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We report high magnetic field scanning tunneling microscopy and Landau level spectroscopy of twisted graphene layers grown by chemical vapor deposition. For twist angles exceeding ~3° the low energy carriers exhibit Landau level spectra characteristic of massless Dirac fermions. Above 20° the layers effectively decouple and the electronic properties are indistinguishable from those in single-layer graphene, while for smaller angles we observe a slowdown of the carrier velocity which is strongly angle dependent. At the smallest angles the spectra are dominated by twist-induced van Hove singularities and the Dirac fermions eventually become localized. An unexpected electron-hole asymmetry is observed which is substantially larger than the asymmetry in either single or untwisted bilayer graphene.
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One of the remarkable aspects of the 2d relativistic quasiparticles (massless Dirac fermions) in graphene [1,2] is their chiral symmetry [3] which is revealed in the presence of a magnetic field B through the appearance of a unique Landau level (LL) at an energy that is pinned to the Dirac point. The other LL’s exhibit the distinct signature of the relativistic quasiparticles through a square-root dependence on field and level index, n. When graphene layers stack together, as is often the case in chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [4–6] and epitaxial graphene [7], interlayer coupling typically destroys the relativistic quasiparticles and produces new types of excitations with LL sequences that reflect the number of layers and degree of coupling [8–11]. Thus it was surprising that in multilayer graphene grown on SiC, angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy and scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) showed features corresponding to massless Dirac fermions [12–14]. The reason for their survival in this multilayer system, initially attributed to the decoupling of twisted layers [10,15] is intensely debated [16–22]. On the theoretical side, ab initio calculations predicted that all misoriented graphene layers are effectively decoupled [20] while tight-binding showed that interlayer coupling still plays an important role in renormalizing the Fermi velocity of the massless Dirac fermions by an amount which depends on the twist angle [10,16,21]. On the experimental side, transport experiments [7] provided evidence for a reduced Fermi velocity in twisted layers while Raman studies [18,19] are still unsettled. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and spectroscopy are the tools of choice to address this issue because they can simultaneously measure the local twist angle, the Fermi velocity and the degree of interlayer coupling. The first is obtained from the period of the Moiré pattern imaged by STM [23] while the last two by LL spectroscopy measured by STS [24,25].

In this Letter, we combine STM topography with LL spectroscopy to study the effect of twisting on the band structure. For angles above 20° the electronic properties of the twisted layers are indistinguishable from single-layer graphene. At smaller angles we observe a strong angle dependent downward renormalization of the Fermi velocity in quantitative agreement with theoretical predictions [10]. We further find that the twist causes a rather large electron-hole asymmetry not considered so far theoretically. At the smallest angle ~1.16°, we find that the massless Dirac fermion picture breaks down and Van Hove singularities (VHS) dominate the spectrum.

Experiments were conducted in a home built, low temperature (T = 4.4 K) high field STM using mechanically cut Pt-Ir tips. The tunneling conductance, dI/dV, was measured by lock-in detection with 340 Hz bias voltage modulation (2 mV rms). The field was applied perpendicular to the sample surface with a superconducting magnet. Samples were large area films of few-layer graphene grown via ambient pressure CVD on polycrystalline Ni films [4]. The films are continuous and can be patterned lithographically. A 250 nm layer of polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) was spin-coated on graphene to provide mechanical support, after which the graphene layers with PMMA on top were released from the Ni film by etching in 1 M FeCl3 solution. After the release, the graphene layer covered with PMMA is transferred to a TEM grid. We used acetone to dissolve the PMMA and thus release the graphene on the gold grid. The samples were dried in a critical point dryer to prevent the membrane from rupturing due to surface tension. STM experiments were performed on both suspended and nonsuspended regions of the sample. The suspended areas were too large (~30 μm) to be mechanically stable in the presence of a STM tip. The data reported here were taken on nonsuspended parts of the sample.
TEM studies indicate that most CVD graphene films contain twisted layers with angles larger than 10° [4]. Figure 1(a) shows an STM image of a typical twisted area. We use LL spectroscopy [24] to characterize the electronic states of the film because it allows us to distinguish between massive and massless Dirac fermions and to determine the degree of interlayer coupling [11,25,26]. The LL spectrum of massless Dirac fermions follows the sequence,

$$E_n = E_D + \text{sgn}(n)\sqrt{2\hbar v_F^2|nB|},$$

where $n$ is an integer, $E_D$ is the energy at the Dirac point, $-e$ is the electron charge, $h$ the Planck constant constant over $2\pi$, and $v_F$ the Fermi velocity.

The LL peaks are clearly seen in the spectra shown in Fig. 1(c) for three regions of the sample in a field of 10 T. We note that this same sequence appears across the entire twisted area. The field dependence of the LL energies in region A of Fig. 1(a), plotted in Fig. 1(d) against the reduced parameter $(|n|B)^{1/2}$, reveals the characteristic scaling in Eq. (1), expected for massless Dirac fermions. The Fermi velocity, obtained from the slope of the linear fit $v_F = (1.10 \pm 0.01) \times 10^6$ m/s, is consistent with that in graphene layers grown on the C face of SiC [14].

In region A, high resolution topography [Fig. 1(b)], shows a superstructure, whose Fourier transform (inset) reveals two sets of peaks arranged in concentric hexagons which correspond to the atomic lattice and to the superstructure for the outer and inner hexagons, respectively. The relative rotation angle in k-space between the two hexagons is $\sim 20°$. Such a superstructure, or Moiré pattern, forms as a result of the twist between the layers. The twist angle $\theta$ in real space is related to the rotation angle $\varphi$ in k space by $\varphi = 30° - (\theta/2)$. For $\varphi \sim 20°$, $\theta \sim 20°$. A better estimate of the twist angle can be obtained from the period of the Moiré pattern $L = a/(2 \sin(\theta/2))$, where $a = 0.246$ nm the lattice constant of graphene. $L = 0.65 \pm 0.05$ nm in Fig. 1(b) gives $\theta = (21.8 \pm 1.7)°$, consistent with the above estimate. This relationship is valid for $\theta < 30°$. In the following we focus on the main features of the tunneling spectra and consider only $\theta < 30°$ because the Moiré pattern with a larger period of $L = 4.0 \pm 0.2$ nm, corresponding to $\theta = 3.5 \pm 0.3°$, is shown in Fig. 2(b). We measured the

![FIG. 1 (color online). Combined STM and LL spectroscopy of a twisted graphene layers. (a) Large area topography taken with STM setting of 300 mV and 25 pA [same in (c)]. (b) Zoom-in topography of region A (red square) shows a Moiré pattern corresponding to a twist angle of $\theta = (21.8 \pm 1.7)°$. Inset: Fourier transform of the main panel showing the contributions from the atomic lattice (outer hexagon) and from the superstructure (inner hexagon). (c) Tunneling spectra taken at different regions marked in (a) show similar LL of massless Dirac fermions in a magnetic field of 10 T. (d) Scaling of LL's in region A. Symbols are the LL's in different magnetic fields. Solid line is a linear fit according to Eq. (1), $n$ is the level index with $n > 0$ corresponding to electrons and $n < 0$ to holes.](126802-2)
field dependence of the LL spectra in this region [region B in Fig. 2(a)] and its adjacent region C and repeating the procedure described above we find that in both regions the LL spectra are well described by the massless Dirac Fermion sequence of Eq. (1) with \(v_F = 0.87 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s}\) for region B and \(v_F = 1.10 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s}\) for region C.

Why is it that the low energy physics of the quasiparticles in twisted graphene layers can resemble that in a single layer? To answer this question we consider the \((K' \text{ and } K'')\) corners of the hexagonal Brillouin zone of single-layer graphene where the Dirac cones reside [1]. When two layers are superposed with a relative twist, the corresponding Brillouin zones also rotate with respect to each other so that the Dirac cones for the two layers separate [Fig. 3(a) inset] at low energy by an amount which increases with angle: \(\Delta K = 2K \sin(\theta/2)\), where \(K = 4\pi/3a\). The two displaced cones cross at a higher energy and, in the presence of interlayer coupling, merge into a saddle point [10,27]. For large twist angles, when the crossing energy is sufficiently far from the Dirac point, the low energy part of the Dirac cones and the corresponding physics should be indistinguishable from that of a single layer. As the twist angle decreases, the Dirac cones are modified by the proximity to the saddle point and, for \(\theta > 3^\circ\), the excitation spectrum can still be described by massless Dirac fermions but with a renormalized Fermi velocity given by [10]:

\[
v_F(\theta) = v_F^0 \left(1 - \frac{t_\perp^0}{h v_F^0 \Delta K} \right)^2,
\]

where \(t_\perp^0 = 0.4t_\perp\) and \(t_\perp\) are the interlayer coupling for Bernal stacking. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the velocity renormalization measured in our experiment is in good agreement with the predictions of Eq. (2).

We now compare the results to previous STM/STS studies on graphene layers on SiC [14] which reported that the LL sequences were independent of the measured Moiré pattern periods for a wide range of twist angles down to \(\sim 1.4^\circ\). This appeared to be in direct contradiction to the theoretical predictions [10,17,20]. A clue to understanding these results can be found in the unusual presence of the same continuous atomic honeycomb structure across the entire superstructure. This is in sharp contrast to Moiré patterns generated by two rotated layers where one sees a close correlation between the superpattern and the atomic structure which changes continuously from triangular to honeycomb across each period of the pattern [23,27]. In fact, the large period superstructure reported in [14] was actually produced by deeper layers below the surface, serving as a background, whereas the twist angle between the top layer and the layer below was large [28]. With this interpretation, the data reported in [14] are consistent both with the theoretical models and with the results reported here.

We next consider the effect of the twist close to the saddle points. Such saddle points cause divergence in the density of states, also known as van Hove singularities (VHS). In Fig. 2(d), we compare the zero field tunneling spectra over a large sample bias range for regions B and C of Fig. 2(a). The spectrum in region B shows two peaks separated [27] by \(\sim 200 \text{ meV}\) in good agreement with the position of the expected van Hove singularities for the observed twist angle of \(\sim 3.5^\circ\). In contrast for region C the plain V-shape spectrum is consistent with a large twist angle whose corresponding Moiré pattern is not within experimental resolution.

It is important to emphasize that the twist-induced velocity renormalization in coupled graphene layers is different from that due to electron-phonon (e-ph) interactions observed in single-layer graphene on graphite [24,25]. The twist-induced slowdown described here, produces two pronounced peaks in the zero field density of states separated by an energy that increases monotonically with twist angle. By contrast, slowdown due e-ph interactions produces two kinks on both sides of the Dirac point at an energy corresponding to the \(A_1^\prime\) phonon. These kinks reflect strong e-ph coupling due to the Kohn anomaly, which modifies the slope of the Dirac cone and reduces the Fermi velocity. Interestingly, according to \textit{ab initio} calculations [29] the e-ph interaction is strongly suppressed in the presence of coupling between layers. This is consistent with the observation of a reduced \(v_F \sim 0.79 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s}\) [24] in single-layer graphene compared to \(1.07 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s}\) in coupled multilayers [11,14]. We note that for the twisted layers discussed in Fig. 2(c), \(v_F\) is almost identical to that in multilayers with Bernal stacking, suggesting that e-ph coupling via \(A_1^\prime\) is also suppressed in twisted layers.

Next we take a closer look at the Fermi velocity in twisted layers. Equation (1) can be rewritten as

\[
v_F = (E_n - E_D)/\text{sgn}(n)\sqrt{2e\hbar n|B|}, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots,
\]

FIG. 3 (color online). Fermi velocity renormalization. (a) Angle dependence of the renormalization. Line is theoretical prediction according to Eq. (2). Triangles are experimental data. Question mark at \(\sim 1.16^\circ\) corresponds to localized states discussed in Fig. 4. Inset, Dirac cones of twisted layers. The twist-induced separation between the Dirac cones in the two layers, \(\Delta K\), is controlled by the angle. (b) Electron-hole asymmetry of Fermi velocity in different sample regions is independent of field or level index. Symbols are Fermi velocities obtained by Eq. (3) and solid lines are overall fitting according to Eq. (1).
so that, instead of an overall fitting to Eq. (1), we plot \( v_F \) for electrons and holes separately as in Fig. 3(b). Surprisingly the electron carriers are systematically faster than the holes. Thus in regions A (\( \theta \approx 21.8^\circ \)) and C where the average velocity is \( 1.10 \times 10^6 \) m, we find an electron-hole asymmetry with \( 1.20 \times 10^6 \) m/s for electrons and \( 1.02 \times 10^6 \) m/s for holes. In region B (\( \theta \approx 3.5^\circ \)) where the average velocity is \( 0.87 \times 10^6 \) m/s we measure \( 1.00 \times 10^6 \) m/s for electrons and \( 0.76 \times 10^6 \) m/s for holes. The electron-hole asymmetry is larger at the smaller angles, \( \pm 14\% \) for \( 3.5^\circ \), compared to \( \pm 8\% \) for \( 21.8^\circ \). For comparison we note that the asymmetry is less than \( \pm 1\% \) in single-layer graphene suspended over a graphite surface [24] and within 2.5% for single-layer graphene on \( \text{SiO}_2 \) [30]. The latter was attributed to a large nearest-neighbor overlap integral. The larger asymmetry in the twisted layers could be due to the enhanced next-nearest-neighbor hopping enabled by the twist.

At very small twist angles, the velocity renormalization picture no longer applies because the VHS start dominating the spectrum and the Dirac cone approximation breaks down even at the lowest energies [27] as seen in Fig. 4(a). In this regime the spectra are strongly spatially modulated in registry with the Moiré pattern, suggesting that the carriers become localized in a charge density wave (CDW) [27]. The contrast between spectra in the dark and bright regions diminishes with increasing magnetic field suggesting a competition between twist-induced localization and cyclotron motion. This is consistent with the fact that the Moiré pattern period, 12 nm, becomes comparable to the magnetic length at 5 T.

In summary, by using STM together with LL spectroscopy we demonstrated that the low energy electronic properties of twisted graphene layers are controlled by massless Dirac fermions whose Fermi velocity is renormalized by the twist. This picture breaks down at the smallest twist angles where the spectrum is taken over by twist-induced VHS, which favor the formation of a CDW.
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