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ABSTRACT

In the late stages of nuclear burning for massive stars \((M > 8 \, M_\odot)\), the production of neutrino–antineutrino pairs through various processes becomes the dominant stellar cooling mechanism. As the star evolves, the energy of these neutrinos increases and in the days preceding the supernova a significant fraction of emitted electron anti-neutrinos exceeds the energy threshold for inverse beta decay on free hydrogen. This is the golden channel for liquid scintillator detectors because the coincidence signature allows for significant reductions in background signals. We find that the kiloton-scale liquid scintillator detector KamLAND can detect these pre-supernova neutrinos from a star with a mass of \(25 \, M_\odot\) at a distance less than \(690 \, \text{kpc}\) with \(3\sigma\) significance before the supernova. This limit is dependent on the neutrino mass ordering and background levels. KamLAND takes data continuously and can provide a supernova alert to the community.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The first extrasolar neutrinos were detected from SN 1987A by the Kamiokande-II (Hirata et al. 1987, 1988), IMB (Bionta et al. 1987), and Baksan (Alekseev et al. 1987) experiments. This data set has provided many insights into the properties of neutrinos and the physics of supernovae (Vissani 2015). SN 1987A was located in the Large Magellanic Cloud at a distance of \(\sim50 \, \text{kpc}\). A core-collapse supernova in the Milky Way proper would provide a larger flux of neutrinos. This combined with the large suite of running neutrino experiments makes the next Galactic supernova a greatly anticipated event (Scholberg 2012).

In a Type II supernova, a huge burst of neutrinos is released, carrying away \(\sim10^{53} \, \text{erg}\) of energy in 10 s. Leading up to this cataclysmic event, neutrinos have already been playing an important role in the cooling of the evolving giant star. Starting in the carbon-burning phase, the dominant mechanism for cooling these massive \((M > 8 \, M_\odot)\) stars is the loss of energy due to \(\nu / \bar{\nu}\) pairs created by thermal processes. From application of the discussion in Itoh et al. (1996) to Woosley & Heger (2015), the dominant process in most \(M > 10 \, M_\odot\) stars is the pair process, \(e^- e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}\). For other stars with smaller masses, the plasmon decay becomes more important, \(\gamma \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}\). Secondary contributions come from the photo process, \(\gamma e^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e\), and bremsstrahlung, \(e^-(Z e^-) \rightarrow (Z e^-) e^- \nu_e\). These thermal processes are often used to set limits on non-standard neutrino interactions since such processes would change the evolution of these objects (Heger et al. 2009). The most stringent limits on the neutrino magnetic moment come from this type of analysis (Arceo-Díaz et al. 2015).

Since these thermal neutrinos precede the supernova, they can also be called pre-supernova neutrinos (pre-SN). Figure 1 shows the overall time evolution of the \(\nu_\beta\) luminosity before and after the collapse according to the pre-SN model developed by the Odrzywolek group (Odrzywolek et al. 2004; Odrzywolek & Heger 2010). The supernova neutrinos (SN) which follow the collapse based on Nakazato et al. (2013) are also shown for reference. Although the pre-SN luminosity is several orders of
magnitude smaller than the SN luminosity, the detection of pre-SN is desired since pre-SN encode information about the late stages of stellar evolution for high mass stars and could act as a supernova alert; a more detailed discussion is found in Section 5.

SN extend to a few tens of MeV. In comparison, the average energy of pre-SN is low, typically $E < 2$ MeV. In this energy range, there are three reactions that can be used to detect these neutrinos in real time: coherent neutrino scattering, neutrino-electron scattering, and inverse beta decay (IBD). $\bar{v}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$. IBD has one of the highest cross sections for neutrino detection. It also has relatively low backgrounds due to the easily identifiable delayed coincidence signal created by the prompt positron annihilation followed by the delayed neutron capture. Depending on the detector material, coherent neutrino scattering may have a higher cross section than IBD, but the signal has never been observed due to the very low reconstructed energy of the recoiling nucleus. The detection of pre-SN through neutrino-electron scattering is possible. However, its cross section is lower than IBD, which reduces the total number of detected events, and the background rate is high since there is no coincidence signal. Thus, IBD is the most promising channel for pre-SN detection.

The energy threshold for IBD is 1.8 MeV. A few days before the supernova, a significant fraction of $\bar{v}_e$ exceeds the IBD threshold and it becomes possible to detect the pre-SN with IBD. IBD is the main supernova channel for both liquid scintillator detectors and water-Cherenkov detectors like Super-Kamiokande. Water-Cherenkov detectors have relatively high energy thresholds, such as $E_{ee} = 4.5$ MeV (Renshaw et al. 2014). This limits both the number of IBD prompt events and the efficiency for detecting the delayed neutron capture. In comparison, monolithic liquid scintillator detectors have energy thresholds below 1 MeV and are therefore able to sample a larger fraction of the pre-SN prompt energy spectrum and effectively detect the neutron capture. Thus, liquid scintillator detectors have an advantage in detecting pre-SN, even if they are smaller than typical water-Cherenkov detectors.

There are two operating monolithic liquid scintillator detectors with low-energy thresholds, KamLAND and Borexino (Cadonati et al. 2002). The SNO+ detector (Chen 2008) is expected to come online soon and construction has started on the 20 kton JUNO detector (Li 2014). In addition, there are several proposals for multi-kton experiments such as RENO-50 (Kim 2014), HANOHANO (Learned et al. 2008), LENA (Wurm et al. 2012), and ASDC (Alonso et al. 2014). All of these detectors would be sensitive to this pre-SN IBD signal. A large Gd-doped water-Cherenkov detector such as Gd-doped Super-Kamiokande (Beacom & Vagins 2004) would have increased sensitivity due to the higher neutron capture detection efficiency but the higher energy threshold continues to limit the sensitivity. The Baksan and LVD scintillator detectors are similarly limited in their sensitivity to pre-SN due to their relatively high energy thresholds (Novoseltseva et al. 2011; Agafonova et al. 2015).

In previous studies (Odzrywołek et al. 2004; Odzrywołek & Heger 2010; Kato et al. 2015), the expected number of IBD events in several detectors was evaluated without a detailed detector response model. We focus on KamLAND since it is currently the largest monolithic liquid scintillator detector. In this article, we quantify KamLAND’s sensitivity to pre-SN using the actual background rates and a realistic detector response model. We discuss the development of a supernova alert based on pre-SN. Betelgeuse is a well-known possible supernova progenitor (Dolan et al. 2014) and we evaluate the performance of the pre-SN alert based on this astrophysical object.

2. Pre-SN SIGNAL

The first calculation of the number of detected pre-SN is found in Odzrywołek et al. (2004) and updates can be found in Odzrywołek & Heger (2010) and Kato et al. (2015). We use the pre-SN spectra $\phi_M(t, E_{\bar{v}_e}; d)$ as a function of time and energy from Odzrywołek’s results corrected for the distance $d$ to the pre-supernova star. We use this to calculate KamLAND’s sensitivity to pre-SN with two example stars of $M = 15 M_\odot$ and $M = 25 M_\odot$. Figure 2 shows the time evolution of the $\bar{v}_e$ luminosity in the top panel and the averaged $\bar{v}_e$ energy in the middle panel during the 48 hr before the collapse. The integrated $\bar{v}_e$ luminosity over the last 48 hr preceding collapse is $1.9 \times 10^{50}$ erg and $6.1 \times 10^{50}$ erg, respectively, for the two star masses. They correspond to $1.2 \times 10^{55} \bar{v}_e$ and $3.8 \times 10^{55} \bar{v}_e$, respectively. The weighted differential luminosity by energy, $E_{\bar{v}_e} dL/dE_{\bar{v}_e} \sim dL/d\log E_{\bar{v}_e}$, is also shown in the bottom of Figure 2 with the SN for reference. The average energies of the integrated $\bar{v}_e$ flux are 1.4 and 1.2 MeV for the $15 M_\odot$ and $25 M_\odot$ models, respectively.
In detectors, the reconstructed prompt (positron in IBD) spectrum can be written as,

$$\frac{d^2N_E(t, E_p^{\text{rec}}, d)}{dt dE_p^{\text{rec}}} = \epsilon_{\text{live}} \epsilon_s(E_p^{\text{rec}})N_{\text{T}} \int dE_p^{\text{exp}} \phi_M(t, E_{\tilde{p}}; d) \times R(E_p^{\text{rec}}, E_p^{\text{exp}}) \sigma(E_{\tilde{p}}),$$

where $N_{\text{T}}$ is the number of target protons in the analysis volume, $\sigma(E_{\tilde{p}})$ is the IBD cross section (Strumia & Vissani 2003), $\epsilon_{\text{live}}$ is the mean livetime-to-runtime ratio, and $\epsilon_s(E_p^{\text{rec}})$ is the total detection efficiency. The details of these parameters are presented in Section 3. $E_p^{\text{rec}}$ is the reconstructed energy and $E_p^{\text{exp}} = E_{\tilde{p}} - 0.78$ MeV is the expected energy of the prompt event for an input $E_{\tilde{p}}$ with an energy of $E_{\tilde{p}}$. The integration in Equation (1) is a convolution of the theoretical spectrum $\phi_M$ with the detector response. We model the detector response as a Gaussian with $R(E_p^{\text{rec}}, E_p^{\text{exp}})$ with energy resolution $\sigma_E$:

$$R(E_p^{\text{rec}}, E_p^{\text{exp}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_E(E)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(E_p^{\text{rec}} - E_p^{\text{exp}})^2}{2\sigma_E^2(E)} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Assuming pre-SN emitted from the 200 pc star and a perfect 1 kt detector with $\epsilon_{\text{live}} = 1$, $\epsilon_s(E_p^{\text{rec}}) = 1$, and $R(E_p^{\text{rec}}, E_p^{\text{exp}}) = \delta(E_p^{\text{rec}} - E_p^{\text{exp}})$, the event spectrum integrated over the last 48 hr preceding collapse is shown in the left panel of Figure 3. The number of arrival $N_{\tilde{p}}$ in the last 48 hr is $1.8 \times 10^{13}$ for the 15 $M_\odot$ star and $6.5 \times 10^{13}$ for the 25 $M_\odot$ star, respectively. The total number of pre-SN events in the detector is 44, and 95, respectively.

The right panel of Figure 3 shows the pre-SN spectrum integrating over the last 48 hr and the SN spectrum integrating over 10 s with the vertical axis of $E_p^{\text{rec}} dN/dE_p^{\text{rec}} \sim dN/d \log E_p^{\text{rec}}$. Our assumption of supernovae at 200 pc is 50 times closer than the usually assumed 1 kpc. We note that the SN from 200 pc supernovae will create ~10^6 events in the detector. The current KamLAND electronics will not be able to record more than the basic hit information for the SN. The information from the pre-SN will not be lost in case of a DAQ crash.

Neutrino oscillation in the pre-SN emission region reduces the $N_{\tilde{p}}$ flux. The flux of the observable $N_{\tilde{p}}$ can be expressed following Kneller et al. (2008) as

$$N_{\tilde{p}} = p N_{\tilde{p}}^0 + (1 - p) N_{\tilde{p}}^\mu, \quad (3)$$

where $N_{\tilde{p}}^0$ and $N_{\tilde{p}}^\mu$ are the original spectra of $N_{\tilde{p}}$ and $N_{\tilde{p}}^\mu$, respectively. With the assumption of $\phi_{\tilde{p}}^0 = 0.19 \phi_{\tilde{p}}^\mu$ based on $\phi_{\tilde{p}}^0 \propto \phi_{\tilde{p}}^\mu$ and a $N_{\tilde{p}}/N_{\tilde{p}}^\mu$ ratio of 0.19 from Odryzko et al. (2004), and an adiabatic approximation for $p$ with $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 3.08 \times 10^{-1}$. 
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and $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 2.34 \times 10^{-2}$ ($2.40 \times 10^{-2}$) from Capozzi et al. (2014), we have $\phi_\beta = a \phi^0 _\beta$, where $a = 0.74$ (0.21) corresponding to the normal (inverted) neutrino mass order. The corrected spectrum for the neutrino oscillation is then given by multiplying the coefficient $a$. With the integral over the last 48 hr preceding collapse, the event spectrum is shown with the oscillation effect and the full detector response in the middle and bottom panels of Figure 4 with KamLAND using the parameters describe in Section 3.

3. KamLAND DETECTOR AND ITS BACKGROUND

KamLAND is located in the Kamioka Mine in Japan’s Gifu prefecture (36°42′N, 137°31′E). Mt. Ikinoyama rises ~1 km above the detector reducing backgrounds due to cosmic rays by five orders of magnitude. The KamLAND detector consists of approximately 1 kt of liquid scintillator, a mixture of 20% pseudocumene and 80% dodecane. It is contained in a 13 m diameter spherical balloon made of a 135 µm thick transparent nylon ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer (EVOH) composite film. An array of photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) is used to detect the scintillation light from events occurring within the balloon. This array consists of 1325 fast PMTs masked to 17 inch diameter to achieve the desired timing performance and 554 older 20 inch diameter PMTs reused from the Kamiokande experiment. The PMTs are mounted on the inner surface of an 18 m diameter stainless steel sphere. Non-scintillating mineral oil fills the space between the balloon and the inner surface of the sphere. Its density is matched to the liquid scintillator to support the balloon and also acts as a passive shielding against external backgrounds from the sphere, PMTs, and surrounding rocks. This inner detector is further shielded by a 3.2 kton water-Cherenkov veto detector. In 2011, a 3.08 m diameters inner balloon containing 13 tons of Xe-loaded liquid scintillator (Xe-LS) was installed in the center of the main balloon as a part of the KamLAND Zero-Neutrino Double-Beta Decay (KamLAND-Zen) experiment (Gando et al. 2012).

The position and energy of an event within the balloon can be reconstructed using the timing and charge distribution obtained from the PMT array. The reconstruction is calibrated by a number of radioactive sources: $^{60}$Co, $^{68}$Ge, $^{203}$Hg, $^{65}$Zn, $^{241}$Am$^{9}$Be, $^{137}$Cs, and $^{210}$Po$^{13}$C (Berger et al. 2009; Banks et al. 2015). From these calibrations and naturally occurring radioactive sources, the energy resolution ($\sigma_{E}(E)$) is determined to be $6.4\% \sqrt{E}$ (MeV) and the position reconstruction $12 \text{ cm} / \sqrt{E}$ (MeV).

Candidate $\nu_e$ events corresponding to the prompt positron annihilation and delayed neutron capture of the IBD interaction are selected with a series of cuts on the energy, position, time, and space coincidence of the two events. The two events must occur with $0.5 < \Delta T(\mu s) < 1000$ and within $\Delta R < 2.0$ m, where $\Delta T$ and $\Delta R$ are the time and spatial differences. The reconstructed position of both events must be within a spherical fiducial volume $R_p$, $R_d < 6$ m, which determines the fiducial number of target protons, $N_F = 5.98 \times 10^{31}$. The reconstructed energy of the prompt event is required to be in the energy range $E_{\text{rec}}^p$ (MeV) ≥ 0.9. The delayed event has an energy characterized by the energy of the neutron capture gammas. Two energies are used: $1.8 < E_{\text{rec}}^d$ (MeV) < 2.6 corresponding to capture on $^{12}$C. The energy-dependent position-dependent likelihood variable is constructed to differentiate $\nu_e$ from backgrounds due to accidental coincidences, which become more likely at lower energies and as events are reconstructed closer to the balloon (Gando et al. 2011). Finally, an additional position cut on the delayed event is applied to eliminate backgrounds due to the KamLAND-Zen inner balloon and support structure. The cut eliminates a central sphere and cylinder: $R_d < 2.5$ m and $\sqrt{x_d^2 + y_d^2} < 2.5$ m for $z_d > 0$ m, where $(x_d, y_d, z_d)$ is the reconstructed position of the delayed capture event.

This series of cuts matches the standard KamLAND analysis (Gando et al. 2013). The minimum prompt energy is chosen to guarantee 100% detection efficiency for $\nu_e$ with an energy of 1.8 MeV. The total efficiency of these cuts, $\epsilon_{\nu_e}(E_{\text{rec}}^p)$, is energy dependent due to the Likelihood selection as shown in Figure 4 (top). The efficiency loss is dominated by the inner balloon cut. Without this cut, the efficiency is higher, ~0.9,
depending on the energy of the prompt event. Further cuts are used to remove backgrounds due to high-energy muon events. The effect of these cuts is to reduce the effective livetime to $\epsilon_{\text{live}} = 0.903$ even though KamLAND takes data continuously. After these cuts are applied, the measured event spectrum in the pre-SN region ($0.9 \leq E_{\text{rec}}^p (\text{MeV}) \leq 3.5$) is mainly from reactor and geological $\pi^-$ produced in the Earth's interior. These are the backgrounds to the pre-SN signal. Since the Great East Japan Earthquake, the reactors in Japan have been off. This is the low-reactor phase, and the reduced backgrounds increase our pre-SN sensitivity. The measured background spectra are shown in the middle and bottom panels in Figure 4 for the low-reactor phase and the high-reactor phase. In this figure, the background spectra are normalized to the 48 hr window.

4. SENSITIVITY

The middle and bottom panels in Figure 4 show the measured background and expected pre-SN spectra, integrated over the 48 hr window immediately before the collapse. Different integration lengths were studied and this length was chosen to maximize the signal-to-background ratio. To study the sensitivity, we use the analysis range of $0.9 \leq E_{\text{rec}}^p (\text{MeV}) \leq 3.5$ to maximize the pre-SN signal-to-background ratio while retaining >90% of the pre-SN signal. The background rate is $B_{\text{low}} = 0.071$ events/day in the low-reactor phase. If the reactors return to normal operations, the background rate rises to $B_{\text{high}} = 0.355$ events/day. The average efficiency is $\langle \epsilon_i \rangle = 0.64$ in this range. For the number of events $N_i^d (d) = d N_i^d (d)$, where $Z$ indicates the neutrino mass ordering ($Z = N/I$ for the normal/inverted order), we integrate Equation (1) from 0.9 to 3.5 MeV and over the 48 hr before the collapse. They are now $N_{15M_e}^L$ (200 pc) = 12.0, $N_{25M_e}^L$ (200 pc) = 25.7 and $N_{15M_e}^H$ (200 pc) = 3.38, $N_{25M_e}^H$ (200 pc) = 7.28. The $N_i^d (d)$ are shown as a function of $d$ in Figure 5.

Using $N_i^d (d)$ with a background of 2 days $\times B_i$ ($i = \text{low or high}$), the corresponding detection significance, expressed in sigma, is estimated. The results for a detection significance of $1\sigma, 3\sigma,$ and $5\sigma$ assuming $B_{\text{low}}$ are plotted in Figure 5. If a $3\sigma$ significance is required for pre-SN detection, KamLAND is sensitive to pre-SN from a 25 $M_\odot$ star at 690 pc assuming $B_{\text{low}}$ and normal neutrino mass ordering. In the worst case, KamLAND is still sensitive to a 15 $M_\odot$ star at 250 pc. In this distance range, there are several red supergiants that could lead
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to supernovae: Antares (150 pc), Betelgeuse (200 pc), Epsilon Pegasi (210 pc), Pi Puppis (250 pc), Sigma Canis Majoris (340 pc), NS Puppis (520 pc), CE Tauri (550 pc), and 3 Ceti (640 pc).

Betelgeuse has been studied extensively as a nearby presupernova star (see Townes et al. 2009, Haubois et al. 2009, and Ohnaka et al. 2009), therefore we use it to determine KamLAND’s sensitivity as a function of time before collapse. Betelgeuse’s measured mass $M = 17-25 M_\odot$ and distance $d = 197 \pm 45$ pc are highly correlated (Harper et al. 2008). We studied the two extreme cases: $(M, d) = (15 M_\odot, 150$ pc) and $(25 M_\odot, 250$ pc). The expected time evolution of significance with the 48 hr integration window is shown in Figure 6, assuming the low-reactor background.

If Betelgeuse has $(M, d) = (15 M_\odot, 150$ pc), KamLAND will easily detect its pre-SN. A $3\sigma$ detection of pre-SN would be 89.6 (7.41) hr before collapse for the normal (inverted) mass ordering. If Betelgeuse has $(25 M_\odot, 250$ pc), the increased distance reduces the pre-SN flux and the number of hours before collapse which KamLAND could detect pre-SN. If the reactors in Japan are restarted, the number of hours is also reduced because of the larger backgrounds. Table 1 summarizes the results and shows for all of these cases that KamLAND can still detect pre-SN and has the ability to send a supernova alarm before collapse.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass ($M_\odot$)</th>
<th>Distance (pc)</th>
<th>Mass Ordering</th>
<th>Reactor Status</th>
<th>Time Before Collapse (hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>7.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. DISCUSSION

We quantitatively evaluated KamLAND’s sensitivity to pre-SN. Using Betelgeuse as a likely progenitor, we find that a $3\sigma$ detection of pre-SN 2–90 hr before the collapse is possible. This is a great improvement over the warning time provided by the current SuperNova Early Warning System (SNEWS) described in Antonioli et al. (2004). The SNEWS alarm is not sufficient to bring gravitational wave and neutrino detectors back online from commissioning or calibration campaigns (Dooley 2015) or redirect telescope time for shock breakout observations (Adams et al. 2013). In comparison, the 2 hr to days provided by KamLAND’s detection of pre-SN would facilitate these measurements.

This is the motivation for the development of the pre-SN alert system. It provides two levels of alarms. A low-level alarm is produced using the semi-real time pre-SN detection significance. This is calculated using a 48 hr integration window and the background level averaged over the past three months. A new window is opened every 15 minutes and has a latency of 25 minutes due to KamLAND’s online data processing time. This system requires users to sign up to receive the current significance of detection; see the KamLAND web site.\(^{19}\) A high-level alarm will report any $5\sigma$ detections to the The Gamma-ray Coordinates Network (GCN) and/or the Astronomer’s Telegram (ATel). This alarm is only sent after the collaboration rejects other possibilities such as DAQ problems, mine activity, and radon contamination.

Unfortunately, the IBD reaction does not provide directional information so a definitive localization requires SNEWS alarms, direction detection in Super-Kamiokande, coherent network analysis of gravitational waves (Hayama et al. 2015), and/or electromagnetic observations. However, the limited number of known local progenitors limits the total number of targets that could create a pre-SN signal in KamLAND and therefore some localization is still possible.

In addition to the alarm, pre-SN could teach us about neutrino and supernova properties. The detection of pre-SN from Betelgeuse’s supernova and an improvement in the measurement of its mass and distance would allow the determination of the normal neutrino mass ordering at 3.6$\sigma$ ($2.5\sigma$) for the 25 $M_\odot$ (15 $M_\odot$) star. The detection of pre-SN could also distinguish between a supernova with an ONe core or an Fe core (Kato et al. 2015).

6. SUMMARY

We evaluated KamLAND’s sensitivity to pre-SN, neutrino–antineutrino pairs from massive stars that have entered the late burning phases. Detection of pre-SN could provide an early warning for the imminent occurrence of a supernova and provide an opportunity to study stellar evolution models in the last stages prior to collapse. This study quantitatively confirms that the $3\sigma$ detection of pre-SN is possible for stars with distances up to 690 pc under optimal conditions. The number of pre-supernova stars at this distance is limited but includes several promising candidates such as Betelgeuse. KamLAND currently provides the community with a pre-SN alarm based on the semi-real time significance calculation and will send a report to GCN/ACTel for any $5\sigma$ detection that have been verified by the KamLAND collaboration.

\(^{19}\)http://www.awa.tohoku.ac.jp/kamland/SNmonitor/regist/index.html

There are several other detectors under construction or proposed: SNO+ (Chen 2008), RENO-50, HANOHANO (Learned et al. 2008), JUNO (Li 2014), LENA (Wurm et al. 2012), ASDC (Alonso et al. 2014), and Gd-doped Super-Kamiokande (Beacom & Vagins 2004). These detectors are expected to have similar or higher sensitivity to pre-SN and a coincident pre-SN search would significantly reduce false signals. A future combined alarm system could increase the detection range to a few kpc. This extended range would include several other pre-supernova stars including Eta Carinae.

Pre-SN are an exciting tool both for the study of stellar evolution and supernova observation. The current models of pre-SN production are fairly simple. KamLAND’s sensitivity to both the flux and energy of the pre-SN could be used to extract more information on the late stages of evolution if more detailed predictions become available.
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