to understand. Previously, it was shown that the *Tectb* mutation leads to sharpened tuning and decreased sensitivity at mid to high frequencies of hearing, and markedly reduced sensitivity at low frequencies^{[9](#page-5-0)}. Taken together, these differences in physiological response cannot be explained by a change in effective damping or a simple attenuation in amplification mechanisms. However, this combination of hearing abnormalities is consistent with our measured changes in TM wave velocity and decay constants, as described in the following paragraphs.

Because TM waves extend over significant longitudinal distances, these waves would tend to coordinate the activities of many sensory receptors (\sim 75 to 150), spanning regions (\sim 200 to 400 µm) that correspond to frequencies within an octave of the best frequency¹⁹. This mechanism could increase the number of OHCs that participate in amplification of narrow-band stimuli and thereby increase cochlear sensitivity by increasing the effective gain of the amplification. The moderate (but statistically significant) decrease in the extent of TM waves in *Tectb*−/− mice [\(Fig. 2a\)](#page-3-0) could lead to fewer hair cells working in synchrony, thereby causing a moderate decrease in sensitivity $($ ~10 dB SPL) at mid to high frequencies⁹⁻¹¹. Moreover, such a reduction in the coordinated activity of hair cells in *Tectb*−/− mice would simultaneously lead to an increase in frequency selectivity by reducing the longitudinal spread of excitation, allowing adjacent regions of the cochlea to vibrate at their own local best frequencies. The shorter wave decay constants (~55% reduction) in *Tectb*−/− mice ([Fig. 5](#page-5-3)) would lead to sharpened frequency selectivity, in good agreement with the factor of \sim 2 increase in sharpness of tuning (\tilde{Q}_{10dB}) at mid to high frequencies^{9–11}. The increased frequency selectivity in the *Tectb*−/− mutants might be regarded as an improvement in hearing. However, there is a fundamental trade-off between resolution in frequency and resolution in time, and this trade-off implies that increasing frequency selectivity decreases temporal resolution. By this logic, increasing frequency resolution could result in impairment of temporal resolution. The substantial role of longitudinal coupling20–25 in controlling cochlear tuning and timing is consistent with a recently published cochlear model^{[18](#page-5-4)}.

Our results show that the absence of β-tectorin also slows the velocity of TM waves ([Fig. 4a,b](#page-4-0)). This reduction in velocity could have important implications for cochlear amplification. The concept that cochlear amplification could arise from the interaction of two propagating waves was first described by Hubbard²⁶, who showed that wave amplification arises when the velocities of two waves are matched. The small change in TM wave velocity (by a factor of 1.66) that results along basal sections of the cochlea in *Tectb*−/− mice ([Fig. 4a\)](#page-4-0) could thereby contribute to the small loss of sensitivity (~10dB SPL) at mid to high frequencies that has been reported for these mice⁹. More importantly, the large change in apical TM wave velocity (by up to a factor of ~ 6.25 ; [Fig. 4b](#page-4-0)) could cause a substantial decrease in sensitivity (~50dB SPL), virtually eliminating amplification at low frequencies.

In summary, TM waves constitute a new mechanism that is complementary to those in conventional theories, in which increased gain tends to sharpen tuning^{[20](#page-5-6)}. Such a complementary mechanism may be essential for attaining high sensitivity without suffering poor temporal resolution that would result if frequency selectivity were increased excessively. By facilitating high gain with reduced frequency selectivity, TM waves provide a mechanism that functions to balance the relative advantages of sensitivity, frequency selectivity and temporal resolution—all of which are hallmarks of mammalian hearing.

Methods

Isolated TM preparation. TM segments were excised from the cochleae of adult (15–30 g, 4–8 weeks old) *Tectb*−/− (strain 129SvEv/C57BL6J) and wild-type mice (strains 129SvEv/C57BL6J, B6129F1 and CD-1). No strain-dependent differences were found. Segments (typically 0.5–1mm in longitudinal length) were isolated from the organ with a sterilized eyelash probe and placed in a fresh artificial endolymph bath (containing 174mM KCl, 5mM HEPES, 3mM dextrose, 2mM NaCl and $0.02 \text{ mM } \text{CaCl}_2$) in preparation for wave motion measurements^{13,27}. TM segments from the low-frequency regions were classified as 'apical' (0–30% from the apex) and those from mid to high frequency regions of the cochlea were classified as 'basal' (40–80% from the apex)16,28. The care and use of animals in this study were approved by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Committee on Animal Care.

Wave motion measurements. Isolated segments of *Tectb*−/− and wild-type TMs were suspended¹³ between the supports of a wave chamber ([Fig. 1a](#page-2-0)). One support was attached with epoxy to a piezo-electric actuator (Thorlabs) and loosely coupled

Figure 5 | Changes in TM wave properties account for abnormal hearing in *Tectb***−/− mice.** (**a**) Light microscope images of typical *Tectb*−/− (top) and wild-type (bottom) TM samples in the wave chamber. Superimposed waveforms illustrate associated TM wave displacements in response to 18kHz stimulation (amplitudes exaggerated for clarity). Shaded regions illustrate the decay constant σ, which is the distance over which the wave amplitude decays by a factor of *e* (~110µm for *Tectb*−/− in red; ~200µm for wild type in blue). Marginal (top black line) and limbal (bottom black line) boundaries for both TM samples are indicated. (**b**) Relation between TM decay constants (ordinate) and frequency tuning (abscissa). Distances between pairs of horizontal lines indicate the TM decay constants for *Tectb*−/− (red) and wild-type (blue) TM samples from **a**. Distances between pairs of vertical lines indicate frequency bandwidths. The relations between spatial and frequency bandwidths are closely approximated by projection onto the sloping line, which indicates the map between best place and best frequency in mouse¹⁶. (c) Frequency tuning curves (adapted from Russell et al.^{[9](#page-5-0)}) showing changes in frequency bandwidth in a typical *Tectb*−/− (red line) and wild-type (blue line) mouse. Arrows indicate bandwidths 10dB SPL above the most sensitive frequency (20kHz).

to the underlying glass slide. Motion of this vibrating support was examined to ensure uniform sinusoidal motion before each experiment. The second stationary support was firmly fixed to the glass slide with epoxy. To position TM samples in this experiment chamber, the surfaces of the supports were coated with $\sim 0.3 \mu$ of tissue adhesive (Cell Tak; Collaborative Research). Artificial endolymph solution was then perfused in the region of the supports and over the adhesive. The TM segment was then injected into this medium with a small glass-tipped pipette. We used a sterilized eyelash probe to gently manoeuvre the TM into position between the supports. The TM was positioned so that its radial fibres were parallel to the motion of the vibrating support. The suspended region of the TM was approximately 200–300µm above the surface of the underlying glass slide. The entire suspended TM structure was imaged with a transmitted-light microscope¹³.

Optical imaging system. The optical system consisted of $\times 20$ and $\times 40$ water immersion objectives (Zeiss Axioplan) with a 0.5 numerical aperture and a transmitted-light condenser (0.8 numerical aperture). Images were collected with a 12 bit, 1024×1024 pixel CCD camera (CAD7-1024A, Dalsa) by strobing the light-emitting diode. The TM segment was illuminated at 16 evenly spaced stimulus phases over several stimulus cycles. The collected images were analysed to determine the first eight harmonics of the periodic motion. We computed the magnitude and phase of radial displacement from the spatial and temporal brightness gradients in the images^{29,30}.

References

- 1. Gold, T. Hearing II. The physical basis of the action of the cochlea. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* **135,** 492–498 (1948).
- 2. Robles, L. & Ruggero, M. A. Mechanics of the mammalian cochlea. *Physiol. Rev.* **81,** 1305–1352 (2001).
- 3. Ashmore, J. Cochlear outer hair cell motility. *Physiol. Rev.* **88,** 173–210 (2008). 4. Brownell, W. E., Bader, C. R., Bertrand, D. & de Ribaupierre, Y. Evoked
- mechanical responses of isolated cochlear outer hair cells. *Science* **227,** 194–196 (1985).
- 5. Liberman, M. C., Gao, J., He, D. Z., Wu, X., Jia, S. & Zuo, J. Prestin is required for electromotility of the outer hair cell and for the cochlear amplifier. *Nature* **419,** 300–304 (2002).
- 6. Kennedy, H. J., Crawford, A. C. & Fettiplace, R. Force generation by mammalian hair bundles supports a role in cochlear amplification. *Nature* **433,** 880–883 (2005).
- 7. Chan, D. K. & Hudspeth, A. J. $\rm Ca^{2+}$ current driven nonlinear amplification by the mammalian cochlea *in vitro*. *Nat. Neurosci.* **8,** 149–155 (2005).
- 8. Kennedy, H. J., Evans, M. G., Crawford, A. C. & Fettiplace, R. Depolarization of cochlear outer hair cells evokes active hair bundle motion by two mechanisms. *J. Neurosci.* **26,** 2757–2766 (2006).
- 9. Russell, I. J. *et al.* Sharpened cochlear tuning in a mouse with a genetically modified tectorial membrane. *Nat. Neurosci.* **10,** 215–223 (2007).
- 10. Lukashkin, A. N., Lukashkina, V. A., Richardson, G. P. & Russell, I. J. in *The Biophysics of Hearing* (eds Cooper, N. P. & Kemp, K. T.) (World Scientific Publishing, 2009).
- 11. Richardson, G. P., Lukashkin, A. N. & Russell, I. J. The tectorial membrane: one slice of a complex cochlear sandwich. *Curr. Opin. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* **16,** 458–464 (2008).
- 12. Goodyear, R. J. & Richardson, G. P. Extracellular matrices associated with the apical surfaces of sensory epithelia in the inner ear: molecular and structural diversity. *J. Neurobiol.* **53,** 212–227 (2002).
- 13. Ghaffari, R., Aranyosi, A. J. & Freeman, D. M. Longitudinally propagating traveling waves of the mammalian tectorial membrane. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104,** 16510–16515 (2007).
- 14. Kiang, N. Y. S. & Moxon, E. C. Tails of tuning curves of auditory-nerve fibers. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **55,** 620–630 (1974).
- 15. Shera, C. A., Talmadge, C. L. & Tubis, A. Interrelations among distortionproduct phase-gradient delays: their connection to scaling symmetry and its breaking. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **108,** 2933–2948 (2000).
- 16. Müller, M., von Hünerbein, K., Hoidis, S. & Smolders, J. W. A physiological placefrequency map of the cochlea in the CBA/J mouse. *Hear. Res.* **202,** 63–73 (2005).
- 17. Chen, S., Fatemi, M. & Greenleaf, J. F. Quantifying elasticity and viscosity from measurement of shear wave speed dispersion. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **115,** 2781–2785 (2004).
- 18. Meaud, J. & Grosh, K. The effect of tectorial membrane and basilar membrane longitudinal coupling in cochlear mechanics. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **127,** 1411–1421 (2010).
- 19. Rhode, W. S. & Recio, A. Study of mechanical motions in the basal region of the chinchilla cochlea. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **107,** 3317–3332 (2000).
- 20. de Boer, E. in *The Cochlea* (eds Dallas, P., Popper, A. N. & Fay, R. R.) (Springer-Verlag, 1996).
- 21. Steele, C. R., Baker, G., Tolomeo, J. & Zetes, D. in *Biophysics of Hair Cell Sensory Systems* (ed. Gummer, A. W.) (World Scientific, 1993).
- 22. Zwislocki, J. J. & Kletsky, E. J. Tectorial membrane: a possible effect on frequency analysis in the cochlea. *Science* **204,** 639–641 (1979).
- 23. Geisler, C. D. & Sang, C. A cochlear model using feed-forward outer-hair-cell forces. *Hear. Res.* **86,** 132–146 (1995).
- 24. Naidu, R. C. & Mountain, D. C. Longitudinal coupling in the basilar membrane. *J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol.* **2,** 257–267 (2001).
- 25. Karavitaki, K. D. & Mountain, D. C. Evidence for outer hair cell driven oscillatory fluid flow in the tunnel of Corti. *Biophys. J.* **92,** 3284–3293 (2007).
- 26. Hubbard, A. A traveling-wave amplifier model of the cochlea. *Science* **259,** 68–71 (1993).
- 27. Shah, D. M., Freeman, D. M. & Weiss, T. F. The osmotic response of the isolated, unfixed mouse tectorial membrane to isosmotic solutions: effect of Na+, K+, and Ca2+ concentration. *Hear. Res.* **87,** 187–207 (1995).
- 28. Viberg, A. & Canlon, B. The guide to plotting a cochleogram. *Hear Res.* **197,** 1–10 (2004).
- 29. Davis, C. Q. & Freeman, D. M. Using a light microscope to measure motions with nanometer accuracy. *Opt. Eng.* **37,** 1299–1304 (1998).
- 30. Aranyosi, A. J. & Freeman, D. M. Sound-induced motions of individual cochlear hair bundles. *Biophys. J.* **87,** 3536–3546 (2004).

nature communications | 1:96 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms1094 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications

Acknowledgments

We thank J.J. Guinan, C.A. Shera, A.J. Grodzinsky, S.C. Page and S. Farrahi for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health Grant R01-DC000238. R.G. was supported in part by a training grant from the National Institutes of Health to the Speech and Hearing Bioscience and Technology Program in the Harvard–MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology. G.P.R. was supported by Wellcome Trust Grants 071394/Z/03/Z and 087737.

Author contributions

R.G., D.M.F., A.J.A. and G.P.R. designed the research. R.G. conducted the experiments. R.G. and A.J.A. analysed the data. G.P.R. provided the genetically modified mice. R.G., D.M.F. and A.J.A. wrote the paper.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Ghaffari, R. *et al.* Tectorial membrane travelling waves underlie abnormal hearing in *Tectb* mutant mice. *Nat. Commun.* 1:96 doi: 10.1038/ncomms1094 (2010).

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/