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Abstract

Conjugation in bacteria is the contact-dependent transfer of DNA from one cell to another via donor-encoded conjugation machinery. It is a major type of horizontal gene transfer between bacteria. Conjugation of the integrative and conjugative element ICEBs1 into Bacillus subtilis is affected by the composition of phospholipids in the cell membrane of the donor and recipient. We found that reduction (or elimination) of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol caused by loss of mprF caused a decrease in conjugation efficiency. Conversely, alterations that caused an increase in lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol, including loss of ugtP or overproduction of mprF, caused an increase in conjugation efficiency. In addition, we found that mutations that alter production of other phospholipids, e.g., loss of clsA and yfnI, also affected conjugation, apparently without substantively altering levels of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol, indicating that there are multiple pathways by which changes to the cell envelope affect conjugation. We found that the contribution of mprF to conjugation was affected by the chemical environment. The presence of certain salts altered conjugation, and wild type cells were generally more responsive to conditions that enhanced conjugation whereas mprF mutant cells were more sensitive to some conditions that inhibited conjugation. Our results indicate that mprF and lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol allow cells to maintain relatively consistent conjugation efficiencies in a variety of ionic conditions.
Importance

Horizontal gene transfer is a driving force in microbial evolution, enabling cells that receive DNA to acquire new genes and phenotypes. Conjugation, the contact-dependent transfer of DNA from a donor to a recipient by a donor-encoded secretion machine, is a prevalent type of horizontal gene transfer. Although critically important, it is not well understood how the recipient influences the success of conjugation. We found that the composition of phospholipids in the membrane of donors and recipients influences the success of transfer of the integrative and conjugative element ICEBs1 in *Bacillus subtilis*. Specifically, the presence of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol enables relatively constant conjugation efficiencies in a range of diverse chemical environments.
Introduction

Conjugation is one of several processes bacteria use to acquire new genes. During conjugation a donor bacterium transfers DNA directly to a recipient bacterium in a contact dependent manner. The conjugation machinery is typically encoded by a mobile genetic element, which itself is frequently transferred during conjugation. Conjugation can also deliver genes that are not directly involved in the conjugation process, but that are located on the mobile genetic element or on other DNA elements that are transferred. These genes are known to confer a wide variety of phenotypes to cells and their transfer can allow recipients to rapidly acquire new characteristics. For example, conjugative elements are widely involved in the spread of antibiotic resistances (reviewed in 1, 2, 3).

ICEB_{s1} is an integrative and conjugative element (ICE) found in *Bacillus subtilis* (4, 5). ICEs are widespread and found in many bacterial species (6). Unlike conjugative plasmids, ICEs integrate into the host chromosome where they are maintained during chromosomal replication, segregation, and cell division, much like a transposon or phage lysogen (reviewed in 3, 7). Under certain circumstances, ICEs can excise from the chromosome forming a plasmid intermediate that can then be transferred to recipient cells by the element-encoded conjugation machinery.

ICEB_{s1} is found integrated in the *trn-leu*2 gene in the *B. subtilis* chromosome and becomes activated in response to extracellular signaling, starvation, or DNA damage (4). The regulatory genes of ICEB_{s1} involved in cell-cell signaling (*rapI, phrl*) have been defined (4, 8). Overexpression of RapI leads to excision of ICEB_{s1} in >90% of cells in a
growing population, allowing a high frequency of experimentally-induced conjugation
(4, 8, 9). ICEBs1 encodes a type IV secretion system that transfers DNA from the donor to a recipient. Type IV secretion systems are found in other ICEs and conjugative plasmids, both in Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria (10).

During conjugation, DNA is transferred from the cytoplasm of the donor to that of the recipient, crossing the envelope of each to generate a transconjugant. The composition of the cell envelope of both the donor and recipient influences the success of conjugation. For example, in Gram negative bacteria, the outer membrane protein OmpR and the lipopolysaccharide are important for formation of mating pairs (11-16).

In Enterococcus faecalis, lipoteichoic acids may be important for mating pair formation (17-19). Recently, we found that in B. subtilis, the phospholipid head groups of the membrane bilayer make important contributions to conjugation (20).

The cell envelope of B. subtilis and other Gram positive bacteria contains a single lipid bilayer. The lipids of this membrane vary in the composition of their fatty acid tails and their head groups (reviewed in 21). The most abundant phospholipids in the membrane of B. subtilis are the negatively-charged phosphatidylglycerol, zwitterionic phosphatidylethanolamine and neutral glycolipids, negatively charged cardiolipin, and positively charged lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (reviewed in 22). The membrane of B. subtilis carries a net negative charge.

Although the conjugation machinery is encoded by the conjugative element, host genes, in both the donor and recipient, are also important for successful transfer of conjugative DNA. Previously, we used Tn-seq to identify mutations that increased or
decreased the ability of cells to act as recipients in conjugation (20). We found that deletion of genes involved in the synthesis of various phospholipids have distinct effects on the ability of *B. subtilis* to act as a recipient in conjugation. Several of the mutations (*ugtP, yfnI, mprF*) that affect conjugation affect consumption of the phospholipid phosphatidylglycerol (Fig. 1).

Here, we analyze these mutants to evaluate the effects of phospholipids on conjugation. We used double mutant analysis to determine epistasis between several of the phospholipid mutations. Our results indicate that lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol stimulates conjugation and that other phospholipids are also important for conjugation, independently of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol. We also found that the phenotype caused by loss of *mprF* (needed for production of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol) was enhanced by some environmental conditions and suppressed by others. Our results indicate the ability of cells to function in conjugation is buffered against some chemical variations in the environment by lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol.

**Materials and Methods**

**Media and growth conditions**

*Escherichia coli* cells were grown at 37°C in LB medium. *B. subtilis* cells were grown at 37°C in LB medium or S750 defined minimal medium with 0.1% glutamate and 40 µg/ml required amino acids (23). Arabinose (1% w/v) was used as a carbon source.

Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, 1 µg/ml) was used to induce expression of
Pspank(hy)-mprF. Xylose (1% w/v) was used to induce expression of Pxyl-rapI.

Ampicillin was used at 100 µg/ml for E. coli. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations for B. subtilis: spectinomycin (100 µg/ml) kanamycin (5 µg/ml), chloramphenicol (5 µg/ml) and a combination of erythromycin (0.5 µg/ml) and lincomycin (12.5 µg/ml) to select for macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin (MLS) resistance.

**Strains and alleles**

B. subtilis strains are listed in Table 1. Strains with trp phe alleles are derived from JH642 (trpC2, pheA1). rapI was overexpressed from the xylose-inducible fusion Pxyl-rapI (integrated in the chromosome at amyE) to activate ICEBs1 in donor cells,. The Δ(rapI-phrI)160::cat allele was constructed with the same genomic boundaries as the Δ(rapI-phrI)342::kan allele (4). Upstream and downstream genomic DNA fragments and the chloramphenicol resistance gene cat were amplified by PCR and joined together by isothermal (Gibson) assembly (24). This product was used to transform naturally competent B. subtilis cells, a chloramphenicol resistant isolate was selected and the allelic exchange verified by PCR.

The unmarked ΔmprF459 allele was constructed by replacing mprF with cat flanked by lox sites to generate strain CMJ459, then recombing out the lox-cat allele using Cre recombinase expressed from pDR244, as previously described (20, 25). The genomic boundaries of this allele are the same as for the ΔmprF125::mls and ΔmprF162::spc alleles.

For MprF overexpression studies, mprF was cloned into a plasmid that carried Pspank(hy), lacI and mls situated between genomic sequence from lacA. mprF was
placed under control of the promoter Pspank(hy). This plasmid was transformed into naturally competent *B. subtilis* cells and Pspank(hy)-mprF, *lacI* and *mls* introduced by double cross over at *lacA*. Expression of *mprF* was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG.

**Thin layer chromatography**

Lipids were extracted from cells using a modified Bligh-Dyer method (26). We grew cells in minimal medium to an OD600 of ~1, sampled 1 ml of culture and pelleted the cells, removed the supernatant, resuspended in 1 ml water, pelleted the cells and resuspended in 100 µl 1 M perchloric acid and incubated for 30 minutes on ice. Lipids were extracted by adding 1 ml 2:6:2 methanol:chloroform:water and 0.625 µg of a phosphatidylserine standard (Sigma Aldrich) to each sample and incubating at 4°C overnight on a rocking platform. Lipids were recovered by adding 300 µl H2O and 300 µl chloroform and incubating the samples for 30 min at -20°C, then centrifuging for 5 min at 720x g. The organic (bottom) phase was recovered, dried under nitrogen and the extracted lipids resuspended in 12 µl 2:1 chloroform methanol.

The total volume of each sample was spotted on silica 60 plates (Angela) along with lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (0.63 µg - 2.5 µg) (Avanti Polar Lipids) and phosphatidylserine (0.25 µg - 1 µg) standards and developed in a thin layer chromatography chamber with 60:35:5 chloroform:methanol:water. The plates were dried, stained with ninhydrin (1.5 mg/ml ninhydrin in water-saturated butanol with 3% v/v acetic acid) and charred. The plates were scanned on a flat bed scanner and analyzed with ImageJ (27). Standard curves were generated for lysyl-
phosphatidylglycerol (0.16 µg - 5 µg) and phosphatidylserine (0.25 µg - 1 µg) to ensure that the amount of each phospholipid in the samples was within the linear range of the assay.

**Mating assays**

Mating assays were performed on filters as previously described (9, 20). Briefly, donor and recipient cells were grown separately in minimal medium with 1% arabinose as a carbon source. Donors were induced with 1% (w/v) xylose for 2 hours to induce expression of Pxyl-\textit{rapl}, thereby activating ICE\textit{Bs1} gene expression. An equal number of donors and recipients was mixed, collected on a mating filter and placed on a mating support consisting of 1.5% agar with a buffered salt solution (see below) for 90 minutes. Mating filters were typically placed on SMS agar (28) unless otherwise specified. TSS agar (28) was used as an alternate buffer in the mating support in some experiments. TSS was further amended in some experiments, as noted in the text. Cells were then rinsed off the filter, diluted and spread on LB plates with selective antibiotics to determine the numbers of transconjugants, donors and-or recipients.

**Results**

**Effects of genes involved in phospholipid biosynthesis on conjugation**

Previously, we found that \textit{mprF} and several other genes involved in the synthesis of phospholipids affect the efficiency of conjugation (20). MprF catalyzes addition of a lysyl group from lys-tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} to phosphatidylglycerol to form lysyl-
phosphatidylglycerol (Fig. 1) (26, 29, 30). Loss of mprF in donors and/or recipients causes a decrease in conjugation of ICEBs1 (Fig. 2) (20), indicating that elimination of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol is detrimental for conjugation.

In contrast to the loss of mprF, we found that overexpression of mprF in recipients caused an increase in the acquisition of ICEBs1 via conjugation. We fused mprF to the LacI-repressible, IPTG-inducible promoter Pspank(hy) at an ectopic location (amyE) on the chromosome in a mutant missing the normal copy of mprF. We found that expression of Pspank(hy)-mprF in recipients caused an increase in mating efficiency (Fig. 2A). When mprF was similarly overexpressed in the donor (strain CMJ248) and mated to a wild type recipient (CAL89), the mating efficiency was 7-8-fold greater than that of the wild type donor (KM250) mated to the same recipient. Together with previous findings on the effects of loss of mprF on conjugation (20), our results indicate that both loss and overproduction of mprF affect conjugation efficiencies. Since the only known role of mprF in B. subtilis is in the production of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol from phosphatidylglycerol and charged lysyl-tRNA, our results indicate that the amount of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol, or other compounds derived from phosphatidylglycerol, affect conjugation. If these effects are due to lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol, then this phospholipid appears to stimulate conjugation.

Other genes affecting phospholipid biosynthesis that were previously identified as having an effect on conjugation include lysA, ugtP, and yfnI (Fig. 1) (20). Similar to loss of mprF, loss of lysA in either the donor or the recipient inhibits conjugation (Fig. 2E and 20). lysA encodes diaminopimelate decarboxylase, which catalyzes synthesis of L-lysine.
from meso-diaminopimelate (31). \textit{lysA} is essential for synthesis of lysine, used in the production of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol, so \textit{lysA} mutations might affect conjugation by altering lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol production. In contrast, loss of \textit{ugtP} or \textit{yfnI} enhances the ability of cells to act as recipients in conjugation (Fig. 2 and reference 20). \textit{ugtP} is involved in synthesis of glycolipid, a component of the membrane that also acts as a precursor in the synthesis of lipoteichoic acids (Fig. 1) (32). \textit{yfnI} is one of four genes with overlapping roles in lipoteichoic acid synthesis in \textit{B. subtilis} (33). Like MprF, the products of \textit{ugtP} and \textit{yfnI} consume phosphatidylglycerol.

Based on the functions of the genes described above, and their consumption of phosphatidylglycerol, we decided to test the effects of \textit{clsA} on conjugation. The \textit{clsA} gene product, cardiolipin synthetase, consumes phosphatidylglycerol during the synthesis of cardiolipin, another phospholipid of the membrane bilayer. \textit{clsA} was not identified previously in our mutant hunt because the apparent effect on conjugation was below the cutoff used to identify candidate genes (20).

We found that loss of \textit{clsA} in recipients caused an increase in the acquisition of \textit{ICEBs1} via conjugation (Fig. 2C). This increase was similar to that caused by a \textit{ugtP} null mutation. Together, these results indicate that phosphatidylglycerol or derivatives of phosphatidylglycerol can stimulate and-or inhibit the efficiency of conjugation.

**Double mutant analysis of phospholipid biosynthesis mutants**

Deletion of individual genes encoding phospholipid synthetases that consume phosphatidylglycerol (Fig. 1) resulted in opposite effects on conjugation efficiency, depending on which gene was deleted. For example, deletion of \textit{mprF} caused a
decrease and deletion of \textit{ugtP}, \textit{yfnI}, or \textit{clsA} caused an increase in conjugation. There are two simple models to explain these effects. 1) Lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol might enhance conjugation. In this model, loss of \textit{mprF} (needed to make lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol) causes a decrease in conjugation because of loss of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol. In addition, loss of \textit{ugtP}, \textit{yfnI}, and \textit{clsA} might cause an increase in phosphatidylglycerol (substrate for MprF) and a subsequent increase in lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol, thereby causing an increase in conjugation. 2) Alternatively (or in addition), cardiolipin, glycolipids and lipoteichoic acids might act individually or together to inhibit conjugation. For example, phospholipids and teichoic acids can interfere with hydrolase activity (34-39) and might inhibit the cell wall hydrolase \textit{CwlT} that is encoded by and needed for transfer of ICE\textit{Bs1}. Loss of \textit{clsA} (cardiolipin) and \textit{yfnI} (lipoteichoic acids), and perhaps \textit{ugtP} (glycolipids), relieves this inhibition causing an increase in conjugation. In this model, loss of \textit{mprF} leads to an increase in phosphatidylglycerol and a possible increase in the inhibitory molecule(s) and thus a decrease in conjugation. To test these models we generated strains in which multiple phospholipid synthetases were inactivated and tested them as recipients in conjugation experiments (Fig. 2). Results described below indicate that lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol enhances conjugation.

We found that the decrease in conjugation frequency caused by loss of \textit{mprF} was epistatic to the increase in conjugation frequency due to loss of \textit{ugtP} (Fig. 2B). We measured the conjugation efficiencies using standard mating assays between a wild type donor (KM250) and recipients carrying the mutation(s) of interest. An \textit{mprF ugtP}
double mutant recipient had essentially the same phenotype as the mprF single mutant recipient (Fig. 2B). This result indicates that mprF is needed for the increase in conjugation caused by loss of ugtP, and that the ugtP phenotype is likely due to an increase in the level of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol.

We also made double mutants between mprF and clsA (CMJ332), yfnI (CMJ132), and lysA (CMJ336). We used the double mutants as recipients in conjugation experiments and directly compared the results to that of the single mutants. The conjugation efficiency of ICEBs1 into the mprF clsA double mutant was about half (0.45) of that into wild type recipients. This appeared to be partly (mostly) additive between the conjugation efficiencies of the single mutants: an approximately 6-fold reduction (0.17) and an approximately 4-fold increase (4.4) for mprF and clsA, respectively (expect: 0.17 x 4.4 = 0.73) (Fig. 2C). The conjugation efficiency of the mprF yfnI double mutant was also about half (0.45) that of wild type recipients, indicative of additive effects of the 6-fold decrease (0.17) and 2-fold increase (2.1) in the mprF and yfnI single mutants (expect: 0.17 x 2.1 = 0.36) (Fig. 2D). The conjugation efficiency of the mprF lysA double mutant was decreased 70-fold (0.014) and appeared to be fully additive between the effects of each of the single mutants 6-fold (0.17) and ~11 fold (0.087) decrease of the mprF and lysA mutants (expect: 0.17 x 0.087 = 0.014) (Fig. 2E). Although it is difficult to determine if the phenotypes of the double mutants are precisely additive, the data clearly indicate that mprF is epistatic to ugtP and not to clsA, yfnI, and lysA.

Together, the results of the double mutant analyses indicate that: 1) loss of ugtP and mprF likely affect conjugation by affecting levels of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol; 2) loss
of clsA, yfnI, and lysA probably do not affect levels of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol and their effects on conjugation are likely by altering other components of the cell membrane.

**Analysis of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol levels in mutant cells**

To test the inferences from the genetic analyses described above, we measured the amount of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol in each of the different phospholipid synthesis mutants (Fig. 3). We grew cells in defined minimal medium, extracted phospholipids and used thin-layer chromatography to measure lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (Fig. 3, LPG). As expected (26), there was no detectable lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol in the mprF mutant (Fig. 3). In contrast, overproduction of MprF caused an increase in the amount of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol above that found in otherwise wild type cells (Fig. 3). We found that the ugtP null mutation, and to a lesser extent the clsA null mutation, also caused an increase in the amount of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (Fig. 3). The simplest interpretation of these results is that the increase in lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol in the ugtP mutant, and perhaps the clsA mutant, is likely causing the increase in conjugation efficiency. However, the double mutant analysis described above demonstrated that mprF was epistatic to ugtP and apparently additive with clsA. The smaller effect of clsA compared to ugtP on the level of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol and the double mutant phenotypes indicate that the conjugation phenotype of ugtP, but not that of clsA, was due to an increase in lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol.

In contrast to the mutations that affected levels of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol, yfnI or lysA null mutations caused no detectable change in levels of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol
The results of the conjugation and thin-layer chromatography experiments are summarized in Table 2. Together with the analysis of double mutants (Fig. 2, Table 2), these results indicate that the conjugation phenotypes caused by mutations in *mprF* and *ugtP* are likely due to changes in levels of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol and that the conjugation phenotypes caused by mutations in *clsA, yfnI,* and *lysA* are most likely not due to changes in levels of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol.

**The mating defect of mprF mutants is affected by the chemical environment**

During the course of our investigations, we noticed that the composition of the agar surface on which the filter paper for mating was placed (the mating support) influenced the magnitude of the conjugation phenotype caused by loss of *mprF*. Specifically, loss of *mprF* from both donors (CMJ476) and recipients (CMJ162) caused a pronounced conjugation defect (0.031, ~30 fold) compared to a cross between wild type donors (CMJ348) and recipients (CMJ161), similar to previously reported results (20). This drop in conjugation was observed when matings were performed on Spizizen’s minimal salts (SMS) agar. SMS agar contains 15 mM ammonium sulfate, 80 mM dibasic potassium phosphate, 44 mM monobasic potassium phosphate, 3.4 mM trisodium citrate, 0.8 mM magnesium sulfate, and 1.5% agar at pH 7.0 (28).

In contrast to the ~30-fold decrease in conjugation between *mprF* mutants on SMS agar, there was a much smaller effect when matings were done on agar containing Spizizen’s salts and Tris (TSS) (Fig. 4). TSS agar is buffered with Tris instead of potassium phosphate and contains 37 mM ammonium chloride, 2 mM dibasic potassium phosphate, 50 mM Tris base, 1 mM magnesium sulfate, 0.004% iron(III).
chloride, 0.004% trisodium citrate, 1.5% agar, pH 7.5 (28)). Under these conditions, the conjugation frequency of *mprF* mutant cells was reduced by approximately 3-fold (0.37) compared to that of wild type donors and recipients. We ruled out the possibility that production of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol was restored in the *mprF* mutant on the TSS agar support; there was no detectable lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol under these conditions in the mutant. These findings indicate that there is something about TSS that suppresses, or something about SMS that exacerbates the conjugation defect of the *mprF* mutant.

We investigated what aspect of the different mating supports accounted for the magnitude of the *mprF* mutant phenotype. Since mating in the *mprF* mutants was much lower in SMS than TSS, we postulated that the lower pH and-or some of the additional ions in SMS were inhibiting conjugation of *mprF* mutants. There are several differences between TSS and SMS. Notably, SMS contains a higher total concentration of different salts compared to TSS and a lower pH (7 versus 7.5). SMS has higher concentrations of potassium (204 mM vs 4 mM), phosphate (124 mM vs 2 mM), sulfate (16 mM vs 1 mM) and citrate (3 mM vs 0.1 mM).

We measured mating efficiencies on TSS agar as the base support with additions to make it more closely resemble SMS. Addition of potassium chloride (125 mM) or mixed salts (106 mM sodium phosphate, 14 mM sodium sulfate, and 3 mM trisodium citrate) increased the conjugation frequency in matings between wild type cells (Fig. 4A). Adjustment of the pH to 7.0 (without other changes) had little or no detectable effect and had no additional effect in the presence of mixed salts (Fig. 4A).
As with wild type cells, the addition of potassium chloride also increased the conjugation frequency in matings between mprF mutant cells (Fig. 4B) and adjustment of the pH to 7.0 had little or no effect (Fig. 4B). However, unlike the effect on wild type cells, addition of mixed salts did not cause an increase in the conjugation efficiency in matings between mprF mutants at either pH (Fig. 4B).

Direct comparison of the conjugation frequencies for wild type cells (Fig. 4A) and mprF cells (Fig. 4B) showed that mprF caused a more severe phenotype when matings were performed on TSS with mixed salts (at pH 7.5 and pH 7) compared to TSS (Fig. 4C). Based on these results, we conclude that the salts found in SMS contributed to the defect in mating caused by loss of mprF, particularly at pH 7.

**Ion-specific effects on conjugation and the effects of mprF**

Based on the above results, we wondered if other salts might affect wild type and mprF mutants differently. To test this, we used TSS agar as our base medium and supplemented it with 125 mM of different salts, including: sodium fluoride, trisodium citrate, magnesium chloride, sodium sulfate, dibasic sodium phosphate titrated with monobasic sodium phosphate to give a pH of 7.5 and a phosphate concentration of 125 mM, sodium iodide, sodium nitrate or sodium chloride. We measured mating efficiencies of wild type cells (Fig. 5A), mprF mutants (Fig. 5B) and then directly compared mprF to wild type (Fig. 5C).

We found that addition of sodium fluoride or sodium citrate to TSS caused a decrease in mating efficiency of wild type cells (Fig. 5A). There was also a decrease in the mating efficiency of mprF mutants (Fig. 5B). With sodium fluoride, this decrease
was somewhat less for the \textit{mprF} mutants than for wild type cells (Fig. 5C). With sodium citrate, the decrease was about the same for \textit{mprF} and wild type (Fig. 5C).

In contrast, we found that addition of magnesium chloride to TSS caused an increase of 16-fold in mating efficiency of wild type cells (Fig. 5A). Likewise, there was a similar or somewhat greater increase in the mating efficiency of \textit{mprF} mutants (33-fold, Fig. 5B, C). These results indicate that the use of TSS supplemented with magnesium chloride as a solid support for filter matings allows for highly efficient conjugation.

Addition of several other salts, including sodium sulfate, sodium phosphate, sodium iodide, sodium nitrate, and sodium chloride, to TSS either stimulated or had relatively little effect on the mating efficiency of wild type cells (Fig. 5A). The stimulatory effects were less than that of magnesium chloride. The same salts had little or no effect or caused a small increase in the mating efficiency of \textit{mprF} mutants (Fig. 5B). The stimulatory effects on wild type cells were larger than the effects on \textit{mprF} mutants and this is most easily seen in the ratio of mating efficiencies of \textit{mprF} to wild type (Fig. 5C). These ratios are $<0.37$, the ratio of efficiencies when mating is done on TSS without any modifications.

Together, our results (Figs. 4, 5) indicate that mating efficiencies are affected by the external ionic environment and that several salts that enhance conjugation of wild type cells do not have the same stimulatory effect on \textit{mprF} mutants. Since \textit{mprF} mutants do not produce lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol, we infer that the different effects of salts is due to the presence or absence of this phospholipid. The presence of \textit{mprF} and hence lysyl-
phosphatidylglycerol enables cells to have efficient conjugation in a variety of different ionic conditions.

Discussion

Our findings indicate that lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol plays a role in stimulating conjugation. Preventing or reducing lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol synthesis in either the donor or the recipient reduces conjugation. Overproduction of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol in either partner enhances conjugation. Accumulation of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol was eliminated in mprF null mutants and increased in ugtP mutants or upon overexpression of mprF. Our results also indicate that alterations in phospholipid content that do not detectably affect lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol also alter conjugation efficiencies.

mprF and ugtP

We found that mprF is epistatic to ugtP for the conjugation phenotype. That is, the mprF ugtP double mutant had the same phenotype as the mprF single mutant. This is consistent with the interpretation that the conjugation phenotypes of ugtP and mprF mutants are due to alterations in lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol and that loss of ugtP causes an increase in phosphatidylglycerol, which then leads to an increase in lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (Fig. 1). mprF is epistatic because it is needed to make lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol.
Loss of \textit{ugtP} caused an increase in the amount of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol, indicating that UgtP normally plays a role limiting the amount of lysyl-phosphotidylglycerol in the cell. \textit{ugtP} is also known to affect cell division (40), primarily by directly interacting with and inhibiting the cell division protein FtsZ (40, 41). The effects of \textit{ugtP} on cell division and conjugation are most likely not related. We infer this mainly because the effects on cell division appear to be direct and the effects on conjugation are likely through \textit{mprF}.

\textit{ugtP} mutants also appear to have many alterations in gene expression in rich medium (26). The effects of \textit{mprF} mutations on gene expression are not known, but based on analyses of an \textit{mprF pssA ywnE (clsA)} triple mutant, there are fewer effects than in a \textit{ugtP} single mutant (26). It is possible that the effects of \textit{mprF} and \textit{ugtP} on conjugation are due to alterations in gene expression. However, the simplest model is that these genes affect conjugation due to alterations in lysyl-phosphotidylglycerol and that the composition of the cell envelope directly affects activity of the conjugation machinery (see below).

\textit{mprF} and lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol enable efficient conjugation in various ionic conditions

Our results demonstrate that the effects of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol on conjugation are dependent on the environmental conditions. That is, the ratio of mating efficiencies comparing \textit{mprF} mutants and wild type cells was affected by the ionic conditions used for mating. For example, the \textit{mprF} mutants had a much more severe mating defect (~30-fold) on SMS agar compared to the modest defect (~3-fold) on TSS
agar. Together with analysis of the differences between SMS and TSS, our results indicate that mprF and lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol normally facilitate efficient mating under a variety of external ionic conditions. We suggest that the presence of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol buffers conjugation against some of the otherwise inhibitory effects of different salts and enhances conjugation in the presence of others, allowing the conjugation machinery to function reasonably well in a range of different ionic conditions.

mprF homologs, and by extension lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol, affect cell surface properties of other organisms. For example, mprF in Staphylococcus aureus acts as a virulence factor and potentiates resistance to several cationic antimicrobials, including those produced by potential human hosts (reviewed in 22). mprF homologs impact the ability of Enterococcus faecium and Listeria monocytogenes to adapt to different environmental conditions (42, 43). We suggest that in Gram positive bacteria, mprF and lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol ensures that the cell envelope is buffered from some of the variations in the chemistry of the environment, and enables the cell to perform physiological functions in a regular manner under different environmental conditions.

A model for how membrane phospholipids affect conjugation efficiencies

We suspect that alterations in the phospholipid content of the recipient (and donor) might affect the function of the conjugation machinery. This could be through changes to the physical properties of the membrane (e.g., fluidity) that might affect assembly of the machinery. This could also be through inhibition of a component of the machinery. Transfer of DNA through the ICEBs1-encoded conjugation machinery depends on CwlT
a secreted cell wall hydrolase encoded by ICEBs1 (44, 45). Components of the cell envelope, including lipoteichoic acids (34, 35), wall teichoic acids (36, 37) and the phospholipids cardiolipin (35), phosphatidylglycerol (38) and lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (35, 39), can modulate the function of at least some cell wall hydrolases. Cell wall teichoic acids inhibit hydrolase activity, at least in part, by preventing hydrolase binding to the peptidoglycan of the cell wall (36, 37).

Phospholipids can stimulate or inhibit the function of particular hydrolases; for example, phosphatidylglycerol can either enhance or inhibit the N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase of E. coli, depending on concentration, but has no effect on the major autolysin of Clostridium acetobutylicum under the conditions tested (38, 39). Altering the phospholipid content of the donor and-or recipient may affect a postulated interaction between the cell wall hydrolase CwlT and the cell envelope, either enhancing or inhibiting the ability of conjugation machinery to deliver DNA. This interaction could be binding of the conjugation machinery to the recipient cell envelope and-or digestion of the donor and recipient cell wall. If this model is correct, it strongly predicts that the cell wall hydrolase acts on both donor and recipient cells.

Cell wall hydrolases are encoded by many conjugative elements (10, 46-49). Where tested, they are critical for efficient conjugation. Based on this conservation, it seems likely that the composition of the cell wall affects the efficiencies of many different conjugative elements. Perhaps the cell wall hydrolases have evolved in ways that help determine the host range of the cognate element.
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Table 1. *B. subtilis* strains used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype (reference)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAL89</td>
<td><em>trp phe str84, comK::spc</em> (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ44</td>
<td><em>trp phe ICEB10, Δyfn44::spc</em> (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ83</td>
<td>*trp phe ICEB10, ugtP::mls, amyE::[lacI spc] (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ86</td>
<td>*trp phe ICEB10, clsA::cat, amyE::[lacI spc]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ124</td>
<td><em>trp phe ICEB10, ΔmprF124::mls</em> (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ127</td>
<td><em>trp phe amyE::[PxyI-rapy cat], Δ(rapyI-phrI)342::kan, ΔmprF124::mls</em> (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ132</td>
<td><em>trp phe ICEB10, Δyfn44::spc, ΔmprF124::mls</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ161</td>
<td><em>trp phe ICEB10, amyE::spc</em> (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ162</td>
<td><em>trp phe ICEB10, ΔmprF162::spc</em> (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ222</td>
<td>*trp phe ICEB10, ΔmprF162::spc, lacA::[Pspank(hy)-mprF lacI mls]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ248</td>
<td>*trp phe ΔmprF162::spc, lacA::[Pspank(hy)-mprF lacI mls], amyE::[PxyI-rapy cat], Δ(rapyI-phrI)342::kan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ332</td>
<td><em>trp phe ICEB10, clsA::cat, ΔmprF162::spc</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ333</td>
<td><em>trp phe ICEB10, ugtP::mls, ΔmprF162::spc</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ335</td>
<td>*trp phe ICEB10, ΔlysA73::mls, amyE::[lacI spc] (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ336</td>
<td><em>trp phe ICEB10, ΔlysA73::mls, ΔmprF162::spc</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ337</td>
<td>*trp phe ICEB10, ΔmprF162::spc, lacA::[lacI mls]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ348</td>
<td><em>trp phe amyE::[PxyI-rapyI mls], Δ(rapyI-phrI)160::cat</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ459</td>
<td><em>trp phe ICEB10, ΔmprF459::lox-cat</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ476</td>
<td>*trp phe amyE::[PxyI-rapyI mls], Δ(rapyI-phrI)160::cat, ΔmprF459(unmarked)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB5362</td>
<td>clsA::cat (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMA222</td>
<td><em>trp phe ICEB10</em> (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM250</td>
<td><em>trp phe amyE::[PxyI-rapyI cat], Δ(rapyI-phrI)342::kan</em> (50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Summary of mutations affecting conjugation and phospholipid synthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mutant</th>
<th>phospholipid biosynthesis</th>
<th>mating</th>
<th>LPG</th>
<th>phenotype with mprF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mprF</td>
<td>lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol</td>
<td>decreased</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑mprF</td>
<td>lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol</td>
<td>increased</td>
<td>increased</td>
<td>mprF is epistatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ugpP</td>
<td>glycolipid</td>
<td>increased</td>
<td>increased</td>
<td>additive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clsA</td>
<td>cardiolipin</td>
<td>increased</td>
<td>(increased)</td>
<td>additive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yfnI</td>
<td>lipoteichoic acid</td>
<td>increased</td>
<td>wt</td>
<td>additive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lysA</td>
<td>lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol</td>
<td>decreased</td>
<td>wt</td>
<td>additive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 All are null mutations except ↑mprF, which indicates overexpression of mprF.
2 The phospholipid whose synthesis depends on the indicated gene (Fig. 1) is indicated.
3 The effect of the mutation on conjugation is indicated.
4 The relative amount of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (LPG) produced in the indicated mutant compared to wild type (wt) cells. "None" indicates that there was no detectable LPG. Parentheses around increased (increased) indicates a possible effect, but on the edge of statistical significance (Fig. 3). ND = not determined.
5 The phenotype of the double mutant (with mprF) with respect to conjugation. mprF is epistatic indicates that the phenotype of the double mutant is the same as the mprF single mutant (Fig. 2).
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Pathways of phospholipid biosynthesis that affect conjugation of ICEBs1. Some of the pathways involved in phospholipid biosynthesis are shown. Genes relevant to this work are indicated above the arrows.

Figure 2. Effects of mutations in recipients on acquisition of ICEBs1. The relative conjugation frequency (y-axis) is shown for each of the indicated recipients (x-axis). The same donor strain (KM250) was used for all experiments and ICEBs1 was induced in the donor by overproduction of the activator RapI (Materials and Methods). The relative conjugation frequency (y-axis) is the number of transconjugants per donor crossed to the indicated recipient strain, normalized to that of the wild type recipient (CMJ161) in each experiment. The wild type conjugation efficiency was approximately 4% transconjugants per donor in these experiments. Conjugation frequencies measured with recipients that are null for mprF, ugtP, yfnI and lysA are similar to those previously reported (20) and were included in these experiments to allow direct comparison with the appropriate double mutants. The graph shows means and standard deviation from ≥3 experiments. The conjugation efficiency for each single mutant is statistically different from that of wild type (p <0.05). Data for wild type (CMJ161) and an mprF null mutant recipient (CMJ162) are included in all panels for comparison.

A. vector (CMJ337) contains Pspank(hy) with no insert; ▲mprF (CMJ222) is an mprF null mutant with Pspank(hy) driving expression of mprF.
B. ugtP (CMJ83); ugtP mprF double mutant (CMJ333 p<0.05 vs. ugtP).
C. clsA (CMJ86); clsA mprF double mutant (CMJ332, p<0.05 vs. clsA and mprF).
D. yfnI (CMJ44); yfnI mprF double mutant (CMJ132, p<0.05 vs. yfnI and mprF).
E. lysA (CMJ335); lysA mprF double mutant (CMJ336, p<0.05 vs. lysA and mprF).

These strains were grown with 40 µg/ml lysine.
Figure 3. Effects of mutations on the level of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol. The amount of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (LPG) recovered from a 1 ml culture of cells at an OD600 of 1 was determined from the indicated strains: WT, wild type (CMJ161); mprF (CMJ162); mprF + vector (CMJ337); †mprF (CMJ222) is mprF null with Pspank(hy) driving expression of mprF; yfnI (CMJ44); ugtP (CMJ83); clsA (CMJ86); lysA (CMJ335) grown with 40 µg/ml lysine (in panel B only).

A. LPG was extracted from cell membranes and examined using thin-layer chromatography (Materials and Methods). LPG and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) standards were used to identify the LPG and PE bands. Phosphatidylserine (PS) was added to samples as an internal standard. The locations of the LPG, PE and PS bands are indicated. The last part of the panel shows the wild type sample with no added PS.

B. The LPG content of each strain was quantified from ≥3 experiments. Asterisks indicate a significant difference in the amount of LPG recovered compared to that from the wild type strain (p < 0.05, t-test).

Figure 4. The chemical composition of the mating support affects conjugation. Standard filter matings were performed on supports with different chemical compositions. Donor and recipient cells were mixed in equal numbers, then collected on a filter that was placed on a mating support with the indicated composition. KCl was added to 125 mM. Mixed salts contained 106 mM sodium phosphate, 14 mM sodium sulfate, and 3 mM trisodium citrate. The dashed horizontal line in each panel marks the value for mating on TSS. The mean and standard deviation from ≥3 experiments for each condition are shown. Asterisks indicate that the difference in conjugation frequency on the given support compared to conjugation frequency on TSS is statistically significant (p < 0.05, t-test).

A, B. The conjugation frequency is shown as transconjugants per donor for A) a wild type donor (CMJ348) and recipient (CMJ161); B) an mprF null mutant donor (CMJ476) and recipient (CMJ162).
Conjugation frequencies obtained in A and B are directly compared. The ratio of the conjugation frequencies of the \textit{mprF} mutant (B) to that of the wild type strain (A) under each of the indicated conditions is shown.

**Figure 5. Some salts enhance conjugation of wild type, but not \textit{mprF} cells.** Filter matings were performed as described in Materials and Methods. Equal numbers of donor and recipient cells were mixed, collected on a filter and placed on a mating support with the indicated composition. Chemicals supplements were added at 125 mM. The samples tested with TSS + NaHPO$_4$ also contains dibasic sodium phosphate titrated with monobasic sodium phosphate to give a pH of 7.5. The dashed horizontal line in each panel indicates the conjugation frequency on TSS. The mean and standard deviation from $\geq$3 experiments is shown for each condition. Asterisks indicate that the difference in conjugation frequency on the given support compared to conjugation frequency on TSS is statistically significant ($p < 0.05$, \textit{t}-test).

\textbf{A, B.} The conjugation frequency (transconjugants per donor) is shown for \textbf{A}) wild type donor (CMJ348) and wild type recipient (CMJ161); \textbf{B}) an \textit{mprF} null mutant donor (CMJ476) and an \textit{mprF} null mutant recipient (CMJ162).

\textbf{C.} The conjugation frequencies obtained in A and B are directly compared and plotted as the ratio of the \textit{mprF} mutants (B) to that of wild type strains (A) under each of the indicated conditions.
Figure 1. Pathways of phospholipid biosynthesis that affect conjugation of ICEBs1. Some of the pathways involved in phospholipid biosynthesis are shown. Genes relevant to this work are indicated above the arrows.
**Figure 2. Effects of mutations in recipients on acquisition of ICEBsl.** The relative conjugation frequency (y-axis) is shown for each of the indicated recipients (x-axis). The same donor strain (KM250) was used for all experiments and ICEBsl was induced in the donor by overproduction of the activator RapI (Materials and Methods). The relative conjugation frequency (y-axis) is the number of transconjugants per donor crossed to the indicated recipient strain, normalized to that of the wild type recipient (CMJ161) in each experiment. The wild type conjugation efficiency was approximately 4% transconjugants per donor in these experiments. Conjugation frequencies measured with recipients that are null for *mprF*, *ugtP*, *yfnI* and *lysA* are similar to those previously reported [Johnson, 2014, tn-seq] and were included in these experiments to allow direct comparison with the appropriate double mutants. The graph shows means and standard deviation from ≥3 experiments. The conjugation efficiency for each single mutant is statistically different from that of wild type (*p* <0.05). Data for wild type (CMJ161) and an *mprF* null mutant recipient (CMJ162) are included in all panels for comparison.

**A.** vector (CMJ337) contains Pspank(hy) with no insert; †*mprF* (CMJ222) is an *mprF* null mutant with Pspank(hy) driving expression of *mprF*.

**B.** *ugtP* (CMJ83); *ugtP mprF* double mutant (CMJ333 *p*<0.05 vs. *ugtP*).

**C.** *clsA* (CMJ86); *clsA mprF* double mutant (CMJ332, *p*<0.05 vs. *clsA* and *mprF*).

**D.** *yfnI* (CMJ44); *yfnI mprF* double mutant (CMJ132, *p*<0.05 vs. *yfnI* and *mprF*).

**E.** *lysA* (CMJ335); *lysA mprF* double mutant (CMJ336, *p*<0.05 vs. *lysA* and *mprF*). These strains were grown with 40 µg/ml lysine.
Figure 3. Effects of mutations on the level of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol. The amount of lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (LPG) recovered from a 1 ml culture of cells at an OD600 of 1 was determined from the indicated strains: WT, wild type (CMJ161); mprF (CMJ162); mprF + vector (CMJ337); ↑mprF (CMJ222) is mprF null with Pspank(hy) driving expression of mprF; yfnI (CMJ44); ugtP (CMJ83); clsA (CMJ86); lysA (CMJ335) grown with 40 µg/ml lysine (in panel B only).

A. LPG was extracted from cell membranes and examined using thin-layer chromatography (Materials and Methods). LPG and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) standards were used to identify the LPG and PE bands. Phosphatidylserine (PS) was added to samples as an internal standard. The locations of the LPG, PE and PS bands are indicated. The last part of the panel shows the wild type sample with no added PS.

B. The LPG content of each strain was quantified from ≥3 experiments. Asterisks indicate a significant difference in the amount of LPG recovered compared to that from the wild type strain (p < 0.05, t-test).
Figure 4. The chemical composition of the mating support affects conjugation. Standard filter matings were performed on supports with different chemical compositions. Donor and recipient cells were mixed in equal numbers, then collected on a filter that was placed on a mating support with the indicated composition. KCl was added to 125 mM. Mixed salts contained 106 mM sodium phosphate, 14 mM sodium sulfate, and 3 mM trisodium citrate. The dashed horizontal line in each panel marks the value for mating on TSS. The mean and standard deviation from ≥3 experiments for each condition are shown. Asterisks indicate that the difference in conjugation frequency on the given support compared to conjugation frequency on TSS is statistically significant (p < 0.05, t-test).

A, B. The conjugation frequency is shown as transconjugants per donor for A) a wild type donor (CMJ348) and recipient (CMJ161); B) an mprF null mutant donor (CMJ476) and recipient (CMJ162).

C. Conjugation frequencies obtained in A and B are directly compared. The ratio of the conjugation frequencies of the mprF mutant (B) to that of the wild type strain (A) under each of the indicated conditions is shown.
Figure 5. Some salts enhance conjugation of wild type, but not mprF cells. Filter matings were performed as described in Materials and Methods. Equal numbers of donor and recipient cells were mixed, collected on a filter and placed on a mating support with the indicated composition. Chemicals supplements were added at 125 mM. The samples tested with TSS + NaHPO$_4$ also contains dibasic sodium phosphate titrated with monobasic sodium phosphate to give a pH of 7.5. The dashed horizontal line in each panel indicates the conjugation frequency on TSS. The mean and standard deviation from ≥3 experiments is shown for each condition. Asterisks indicate that the difference in conjugation frequency on the given support compared to conjugation frequency on TSS is statistically significant ($p < 0.05$, t-test).

A, B. The conjugation frequency (transconjugants per donor) is shown for A) wild type donor (CMJ348) and wild type recipient (CMJ161); B) an mprF null mutant donor (CMJ476) and an mprF null mutant recipient (CMJ162).

C. The conjugation frequencies obtained in A and B are directly compared and plotted as the ratio of the mprF mutants (B) to that of wild type strains (A) under each of the indicated conditions.