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Abstract The paper studies the problem of asymptotically stabilizing a class of switched linear neutral systems, where none of the individual subsystems is stabilizable. A co-design of a set of candidate controllers and a hysteresis-based switching strategy is developed to achieve asymptotic stability of the closed-loop switched neutral systems. Finally, a numerical example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.
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1 Introduction

Switched systems have attracted lots of attention in the last two decades [1, 16, 21, 34]. A switched system is a dynamical system that consists of a finite number of subsystems and a logical rule that orchestrates switching between these subsystems [17]. There have been lots of works concerned with switched systems, see, for example, [4, 5, 18, 22, 23, 26, 30, 37, 40, 41]. Moreover, switching control strategies also play important roles in control systems. [27] uses multiple controllers switching strategy to stabilize a class of linear neutral systems.
and first proposes the definition of the switched neutral system. The switched neutral system, i.e., a switched system whose subsystems are a family of neutral systems (see [3, 6–9, 11, 24, 42] for the details of neutral systems), has drawn considerable attention due to its importance from both theoretical [10, 19, 28, 32] and practical points of view [25, 27]. Recent years have seen lots of research activities focusing on stability analysis and stabilization of switched neutral systems, where designing switching signals using different methods plays an important role. Among these technical methods, time-dependent average dwell time methods [12, 13, 29, 31, 35] and state-dependent multiple Lyapunov function approaches [14, 33, 38] are often adopted. Since it is often difficult to explicitly determine the switching instants in practice, state-dependent switching strategies have a wider application range than time-dependent switching ones. Therefore, this paper will focus on the design of state-dependent switching strategy to stabilize switched neutral systems.

For the considered problem, the authors of [14, 33, 38] study stabilization problem of switched linear neutral systems using state-dependent switching strategies. However, these designed switching signals in [14, 33, 38] cannot avoid sliding modes or chattering behaviors, i.e. infinitely fast switchings occurring on switching surfaces, which are often undesirable because in practice they may cause excessive equipment wear. [20] presents a hysteresis switching strategy in control design to avoid sliding modes and chattering behaviors. [15] applies a hysteresis-based switching strategy to stabilize switched neutral systems. However, single Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional method adopted in [15] requires stability of the convex combination system, which brings a certain degree of conservativeness. As we all know that the multiple Lyapunov function method is a less conservative stability analysis tool for switched systems [2, 16, 17, 39]. Thus, questions naturally arise: is it possible to achieve stabilization of switched neutral systems using hysteresis-based switching strategy in the framework of the multiple Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional approach? If possible, under what conditions can we achieve this goal and how? To the best of our knowledge, there have not been results in the literature which provide answers to these questions. This motivates the present paper.

From the above motivation, we first propose a hysteresis-based switching strategy by generalizing the well-known min-switching strategy, then introduce a multiple generalized Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional method, which allows some increase of connecting adjacent Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals at switching points, last derive a delay-dependent sufficient condition to guarantee asymptotic stability of the system.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives problem statement and required preliminaries. Section 3 presents the main result. Section 4 illustrates the effectiveness of the proposed method with a numerical example. Section 5 concludes this paper.

**Notation** Throughout this paper, \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is the \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space. \( \mathbb{N} \) is the set of nonnegative integers. For a square matrix \( P, P > 0 \) means that \( P \) is positive definite; \( P^T \) and \( P^{-1} \) denote the transpose and the inverse of the matrix \( P \), respectively. \( \| \cdot \| \) denotes the Euclidean vector norm. \( * \) denotes the
elements below the main diagonal of a symmetric matrix. \( \text{diag}\{\cdots\} \) denotes a block-diagonal matrix.

2 Problem statement and preliminaries

Consider the following switched neutral system

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) - C_\sigma \dot{x}(t - h) &= A_\sigma x(t) + B_\sigma x(t - \tau) + D_\sigma u(t), & t > 0 \\
x_0 &= \varphi(\theta), & \theta \in [-\max\{h, \tau\}, 0]
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the system state, \( u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is the control input, \( h \) and \( \tau \) are time delays, \( \varphi(\theta) \) is a continuously differentiable initial function on \( [-\max\{h, \tau\}, 0] \). \( \sigma : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \cdots, m\} \) is a piecewise constant function called switching signal. Corresponding to \( \sigma \), there exists a switching sequence \( \{x_{t_0} : (i_0, t_0), \cdots, (i_k, t_k), \cdots | i_k \in \mathcal{M}, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \), which means that the subsystem \( i_k \) is active when \( t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}) \). \( A_i, B_i, C_i \) and \( D_i, i \in \mathcal{M} \), are known real matrices which define the subsystem \( i \) and \( ||C_i|| < 1 \). Without loss of generality, we assume that \( t_0 = 0 \) and the state trajectory \( x(\cdot) \) of the system (1) is continuous everywhere.

The feedback controller of the system (1) is designed as

\[
u(t) = u_\sigma(t) = K_{1\sigma} x(t) + K_{2\sigma} x(t - \tau), \tag{2}\]

where \( K_{1i} \) and \( K_{2i} \) are controller gains of the subsystem \( i \).

From (2), the closed-loop system (1) can be written as

\[
\dot{x}(t) - C_\sigma \dot{x}(t - h) = (A_\sigma + D_\sigma K_{1\sigma}) x(t) + (B_\sigma + D_\sigma K_{2\sigma}) x(t - \tau). \tag{3}
\]

We now introduce two useful lemmas for our main result.

Lemma 1[42] For any constant matrix \( M > 0 \), scalars \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) satisfying \( r_1 < r_2 \), and a vector function \( \omega : [r_1, r_2] \to \mathbb{R}^n \) such that the integrations concerned are well defined, then

\[
-(r_2 - r_1) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \omega^T(s) M \omega(s) ds \leq - \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \omega^T(s) dsM \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \omega(s) ds. \tag{4}
\]

Lemma 2[16] For any symmetric matrices \( T_0 \) and \( T_1 \) with \( x^T T_1 x \geq 0 \) subject to \( x \neq 0 \), if there exist a scalar \( \beta \geq 0 \), such that \( T_0 - \beta T_1 > 0 \), then \( x^T T_0 x > 0 \).

3 Main Result

It is well known that the min-switching strategy is a classical switching strategy in the switched system field. [16] proposes the min-switching strategy for switched linear systems by partitioning the state space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) into \( m \) regions:

\[
\Omega_i = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ | \ x^T (P_i - P_j) x \leq 0, \forall i, j \in \mathcal{M} \}, \tag{5}
\]

\[
\Omega_{ij} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ | \ x^T (P_i - P_j) x = 0, \forall i, j \in \mathcal{M} \}. \tag{6}
\]
where \( P_i > 0 \) and \( P_j > 0 \). Switchings only occur on switching surfaces \( x^TP_ix = x^TP_jx \) according to the switching law
\[
\sigma := \arg\min\{V_i(x) : \forall i \in \mathcal{M}\},
\]
where \( V_i(x) = x^TP_ix \). From (5) and (7), we know that the Lyapunov function \( V_\sigma(x) \) is continuous at all switching points. Furthermore, although the stability property can be retained by adopting the switching strategy (7), sliding modes and chattering behaviors cannot be avoided. In order to relax the continuous constraint on \( V_\sigma(x) \) and avoid sliding modes, we redefine \( m \) regions:
\[
\Omega_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n|x^T(P_i - P_j + N_{ij})x \leq 0, \forall i, j \in \mathcal{M}, i \neq j\},
\]
\[
\Omega_{ij} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n|x^T(P_i - P_j + N_{ij})x = 0, \forall i, j \in \mathcal{M}, i \neq j\},
\]
where \( P_i > 0, P_j > 0, N_{ij} \) are symmetric matrices satisfying \( N_{ij} + N_{jk} \leq N_{ik} \) and \( N_{ii} = 0 \) for \( \forall i, j, k \in \mathcal{M} \). It is obvious that \( \Omega_{ij} \) is the boundary of \( \Omega_i \) and
\[
\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_i = \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
In fact, if (10) does not hold, there exists \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) satisfying \( x \notin \Omega_i \), then we have an integer \( q \) and a sequence \( i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_q, i_k \neq i_{k+1}, k = 1, \ldots, q, i_{q+1} = i_1 \) such that
\[
x^T(P_{i_k} - P_{i_{k+1}} + N_{i_ki_{k+1}})x > 0, \quad \forall i_k \in \mathcal{M}.
\]
Taking the sum over \( k \) and noticing \( N_{ij} + N_{jk} \leq N_{ik} \), and \( N_{ii} = 0 \), we have
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{q} x^T(P_{i_k} - P_{i_{k+1}} + N_{i_ki_{k+1}})x = \sum_{k=1}^{q} x^T N_{i_ki_{k+1}}x \leq 0,
\]
which contradicts (11). Hence, (10) holds. We thus design a hysteresis-based switching strategy based on (8):
\[
t = 0, \sigma(0) = \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } x(0) \in \Omega_i/\Omega_i \cup (\Omega_j | j \in \mathcal{M}, j \neq i) \\ \min\arg\{\Omega_i, \Omega_j\}, & \text{if } x(0) \in \Omega_i \cup (\Omega_j | j \in \mathcal{M}, j \neq i) \end{cases}
\]
\[
t > 0, \sigma(t) = \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } x(t) \in \Omega_i \text{ and } \sigma(t^-) = i \\ \min\arg\{\Omega_j | j \in \mathcal{M}\}, & \text{if } x(t) \in \Omega_{ij} \text{ and } \sigma(t^-) = i. \end{cases}
\]
The switching law (13) can be described as follows. Let \( \sigma(0) = i \) if \( x(0) \in \Omega_i \) and \( \sigma(0) = \min\arg\{\Omega_i, \Omega_j\} \) if \( x(0) \) belongs to the overlapping area of some partition regions. For \( t > 0 \), if \( \sigma(t^-) = i \) and \( x(t) \in \Omega_i \), the state trajectory remains in \( \Omega_i \) until it hits the boundary \( \Omega_{ij} \). This means that switching only takes place on the boundary \( \Omega_{ij} \). If \( \sigma(t^-) = i \) and \( x(t) \in \Omega_{ij} \), then \( x(t) \) enters \( \Omega_{j_{\min}}, j_{\min} = \min\arg\{\Omega_j\} \). In fact, \( x(t) \in \Omega_{ij} \) means that \( x^T(P_i - P_{j_{\min}} + N_{j_{\min}j})x = 0 \) and \( x^T(P_i - P_j + N_{ij})x = 0 \) for \( j \neq j_{\min} \). Thus, we have \( x^TP_{j_{\min}}x = x^T(P_i + N_{j_{\min}}j)x \). This in turn gives
\[
x^T(P_{j_{\min}} - P_j + N_{j_{\min}j})x = x^T(P_i + N_{j_{\min}}j - P_j + N_{j_{\min}j})x \\
\leq x^T(P_i - P_j + N_{ij})x = 0.
\]
Remark 1 In order to design a hysteresis-based switching strategy avoiding sliding modes and chattering behaviors, we introduce $x^T N_{ij} x$ when partitioning the state space $\mathbb{R}^n$ and thus obtain $m$ overlapping regions, as shown in (8). However, the condition $x^T (N_{ij} + N_{jk}) x \leq x^T N_{ik} x$ is necessary to guarantee that the partition regions cover the entire state space $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 2 From (9) and (13), $x^T N_{ij} x$ determines the monotony of $V_o$ at switching points. Especially for $x^T N_{ij} x = 0, x \neq 0$, the connecting adjacent Lyapunov functions are continuous at switching points, under which the switching strategy (13) degenerates into the min-switching strategy (7) exactly. The influencing behaviors are illustrated in Fig.1.

We now analyze stability of the closed-loop system (3). The following theorem gives the main result.

**Theorem 1** Consider the system (3). If there exist scalars $\alpha_{ij} < 0, \tau > 0, h > 0$, matrices $\hat{P}_i > 0, \hat{P}_j > 0, \forall j \in \mathcal{M}, j \neq i, \hat{R}_1 > 0, \hat{R}_2 > 0, \hat{Q}_1 > 0, \hat{Q}_2 > 0, Z_{1i}, Z_{2i}, \bar{M} > 0$, and $\bar{N}_{ij}$ such that

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\Psi_{ij}^1 & \bar{M} A_i^T + Z_{1i}^T D_i^T + \hat{P}_i - \bar{M} B_i \bar{M} + D_i Z_{2i} - \frac{1}{h} \hat{R}_2 C_i \bar{M} 0 \\
* & \hat{R}_1 + h \hat{R}_2 - 2 \bar{M} B_i \bar{M} + D_i Z_{2i} 0 & C_i \bar{M} 0 \\
* & * & -\hat{Q}_1 0 0 0 \\
* & * & * & -\frac{1}{h} \hat{R}_2 0 0 0 \\
* & * & * & * & -\bar{R}_1 0 0 0 \\
* & * & * & * & * & -\frac{1}{h} \hat{Q}_2 \\
\end{bmatrix} < 0,
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\Pi_{ij}^{11} & \Pi_{ij}^{12} \bar{M} A_i^T + Z_{1i}^T D_i^T + C_i \bar{M} \bar{M} A_i^T + Z_{1i}^T D_i^T - \bar{M} + \bar{N}_{ij} \\
* & \Pi_{ij}^{21} \bar{M} B_i^T + Z_{2i}^T D_i^T + C_i \bar{M} \bar{M} B_i^T + Z_{2i}^T D_i^T - \bar{M} \\
* & * & C_i \bar{M} + \bar{M} C_i^T - \bar{M} + \bar{M} C_i^T \\
* & * & * & -2 \bar{M} \\
\end{bmatrix} < 0,
$$

where

$$
\Psi_{ij}^1 = \hat{Q}_1 + \tau \hat{Q}_2 - \frac{1}{h} \hat{R}_2 + A_i \bar{M} + D_i Z_{1i} + \bar{M} A_i^T + Z_{1i}^T D_i^T + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{ij} (\hat{P}_i - \hat{P}_j + \bar{N}_{ij}),
$$

$$
\Pi_{ij}^{11} = A_i \bar{M} + D_i Z_{1i} + \bar{M} A_i^T + Z_{1i}^T D_i^T,
$$

$$
\Pi_{ij}^{21} = \bar{M} A_i^T + Z_{1i}^T D_i^T + B_i \bar{M} + D_i Z_{2i},
$$

then the system (3) is asymptotically stable under the switching law (13) where $P_i = \bar{M}^{-1} \hat{P}_i \bar{M}^{-1}, P_j = \bar{M}^{-1} \hat{P}_j \bar{M}^{-1}$, and $N_{ij} = \bar{M}^{-1} \bar{N}_{ij} \bar{M}^{-1}$, and the controller gains can be obtained by $K_{1i} = Z_{1i} \bar{M}^{-1}$ and $K_{2i} = Z_{2i} \bar{M}^{-1}$.

**Proof** Construct a Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional for the subsystem $i$ of the system (3) as follows

$$
V_i(t) = x^T(t) P_i x(t) + \int_{0}^{t} x^T(s) Q_1 x(s) ds + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t+\theta}^{t} x^T(s) Q_2 x(s) ds d\theta + \int_{t-h}^{t} x^T(s) R_1 x(s) ds + \int_{t-h}^{t} \int_{t+\theta}^{t} x^T(s) R_2 x(s) ds d\theta,
$$

(17)
Fig. 1 Multiple Lyapunov functions (a) $x^T N_{ij} x < 0$ (b) $x^T N_{ij} x = 0$ (c) $x^T N_{ij} x > 0$
where $P_i > 0, Q_1 > 0, Q_2 > 0, R_1 > 0$, and $R_2 > 0$. When the subsystem $i$ is active on the interval $[t_k, t_{k+1})$, differentiating (17) along solutions of the system (3) gives

$$
\dot{V}_i(t) = 2 \dot{x}^T(t) P_i x(t) + x^T(t) Q_1 x(t) - x^T(t - \tau) Q_1 x(t - \tau) + \dot{x}^T(t) R_i \dot{x}(t) - \dot{x}^T(t - h) R_i \dot{x}(t - h) + \tau x^T(t) Q_2 x(t) - \int_{t-h}^{t} \dot{x}^T(s) Q_2 x(s) ds.
$$

(18)

From Lemma 1, we have

$$
- \int_{t-\tau}^{t} x^T(s) Q_2 x(s) ds \leq - \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} x^T(s) ds Q_2 \int_{t-\tau}^{t} x(s) ds,
$$

(19)

$$
- \int_{t-h}^{t} \dot{x}^T(s) R_2 \dot{x}(s) ds \leq - \frac{1}{h} \int_{t-h}^{t} \dot{x}^T(s) ds R_2 \int_{t-h}^{t} \dot{x}(s) ds - \frac{1}{h} (x^T(t) - x^T(t - h)) R_2 (x(t) - x(t - h)).
$$

(20)

Moreover, from the system (3), for an arbitrary matrix $M$, we have

$$
2 \langle x^T(t) \dot{x}^T(t) \rangle M ((A_i + D_i K_{1i}) x(t) + (B_i + D_i K_{2i}) x(t - \tau) + C_i \dot{x}(t - h) - \dot{x}(t)) = 0.
$$

(21)

Combining (19) and (20) and inserting (21) into (18), we have

$$
\dot{V}_i(t) \leq \xi^T(t) \Phi_i \xi(t),
$$

(22)

where

$$
\xi^T(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x^T(t) & \dot{x}^T(t) & x^T(t - \tau) & \dot{x}^T(t - h) & \int_{t-\tau}^{t} x^T(s) ds \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\Phi_i = \begin{bmatrix}
\Phi_{11} & \Phi_{12} & \Phi_{13} & \Phi_{14} & \Phi_{15} \\
\Phi_{21} & \Phi_{22} & \Phi_{23} & \Phi_{24} & \Phi_{25} \\
\Phi_{31} & \Phi_{32} & \Phi_{33} & \Phi_{34} & \Phi_{35} \\
\Phi_{41} & \Phi_{42} & \Phi_{43} & \Phi_{44} & \Phi_{45} \\
\Phi_{51} & \Phi_{52} & \Phi_{53} & \Phi_{54} & \Phi_{55}
\end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
\Phi_{11} = Q_1 + \tau Q_2 - \frac{1}{h} R_2 + M (A_i + D_i K_{1i}) + (A_i + D_i K_{1i})^T M.
$$

(23)
where
\[
\Phi_{ij}^{11} = Q_1 + \tau Q_2 - \frac{1}{h} R_2 + M (A_i + D_i K_{1i}) + (A_i + D_i K_{1i})^T M + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij} (P_i - P_j + N_{ij}).
\]

Hence, the inequality (23) implies \( \dot{V}_i(t) < 0 \), which means \( V_i(t) \) is strictly decreasing on the interval \([t_k, t_{k+1})\). Obviously, the condition (23) is a non-linear matrix inequality. Multiplying both sides of the inequality (23) by \( \text{diag}\{ M^{-1}, \cdots, M^{-1} \} \), and letting \( \bar{M} = M^{-1}, \bar{Q}_1 = \bar{M} Q_1 \bar{M}, \bar{Q}_2 = \bar{M} Q_2 \bar{M}, \bar{P}_i = \bar{M} P_i \bar{M}, \bar{P}_j = \bar{M} P_j \bar{M}, \bar{R}_1 = \bar{M} R_1 \bar{M}, \bar{R}_2 = \bar{M} R_2 \bar{M}, \bar{Z}_{1i} = K_{1i} \bar{M}, \bar{Z}_{2i} = K_{2i} \bar{M}, \) and \( \bar{N}_{ij} = MN_{ij} \bar{M} \), we obtain the equivalent condition (14) which can be easily solved by using MATLAB LMI Toolbox.

Moreover, from the system (3), we have
\[
2 \left( x^T(t) \ x^T(t - \tau) \ \dot{x}^T(t - h) \ \dot{x}^T(t) \right) M ((A_i + D_i K_{1i}) x(t) + (B_i + D_i K_{2i}) x(t - \tau) + C_i \dot{x}(t - h) - \dot{x}(t)) = 0.
\] Let \( V_{ij}(t) = x^T(t) N_{ij} x(t) \). When the subsystem \( i \) is active on the interval \([t_k, t_{k+1})\), differentiating \( V_{ij}(t) \) along solutions of the system (3) and adding (24) gives
\[
\dot{V}_{ij}(t) = 2 \dot{x}^T(t) N_{ij} x(t) + 2 (x^T(t) \ x^T(t - \tau) \ \dot{x}^T(t - h) \ \dot{x}^T(t)) M
\times ( (A_i + D_i K_{1i}) x(t) + (B_i + D_i K_{2i}) x(t - \tau) + C_i \dot{x}(t - h) - \dot{x}(t)) = \dot{\zeta}(t) \bar{\Gamma}_{ij} \zeta(t),
\]
where
\[
\dot{\zeta}(t) = \left( x^T(t) \ x^T(t - \tau) \ \dot{x}^T(t - h) \ \dot{x}^T(t) \right),
\]

\[
\bar{\Gamma}_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix}
\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^{11} & \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^{12} & \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^{22} \\
* & \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^{12} & \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^{22} \\
* & * & MC_i + C_i^T M - M
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^{11} = M (A_i + D_i K_{1i}) + (A_i + D_i K_{1i})^T M,
\]
\[
\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^{12} = M (B_i + D_i K_{2i}) + (A_i + D_i K_{1i})^T M,
\]
\[
\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^{22} = M (B_i + D_i K_{2i}) + (B_i + D_i K_{2i})^T M.
\]
Multiplying both sides of \( \bar{\Gamma}_{ij} < 0 \) by \( \text{diag}\{ \bar{M}, \cdots, \bar{M} \} \). We know that (15) is equivalent to \( \bar{\Gamma}_{ij} < 0 \), which implies \( V_{ij}(t) < 0 \). According to the switching law (13), at each switching instant, we have
\[
V_{i_{k+1}}(t_{k+1}) - V_{i_k}(t_{k+1}) = x^T(t_{k+1}) (P_{i_{k+1}} - P_{i_k}) x(t_{k+1})
= x^T(t_{k+1}) N_{i_{k+1}i_k} x(t_{k+1}).
\]
From (16) and (25), we have
\[
V_{i_{k+1}}(t_{k+1}) - V_{i_1}(t_{k+1}) + V_{i_{k+2}}(t_{k+2}) - V_{i_{k+1}}(t_{k+2}) \\
= x^T(t_{k+1})(P_{i_{k+1}} - P_{i_1})x(t_{k+1}) + x^T(t_{k+2})(P_{i_{k+2}} - P_{i_{k+1}})x(t_{k+2}) \\
= x^T(t_{k+1})N_{i_{k+i_{k+1}}}x(t_{k+1}) + x^T(t_{k+2})N_{i_{k+i_{k+2}}}x(t_{k+2}) \\
\leq x^T(t_{k+1})N_{i_{k+i_{k+1}}}x(t_{k+1}) + x^T(t_{k+1})N_{i_{k+i_{k+2}}}x(t_{k+1}) \\
\leq x^T(t_{k+1})N_{i_{k+i_{k+2}}}x(t_{k+1}).
\]

Thus,
\[
\sum_{p=0}^{k}(V_{i_{p+1}}(x(t_{p+1})) - V_{i_1}(x(t_{p+1}))) \\
\leq x^T(t_1)N_{i_0i_{k+1}}x(t_1) \leq x^T_0N_{i_0i_{k+1}}x_0. \tag{26}
\]

Choose a class \( \mathcal{K} \) function \( \beta(||x||) = \max_{||x|| \leq ||x_0||} \{ |x^TN_{i_j}x|, 1 \leq i, j \leq m \}. \) Then \( x^T_0N_{i_0i_{k+1}}x_0 \leq \beta(||x_0||) \) follows. The condition (26) guarantees that the overall increment of the Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional \( V_\sigma(t) \) at switching points is decreasing. With the condition (14), asymptotic stability of the system (3) follows.

**Remark 3** In order to simplify the tedious computation and highlight the design of the switching law (13), we only consider constant delays in this paper. In fact, our proposed method can be extended to deal with the case of the considered systems with time-varying delays by choosing different Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional (see [13] for reference).

**Remark 4** If \( x^T(t_{k+1})N_{i_{k+i_{k+1}}}x(t_{k+1}) \leq 0 \), then we know from (25) that \( V_{i_{k+1}}(t_{k+1}) \leq V_{i_k}(t_{k+1}) \) holds naturally at switching instant \( t_{k+1} \). Thus conditions (15) and (16) hold naturally and condition (14) guarantees asymptotic stability of the system (3).

### 4 Simulation Example

A numerical example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method in this section. Consider the switched neutral system (1) with two subsystems and the coefficient matrices are given by

\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -0.5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 & 0.5 \\ 0.1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 & 0.5 \\ 0.1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Suppose \( \tau = h = 0.1 \). Choose the parameters \( \alpha_{12} = \alpha_{21} = -10 \). By solving the conditions in Theorem 1, we obtain

\[
P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3.1026 & -0.2902 \\ -0.2902 & 7.1135 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4.1236 & -0.2940 \\ -0.2940 & 5.6144 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
N_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3919 & 0.0741 \\ 0.0741 & -1.0959 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4436 & 0.0752 \\ 0.0752 & -1.1319 \end{bmatrix}
\]
According to (13), the switching law is given by
\[
\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } x(0) \in \Omega_1 \text{ or } (x(t) \in \Omega_1 \text{ and } \sigma(t^-) = 1) \\
2, & \text{if } x(0) \in \Omega_2 \text{ or } (x(t) \in \Omega_2 \text{ and } \sigma(t^-) = 2) \\
& \text{or } (x(t) \in \Omega_{12} \text{ and } \sigma(t^-) = 1) 
\end{cases}
\] (29)

where
\[
\Omega_1 = \left\{ x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \left| x^T(t) \begin{bmatrix} -1.4130 & 0.0778 \\ 0.0778 & 0.4032 \end{bmatrix} x(t) \leq 0 \right. \right\}, \\
\Omega_2 = \left\{ x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \left| x^T(t) \begin{bmatrix} 0.5775 & 0.0714 \\ 0.0714 & -2.6310 \end{bmatrix} x(t) \leq 0 \right. \right\}, \\
\Omega_{12} \text{ and } \Omega_{21} \text{ are the boundaries of } \Omega_1 \text{ and } \Omega_2, \text{ respectively.}
\]

The state trajectories of the closed-loop system with \( x_0 = (4, -2) \) are depicted in Fig.2. From Fig.2, we can see that the state is convergent. The control input and the switching signal are shown in Fig.3 and Fig.4, respectively.

In order to show the advantage of our proposed method, we let \( N_{12} = N_{21} = 0 \). Then, the conditions (15) and (16) in Theorem 1 hold naturally. By solving the condition (14), we obtain
\[
P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2.1168 & -0.1527 \\ -0.1527 & 4.7894 \end{bmatrix}, P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2.8481 & -0.1560 \\ -0.1560 & 3.7455 \end{bmatrix}
\]

and
\[
u_1(t) = (4.1022 \ 0.6409)x(t) + (0.4001 \ -0.0267)x(t - 0.1), \quad (30)
\]
The switching law (13) degenerates into the min-switching strategy:

\[ \sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x(0) \in \Omega_1 \text{ or } (x(t) \in \Omega_1 \text{ and } \sigma(t^-) = 1) \\ 2, & \text{if } x(0) \in \Omega_2 \text{ or } (x(t) \in \Omega_2 \text{ and } \sigma(t^-) = 2) \end{cases} \] (32)

where

\[ \Omega_1 = \left\{ x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \left| x^T(t) \begin{bmatrix} -0.7313 & 0.0032 \\ 0.0032 & 1.0440 \end{bmatrix} x(t) \leq 0 \right. \right\}, \]

\[ \Omega_2 = \left\{ x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \left| x^T(t) \begin{bmatrix} 0.7313 & -0.0032 \\ -0.0032 & -1.0440 \end{bmatrix} x(t) \leq 0 \right. \right\}. \]

The state trajectories of the closed-loop system are depicted in Fig. 5. From Fig. 5, we find that asymptotic stability of the closed-loop system can still be
guaranteed. However, from Fig. 6-Fig. 7, we see that the min-switching strategy without hysteresis leads to infinitely fast switchings, which is undesirable in practice. However, our proposed switching strategy can avoid this behavior.

5 Conclusion

We have presented a stabilization result for a class of continuous-time switched linear neutral systems by co-designing a hysteresis-based switching strategy and a set of candidate controllers. We also have obtained a delay-dependent stability criterion by finding a multiple generalized Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional. Future work will focus on the discrete-time case of the studied problem in this paper and further apply it to the sensor networks [36].

Fig. 5 The state trajectories of the system under the switching law (32).

Fig. 6 Control input under the switching law (32).
Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China under grants 61503041 and 61473063.

References

5. Fu, J., Ma, R., Chai, T.: Global finite-time stabilization of a class of switched nonlinear systems with the powers of positive odd rational numbers. Automatica, 54, 360-373(2015)
14. Li, T., Zhao, J., Qi, Y.: Switching design of stabilizing switched neutral systems with application to lossless transmission lines. IET Control Theory A., 17(8), 2082-2091(2014)
