18.05 Lecture 34

May 6, 2005

## Contingency tables, test of independence.

|  | Feature $2=1$ | $\mathrm{~F} 2=2$ | $\mathrm{~F} 2=3$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~F} 2=\mathrm{b}$ | row total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feature $1=1$ | $N_{11}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $N_{1 b}$ | $N_{1+}$ |
| $\mathrm{F} 1=2$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{~F} 1=3$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| F1 $=\mathrm{a}$ | $N_{a 1}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $N_{a b}$ | $N_{a+}$ |
| col. total | $N_{+a}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $N_{+b}$ | $n$ |

$X_{i}^{1} \in\{1, \ldots, a\}$
$X_{i}^{2} \in\{1, \ldots, b\}$
Random Sample:
$X_{1}=\left(X_{1}^{1}, X_{1}^{2}\right), \ldots, X_{n}=\left(X_{n}^{1}, X_{n}^{2}\right)$
Question: Are $X^{1}, X^{2}$ independent?
Example: When asked if your finances are better, worse, or the same as last year, see if the answer depends on income range:

|  | Worse | Same | Better |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\leq 20 \mathrm{~K}$ | 20 | 15 | 12 |
| $20 \mathrm{~K}-30 \mathrm{~K}$ | 24 | 27 | 32 |
| $\geq 30 \mathrm{~K}$ | 14 | 22 | 23 |

Check if the differences and subtle trend are significant or random.
$\theta_{i j}=\mathbb{P}(i, j)=\mathbb{P}(i) \times \mathbb{P}(j)$ if independent, for all cells ij
Independence hypothesis can be written as:
$H_{1}: \theta_{i j}=p_{i} q_{j}$ where $p_{1}+\ldots+p_{a}=1, q_{1}+\ldots+q_{b}=1$
$H_{2}$ : otherwise.
$r=$ number of categories $=a b$
$s=$ dimension of parameter set $=a+b-2$
The MLE $p_{i}^{*}, q_{j}^{*}$ needs to be found $\rightarrow$

$$
\mathcal{T}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{\left(N_{i j}-n p_{i}^{*} q_{j}^{*}\right)^{2}}{n p_{i}^{*} q_{j}^{*}} \sim \chi_{r-s-1=a b-(a+b-2)-1=(a-1)(b-1)}^{2}
$$

Distribution has $(a-1)(b-1)$ degrees of freedom.
Likelihood:

$$
\psi(\vec{p}, \vec{q})=\prod_{i, j}\left(p_{i} q_{j}\right)^{N_{i j}}=\prod_{i} p_{i}^{N_{i+}} \times \prod_{j} q_{j}^{N_{+j}}
$$

Note: $N_{i+}=\sum_{j} N_{i j}$ and $N_{+j}=\sum_{i} N_{i j}$
Maximize each factor to maximize the product.
$\sum_{i} N_{i+} \log p_{i} \rightarrow \max , p_{1}+\ldots+p_{a}=1$
Use Lagrange multipliers to solve the constrained maximization:
$\sum_{i} N_{i+} \log p_{i}-\lambda\left(\sum_{i} p_{i}-1\right) \rightarrow \max _{p} \min _{\lambda}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}}=\frac{N_{i+}}{p_{i}}-\lambda=0 \rightarrow p_{i}=\frac{N_{i+}}{\lambda} \\
& \sum_{i} p_{i}=\frac{n}{\lambda}=1 \rightarrow \lambda=n \rightarrow p_{i}^{*}=\frac{N_{i+}}{n} \\
& p_{i}^{*}=\frac{N_{i+}}{n}, q_{j}^{*}=\frac{N_{+j}}{n} \\
& \mathcal{T}=\sum_{i, j} \frac{\left(N_{i j}-N_{i+} N_{+j} / n\right)^{2}}{N_{i+} N_{+j} / n} \sim \chi_{(a-1)(b-1)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Decision Rule:
$\delta=\left\{H_{1}: T \leq c ; H_{2}: T>c\right\}$
Choose c from the chi-square distribution, (a-1)(b-1) d.o.f., at a level of significance $\alpha=$ area.
From the above example:
$N_{1+}=47, N_{2+}=83, N_{3+}=59$
$N_{+1}=58, N_{+2}=64, N_{+3}=67$
$\mathrm{n}=189$
For each cell, the component of the T statistic adds as follows:

$$
\mathcal{T}=\frac{(20-58(47) / 189)^{2}}{58(47) / 189}+\ldots=5.210
$$

Is T too large?
$T \sim \chi_{(3-1)(3-1)}^{2}=\chi_{4}^{2}$


For this distribution, $\mathrm{c}=9.488$
According to the decision rule, accept $H_{1}$, because $5.210 \leq 9.488$
Test of Homogeniety - very similar to independence test.

|  | Category 1 | $\ldots$ | Category b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group 1 | $N_{11}$ | $\ldots$ | $N_{1 b}$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Group a | $N_{a 1}$ | $\ldots$ | $N_{a b}$ |

1. Sample from entire population.
2. Sample from each group separately, independently between the groups.

Question: $\mathbb{P}$ (category $\mathrm{j} \mid$ group i$)=\mathbb{P}$ (category j$)$
This is the same as independence testing!
$\mathbb{P}($ category $j$, group $i)=\mathbb{P}($ category $j) \mathbb{P}($ group $i)$

$$
\rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(C_{j} \mid G_{i}\right)=\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(C_{j} G_{i}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(G_{i}\right)}=\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(C_{j}\right) \mathbb{P}\left(G_{i}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(G_{i}\right)}=\mathbb{P}\left(C_{j}\right)
$$

Consider a situation where group 1 is $99 \%$ of the population, and group 2 is $1 \%$.
You would be better off sampling separately and independently.
Say you sample 100 of each, just need to renormalize within the population.
The test now becomes a test of independence.
Example: pg. 560
100 people were asked if service by a fire station was satisfactory or not.
Then, after a fire occured, the people were asked again.
See if the opinion changed in the same people.

| Before Fire | 80 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After Fire | 72 | 28 |
|  | satisfied | unsatisfied |

But, you can't use this if you are asking the same people! Not independent!
Better way to arrange:

| Originally Satisfied | 70 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Originally Unsatisfied | 2 | 18 |
|  | After, Satisfied | After, Not Satisfied |

If taken from the entire population, this is ok. Otherwise you are taking from a dependent population.
** End of Lecture 34

