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In budding yeast, alignment of the anaphase spindle along the mother–bud axis is crucial for maintaining genome integrity. If the anaphase spindle becomes misaligned in the mother cell compartment, cells arrest in anaphase because the mitotic exit network (MEN), an essential Ras-like GTPase signaling cascade, is inhibited by the spindle position checkpoint (SPoC). Distinct localization patterns of MEN and SPoC components mediate MEN inhibition. Most components of the MEN localize to spindle pole bodies. If the spindle becomes mispositioned in the mother cell compartment, cells arrest in anaphase due to inhibition of the MEN by the mother cell–restricted SPoC kinase Kin4. Here we show that a bud-localized activating signal is necessary for full MEN activation. We identify Lte1 as this signal and show that Lte1 activates the MEN in at least two ways. It inhibits small amounts of Kin4 that are present in the mother cell compartment, cells arrest in anaphase because the mitotic exit network (MEN), is crucial for maintaining genome integrity. If the spindle becomes misaligned and anaphase spindle elongation occurs in the mother cell compartment, the SPoC prevents exit from mitosis until the nucleus realigns along the mother–bud axis. In the absence of a functional SPoC, cells that do not deliver a DNA complement into the bud.

**INTRODUCTION**

Polarized cell division is a defining characteristic of development and one mechanism by which cells produce progeny with distinct cell fates (Siller and Doe, 2009). Two well-known examples of asymmetric cell division are the meiotic divisions of the mammalian oocyte and the mitotic divisions of Drosophila germline stem cells. Because these asymmetric cell divisions rely on the unequal distribution of fate determinants within the cell, it is critical that the mitotic spindle and hence the plane of cell division are correctly placed with respect to these spatially restricted developmental cues. Evidence suggests that feedback mechanisms that sense spindle position are in place to ensure that this occurs. Drosophila germline stem cells, for example, delay the cell cycle if the spindle is not properly aligned along the axis of cell division (Cheng et al., 2008).

In budding yeast, the site of cytokinesis is determined well before cells enter mitosis. Division by budding also means that the connection between mother cell and bud is small and that the nucleus must squeeze through it in order to be partitioned into the bud. Cell proliferation by budding thus requires mechanisms that ensure that anaphase spindle disassembly and cytokinesis occur only after delivery of a DNA complement into the bud.

Yeast cells couple spindle disassembly and cytokinesis (collectively called exit from mitosis) to nuclear position through a mechanism known as the spindle position checkpoint (SPoC). If the spindle becomes misaligned and anaphase spindle elongation occurs in the mother cell compartment, the SPoC prevents exit from mitosis until the nucleus realigns along the mother–bud axis. In the absence of a functional SPoC, cells that do not deliver a DNA complement into the bud during anaphase inevitably become binucleate and anucleate (Figure 1A).

Spindle mispositioning prevents exit from mitosis by inhibiting the activation of a conserved Ras-like signal transduction cascade known as the mitotic exit network (MEN, also known as the Hippo pathway in mammals). Most MEN components localize to spindle pole bodies (SPBs; yeast centrosomes), and their SPB localization is critical for their function in regulating exit from mitosis (Valero-Santiago and Monje-Casas, 2011). The target of this regulation is the GTPase Tem1; in its GTP-bound state, Tem1 recruits the PAK...
kinase Cdc15 to SPBs (Visintin and Amon, 2001; Rock and Amon, 2011; Scarfone et al., 2015). Cdc15, in turn, phosphorylates the SPB-localized MEN-scaffold protein Nud1 to create a binding site required for the recruitment and activation of the Dbf2-Mob1 kinase complex (Rock et al., 2013). In the final step of MEN signaling, activated Dbf2-Mob1, together with the polo kinase Cdc5, promotes the release of the protein phosphatase Cdc14 from its inhibitor in the nucleolus (Mohl et al., 2009; Manzoni et al., 2010). The released phosphatase then triggers exit from mitosis by reversing mitotic phosphorylation (Jaspersen et al., 1998; Visintin et al., 1998).

Control of the MEN by spindle position is mediated by the asymmetric localization of both positive and negative regulators of the MEN (Chan and Amon, 2010). The protein kinase Kin4 is an inhibitor of the MEN; it prevents the inactivation of the bipartite Tem1 GTPase-activating protein (GAP) complex Bub2/Bfa1 at SPBs (D’Aquino et al., 2005; Pereira and Schiebel, 2005). Its localization is restricted to the mother cell cortex and SPBs in the mother cell compartment. Thus, if anaphase occurs in the mother cell compartment, the MEN is inhibited by Kin4 because both SPBs are in the mother cell compartment (Figure 1B). Lte1 is an activator of the MEN that localizes to the bud compartment. Lte1 prevents Kin4 from associating with SPBs, rendering the Bub2-Bfa1 GAP inactive (Bertazzi et al., 2011; Falk et al., 2011). Thus, when one SPB moves out of the mother cell into the bud, where Lte1 antagonizes low levels of Kin4 that leak into the bud, MEN activation occurs.

Although the factors that exert spindle position control of the MEN have been identified, we have only a partial understanding of how they function to bring about this regulation. Here we use a new system to induce spindle misalignment that allowed us to assess SpOC activity in various checkpoint mutants. This analysis led to the remarkable finding that all SpOC mutants retain some SpOC competence. SpOC mutants transiently delay anaphase in response to spindle mispositioning before inappropriately exiting from mitosis. In contrast, in cells lacking the MEN GAP Bub2-Bfa1, exit from mitosis occurs with the same kinetics irrespective of whether the spindle is correctly or incorrectly aligned. We further show that the residual SpOC activity observed in SpOC mutants is due to the lack of a MEN-activating signal mediated by LTE1. This finding indicates that Lte1 has functions in promoting exit from mitosis in addition to antagonizing Kin4. Lte1 has homology to guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), and it has long been hypothesized that it could function as a GEF for Tem1 (Bardin et al., 2000; Seshan and Amon, 2005). Indeed, we find that the GEF domains of Lte1 are required for the protein’s mitotic exit–promoting function. We conclude that spindle position control of the MEN is exerted by both negative and positive regulatory mechanisms that control Tem1’s GTPase activity.

**RESULTS**

**A system to assess spindle position checkpoint strength in SpOC mutants**

In budding yeast, two partially redundant pathways position the mitotic spindle (reviewed in McNally, 2013). The first pathway is active throughout the cell cycle and depends on Kar9, an adaptor that links the plus-end microtubule–binding protein Bim1 (yeast EB1) to the type V myosin motor Myo2. This pathway functions to position the preanaphase spindle at the bud neck (Kopecká and Gabriel, 1998; Miller and Rose, 1998; Miller et al., 1999; Beach et al., 2000). The second spindle-positioning pathway is active in anaphase and depends on the minus-end–directed motor dynein (Muhua et al., 1994; Yeh et al., 1995; Heil-Chapdelaine et al., 2000; Farkasovsky and Küntzel, 2001). When either pathway is inactive, a fraction of
cells initially misposition their spindles but then quickly realign them (Falk et al., 2016). In contrast, cells lacking both pathways are inviable because they cannot move the nucleus into the bud during anaphase (Miller and Rose, 1998).

The fact that MEN activity is controlled by spindle position was first recognized well more than a decade ago when it was shown that elimination of the Tem1 GAP or overexpression of the MEN activator Lte1 allowed cells with mispositioned spindles to inappropriately exit from mitosis (Bardin et al., 2000; Pereira et al., 2000). Many subsequent studies have identified the factors that inhibit the MEN in response to spindle mispositioning (D’Aquino et al., 2005; Pereira and Schiebel, 2005; Chan and Amon, 2009, 2010; Caydasi et al., 2010; Bertazzi et al., 2011; Falk et al., 2011). However, a detailed analysis of these mutants was difficult because we lacked a system that allowed us to generate synchronized populations of cells harboring mispositioned anaphase spindles. Deletion of either the KAR9 or the DYN1 pathway leads to only transient spindle mispositioning that is quickly corrected. Deletion of both genes causes high levels of spindle mispositioning but is lethal, and good conditional alleles for either gene were not available. To address this experimental limitation, we developed a system that allowed us to conditionally inactivate both spindle-positioning pathways. We generated cells that lacked KAR9 and harbored a depletion allele of DYN1 (dyn1-AID). To deplete cells of dynein, we used the indole-3-acetic acid (IAA; auxin) depletion system (Nishimura et al., 2009). IAA promotes the degradation of proteins containing an AID degron sequence by targeting them for ubiquitylation by the SCF-Tir1 ubiquitin ligase (Gray et al., 2001; Dharmasiri et al., 2005; Kepinski and Leyser, 2005; Teale et al., 2006). The vast majority of kar9Δ cells depleted for dynein misposition their spindle upon entry into anaphase (Figure 1C). Thus this system allowed us to examine carefully the consequences of spindle mispositioning in SPoC mutants.

### FIGURE 2: SPoC mutants retain some checkpoint activity.

dyn1-AID kar9Δ (A35707), dyn1-AID kar9Δ kin4Δ (A35603), dyn1-AID kar9Δ rts1Δ (A37483), dyn1-AID kar9Δ bmh1Δ (A36544), dyn1-AID kar9Δ swe1Δ (A35146), and dyn1-AID kar9Δ bub2Δ (A36082) cells carrying GFP-tagged α-tubulin were grown in YEPD medium and arrested in the G1 phase of the cell cycle with 10 μg/ml α-factor pheromone. Cells were released into the cell cycle in YEPD medium and then monitored by live-cell microscopy in a Y04C flow cell. Depletion of dyn1-AID was induced in the flow cell with 100 μM auxin in synthetic complete medium. (A) Fraction of cells that become multinucleate. Number of nuclei was determined upon completion of the first cell cycle after factor release (n = 100). Cells that inappropriately exited from mitosis in the mother cell compartment and hence harbored two nuclei were scored as “multinucleate.” Cells that either arrested permanently with mispositioned spindles in the mother cell compartment or exited mitosis with aligned spindles were scored as “euploid.” (B, C) Anaphase duration was determined in cells that

dyn1-AID kar9Δ or correctly positioned (C) spindles. Sample sizes for B: dyn1-AID kar9Δ, 29; dyn1-AID kar9Δ kin4, 31; dyn1-AID kar9Δ rts1Δ, 30; dyn1-AID kar9Δ bmh1Δ, 12; dyn1-AID kar9Δ swe1Δ, 16; and dyn1-AID kar9Δ bub2Δ, 30. The p value in B is <0.05 (two-sided t test). Sample sizes for C: dyn1-AID kar9Δ, 18; dyn1-AID kar9Δ kin4, 20; dyn1-AID kar9Δ rts1Δ, 17; dyn1-AID kar9Δ bmh1Δ, 20; dyn1-AID kar9Δ swe1Δ, 20; and dyn1-AID kar9Δ bub2Δ, 19.
To examine specifically the fate of cells that inappropriately exit from mitosis when their spindle is mispositioned, we measured anaphase duration in these cells. In otherwise wild-type kar9Δ dyn1-T-AID cells, only a very small percentage of cells (~4–11%; Adames et al., 2001; D’Aquino et al., 2005; Pereira and Schiebel, 2005; Falk et al., 2016) will inappropriately exit from mitosis when spindle mispositioning occurs. Even these cells, however, arrested in anaphase for prolonged periods of time before inappropriate exit from mitosis occurred compared with cells that underwent anaphase with correctly positioned spindles (compare Figure 2, B and C). In contrast, in cells lacking BUB2, anaphase took approximately the same amount of time in cells with mispositioned spindles as in cells with correctly positioned spindles (aligned 21.95 ± 4.33 vs. mispositioned 23.8 ± 5.6 min; Figure 2, B and C). In contrast, in the other checkpoint mutants, anaphase duration of cells that inappropriately exited from mitosis with mispositioned spindle was significantly longer than anaphase length of cells that harbored an aligned spindle (Figure 2, B and C). Perhaps the most surprising finding was that many cells with mispositioned spindles that lacked KIN4 were also extremely delayed in anaphase before inappropriately exiting mitosis (Figure 2, B and C). Our findings indicate that all SpcM mutants retain some SpcO activity. In contrast, hyperactivation of the MEN by eliminating the GAP makes cells completely insensitive to spindle position.

**Modulating FEAR network activity differentiates between SpcO and MEN GAP mutants**

The MEN is not the only pathway known to regulate Cdc14 localization and activity. The Cdc14 early anaphase release (FEAR) network is responsible for the initial release of Cdc14 from the nucleolus at the metaphase-to-anaphase transition (Stegmeier and Amon, 2004; reviewed in Rock and Amon, 2009). This release is transient and not essential for exit from mitosis but facilitates rDNA compaction, spindle midzone assembly, and priming of the MEN for its subsequent activation later in anaphase (Stegmeier and Amon, 2004; Rock and Amon, 2009). Of importance, previous studies already demonstrated that FEAR network function affects the strength of the spindle position checkpoint. The checkpoint arrest is inherently leaky in wild-type cells, with ~4–11% of cells with mispositioned spindles exiting mitosis inappropriately (Adames et al., 2001; D’Aquino et al., 2005; Pereira and Schiebel, 2005). In contrast, in FEAR network mutants, SpcO arrest is complete. FEAR network mutants never exit from mitosis when their spindles are mispositioned (Scarfone et al., 2015; Falk et al., 2016; Gryaznova et al., 2016). This observation prompted us to investigate whether FEAR network activity would differentially affect the response of SpcO mutants and MEN GAP mutants to spindle mispositioning. For this analysis, we focused on bub2Δ and kin4Δ mutants because they are the best-characterized SpcO mutants and both have a severe SpcO defect. To inactivate the FEAR network, we deleted SPO12.

Remarkably, our double-mutant analysis showed that whereas spo12Δ bub2Δ mutants inappropriately exited mitosis when the spindle was mispositioned and produced multinucleate cells, spo12Δ kin4Δ mutants remained arrested in anaphase and did not produce multinucleate cells (Figure 3A). Consistent with this...
anaphase arrest, spo12Δ kin4Δ mutants with mispositioned spindles did not activate the MEN. Association of Dbf2–enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) with both spindle pole bodies—a hallmark for MEN activation (Visintin and Amon, 2001)—occurred in kin4Δ cells with mispositioned spindles but did not take place in spo12Δ kin4Δ cells with mispositioned spindles (Figure 3B). We did note that a small fraction of spo12Δ single mutants exhibited weak Dbf2 localization on one SPB (Figure 3B). This association does not signify MEN activation and is likely due to hyperaccumulation of Dbf2 in cells that arrest/delay in mitosis, as occurs in the spo12Δ mutant (Visintin and Amon, 2001). We conclude that inactivation of the FEAR network suppresses the SpoC defect of cells lacking Kin4 but not of cells lacking Bub2.

The FEAR network, while not essential for MEN activity, primes the pathway because Cdc14 released by the FEAR network dephosphorylates MEN components such as Cdc15 (Stegmeier et al., 2002). To determine whether the FEAR network promoted SpoC bypass by priming the MEN, we asked whether mimicking Cdc14-mediated dephosphorylation of Cdc15 allowed cells with mispositioned spindles to exit from mitosis. We replaced CDC15 with the CDC15-7A allele, in which phosphorylation sites targeted by Cdc14 are replaced by alanines (Jaspersen and Morgan, 2000; König et al., 2010). Remarkably, the CDC15-7A allele caused not only wild-type cells with mispositioned spindles to inappropriately exit from mitosis but also spo12Δ cells (Figure 3, C and D). We conclude that the FEAR network primes MEN activity, which counteracts SpoC-mediated inhibition of the MEN.

**Lte1 promotes exit from mitosis in a Kin4-dependent and -independent manner**

Anaphase takes the same amount of time in bub2Δ cells whether or not the spindle is correctly positioned (~22 min). The fact that anaphase duration is on average 76.2 ± 37.9 min in kin4Δ cells that exit from mitosis with incorrectly positioned spindles compared with 23.4 ± 4.77 min in kin4Δ cells with correctly position spindles (Figure 5A) indicates that there must be at least one additional regulator of the MEN. Such a regulator could be an activator of the MEN in the bud or a MEN inhibitor in the mother cell compartment. These two possibilities are of course not mutually exclusive.

One of the proteins that could regulate the MEN in parallel to Kin4 is Lte1. Cells lacking LTE1 arrest in anaphase at low temperature and exhibit synthetic lethality with deletions in genes encoding FEAR network components such as Spo12 (Shirayama et al., 1994; Stegmeier et al., 2002). Previous studies indicated that one function of Lte1 is to prevent small amounts of Kin4 that leak into the bud from associating with the MEN-bearing SPB in the bud (Bertazzi et al., 2011; Falk et al., 2011). To determine whether Lte1 has additional mitotic exit-promoting functions besides inhibiting Kin4 in the bud, we first asked whether cells lacking LTE1 were delayed in anaphase in the absence of Kin4. Cells were released from a pheromone-induced G1 arrest, and progression through mitosis at 14°C was analyzed. Consistent with previous data, we found that cells lacking LTE1 were severely delayed in exit from mitosis, as judged by the persistence of anaphase spindles (Figure 5C). Deleting Kin4 completely suppressed this anaphase delay (Figure 5G), which is consistent with previous findings showing that Lte1 antagonizes Kin4 function (Bertazzi et al., 2011; Falk et al., 2011). However, the

**Loss of Bub2 but not Kin4 is sufficient to activate the MEN in metaphase**

Further evidence that Bub2-Bfa1 and Kin4 exhibit differential inhibitory effects on the MEN came from our analysis of MEN regulation during metaphase. MEN activity is inhibited in cells arrested in metaphase (Visintin and Amon, 2001). Deletion of BUB2 causes activation of the MEN in metaphase, as judged by Dbf2-associated kinase activity (Figure 4; Visintin and Amon, 2001). We synchronized cells in G1 using pheromone and then released them into the cell cycle in the presence of the microtubule depolymerizing agent nocodazole. Nocodazole-treated cells arrest in metaphase due to activation of the spindle assembly checkpoint and Dbf2 kinase is not active (Figure 4, A and B). In contrast, Dbf2 kinase activity is greatly increased in cells lacking BUB2 (Figure 4). Deletion of Kin4, however, did not lead to activation of Dbf2 in metaphase-arrested cells. We conclude that inactivation of the MEN GAP causes complete deregulation of the MEN, whereas inactivation of the mother cell restricted MEN inhibitor Kin4 causes only partial activation of the MEN. This finding indicates that additional MEN repressors and/or activators control the activity of the signaling pathway in response to spindle mispositioning.
analysis of cells in which mitotic exit solely depends on the MEN (in a FEAR network mutant) revealed a function of LTE1 besides inhibiting Kin4. kin4Δ spo12Δ lte1Δ cells were much more delayed in anaphase than kin4Δ spo12Δ cells (Figure 5E). In contrast, anaphase duration was nearly wild type in bub2Δ spo12Δ lte1Δ cells and identical to that of bub2Δ spo12Δ cells (Figure 5E). We conclude that by increasing the reliance of mitotic exit on the MEN (by inactivating the FEAR network), we were able to reveal a KIN4-independent function of LTE1 in promoting mitotic exit.

If Lte1 has a mitotic exit–promoting function besides inhibiting Kin4, targeting Lte1 to the mother cell should be more effective in triggering exit from mitosis in cells with mispositioned spindles than deleting KIN4. To test this hypothesis, we examined the consequences of expressing the LTE1-8N allele, which mislocalizes to the mother cell compartment (Geymonat et al., 2009), on anaphase duration in cells with mispositioned spindles. We found that cells harboring the LTE1-8N allele, like cells lacking BUB2, showed little or no anaphase delay when their spindles were mispositioned. Instead, such cells underwent exit from mitosis to produce multinucleate and anucleate cells (Figure 6, A and B). Like deletion of BUB2, LTE1-8N was able to trigger exit from mitosis in cells with mispositioned spindles even in the absence of FEAR network activity (Figure 6C). We conclude that LTE1 promotes exit from mitosis by activating the MEN in multiple ways. One of Lte1’s functions is to inhibit Kin4 (Bertazzi et al., 2011; Falk et al., 2011). The other function remains to be characterized.

### Lte1’s GEF domains promote exit from mitosis

Lte1 harbors two putative GEF domains, but whether the protein functions as a Tem1 GEF is not known. In fact, several lines of evidence support the idea that Lte1 is not a GEF for Tem1. Purified Lte1 does not exhibit GEF activity toward Tem1 in vitro (Geymonat et al., 2002). Furthermore, the Lte1 GEF domains were shown to be primarily required to anchor Lte1 to the bud cell cortex via interactions with Ras (Yoshida et al., 2003). Finally, it was reported that Lte1’s two GEF domains are dispensable for promoting exit from mitosis (Yoshida et al., 2003). Despite these multiple reports arguing against a role for Lte1’s GEF domains in MEN regulation, we decided to reevaluate their role in exit from mitosis.

Lte1 inhibits Kin4 (Bertazzi et al., 2011; Falk et al., 2011), but whether Lte1’s GEF domains were important for Kin4 inhibition was not known. To address this question, we analyzed an allele of LTE1, lte1ΔΔEcoRI, which lacks amino acids 327–894 but retains both the N- and C-terminal GEF domains (Geymonat et al., 2009). A previous study showed that this allele was not able to support Lte1’s

---

**FIGURE 5:** A Kin4-independent function for Lte1 in promoting exit from mitosis. (A) Rationale for why additional spatial regulators of the MEN must exist. Spindle position is not sensed by cells lacking BUB2, as indicated by the almost identical anaphase kinetics of cells that exit from mitosis with an aligned spindle. In contrast, exit from mitosis is severely delayed in cells with a mispositioned spindle that lack KIN4 compared with kin4Δ cells with an aligned spindle. (B–G) Cells harboring GFP-tagged α-tubulin were arrested G1 with α-faction pheromone (10 μg/ml). Cells were then released into YEPD medium without α-factor but supplemented with 0.3 mM adenine hemisulfate, 0.4 mM L-leucine at 14°C. Fixed-cell fluorescence microscopy was performed, and cell cycle stage was assessed by spindle morphology. The following strains were analyzed. (B, C) Wild type (A33138), bub2Δ (A36608), kin4Δ (A38141), lte1Δ (A36718), and spo12Δ (A36605). (D, E) Wild type (A33138), kin4Δ spo12Δ (A36620), kin4Δ spo12Δ lte1Δ (A36620), spo12Δ bub2Δ (A36604), and bub2Δ spo12Δ lte1Δ (A36603). (F, G) Wild type (A33138), kin4Δ (A38141), lte1Δ (A36718), and kin4Δ lte1Δ (A38140). (B, D, F) Percentage of cells with metaphase spindles. (C, E, G) Percentage of anaphase spindles (n = 200).
mitotic exit–promoting functions, suggesting that the central 567 amino acids were essential for LTE1 function (Geymonat et al., 2009). Consistent with earlier reports, we found that lte1-ΔEcoRI-GFP was well expressed and localized to the bud cell cortex (Figure 7B; Geymonat et al., 2009). In fact, the lte1-ΔEcoRI-GFP mutant protein localized to the bud cell cortex even more robustly than wild-type Lte1 (A.S., unpublished observations).

To assess the importance of Lte1’s GEF domains, we first asked whether the lte1-ΔEcoRI allele was able to prevent Kin4 from localizing to SPBs. To address this question, we used an allele of KIN4 (KIN4-S508A) that localizes to both the mother and bud cell compartments and thus efficiently associates with the SPB in the daughter cell in the absence of LTE1 (Chan and Amon, 2010; Falk et al., 2011). Association of Kin4 with the daughter cell SPB (henceforth dSPB) prevents exit from mitosis, which made it necessary to conduct this analysis in cells lacking BUB2 (Chan and Amon, 2010; Falk et al., 2011). Consistent with previous observations, we found that Kin4-S508A-GFP localized to both the mother cell SPB (henceforth mSPB) and dSPB in cells lacking LTE1 (lte1a bub2Δ strain; 2 SPB category; Figure 7C). Cells expressing the lte1-ΔEcoRI allele exhibited a similar localization pattern (Figure 7C). This finding indicates that Lte1’s central domain is required for preventing Kin4 from associating with SPBs.

Not only does Lte1 prevent Kin4 from associating with SPBs, but the protein also binds to Kin4 (Bertazzi et al., 2011; Falk et al., 2011). This is most easily observed when analyzing the localization pattern of the kin4(1-341) truncation. This truncated protein exhibits the same localization pattern as Lte1, and its bud localization depends on LTE1 (Falk et al., 2011). The bud cortex localization of kin4(1-341) was abrogated in cells expressing the lte1-ΔEcoRI allele (Figure 7D). Consistent with the observation that the lte1-ΔEcoRI allele was defective in interacting with and inhibiting Kin4 was the observation that KIN4-S508A became very toxic to cells in which lte1-ΔEcoRI allele is the sole copy of LTE1 and caused cells to delay in anaphase (Figure 8, A and C). Together these results indicate that the central domain of Lte1 binds to and inhibits Kin4 thereby preventing it from associating with dSPBs.

We next asked whether the lte1-ΔEcoRI allele suppressed the synthetic lethality of spo12Δ lte1Δ double mutants. In this mutant, unlike in the KIN4-S508A mutant, little Kin4 is present in the daughter cell, making the central domain of Lte1 less important and thus allowing us to observe potential additional functions for the GEF domains of Lte1. Indeed, we found that the lte1-ΔEcoRI allele suppressed the lethality of spo12Δ lte1Δ double mutants to the same extent as wild-type LTE1 (Figure 8, B and D). Furthermore, an lte1-ΔEcoRI lte1Δ spo12Δ strain is viable not only at 30°C but even at low temperatures (Supplemental Figure S1). These results indicate that under conditions in which Kin4 localization is largely restricted to the mother cell, Lte1’s GEF domains are sufficient to fulfill LTE1’s mitotic exit–promoting functions. This observation leads us to conclude that Lte1’s GEF domains are important for promoting MEN activity and hence exit from mitosis.

Figure 2A (n = 100). Note that the anaphase duration of cells with aligned spindles in the LTE1-8N spo12Δ strain (A36358) was delayed in comparison to spo12Δ cells. This is most likely due to the fact that LTE1-8N is expressed off of its endogenous promoter and yet is distributed on both cortices. Therefore LTE1-8N is essentially depleted in the bud, and so, under sensitizing conditions (such as in the absence of FEAR network activity), cells are delayed in anaphase.
DISCUSSION

Spindle position controls the activity of the MEN. Previous studies suggested that this was solely mediated by a MEN inhibitor residing in the mother cell and an antagonist of this inhibitor in the bud. Here we show that a bud-localized activating signal also controls MEN activity. We find that cells lacking the mother cell localized Spc component Kin4, unlike cells lacking MEN GAP function, retain partial Spc competency. We further show that this is due to the fact that an activating bud-localized signal is necessary to fully activate the MEN. This MEN activator is \textit{Lte1}, which we show not only prevents Kin4 from associating with the MEN-bearing SPB in the bud, but also activates the MEN in additional ways. On the basis of the observation that this MEN-activating function depends on the protein's GEF activity, we propose that Lte1 has a dual function in activating the MEN. Via its central domain, the protein antagonizes Kin4. Through its N-terminal and C-terminal GEF domains, the protein directly activates the MEN GTPase Tem1.

Spindle position controls MEN activity through an inhibitor in the mother cell and an activator in the bud

We used a new spindle-mispositioning system to perform an in-depth analysis of factors that have been identified as components of the spindle position checkpoint machinery. By analyzing the length of anaphase in cells with mispositioned spindles, we discovered that cells lacking \textit{Bub2} are completely SPoC deficient. In contrast, cells with mispositioned spindles that lack \textit{Kin4} exhibit a transient delay in anaphase before exiting mitosis prematurely. Similarly, other SPoC mutants were also delayed in anaphase and produced even fewer multinucleate cells than \textit{kin4Δ} cells.

The difference between MEN mutants that have lost all regulation (\textit{bub2Δ}) and mutants that fail to regulate the MEN GAP in response to spindle mispositioning (\textit{kin4Δ}) was striking in cells lacking \textit{FEAR} network function. In this genetic background, the phenotype was binary; \textit{kin4Δ} cells with mispositioned spindles arrested in anaphase, whereas \textit{bub2Δ} cells with mispositioned spindles exited mitosis as quickly as cells with correctly positioned spindles. Together these findings demonstrate that other factors restrain exit from mitosis in response to spindle mispositioning in \textit{kin4Δ} cells. Residual SPoC activity in \textit{kin4Δ} strains could mean that there is still some residual GAP activity that prevents full Tem1 activation. Alternatively, pathways acting in parallel to \textit{Kin4} could be required for the full activation of Tem1. Our analysis of \textit{LTE1} mutants suggests the latter.

\textit{Lte1} promotes exit from mitosis in multiple ways

\textit{Lte1} harbors homology to guanine nucleotide exchange factors (Shirayama et al., 1994). This observation previously led to the proposal that Lte1 may function as a GEF for Tem1 (Bardin et al., 2000). However, subsequent studies suggested that this was not the case. First, truncations of \textit{LTE1}'s GEF domains were shown to not affect the gene's ability to promote exit from mitosis when overexpressed (Yoshida et al., 2003). In contrast, deletion of \textit{LTE1}'s central domain was shown to be essential for exit from mitosis (Yoshida et al., 2003). Finally, \textit{Lte1} purified from yeast cells did not exhibit GEF activity toward Tem1 in vitro (Geymonat et al., 2009). These observations,
together with the finding that Lte1 prevented the small amounts of Kin4 that are present in the bud from associating with SPBs in the bud (Bertazzi et al., 2011; Falk et al., 2011), led to the conclusion that although Lte1 has homology to GEFs, it actually does not function as a MEN GEF. Instead, its GEF domains were proposed to anchor Lte1 at the bud cortex by binding to Ras (Yoshida et al., 2003; Seshan and Amon, 2005).

Our results show that Lte1 has functions besides inhibiting bud-localized Kin4 from associating with SPBs. kin4Δ spo12Δ lte1Δ cells exhibit a significantly longer anaphase delay than kin4Δ spo12Δ cells. In support of the idea that Lte1’s GEF domains provide this additional function, we find that a LTE1 mutant allele that contains only the protein’s GEF domains as the sole source of LTE1 function in cells is fully capable of performing LTE1’s mitotic exit functions. The lte1Δ-EcoRI mutant suppressed the lethality of a lte1Δ spo12Δ double mutant.

We find that whereas the GEF domains of LTE1 are essential for the protein’s mitotic exit–promoting functions under all growth conditions, the central domain of Lte1 becomes important for exit from mitosis only in cells in which large amounts of Kin4 localize to the bud, as is the case for cells with the KN4-S508A allele. This finding suggests that Lte1’s central domain mediates Kin4 inhibition. Indeed, we find that this region of Lte1 is required for Kin4 binding.

We therefore propose that Lte1 not only inhibits Kin4 but also functions as a GEF for Tem1. Indeed, the vast majority of GTPases have additional functions as a GEF for Tem1. The observation that the checkpoint arrest is reversible in FEAR network mutants suggests that both Lte1 and Tem1 have overlapping but distinct functions. Whereas Lte1’s GEF domains are sufficient to activate the MEN, it is important to note that Lte1 has never been shown to have GEF activity in vitro. Therefore both an in-depth biochemical analysis of Lte1 and further examination of how Lte1’s GEF domains activate the MEN are critical to elucidate the function of Lte1.

Why is cell cycle arrest in response to spindle mispositioning not absolute?

Budding yeast cells determine the site of cytokinesis as soon as they enter the cell cycle and must work to ensure that each daughter cell ends up with one nucleus after anaphase. Yeast cells have multiple redundant mechanisms to ensure that each daughter cell ends up with one nucleus after anaphase. The first level of control comprises two redundant pathways that align the spindle along the mother–bud axis. However, microtubules are inherently cold sensitive, and so at low temperatures especially, this can lead to spindle mispositioning. When this occurs, an additional layer of control, the so-called spindle position checkpoint, becomes important. This regulatory mechanism buys the cell time during anaphase so that it can thread the anaphase spindle into the bud.

It has long been known that not all cells arrest in anaphase in response to spindle mispositioning. Approximately 4–11% of cells, after an initial short anaphase delay, exit from mitosis, producing binucleate and anucleate cells (Adames et al., 2001; Falk et al., 2016). This observation could have been dismissed as a leakiness of the SPoC, that is, as being the result of suboptimal regulatory mechanisms. However, the observation that the checkpoint arrest is irreversible in FEAR network mutants raises the possibility that there is perhaps purpose to this leakiness. We propose that incomplete penetrance of the checkpoint arrest provides a survival advantage. During conditions that elicit spindle mispositioning and hence prolonged checkpoint arrest, such as low temperatures, it could be beneficial to escape the cell cycle arrest and have another attempt at producing a euploid daughter cell in the next cell division.

---

**FIGURE 8:** The GEF domains of Lte1 induce exit from mitosis.

(A, B) Tenfold serial dilutions of overnight cultures of wild type (A2587), pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 (A23686), KIN4-S508A (A21299), pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 KIN4-S508A (A24084), pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 KIN4-S508A pLTE1-lte1ΔEcoRI (AS336), pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 KIN4-S508A pLTE1-LTE1 (A38247), spo12Δ (A4874), pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 spo12Δ (A24543), pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 spo12Δ LTE1-lte1ΔEcoRI (AS338), and pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 spo12Δ LTE1-LTE1 (A38260) cells were spotted onto YEP plates containing either raffinose and galactose or glucose and incubated at 30°C.

(C, D) pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 (A23686), KIN4-S508A (A21299), pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 KIN4-S508A (A24084), pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 KIN4-S508A pLTE1-lte1ΔEcoRI (AS336), pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 KIN4-S508A pLTE1-LTE1 (A38247), spo12Δ (A4874), pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 spo12Δ LTE1-lte1ΔEcoRI (AS338), and pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 spo12Δ LTE1-LTE1 (A38260) cells were spotted onto YEP plates containing either raffinose and galactose or glucose and incubated at 30°C. Samples were taken at the indicated times to determine the percentage of anaphase cells (n = 200). Note that the data for C and D are from the same experiment, and so the pGAL1-10-URL-3HA-LTE1 data from C are also shown in D.
Checkpoint leakiness is not unique to the SpoC: cell-to-cell variability in the robustness of the spindle assembly checkpoint and the DNA damage checkpoint has also been reported (Galgoczy and Toczyski, 2001; Musacchio and Salmon, 2007). As in the SpoC, cells that are held in a checkpoint arrest due to DNA damage or lack of spindle tension do not benefit from indefinitely maintaining this arrest. Instead, many cells adapt to the checkpoint stress and continue through the cell cycle still harboring DNA lesions, improperly segregated chromosomes, or the wrong number of nuclei. Understanding the mechanisms that allow some cells but not others to escape the SpoC-mediated anaphase arrest will be an important next step in understanding the MEN and checkpoint control of the cell cycle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and plasmids

All yeast strains used in this study were derivatives of W303 (AD2587). Strain genotypes are listed in Supplemental Table S1. Culturing conditions are described in the figure legends. The pFA6-A3V5-IAA17-KanMX6, pGPD1-OstTIR1-LEU2, and pGPD1-osTIR:His3 auxin depletion plasmids were constructed by Leon Chan, Thomas Eng, and Vincent Guacci (D. Koshland and K. Weis labs at the University of California, Berkeley). The CDC15-HA3-LEU2 and CDC15-HA3-7A-LEU2 strains are described in Jaspersen et al. (1998). The GFP-TUB1 construct is described in Straight et al. (1997). The mCherry-TUB1 fusion is described in Khmelinskii et al. (2007).

To generate the pLTE1-lte1 and pLTE1-lte1-AEcoRI plasmids, plasmid pNH605-pMET25-LTE1 (p1946) was digested with EcoRI to generate pNH605-pMET25-lte1-AEcoRI (plasmid 11). Plasmids 1946 and 11 were then digested with PspOMI and XhoI to replace the MET25 promoter with the LTE1 promoter to generate pNH605-pLTE1-LTE1 (plasmid 23) and pNH605-pLTE1-lte1-AEcoRI (plasmid 10). pNH605-pLTE1-LTE1 and pNH605-pLTE1-lte1-AEcoRI were digested with PmeI for integration at the LEU2 locus. pNH605-pLTE1-LTE1 and pNH605-pLTE1-lte1-AEcoRI were GFP-tagged by standard PCR-based techniques (Longtine et al., 1998).

Live-cell imaging

All time-lapse imaging was performed at 25°C using Y04C Celllassic microfluidic chambers (EMD Millipore Billerica, MA). Images were captured using a Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1 inverted microscope (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY) equipped with a Heliophor Pumped Phosphor Light Engine (89 North, Chroma Technology, Bellows Falls, VT) and a CoolSNAP HQ2 camera. Indirect immunofluorescence for α-tubulin (Tub1) was performed as described previously (Kilmartin and Adams, 1984; Hochwagen et al., 2005).

Dbf2 kinase assay

The kinase activity of Dbf2 was assayed as previously described (Visintin and Amon 2001) with the following modifications. Immunoprecipitation of Dbf2-3Myc was performed on ~5 mg of total protein with an anti-c-MYC antibody coupled to agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) for 90 min at 4°C with rotation. Kinase reactions were performed for 60 min with constant mixing using Histone H1 (Roche) as a substrate. Histone H1 phosphorylation was measured using a Typhoon Trio variable mode imager (GE Healthcare). Immunoblot of total Dbf2-Myc was measured using ECL Plus (GE Healthcare) and fluorescence imaging.

Western blot analysis

Cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 5% trichloroacetic acid, and incubated for at least 10 min on ice. They were then washed in acetone, and the cell pellet was left to dry. Cells were then lysed in sample buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA [ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid], 2.5 mM dithiothreitol) using a bead mill and glass beads. SDS sample buffer was added to the lysate, which was then boiled for 5 min. Cdc15 was detected using a mouse anti-hemagglutinin antibody at a 1:500 dilution (HA.11; Covance). A rabbit anti-Kar2 antibody was used as a loading control and used at 1:200,000.
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