Topologically protected fermionic quasiparticles appear in metals, where band degeneracies occur at the Fermi level, dictated by the band structure topology. While in some metals these quasiparticles are direct analogues of elementary fermionic particles of the relativistic quantum field theory, other metals can have symmetries that give rise to quasiparticles, fundamentally different from those known in high-energy physics. Here, we report on a new type of topological quasiparticles—triple point fermions—realized in metals with nonsymmorphic crystal structure, which host crossings of three bands in the vicinity of the Fermi level protected by point group symmetries. We find two topologically different types of triple point fermions, both distinct from any other topological quasiparticles reported to date. We provide examples of existing materials that host triple point fermions of both types and discuss a variety of physical phenomena associated with these quasiparticles, such as the occurrence of topological surface Fermi arcs, transport anomalies, and topological Lifshitz transitions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Materials with nontrivial band structure topology, apart from possible technological applications, provide a test ground for the concepts of fundamental physics theories in relatively cheap condensed matter experiments. For example, the recent discovery of Weyl semimetals in the TaAs materials class [1–5] provided materials, where two bands cross linearly at isolated points in momentum space, called Weyl points (WPs) [6]. These WPs occur close to the Fermi level, and hence, the low-energy excitations in these metals are described by the Weyl equation of the relativistic quantum field theory, thus allowing for experimental studies of Weyl fermions, examples of which in high-energy physics are still lacking.

Another example of a topological material hosting a quasiparticle analogue of an elementary particle is that of Dirac semimetals [7–10]. These are centrosymmetric nonmagnetic materials that host Dirac points (DPs)—points of linear crossing of two doubly degenerate bands in momentum space. When DPs are located close to the Fermi level, the low-energy excitations of the hosting metal are described by the Dirac equation, and thus become direct analogues of Dirac electrons in high-energy theories.

More recently, it was shown that a variety of possible symmetries realized in solids also allow for the existence of topological quasiparticle excitations, which do not have direct analogues in the standard model [11–17], rendering novel physical behavior to the hosting compounds. Classification and description of possible topologically protected quasiparticles in solids, along with the identification of material candidates, becomes of major importance for the progress in materials science and technology, as well as in general condensed matter theory.

Several of the newly predicted topological fermionic quasiparticles appear in crystal structures that belong to nonsymmorphic space groups, containing symmetries combined of a point group symmetry operation followed by translation by a fraction of the primitive unit cell vector [12,14–16,18]. However, topological quasiparticles hosted by nonsymmorphic space groups that contain point group symmetry operations only are also not fully classified to date.

Here, we report on a triple point (TP) fermionic quasiparticle that is realized in metallic band structures as a topologically protected crossing point of three bands, two of which are degenerate along a high-symmetry direction in momentum space. Being topologically distinct from the previously discussed three-band crossings occurring in nonsymmorphic crystal structures [16], this TP fermion appears in symmorphic structures, the list of which
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is provided below. We also find several nonsymmorphic space groups allowing for TP fermions, where the symmetry conditions for the appearance of TPs coincide with those of symmorphic space groups. TP fermions come in two topologically different variants accompanied by either one (type A) or four (type B) nodal lines, along which the valence and conduction bands of a metal are degenerate, as illustrated in Fig. 1 [19].

Both types of TP fermions produce topologically protected Fermi arcs on the surfaces of the hosting TP topological metals (TPTMs) and have gapless Landau level spectrum when subject to symmetry-preserving magnetic fields, suggesting the possible observation of transport anomalies in these materials. Moreover, we predict series of doping-driven topological Lifshitz transitions in TPTMs, and their transition to a Weyl semimetal phase under certain lattice distortions.

We predict a type-A TPTM phase to be realized in the ZrTe family of compounds, where, in some cases, TPs come to interplay with other topological features of the band structure, allowing for an experimental study of coexisting topological quasiparticles. Type-B TP is realized in CuPt-ordered InAs$_{0.5}$Sb$_{0.5}$ [20], as well as in HgTe, strained along the (111) direction [21]. We also provide a list of space groups that can host candidate materials better suited for the experimental verification of the type-B TPTM phase.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the conditions for the appearance of the two types of TPs, describe the topological difference between them, provide a list of hosting space groups, and describe the transition to the Weyl semimetal phase. Section III provides microscopic model Hamiltonians for a generic TP, which is used to describe the topological Lifshitz transitions in TPTMs. In Sec. IV, we introduce a family of experimentally known materials that host the type-A TPTM phase and provide a detailed description of their band structures. We also use the example of the predicted family of compounds to discuss the topological surface states arising in TPTMs and the response of these materials to external magnetic fields.

### II. CLASSIFICATION OF SYMMORPHIC TRIPLE POINTS

The realization of a symmorphic TP at a momentum $k$ in the Brillouin zone (BZ) of a crystal structure requires the little group of $k$ to contain both one- and two-dimensional double group representations. Thus, TPs appear on high-symmetry lines in the BZ, where the little group of $k$ is $C_{3v}$, whose elements are threefold rotation $C_3$ and 3 mirrors $\sigma_v$, containing the $C_3$ axis, rotated by 120 deg relative to each other [22–24]. (We also find one notable exception from this rule given by space group 174 ($C_{3h}^1$), where the interplay of time-reversal (TR) and mirror symmetry on the $C_3$-symmetric line allows for both one- and two-dimensional double group representations.) This criterion allows us to identify all the space groups that can host TP fermions on a line.

The results are summarized in Table I. Note that the little group on the high-symmetry axis of the type-B TPTMs is exactly $C_{3v}$, while for type-A TPTMs it is supplemented by an additional antiunitary symmetry. This symmetry is the product of the mirror plane $\sigma_h$, orthogonal to the $C_3$ axis and time reversal. Its presence preserves the existence of doubly and singly degenerate representations, and, hence, allows for the existence of TPs. In our consideration, we also include nonsymmorphic space groups, such that the TP crossing includes the same irreducible representations as found in the symmorphic space groups.

The topological classification of TPs into type A and type B stems from the different numbers of accompanying nodal lines, and also from the fact that the nodal lines
TABLE I. Space groups allowing for TPs of different types with TR symmetry. The points can appear on high-symmetry lines in the Brillouin zone: Γ–A line \([\Delta = (0, 0, \alpha)]\) and \(K-H\) line \([P = (-1/3, 2/3, \alpha)\)]. Commonly used notations change for the space groups 160 and 161, for which the lines (points) are \(\Gamma-P_2\ \left[\Delta = (\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)\right]\) and, in case the lattice constants fulfill \(\sqrt{3}a > \sqrt{2}c\), also \(P_0-T\ \left[P = (1/2 - \alpha, 1/2 - \alpha, -1/2 - \alpha)\right]\). Note that the space groups 158, 159, 161, 184–186, 188, and 190 are nonsymmetric, but the TPs exist on lines where the nonsymmetry does not change the irreducible representations; thus, they are identical to the TPs found in symmorphic space groups. We note that, in addition, the groups 162–167 and 191–194 admit type-B TPs provided time-reversal symmetry is broken in a way preserving \(C_{3v}\) representations on a line in the Brillouin zone. Some of the cubic space groups also allow triple band crossings, but due to the cubic symmetry, a special situation arises, which is discussed in more detail in Appendix A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TP type (\Gamma-A) ((\Delta)) or (\Gamma-P_2) ((\Lambda))</th>
<th>(K-H) ((P)) or (P_0-T) ((P))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type A</td>
<td>174, 187–190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type B</td>
<td>156–161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

accompanying the two types of TPs are topologically distinct. Because of the three vertical mirror planes, the Berry phase \(\varphi_B\) accumulated by valence bands on any mirror-symmetric path [shown in gray in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)] enclosing the corresponding nodal line is quantized to be either 0 or \(\pi\) [25, 26]. The nodal line of type-A TP topological metals has \(\varphi_B = 0\), while all the lines of type-B TPs have \(\varphi_B = \pi\).

These values are consistent with the band structure plots, shown in Figs. 1(c)–1(f). In type-A TPTMs the crossing of conduction and valence (occupied and unoccupied) bands occurs on a high-symmetry line and is quadratic, while for the type-B phase this quadratic touching point splits into two points, where the bands cross linearly. The presence of nodal lines with nontrivial Berry phase, as is the case for type B, is generally associated with the appearance of surface states [26, 27]. The merging and subsequent annihilation of nodal lines is similar to the nexus point discussed in the context of \(^3\text{He}-A\) and Bernal-stacked graphite with neglected spin-orbit coupling (SOC) [28–31]. We stress, however, that the scenarios discussed in the present work take full account of SOC.

Analogous to WPs [6], the minimal number of TPs in the BZ is four for materials preserving TR symmetry. A pair of TPs located on a \(C_{3v}\)-symmetric line can be split into four WPs by lowering the \(C_{3v}\) symmetry to \(C_3\) (breaking \(\sigma_v\)), which can be done by a small Zeeman field parallel to the \(C_3\) axis or by an atomic distortion. Conversely, imposing inversion symmetry onto the atomic structure makes the two TPs merge into a single DP. Hence, the TPTMs can be viewed as an intermediate phase separating Dirac and Weyl semimetals in materials with a \(C_{3v}\)-symmetric line in the BZ.

III. MICROSCOPIC MODELS AND LIFSHITZ TRANSITIONS

To analyze the physical properties of TP fermions, we now introduce \(k \cdot p\) models for TPTMs. An example of such a model for a type-B TP was provided in Ref. [20] for the CuPt-ordered InAs\(_{0.5}\)Sb\(_{0.5}\) (space group 160 \(C_{2h}^5\)). Here, we concentrate on the type-A TP, which can, for example, be realized in \(D_{3h}\) and \(C_{3v}\) according to Table I.

This space groups has all the symmetries of \(C_{3v}\), supplemented by a mirror \(\sigma_h\) that is orthogonal to the threefold axis. Combined with TR \(\theta\), this symmetry changes the little group of \(C_{3v}\) by adding the following antiunitary symmetry \(\theta \cdot \sigma_h\): \((k_x, k_y, k_z) \rightarrow (-k_x, -k_y, k_z)\), where \(k_z\) is aligned with the \(C_{3v}\) axis. Note that \(\theta \cdot \sigma_h\) acts similarly to a twofold axis (although with an antiunitary representation) and thus makes the four nodal lines scenario of type-B TPs incompatible with the \(D_{3h}\) point group in nonmagnetic materials. As a result, the \(k \cdot p\) model for the type-A TP is different from that of type B, and can be written as (see Appendix B for the model derivation)

\[
H_{k,p}^{TPA} = \begin{pmatrix}
E_0 + A_1k_z & 0 & -i\omega Ck_y & i\omega Ck_y \\
0 & -E_0 + A_2k_z & -\omega Dk_y & -\omega Dk_x \\
i\omega Ck_y & -\omega Dk_y & Bk_z & 0 \\
i\omega Ck_y & -\omega Dk_y & 0 & Bk_z
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(\omega = -1 + \sqrt{2} - i\). In the following, we use \(E_0 = 30\ \text{meV}\), \(A_1 = A_2 = 1.4\ \text{eVÅ}\), \(B = -1.0\ \text{eVÅ}\), and \(C = D = 1.0\ \text{eVÅ}\). We use the above \(k \cdot p\) model for the illustrations of the type-A TPTM and the model of Ref. [20] for the type-B illustrations in Figs. 1 and 2. Further details of \(k \cdot p\) modeling of TPTMs, including the model for the type-B TP, are found in Appendix B.

Using the models of Eq. (1) and the one of Ref. [20], we analyze the Lifshitz transitions in the TPTMs. The nodal lines of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) guarantee that several Fermi surfaces touch within a finite energy window in between two TPs. Figure 2(a) illustrates the fixed \(k_y = 0\) cuts of the Fermi surface for the Fermi level \(E_F\) placed above, below, and in between the two TPs, representing three topologically distinct Fermi surfaces. At each of the two TPs a topological Lifshitz transition takes place: one of the Fermi pockets shrinks to a point reopening either inside or outside another Fermi pocket. When the \(E_F\) is placed in between the two TPs there appears a topologically protected touching point between electron and hole pockets, similar to the type-II WP scenario [11]. In Appendix E we provide a real material illustration of the Lifshitz transitions in type-A TPTM.
FIG. 2. (a) [(b)] Fermi surfaces for type-A [type-B] triple point topological metals at three different energy cuts: below, between, and above the two triple points. The three small insets in (b) show that for the type-B scenario there are several distinct touching points between the Fermi pockets. (c) Band structure around the Fermi levels for a small Zeeman field parallel to the C3 axis. (d) Fermi surface of type-A triple point topological metal with a small Zeeman field. In (c) and (d) the Chern numbers of WPs and Fermi surfaces are marked in red (+1) and blue (−1).

The Lifshitz transitions occurring in type-B TPTMs are illustrated in Fig. 2(b). The difference from the type-A transitions is that a single touching point between the Fermi pockets (the point of quadratic band touching) now splits into four points (or two linear band touchings on each mirror plane) due to the breaking of \( \sigma_z \) [see insets of Fig. 2(b)]. Interesting spin textures with changing winding numbers were predicted for a (111)-strained HgTe [21] and (without referring to nontrivial band structure topology and topological surface states) strained HgTe [21], here we provide a list of material candidates for type-A TPTMs that have not been discussed to date.

We find the type-A TPTM phase in a family of two-element metals \( AB \) \((A = \{ \text{Zr, Nb, Mo, Ta, W} \}, B = \{ \text{C, N, P, S, Te} \})\) listed in Table II. These materials have a WC-type structure that belongs to space group \( P6/m2 \) \((D_{3h}^1 = 187)\). The primitive unit cell, shown in Fig. 3(a), consists of two atoms A and B at Wyckoff positions \( 1a \) \((0,0,0) \) and \( 1d \) \((\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}) \), respectively. The corresponding bulk BZ is shown in Fig. 3(b) along with the \((001)\) and \((010)\) surface BZs.

TABLE II. A list of type-A triple point topological metal candidates. Mirror Chern numbers \( C_{m=\pm 1} \) in the \( k_z = 0 \) and \( k_z = \pi \) planes are listed along with the energies of TPs relative to the Fermi level. We define \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) to be a pair of TPs closest to the Fermi level. References to experimental works reporting lattice parameters for the compounds are also listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>( C_{m=\pm 1}(k_z=0) )</th>
<th>( C_{m=\pm 1}(k_z=\pi) )</th>
<th>( E(G_1) ) [eV]</th>
<th>( E(G_2) ) [eV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoC [35]</td>
<td>Nodal line ( \mp 1 )</td>
<td>( 0.5119 )</td>
<td>(-0.5723)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC [36]</td>
<td>Nodal line ( \mp 1 )</td>
<td>( 0.3571 )</td>
<td>(-0.3286)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN [37]</td>
<td>Nodal line ( \mp 1 )</td>
<td>(-1.2801)</td>
<td>( 1.0544)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrTe [38]</td>
<td>( \mp 1 )</td>
<td>( 0.0885 )</td>
<td>( 0.0438)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoP [39]</td>
<td>( \mp 1 )</td>
<td>(-0.2400)</td>
<td>(-0.3707)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoN [40,41]</td>
<td>( 0 )</td>
<td>(-1.3724)</td>
<td>( 0.5984)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaN [37]</td>
<td>( 0 )</td>
<td>( 0.0632 )</td>
<td>( 0.2404)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NbN [37]</td>
<td>( 0 )</td>
<td>( 0.1825 )</td>
<td>( 0.1513)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NbS [42]</td>
<td>( \mp 1 )</td>
<td>(-1.0090)</td>
<td>( 0.2601)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Band structures with and without SOC

We perform \( ab \) \( initio \) simulations (see Appendix C for numerical details) of all the materials listed in Table II. For brevity, in Fig. 4 we illustrate only the band structures of ZrTe, WC, and TaN, which we use as representative materials.
In the absence of SOC there is a band inversion at \( K \) and \( K' \) points in ZrTe and WC [Figs. 4(a) and 4(c)], resulting in a nodal ring in the \( k_z = 0 \) plane protected by \( \sigma_h \), while this band inversion is absent in TaN. The common feature of all materials is that along the \( C_3v \) symmetric \( \Gamma - A \) line there is a band crossing of a singly and doubly degenerate bands due to the inversion of the singly (\( \Lambda_1 \)) and the doubly degenerate (\( \Lambda_3 \)) states at \( A \). This crossing produces a single no-SOC TP, and it is this feature that generates four TPs upon introducing SOC.

All the considered materials have sizable SOC, which cannot be neglected. Because of the lack of inversion symmetry, the bands are spin split at generic momenta, as shown in Figs. 4(b), 4(d), and 4(f). We find a band inversion along the \( H-A-L \) line such that the \( A \) point acquires an inverted gap for all materials. Consequently, the \( k_z = \pi \) plane becomes an analogue of a 2D quantum spin Hall insulator in all of the compounds [43]. Topological confirmation of the presence of band inversion is given by the nontrivial values of the mirror Chern numbers [44] on the \( \sigma_h \) plane listed in Table II (see Appendix F for more details).

In ZrTe the nodal ring around \( K \) and \( K' \) points acquires a small gap [see also the inset in Fig. 4(b)]. Interestingly, WC (together with MoC and WN) remains a nodal line metal [see inset Fig. 4(d)]. There exist two nodal rings (one inside another) formed by two touching bands protected by the horizontal mirror \( \sigma_h \). For WN there is only a single such nodal ring around each \( K \) and \( K' \). The nodal rings are found to be quite far from the Fermi level. We find the inner (outer) nodal rings of WC 0.72 eV (0.64 eV), the inner (outer) nodal ring of MoC at 0.39 eV (0.35 eV) above the \( E_F \), while the single nodal ring of WN is 1.69 eV below \( E_F \).

We specifically check the stability of nodal lines in WC with tensile strain in the \( z \) direction, and furthermore find that the inner nodal ring can be removed by applying a compressive strain of at least \( -0.2\% \) (see Appendix C3), leaving a single nodal ring. We further calculate the band structure using the HSE06 hybrid functional [45] to check for a possible underestimation of the band gap and find that the topological features of the materials discussed above are preserved (see Appendix C2 for details).

In Fig. 4(g), we show a zoom-in of the \( \Gamma - A \) line in ZrTe. The Fermi level resides in between the \( \Gamma_9 \) and \( \Gamma_8 \) points at \( A \). Upon turning on the SOC the no-SOC \( \Lambda_3 \) state splits into the singly degenerate \( \Lambda_4 + \Lambda_5 \) states and the doubly degenerate \( \Lambda_6 \) state. Another \( \Lambda_6 \) state comes from the no-SOC \( \Lambda_4 \). The two \( \Lambda_6 \) states hybridize and each of them crosses with the spin-split \( \Lambda_{4,5} \) states creating two pairs of TPs: \( (G_1, G_2) \) and \( (G_3, G_4) \). Each TP is protected by the \( C_{3v} \) symmetry of the \( \Gamma - A \) line. In Figs. 4(h) and 4(i), we show the dispersion in the (100) direction for \( k_z \) tuned to the position of \( G_1 \), \( G_2 \), respectively. A linear band crossing superimposed with a quadratic band resembles a WP.
degenerate with a quadratic band, similar to the findings of Ref. [20] for type-B TPTMs. Again, band inversion is the mechanism leading to the formation of TPs.

### B. Topological surface states

The surface states of the above compounds are calculated with the software package Wannier_tools [46], using the symmetrized Wannier-based tight-binding (TB) model detailed in Appendix D, and the iterative Green’s function method [47,48]. In Figs. 4(j) and 4(k), we present the surface states of ZrTe for the (010) surface. The surface potential is found to depend strongly on the termination choice: Zr [Te] termination is shown in Fig. 4(j) [Fig. 4(k)]. Since the \( k_z = \pi \) plane is a quantum spin Hall insulator plane, a Kramers doublet of surface states should appear along the \( \tilde{A} \tilde{R} \) line of the surface BZ. Indeed, we find a surface Dirac cone SS1 located at \( \tilde{A} \) (\( \tilde{R} \)) for Zr (Te) termination. The surface states forming the Dirac cone emerge from the TPs \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \). For \( k_z \) values below the location of \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \), there exists another pair of surface states SS1’ emerging from the TPs. SS1’, however, is not topologically protected, since there is no topological invariant to guarantee its appearance.

The \( K' \) point of the bulk BZ is projected onto the \( \Gamma - \tilde{X} \) line in Figs. 4(j) and 4(k) [compare to Fig. 3(b)]. A small gap due to SOC can be visible in the projected bulk spectrum around the projection of \( K \) point (shown with an arrow). For ZrTe, the \( k_z = 0 \) mirror plane hosts a quantum spin Hall phase with the mirror Chern numbers \( \pm 1 \); thus, one can expect to see a Kramers pair of topological surface state along the line \( \tilde{X} \leftarrow \Gamma \rightarrow -\tilde{X} \). This expectation can be further supported by the Berry curvature calculation in the \( k_z = 0 \) plane. It reveals the accumulation of Berry curvature in an area around the \( K \) (\( K' \)) point that sums up to approximately \(-1 \) (1) (see Appendix F). In accord with this topological argument, we do find a quantum-Hall-like surface state SS2 crossing the gap along \( \tilde{\Gamma} \tilde{X} \) (its Kramers partner is not shown, being at TR-symmetric part of the surface BZ). The choice of surface termination flips the sign of velocity of SS2. This flip is related to the fact that one of the terminations is obtained from the top surface, while the other one is obtained from the bottom one, and, hence, both pictures correspond to the same surface state (the component of the \( k \) vector orthogonal to the surface is reverted). We thus conclude that on a (010) surface there exist two topologically protected surface states, potentially observable in ARPES: SS1 and SS2.

Figures 4(l) and 4(m) show the (010) surface Fermi surface revealing double Fermi arcs between the two hole pockets containing the TPs, corresponding to SS1. The state SS2 is not visible for this choice of the Fermi level. For Te termination the Fermi arcs connect the two hole pockets, while they do not touch them for the Zr termination. In both cases, however, the surface states are protected by TR and mirror symmetry of the \( k_z = \pi \) plane, so they can not be fully removed from the spectrum.

While the topological protection of the Fermi arcs of Weyl semimetals, in general, do not rely on crystalline symmetries [6], the Fermi arcs of Dirac semimetals are, in general, not protected away from TR symmetric planes \( k_z = 0, \pi \) and can be subject to hybridization [49]. Still, in Dirac semimetals Cd₃As₂ and Na₃Bi, closed surface Fermi contours, connecting the two DPs, are found both numerically and experimentally [7,10,50]. For ZrTe, Fig. 4(l) realizes an exposed closed Fermi contour scenario, showing that the Fermi arcs of TPs generally do not need to connect different nontrivial carrier pockets.

To further establish this point, we model the behavior of the surface states using the \( k \cdot p \) model of Appendix B [Eq. (B4)] parametrized to have a single surface Dirac cone located at \( \tilde{A} \). The \( k \cdot p \) model we use allows for tuning between inversion symmetric and asymmetric band structures (see Appendix B). In Fig. 5, we compare the surface states obtained from this model with and without inversion symmetry. In the presence of inversion and TR symmetry, the two TPs merge into a fourfold degenerate DP. Across all energies in the gap the two hole pockets around \( \tilde{A} \) are connected by two Fermi arcs, and the surface state on the \( k_z \) axis is twofold degenerate. Breaking of inversion symmetry then splits the DP into two TPs. Each TP contributes a single nondegenerate surface state. Since the two surface states are split along the \( k_z \) axis, the Fermi arcs are not required to connect the two hole pockets. Instead, one finds a topological-insulator-like Dirac cone around \( \tilde{A} \) which is still protected by TR and \( \sigma_h \) symmetries. The splitting of the DP into two TPs thus explains the opening of Fermi arcs in ZrTe.

### C. Landau levels

The topologically nontrivial nature of Weyl semimetals reveals itself in magnetotransport. Type-I WPs produce...
gaps exist for certain directions of the applied magnetic field.

We find that magnetotransport properties of TPs also depend on the direction of an applied magnetic field. A C₃ preserving magnetic field (along the C₃ axis) does not gap the Landau level spectrum of a TP, but instead each TP contributes a single chiral Landau level. However, if the field is applied in a C₃-breaking direction, the Landau level spectrum becomes gapped. Such a direction dependence also occurs in Dirac semimetals [56], further supporting the view of TPTMs as an intermediate state between Dirac and Weyl semimetals.

To illustrate our claims, we obtain the Landau levels by performing a Peierls substitution of kₓ and kᵧ in the k · p Hamiltonian by

\[ k_x = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}l_B} [a^\dagger - a] \] and

\[ k_y = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}l_B} [a + a^\dagger], \] with

\[ l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB} \]

the magnetic length and \( a^\dagger \), \( a \) the raising and lowering operators

\[ a^\dagger |n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle \] and

\[ a |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle. \]

The result-ant Landau level spectrum calculated with a k · p model of ZrTe [see Eq. (B3) of Appendix B] is shown in Fig. 6 for a magnetic field of 20 T applied in the (001) direction. With the magnetic field, a pair of TPs G₁ and G₂ turns into two chiral Landau levels with opposite chirality. The two chiral Landau levels are required to cross, as illustrated by the inset in Fig. 6, resulting in a gapless Landau level spectrum, which suggests strong signatures of the TPTM phase to be observable in magnetotransport.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we introduce the notion of a triple point topological metal, illustrating the topology mediated observable phenomena associated with this class of materials. We identify two topologically distinct classes of TPTMs and provide material examples for all of them. Our work also specifies the space groups that host the TPTM phase, allowing for future discovery of hosting compounds. We believe that our work will allow for further progress in understanding topological phenomena in solids and identification of topological materials with potential applications in technology. In particular, we expect low-temperature applications to arise due to the presence of direction-dependent magnetotransport in TP materials.
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Note added.—Recently, Ref. [57] appeared, discussing some of the topological properties of TaN—one of the materials we propose for type-A triple points in this work. Related works, Refs. [58,59], have also appeared recently.

APPENDIX A: k · p TRIPLE POINTS IN CUBIC SPACE GROUPS

In Table I we list space groups allowing for TPs of different types with TR symmetry. In addition, the cubic space groups 215–220 allow for type-B TPs along the Λ high-symmetry line \( [Λ = (α, α, α)] \), and for space groups 217 and 220 additionally along the F line \( [F = (1/4 + α, 1/4 − 3α, 1/4 + α)] \). The case of the non-symmorphic space group 220 has also been treated in Ref. [16]. Because of the lack of a horizontal mirror, these TPs are all of type B. The situation is complicated by the fact that the \( Λ_{4,5} \) and \( Λ_6 \) states are degenerate at \( Γ \) (the same is true for the \( H \) and \( P \) point connected by the \( F \) line in space group 217) forming the four-dimensional \( Γ_8 \) representation. This is depicted in Fig. 7 and leads generically to eight TPs near a \( Γ_8 \) crossing along the eight equivalent \( Λ \) directions. It has been pointed out in Ref. [21] that HgTe realizes such a scenario. Since the \( Λ_{4,5} \) and \( Λ_6 \) states are also degenerate at \( Γ \), there is no band inversion associated
with these TPs, and they correspond to the trivial scenario according to the topological classification given in Appendix G. In principle, the \( \Lambda_{6,5} \) states could cross with a different \( \Lambda_{6} \) state (e.g., from an electronlike \( \Gamma_{6} \) or \( \Gamma_{7} \) state—going into a single \( \Lambda_{6} \) state—with lower energy than the \( \Gamma_{8} \) state), thus generating also nontrivial TPs. We note that, in addition, the cubic space groups \( 221 \) and \( 4 \) admit type-B TPs provided TR symmetry is broken in a way preserving \( C_{3v} \) representations on a line in the Brillouin zone.

\[
C_{3} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad R_{C_{3}} = -I_{2\times 2} \bigoplus \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix} \bigoplus \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\sigma_{x} = \text{diag}\{-1, 1, 1\}, \quad R_{\sigma_{x}} = -i\tau_{x} \bigoplus i\tau_{z} \bigoplus i\tau_{x},
\]

\[
\sigma_{y} = \text{diag}\{1, 1, -1\}, \quad R_{\sigma_{y}} = i\tau_{x} \bigoplus -i\tau_{y} \bigoplus i\tau_{y},
\]

\[
\text{TR} = \text{diag}\{-1, -1, -1\}, \quad R_{\text{TR}} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\
1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\
1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0
\end{pmatrix} \bigoplus -i\tau_{y} \bigoplus -i\tau_{y},
\]  \tag{B2}

with \( \tau_{x}, \tau_{y} \) and \( \tau_{z} \) being the Pauli matrices and \( I_{2\times 2} \) the identity.

Considering the constraint Eq. (B1) for all symmetries above, one obtains the following Hamiltonian:

\[
H_{kp}^{\Lambda_{6}} = \begin{pmatrix}
e_{1}(k) + A k_{z} & 0 & \omega E k_{x} & -\omega E k_{y} & -i\omega D k_{x} & i\omega D k_{y} \\
0 & e_{1}(k) - A k_{z} & i\omega E k_{y} & i\omega E k_{x} & -\omega D k_{y} & -\omega D k_{x} \\
\omega^{*} E k_{x} & -i\omega^{*} E k_{y} & e_{2}(k) & 0 & -C k_{y} - i B k_{z} & C k_{x} \\
-\omega^{*} E k_{y} & i\omega^{*} E k_{x} & 0 & e_{2}(k) & C k_{y} & C k_{y} - i B k_{z} \\
i\omega^{*} D k_{x} & -i\omega^{*} D k_{y} & -C k_{x} + i B k_{z} & C k_{x} & e_{3}(k) & 0 \\
-i\omega^{*} D k_{y} & -i\omega^{*} D k_{x} & C k_{x} & C k_{y} + i B k_{z} & 0 & e_{3}(k)
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{B3}
\]

using the definitions \( \omega = -1 + \sqrt{2} - i \) and \( e_{i}(k) = E_{i} + F_{i}(k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2}) + G_{i} k_{z}^{2} \) and \( k \) relative to the A point. Via fitting to the ZrTe band structure, we obtain the following parameters for Eq. (B3): \( E_{1} = -0.0391, \quad E_{2} = 1.3709, \quad E_{3} = 0.0391, \quad F_{1} = 2.2, \quad F_{2} = -12.64, \quad F_{3} = 1.5, \quad G_{1} = 3.75, \quad G_{2} = -0.5, \quad G_{3} = 4.25, \quad A = 0.17, \quad B = 0.24, \quad C = 2.9, \quad D = 0.05, \) and \( E = 2.55. \)
Now, a minimal model, which captures the inversion of the \( \Lambda_6 \) and the \( \Lambda_{4/5} \) states but leaves out the higher energy \( \Lambda_6 \) coming from the \( \Gamma_9 \) representation, is constructed. By removing the \( \Gamma_9 \) states and their interactions from Eq. (B3), a \( 4 \times 4 \) model is obtained:

\[
H^{A,4 \times 4}_{k,p} = \begin{pmatrix}
    e_1(k) + A_kz & 0 & -ioDk_x & ioDk_y \\
    0 & e_1(k) - A_kz & -\omega Dk_y & -\omega Dk_x \\
    io^* Dk_x & -\omega^* Dk_y & e_3(k) & 0 \\
    -io^* Dk_y & -\omega^* Dk_x & 0 & e_3(k)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

To simulate the interaction of the two \( \Lambda_6 \) bands, we add a fourth-order term to \( e_3(k) = E_3 + F_3(k_x^2 + k_y^2) + G_3k_z^2 + H_3k_z^4 \). We find the following parameters via fitting to the band structure of ZrTe: \( E_1 = -0.0391 \), \( E_2 = 0.0391 \), \( F_1 = 2.2 \), \( F_3 = 3.2 \), \( G_1 = 4.5 \), \( G_3 = -7.3 \), \( H_1 = 0 \), \( H_3 = -7.3 \), \( A = 0.17 \), and \( D = 0.45 \). Note that the parameter \( A \) is the only one that breaks inversion symmetry in the above model. Setting \( A = 0 \), one obtains a \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) description of a Dirac semimetal. A four-band Hamiltonian describing the bands in the vicinity of the two TPs can be obtained using the representations used to obtain Eq. (B4). Instead of \( \sigma_h \) and TR only their product \( \theta \circ \sigma_h \) needs to be taken into account at a general \( \mathbf{k} \) point on the \( C_{3v} \) axis. The resultant Hamiltonian is given in Eq. (1). This is a realization of the type-A TPs introduced in the main text.

A uniform magnetic field can be added via a Zeeman term, which is given in our basis as

\[
H_{\text{Zeeman}} = h_z [\tau_x \Theta (-\tau_y)],
\]

with \( \tau_x \) and \( \tau_y \) Pauli matrices, and we use \( h_z = 0.002 \) in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d).

The \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) Hamiltonian given in Ref. [20] is different from Eq. (1) due to the absence of \( \sigma_h \) (or \( \theta \circ \sigma_h \)) symmetry in the corresponding point group. It realizes the type-B TP scenario, and is given here for completeness:

\[
H^{TPB}_{k,p} = \begin{pmatrix}
    E_0 + A_kz & 0 & Dk_y & Dk_x \\
    0 & -E_0 + A_kz & F^*k_x & -F^*k_y \\
    D^*k_y & Fk_x & Bk_z + Ck_x & Ck_y \\
    D^*k_x & -Fk_y & Ck_y & Bk_z - Ck_x
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

2. \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) model for the \( K \) point
A good \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) description of the topology and bands around \( K \) (or \( K' \)) requires at least eight states. The little group of the \( K \) points is \( C_{3v} \), and the \( \Gamma_7, \Gamma_{12}, \Gamma_{11}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_{12}, \Gamma_{10}, \Gamma_8 \), and \( \Gamma_7 \) states (see Table 57 of Ref. [22]) are determined to be relevant for constructing a \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) description. We use the following symmetry representations:

\[
C_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
    -1/2 & \sqrt{3}/2 \\
    \sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \\
    0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad R_{C_3} = \text{diag}\{e^{i\pi/3}, -1, -1, e^{i\pi/3}, -1, e^{-i\pi/3}, e^{-i\pi/3}, e^{i\pi/3}\},
\]

\[
\sigma_h = \text{diag}\{1, 1, -1\}, \quad R_{\sigma_h} = \text{diag}\{i, -i, i, -i, -i, i, -i, i\}.
\]

Considering the symmetries given above, the lowest-order Hamiltonian around \( K \) is given by

\[
H^K_{k,p} = \begin{pmatrix}
    e_1(k) & 0 & B_1k^+ & A_1k_z & 0 & B_3k^- & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & e_2(k) & -A_1k_z & B_2k^- & 0 & 0 & B_4k^+ & 0 \\
    B_1^*k^- & -A_1^*k_z & e_3(k) & 0 & A_2k_z & B_0k^+ & 0 & B_3k^- \\
    A_1^*k_z & B_2^*k^+ & 0 & e_4(k) & -B_0^*k^+ & 0 & B_6k^- & A_4k_z \\
    0 & 0 & A_2^*k_z & -B_0^*k^- & e_5(k) & 0 & B_4k^+ & 0 \\
    B_3^*k^+ & 0 & B_4^*k^+ & 0 & 0 & e_6(k) & A_3k_z & B_6k^+ \\
    0 & B_3^*k^- & 0 & B_6^*k^+ & B_7^*k^- & A_4^*k_z & e_7(k) & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & B_4^*k^- & A_4^*k_z & 0 & B_6^*k^- & 0 & e_8(k)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (B8)
\]
using $e_i$ defined as in Eq. (B3), $k^\pm = k_x \pm ik_y$, and $k$ relative to $K$. Since $K$ is not a TR invariant, momentum bands do not form doubly degenerate Kramers pairs at this point. For the $k \cdot p$ model around the $K$ point, we obtain the following parameters via fitting to the ZrTe band structure: $E_1 = -0.0979$, $E_2 = -0.0671$, $E_3 = 0.6538$, $E_4 = 0.8393$, $E_5 = 1.0661$, $E_6 = 1.1351$, $E_7 = 1.2145$, $E_8 = 1.2774$, $F_1 = F_2 = 3.6$, $F_3 = F_4 = -2.0$, $F_5 = F_6 = 0.0$, $F_7 = F_8 = 1.5$, $G_1 = G_2 = 3.6$, $G_3 = G_4 = -0.2$, $G_5 = G_6 = 2.0$, $G_7 = G_8 = -3.0$, $A_1 = A_2 = 4.0$, $A_2 = 0.2$, $A_3 = 0$, $A_4 = 0$, $B_1 = 0.2 - i0.1$, $B_2 = 0.02 - i0.01$, $B_3 = 0.2$, $B_4 = -0.2$, $B_5 = -1.0 + i4.0$, $B_6 = -4.0 + i1.0$, $B_7 = 3.0 + i0.5$, $B_8 = -0.5 + i3.0$, and $B_9 = 1.5$.

The Weyl points reported in Ref. [59] are also described by this $k \cdot p$ model.

3. Surface states from $k \cdot p$ models

Here, we compare surface states obtained from the $k \cdot p$ models to the first-principles results presented in Sec. IV. The $k \cdot p$ surface state calculations are done by discretizing the momentum $k_y$, and thus generating a 1D TB model with auxiliary parameters $k_x$ and $k_z$. [60] The SDOS is then calculated using the iterative Green function method [47,48]. For the $k \cdot p$ models given in Eqs. (B3) and (B4), we use $1 \, \text{Å}$ as the discretization length and $2 \, \text{Å}$ for Eq. (B8).

The $k \cdot p$ models with the parameters given above fit the band structure of ZrTe. The model around $\Gamma$ given in Eq. (B3) is then characterized by the mirror Chern numbers $C_{m=\pm 1}$ in the $k_y = \pi$ plane. Therefore, a topological-insulator-like surface state is expected on a surface orthogonal to the $\sigma_h$ mirror plane. In Fig. 8(a), we show the SDOS on a surface orthogonal to $y$, corresponding to the $(010)$ surface in the WC structure. On the $k_z$ axis the upper topologically nontrivial surface state emerges from the conduction bands and connects to the valence bands. There is another trivial surface state with opposite mirror eigenvalue below. If we compare this to the first-principles surface states shown in Fig. 4(k), then these two surface states will form a Dirac cone at $\bar{R}$ for a Te-terminated surface. In Fig. 8(b), the Fermi surface is plotted. The topologically nontrivial hole pockets are connected by a pair of Fermi arcs.

The $k \cdot p$ model around $K$ is characterized by a total Chern number of $C = 1$; respectively, $C = -1$ at $K'$. Hence, around $K$ and $K'$ a quantum-Hall-like surface state is expected. This is confirmed in Figs. 8(c) and (d), where we calculate the SDOS on a surface orthogonal to $y$. The surface states give an excellent match to the first-principles result presented in Figs. 4(j) and 4(k).

APPENDIX C: FIRST-PRINCIPLES CALCULATIONS

The first-principles calculations were performed using the Vienna $ab$ initio simulation package (VASP) [61] with the projector augmented wave method [62]. For the exchange correlation energy, we consider both the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [63] within the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional and hybrid functionals (HSE06) [45]. The energy cutoff is set to $560 \, \text{eV}$, and a $11 \times 11 \times 11$ Monkhorst-Pack mesh is used for the Brillouin zone integration. For the convergence of the electronic self-consistent calculations, the total energy difference criterion is set to $10^{-8} \, \text{eV}$. The lattice constants are fully relaxed until the total energy is converged to $10^{-7} \, \text{eV}$ and the residual forces on atoms are below $10^{-3} \, \text{eV}/\text{Å}$.

1. Lattice constants

In Table III, we give the relaxed lattice constants $a_{\text{sim}}$ and $c_{\text{sim}}$ and compare them to experimental data $a$, $c$ where available [64]. The lattice constants are fully relaxed until the total energy is converged to $10^{-7} \, \text{eV}$ and the residual forces on atoms are below $10^{-3} \, \text{eV}/\text{Å}$. In our work, we

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>$a_{\text{expt}}$ [Å]</th>
<th>$c_{\text{expt}}$ [Å]</th>
<th>$a_{\text{sim}}$ [Å]</th>
<th>$c_{\text{sim}}$ [Å]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoC [35]</td>
<td>2.898</td>
<td>2.809</td>
<td>2.922</td>
<td>2.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC [36]</td>
<td>2.928</td>
<td>2.835</td>
<td>2.906</td>
<td>2.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN [37]</td>
<td>2.890</td>
<td>2.830</td>
<td>2.873</td>
<td>2.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrTe [38]</td>
<td>3.771</td>
<td>3.861</td>
<td>3.800</td>
<td>3.903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoN [40,41]</td>
<td>2.868</td>
<td>2.810</td>
<td>2.886</td>
<td>2.856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaN [37]</td>
<td>2.930</td>
<td>2.880</td>
<td>2.816</td>
<td>2.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NbN [37]</td>
<td>2.940</td>
<td>2.790</td>
<td>2.976</td>
<td>2.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NbS [42]</td>
<td>3.350</td>
<td>3.200</td>
<td>3.267</td>
<td>3.322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
adopt the relaxed lattice parameters $a_{\text{sim}}$ and $c_{\text{sim}}$ for all of our simulations.

2. HSE06 band structures

In Fig. 9, we show the band structure of ZrTe calculated using the implementation of the HSE06 hybrid functional in VASP [45,65,66]. Compared to Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), we find all important features of the GGA calculation, i.e., band inversion at A and K and the existence of four TPs.

3. Stability of nodal rings in WC

In Fig. 10(a), we show a zoom-in of the band structure in the $k_z = 0$ plane around the K point. Bands are marked by their horizontal mirror $\sigma_v$ eigenvalue $\pm i$. Breaking the horizontal mirror, by moving the C atom by 0.01 Å in the $z$ direction, gaps the nodal rings [Fig. 10(b)].

In Figs. 10(c)–10(f), we test the stability of the nodal rings under (001) strain. We find that the double nodal ring survives up to 2% tensile strain. A $-0.2\%$ compressive strain leaves a single nodal ring that survives up to about $-3\%$ compressive strain.

APPENDIX D: EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN FROM WANNIER PROJECTION

The surface state calculation and topological classifications are usually illustrated with effective TB Hamiltonians generated from the first-principles Wannier functions [67,68]. For the materials we discuss in this work, we project the first-principles wave functions on $s, p,$ and $d$ orbitals located at site A and $p$ orbitals at site B, without performing the iterative spread minimization. For ZrTe we choose the lower (upper) bound of the outer energy window for the disentanglement as 0.0 eV (21.0 eV), and the bottom (top) of the frozen energy window as 0.0 eV (12.3 eV). The obtained atomic-like Wannier functions are used to then construct a 24-band (including spin) TB Hamiltonian, which reproduces the first-principles band structures with sub-meV accuracy.

One major issue of Wannier-derived TB Hamiltonians is that the Wannier functions do not exactly fulfill all crystal symmetries. One consequence is that symmetry-protected band crossings will therefore always appear as avoided crossings with a sub-meV gap. Several works deal with this problem during the Wannierization process [69–71], but we find that a postprocessing approach gives very good results in our case. We impose the three point group and TR symmetries via calculating the group average of the TB Hamiltonian,

$$H(k) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} R_g H(g(k)) R_g^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (D1)

with $G$ the symmetry group containing $|G|$ elements $g$ and $R_g$ the representation of $g$ for atomic wave functions. The prerequisite for this approach to work is, of course, that the Wannier functions transform similarly to atomic wave functions. We use this symmetrized TB for calculating surface states, mirror Chern numbers, and the Wilson loop characterization of the TPs introduced in Appendix G.

APPENDIX E: FERMI SURFACE OF ZrTe

In the main text, we discuss the generic Fermi surface and Lifshitz transitions connected to TPs. We find that ZrTe

FIG. 10. (a) [(b)] Band structure of WC with [without] horizontal mirror symmetry. In the case with mirror symmetry, the mirror eigenvalues of the bands are given. (c) [(d)] Band structure of WC with tensile strain of 2% [5%] and (e) [(f)] with compressive strain of $-0.2\%$ [$-3\%$] along the $z$ direction.
is an excellent platform for studying our predictions in a real material.

In Fig. 11, we show the Fermi surface of ZrTe at different energies. At the Fermi level there are four Fermi surfaces centered around $A$ (neglecting possible Fermi surfaces around $K$ and $K'$). We assign topological charges according to the scenario that an infinitesimal magnetic Zeeman field is applied in the $z$ direction. Upon lowering the energy from the Fermi level, topologically nontrivial hole pockets touch and their topological charges annihilate. Raising the energy, one approaches the TPs $G_1$ and $G_2$. At the lower energy TP $G_2$, the outer hole pocket touches with the electron pockets centered around $A$. The electron pockets connect the two nontrivial hole pockets opposite of $A$ and their topological charges annihilate. At the higher energy TP $G_1$, the inner hole pocket reduces to a point and then reappears outside as an electron pocket with opposite topological charge (see inset of Fig. 11). Increasing the energy further, only the electron pockets centered at $A$ remain.

**APPENDIX F: MIRROR CHERN NUMBERS**

The nontrivial topology of ZrTe is driven by band inversions at the $A$, $K$, and $K'$ points. These points are located in the $k_z = \pi$ and $k_z = 0$ planes, which are both invariant under the horizontal $\sigma_h$ mirror operation. This enables us to plot the Berry curvature for specific mirror eigenvalues $m = \pm i$ on these planes, as shown in Fig. 12 [44,72]. We facilitate the mirror Chern number calculations with the symmetrized TB models.

In ZrTe both planes $k_z = 0, \pi$ are characterized by mirror Chern numbers $C_m=\pm i$ (see Table I). Figure 12 clearly shows that the areas of high Berry curvature are localized around the $A$, $K$, and $K'$ points, which confirms the band inversion at these points. Because of the mirror Chern numbers, we expect TI-like surface states on any surface perpendicular to the mirror plane. These surface states are protected by mirror and TR symmetry. If the crossing points on the $\Gamma$-$A$ line are opened by sufficient $C_3$-symmetry-breaking strain, the bulk becomes insulating and the above mirror Chern numbers lead to a weak topological insulator phase. The materials TaN, MoN, and NbN, with trivial mirror Chern numbers in the $k_z = 0$ plane, become strong topological insulators for sufficient $C_3$-symmetry-breaking strain.

**APPENDIX G: WILSON LOOP CHARACTERIZATION FOR PAIRS OF TRIPLE POINTS**

The Wilson loop can be defined on any path in $k$ space connecting two points $k_1$ and $k_2$ with the property $k_1 = k_2 + G$, where $G$ is a reciprocal lattice vector. The Wilson loop is defined as the path-ordered product [32]:

\[
W_{k_1 k_2} = P_{k_1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} P_{k_j} \right) P_{k_2}, \tag{G1}
\]

with $P_k = \sum_{n \in \text{occ}} \langle u_n(k) | u_n(k) \rangle$ the projector on the occupied subspace of a Hamiltonian. The Wilson loop is inherently gauge invariant due to the gauge invariance of the projector $P_k$. The Berry phase associated with the loop is given by the determinant of the Wilson operator $\det(W) = \exp(i\phi_W)$. If the Hamiltonian has a symmetry $R$, it can be shown that [73]

\[
\bar{R}W_{k_1 k_2} \bar{R}^{-1} = W_{Rk_1 Rk_2}, \tag{G2}
\]

with $R$ acting in reciprocal space and $\bar{R}$ in occupied band space. The symmetry expectation value of Wilson loop eigenstates $|\psi_i\rangle$ is calculated as $\langle \psi_i | R | \psi_i \rangle$. 

FIG. 11. Fermi surface of ZrTe at different energies. We assign a topological charge to each surface defined for an infinitesimal magnetic field in the $z$ direction. Red (blue) corresponds to Chern number $+1$ ($-1$) and black is Chern number 0. The inset is a zoom-in of the second- and third-highest energies shown.

FIG. 12. The Berry curvature for specific mirror eigenvalues on $\sigma_h$-mirror invariant planes in ZrTe.
We show here that a pair of TPs may be characterized by a $Z_2$ topological invariant. For Weyl \cite{11} and Dirac \cite{34} semimetals, it is known that the Wilson loop spectrum on a sphere enclosing the semimetallic point gives the topological classification of the crossing. Also, in our case with TPs, a similar kind of topological classification is possible. We apply the classification to the symmetrized TB model for ZrTe (see Appendix D).

In Fig. 13(a), we show a spherical surface on which the Wilson loop spectrum is to be evaluated. The sphere is chosen such that the Hamiltonian is gapped everywhere on the surface, the symmetry axis containing the TPs goes through the center of the sphere, and both TPs are enclosed by the sphere. The latter point is important, since there is always at least one nodal line connecting two TPs; therefore, including only one TP would not fulfill the requirement that the Hamiltonian is gapped on the sphere. Note that the Wilson lines are oriented such that the symmetry axis goes through their center. In Fig. 13(c), we plot the phases $\phi_i$ of the individual Wilson loop eigenvalues as a function of the azimuthal angle $\theta$. The TB model has eight occupied states; therefore, we obtain eight Wilson loop eigenvalue phases $\phi_i$. Six $\phi_i$ (marked in black) are trivial and stay very close to 0 (2$\pi$), but two (marked in red and blue) seem to cross. Note that the $\sigma_y$ symmetry constrains the $\phi_i$ such that the Wilson loop spectrum is mirror symmetric $\phi_i = -\phi_j$ \cite{25}. Since the Hamiltonian is gapped on the surface, and the Wilson loop gauge invariant, the individual $\phi_i$ change smoothly with $\theta$. Therefore, the connectivity of the $\phi_i$ can be determined as long as they are not degenerate. To obtain the connectivity across the degeneracy point between the red and blue Wilson eigenvalues, we calculate the $C_3$ symmetry expectation values of the corresponding states in Fig. 13(d). The gray dashed line in Fig. 13(d) indicates the position of the crossing of the blue and red lines in Fig. 13(c). Note that the crossing of red and blue lines in Fig. 13(d) is accidental, and we find that it can be avoided via choosing a cigar shape rather than a sphere. However, the $C_3$ symmetry expectation value is nondegenerate at the crossing Fig. 13(c), and we can use Fig. 13(d) to unambiguously determine the connectivity for all $\theta$. Therefore, the red and blue lines in the Wilson loop spectrum clearly indicate two hidden Berry curvature fluxes, one inward and one outward, through the sphere. The fluxes can be separated in the Wilson loop eigenbasis, corresponding to individual Chern numbers \cite{74} of $\pm 1$. The difference of the two individual Chern numbers divided by 2 constitutes a $Z_2$ topological invariant for TPs.

At the polar regions $\theta = 0$ or $\theta \approx -\pi$, the Wilson loop commutes with the $C_3$ symmetry due to Eq. (G2). In this case, the $C_3$ expectation value in Fig. 13(d) is one of the possible $C_3$ eigenvalues $\{-1, \exp(i\pi/3), \exp(-i\pi/3)\}$, which are the starting and ending points of the lines in Fig. 13(d). Note that the six trivial $\phi_i$ (black dots) are almost fixed to the $C_3$ eigenvalues, whereas the two nontrivial $\phi_i$ (red and blue dots) change the $\phi_i$expectation value in Fig.13(d) is one of the eigenvalues, whereas the two $\phi_i$ change the $\phi_i$ expectation value from $\{-1, -1\}$ to $\{\exp(i\pi/3), \exp(-i\pi/3)\}$. Responsible for this behavior are the two valence bands having the rotational eigenvalues $-1$, $-1$ for $k_z$ to the left of the two TPs and $\exp(i\pi/3), \exp(-i\pi/3)$ for $k_z$ to the right of the two TPs. Therefore, the planes above $G_1$, $G_2$ are topologically distinct from the planes below, consequently uncovering the existence of crossing points realized as the two TPs here.

In Fig. 13(b), we give an example of a topologically trivial pair of TPs. In this case, the $C_3$ eigenvalues of the valence bands are the same to the left and to the right of the two TPs, and hence, the Wilson loop spectrum is, in general, gapped with an even $Z_2$ invariant.

---


[19] The TP fermions of Ref. [16], appearing in space group 199 and 214, are topologically distinct from ours due to the fact that they are characterized by a Chern number of two and a zero-dimensional Fermi surface. Reference [16] also predicts TP fermions in space group 220, which bear some similarity to our type-B TP fermions but are fixed to a high symmetry point (see Appendix A).


[38] G. Örlygsson and B. Harbrecht, Structure, Properties, and Bonding of ZrTe (MnP type), a Low-Symmetry,


[42] We note that we were not able to find an insulating phase in thin films of these materials.


