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Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus and weakly interacting massive particle-nucleus interaction signatures are expected to be quite similar. This paper discusses how a next-generation ton-scale dark matter detector could discover neutrino-nucleus coherent scattering, a precisely-predicted standard model process. A high-intensity pion- and muon-decay-at-rest neutrino source recently proposed for oscillation physics at underground laboratories would provide the neutrinos for these measurements. In this paper, we calculate raw rates for various target materials commonly used in dark matter detectors and show that discovery of this interaction is possible with a 2 ton-year GEOM exposure in an optimistic energy threshold and efficiency scenario. We also study the effects of the neutrino source on weakly interacting massive particle sensitivity and discuss the modulated neutrino signal as a sensitivity/consistency check between different dark matter experiments at the Deep Underground Science and Engineering Laboratory. Furthermore, we consider the possibility of coherent neutrino physics with a GEODM module placed within tens of meters of the neutrino source.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering is a well-predicted standard model interaction that is of wide-spread interest. Characterizing the process can provide a probe of beyond the standard model physics, such as through non-standard neutrino interactions [1], and can contribute to our understanding of supernova dynamics. Although experiments have been proposed to search for this channel [2–5], neutrino-nucleus coherent elastic scattering has never been observed. This paper shows that, for existing proposed layouts of neutrino sources and ton-scale dark matter detectors, discovery of coherent neutrino scattering can occur with a 2 ton-year exposure under an optimistic detection scenario. Along with coherent neutrino physics, the observation of rare, weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP)-like events with a well-predicted flux (shape and absolute normalization) and cross section in a well-known time window can provide a cross-check of the sensitivity and efficiency of dark matter detectors. Furthermore, in the case that a substantial event sample is collected with a dedicated experiment close to the neutrino source, sensitivity to physics beyond the standard model can occur with a 2 ton-year exposure. The effect of coherently energetic events as a background for WIMP interactions is also considered. Then, we consider the scenario in which a detector module is brought within tens of meters of the neutrino source and used to obtain a high statistics sample of events for coherent neutrino physics (Sec. V).

II. COHERENT NEUTRINO SCATTERING

The coherent scattering cross section, \( \sigma \), depends on the number of neutrons, \( N \), and protons, \( Z \), of the target material with mass \( M \). If \( T \) is the recoil energy of the interaction and the incoming neutrino has energy \( E_\nu \),

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} Q_w^2 M \left(1 - \frac{MT}{2E_\nu^2}\right) F(Q^2)^2.
\]

In this equation, \( G_F \) and \( Q_w \) are the precisely known Fermi constant and weak charge \([Q_w = N - (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W)Z]\), respectively. The form factor, \( F(Q^2) \), dominates the \( \sim 5\% \) cross section uncertainty [6].

As the cross section is well predicted, coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering is an ideal source to search for new physics in the neutrino sector. A cross section measurement with \( \sim 10\% \) uncertainty will result in an uncertainty on \( \sin^2\theta_W \) of \( \sim 5\% \) [1]. While this uncertainty is large compared to existing and planned precision atomic parity violation and Möller scattering measurements, a discrepancy from the standard model prediction already observed in the neutrino sector by the NuTeV experiment [7] motivates more neutrino-based measurements. Notably, a \( \sin^2\theta_W \) measurement with coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering would be at \( Q \sim 0.04 \text{ GeV/c} \), well away from all previous neutrino scattering measurements (including NuTeV’s at \( Q \sim 4 \text{ GeV/c} \)).
A coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering cross section measurement agreement within 10% uncertainty of the standard model prediction will result in limits on non-standard neutrino interactions (NSI) which improve on the present ones by more than an order of magnitude [1,8,9]. The low-$Q$ existing and planned precision measurements mentioned above are not sensitive to new physics unique to neutrino interactions. NSI terms can enter the standard model Lagrangian through an extra term,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{NSI}} = -\sum_{\alpha\beta} e_{\alpha\beta} d_{\alpha}^{L} 2\sqrt{2} G_F (\bar{\nu}_\alpha \gamma \nu_\beta)(\bar{f} \gamma^\mu P f)$$

(2)

where $f$ is a first generation standard model fermion, $e$, $u$, or $d$ and $P = L$ or $R$ [10]. These $e_{\alpha\beta}$ terms can appear due to a range of sources, including incorporating neutrino mass into the standard model [11] and supersymmetry [12]. The $e_{ee}$ and $e_{e\tau}$ terms, in particular, are poorly constrained by existing measurements. Measuring a coherent scattering cross section in disagreement with the standard model expectation could be an indication of NSI. In the case that a cross section discrepancy is observed, multiple nuclear targets could be employed in order to disentangle effects from NSI, a $\sin^2\theta_W$ anomaly (e.g. consistent with NuTeV), and/or nuclear physics.

Characterizing neutrino coherent scattering is also essential to the understanding of supernova evolution as the energy carried away by neutrinos comprises $\sim99\%$ of the supernova’s total energy and the coherent channel’s cross section exceeds all others by at least an order of magnitude in the relevant energy region. In a stellar core collapse, the density of the electron/nucleus plasma at the core can reach $>10^{12}$ g/cm$^3$. At these densities, a 20 MeV neutrino’s mean free path is on the order of 0.5 km [13] with the opacity in nucleus-rich regions dominated by coherent scattering. Along with being relevant for supernova evolution in general, the coherent cross section may also affect the supernova neutrino signals expected on Earth.

The coherent process is important for supernova-burst neutrino detection as well, providing information about all flavors of neutrinos—not just $\nu_e$. For coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering specifically, unlike other channels of flavor-blind neutral current interactions, the nuclear recoil energy is proportional to neutrino energy due to the elastic nature of the interaction. Such information could be combined with charged current $\nu_e/\bar{\nu}_e$ interaction information from other sources to develop a complete picture of oscillations with supernova neutrinos. Note that approximately seven neutrino-nucleus coherent events in 1 ton of Ar during a 10 second window for a galactic core-collapse supernova at 10 kpc are expected with a recoil energy threshold of 5 keV [6]. Although the detectors discussed below are probably too small to provide a sizable sample of supernova-burst neutrino-nucleus coherent scatters (unless the supernova is very close), an accelerator-based measurement to confirm the predicted interaction cross section would prove valuable to a next-generation coherent neutrino scattering experiment’s supernova-burst neutrino measurement.

III. DETECTION

The coherent neutrino-nucleus cross section favors very low recoil energies, in the few-to-tens of keV range. This is well below the threshold of the most sensitive recent and existing large-scale low-energy neutrino detectors, like SNO [14], Borexino [15], and KamLAND [16], which explains why this relatively high cross section process has not yet been observed. Dark matter detectors, on the other-hand, have energy thresholds in the $\sim10$ keV range and lower. As such, these detectors are potentially ideal coherent neutrino scattering detectors if given a sufficiently large target mass and neutrino flux. A ton-scale dark matter detector at its nominal depth underground of 1–2 km, in combination with an intense decay-at-rest (DAR) neutrino source, could discover the coherent neutrino scattering process.

It is worth noting that dark matter detectors could observe $^8\text{B}$ solar neutrino coherent events, as has been pointed out in Ref. [17]. The $^8\text{B}$ rate depends on the detector threshold and material, with more events for lighter target nuclei and lower thresholds. This solar neutrino signal becomes negligible for high-$A$ targets when the low-energy recoil threshold of the experiment is between 5 and 10 keV or more. There are zero solar coherent events expected with a $5/10$ keV threshold for the targets (Xe, Ge/Ar) and exposures considered here. In contrast, the DAR accelerator source described in Section IV will produce a significant number of events within a well-defined time window when the accelerator is on. These events can therefore only be considered a WIMP background during those times (see Sec IV B). In terms of a coherent neutrino physics measurement, the accelerator-based case has the luxury of an in situ background measurement (when the source is off) in addition to the higher-energy, above-threshold nuclear recoils.

IV. RATES AND TIME TO DISCOVERY

In order to be reasonably concrete, we study a set of experimental designs inspired by proposals for the Deep Underground Science and Engineering Laboratory (DUSEL). We note that the detector designs are not very different from those under consideration at other underground laboratories and that the results can be easily scaled. For the neutrino source, we assume a DAR configuration produced by high-intensity cyclotrons which are now under development [18,19] and proposed for DUSEL [20].

DAR neutrinos are known to be an excellent source for neutrino-nucleus coherent scattering experiments [2,3]. The neutrinos are produced with relatively low energies ($<52.8$ MeV), a range where coherent neutrino scattering dominates all other cross sections by about an order of
will provide 1 MW of power in either case. Each 1 MW accelerator and eventual decay of pions: \( \pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \), followed by \( \mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_e \). A DAR source flux profile is shown in Fig. 1.

High-intensity DAR sources are being proposed for \( CP \) violation searches involving Gd-doped ultralarge water Cerenkov detectors at underground science laboratories [21–23]. The design for this search [20] calls for multiple accelerator sites at varying distances from ultralarge water Cerenkov detector(s). The DAE\( \delta \)ALUS cyclotron-based near accelerator is proposed to run with a duty factor between 13\% [18] and 20\% [20], with an average of 1 MW of power in either case. Each 1 MW accelerator will provide \( 4 \times 10^{22} \) neutrinos of each flavor per year produced as an isotropic flux within the time window, expected to be about 67 ms out of 500 ms for the near accelerator with the current design [24]. The absolute normalization of the neutrino flux, determined with electron-neutrino elastic scattering (\( \nu_e e^- \rightarrow \nu_e e^- \)) as measured by ultralarge water Cerenkov detector(s), will have a systematic uncertainty of 1\% with dominant contributions from the cross section and energy scale uncertainties [21]. The statistical uncertainty on the flux depends on the run period, but is expected to be on the order of 1\% as well. The near accelerator site is envisioned at or near the surface of the laboratory with the other cyclotrons located many kilometers away. Note that the far sites will produce insignificant coherent rates due to the \( 1/r^2 \) dependence of the flux. However, the near accelerator can provide a significant event rate, during the 13\% beam-on-time, for detectors which are sufficiently close and large. Examples of other physics opportunities with this near accelerator are discussed in Refs. [20,25,26].

In order to provide realistic calculations, we examine three dark matter experiments which are drawn from the designs of GEODM [27], LZ [28], and MAX [29]. These experiments use germanium, xenon, and argon as their targets, respectively. Note that neon is also commonly considered as an alternative target medium in the noble liquid detectors mentioned [30]. We assume that the accelerator and beam dump are located at or near the surface. As GEODM is proposed for the DUSEL 7400 ft level and LZ/MAX are proposed for the 4800 ft level, we simply consider baseline lengths of 2.3 km and 1.5 km, respectively. The rates for each target are calculated for a ton-year fiducial exposure since the design of each detector is still under consideration.

As discussed above, the coherent neutrino-nucleus interaction takes place at very low recoil energies. Figure 2 shows the distribution of recoil energies for a DAR source with \( ^{20}\text{Ne}, ^{40}\text{Ar}, ^{76}\text{Ge}, \) and \( ^{132}\text{Xe} \). The experimental rates will strongly depend upon the recoil energy threshold for reconstructed events, \( T_{\text{min}} \). As the exact values of this cut for the various detectors are unknown, we consider five possible values of \( T_{\text{min}} \). The rates with each cut are obtained by summing Eq. (1) in bins of recoil energy \( T \), starting at \( T_{\text{min}} \). For the aforementioned targets, we find the rates given in Table I, where we assume 100\% efficiency for detecting events in the time window above the threshold \( T_{\text{min}} \).

We note that the coherent event rates for dark matter detectors at their nominal depths underground are in the 0–35 events/ton/year range depending on target, baseline, energy threshold, and unrealistically assuming 100\% detection efficiency. This is too low to be competitive with presently used neutron sources for detector calibration. Neutrons are adequate for energy calibration, despite their propensity to multiple scatter and activate the detector. Observing excess nuclear recoil events between beam-on and beam-off times would allow a dark matter search to cross-check its sensitivity. Unlike neutrons, neutrinos have a negligible probability of multiply scattering and neutrino interactions would be uniformly distributed throughout the detector; furthermore, unlike a neutron source, the measurement is noninvasive. A coherent neutrino signal would enable the study of the systematics in the expected scaling of the event rate across multiple dark matter experimental targets at the site.

FIG. 1. Energy distribution of neutrinos in a DAR source, from Ref [21].

FIG. 2 (color online). Recoil energy distributions for coherent scattering 1.5 km from a DAR neutrino source for Ne, Ar, Ge, and Xe. The rates reported assume 100\% detection efficiency.
A. Detection at a ton-scale dark matter experiment

Next, we consider one of the nuclear targets mentioned above ($^{76}$Ge) in more detail. GEODM is a proposed ton-scale dark matter detector [27] based on the cryogenic Ge crystal technology used in the CDMS experiment [31]. The target design for GEODM is an array of $300 \sim 5$ kg Ge crystals operated at 40 mK with a total target mass of $\sim 1500$ kg. Interaction events in an individual crystal produce populations of athermal phonons and electron-hole pairs which are measured by various phonon and ionization sensors lithographically patterned on the crystal surfaces. The ratio of ionization to phonon signals for an event is a powerful discriminator between electron and nuclear recoils. The signals also enable precise determination of the position and energy of each event, which allow volume and energy cuts. This information is used to set the number of electron recoils that can pass the cuts and pose as nuclear recoils. This electron recoil “leakage” into the nuclear recoil band constitutes one source of background events. There is also a background from muon- and radiogenic-induced neutrons, which is controlled through the use of radio-pure materials and passive and active shields to be $<0.15$ events/ton/year.

The efficiency of GEODM depends critically on the cuts used to achieve a target leakage background. This is largely a function of the future detector’s performance, which we need to estimate. In light of this, we consider a “baseline” scenario and an “optimistic” scenario for the detector parameters, summarized in Table II. Figure 3 shows the recoil energy distribution in a detector with two hypothetical efficiency curves as a function of recoil energy. The baseline scenario has a 10 keV nuclear recoil energy threshold with the efficiency rising linearly to 0.3 at 20 keV. We additionally assume an energy resolution near threshold of 300 eV and a fiducial mass uncertainty of 5%. These parameters are consistent with the performance of the Ge detectors used in the CDMS II experiment [32]. The optimistic scenario assumes that refinement of the detectors improves all of these parameters. In the optimistic scenario, we assume a 5 keV nuclear recoil energy threshold and an efficiency that rises linearly to 0.6 at 20 keV. We also assume an energy resolution near threshold of 50 eV and a fiducial mass uncertainty of 1%. The optimistic scenario parameters are anticipated to be achieved by detectors in the SuperCDMS experiment. In all cases, unless otherwise specified, we assume a raw exposure of 4.5 ton $\cdot$ year before the efficiency curve is applied. We also assume a leakage background of 1 event per 4.5 ton $\cdot$ year raw exposure with a spectrum of $e^{-E_{\text{neut}}/10}$ keV, and a neutron background of 0.15 events per ton $\cdot$ year of exposure with a spectrum of $e^{-E_{\text{neut}}/50}$ keV before the efficiency curve is applied. Events are required to have recoil energies less than 100 keV to be considered nuclear recoils, so we do not use any background events with energies exceeding 100 keV. This neutron spectrum is convolved with each efficiency curve and scaled by the exposure to obtain the expected distribution of neutron events for each scenario. For the baseline scenario we expect 0.13 total neutron events, and for the optimistic scenario we expect 0.29 total neutron events with a 4.5 ton $\cdot$ year exposure.

Using the baseline efficiency and energy threshold, we find that a coherent neutrino rate of 0.8 events/ton/year is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Events/ton/year at dist</th>
<th>For target</th>
<th>$T_{\text{min}}$ 10 keV</th>
<th>20 keV</th>
<th>30 keV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5 km</td>
<td>$^{40}$Ar</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{132}$Xe</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{76}$Ge</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{76}$Ge</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The present plan is for the GEODM ($^{76}$Ge-based) baseline to be 2.3 km–although 1.5 km is included for completeness.
expected in a $^{76}\text{Ge}$-based detector at a baseline of 2.3 km. GEODM expects an overall leakage rate of about 1 background surface leakage event with a 4.5 ton · year exposure, obtained by adjusting efficiency/fiducial volume cuts to get to that number. In addition, there is a neutron-induced nuclear recoil background (absolute rate convolved with detection efficiency) of 0.13 events/(4.5 ton · year) and 0.29 events/(4.5 ton · year) for the baseline and optimistic scenarios, respectively. The total expected background rate during the 13% of beam-on-time would therefore be 0.15 events/(4.5 ton · year) for the baseline and 0.17 events/(4.5 ton · year) for the optimistic scenario. The assumptions for GEODM as a coherent neutrino detector are shown in Table III. Based on these considerations and assuming no WIMP “background”, one can see that an experiment like GEODM could find evidence for coherent scattering in a ~4.5 ton · year exposure with 3–4 signal events above a background expectation of 0.15 events. The probability for four observed events to be completely due to background, with a background expectation of 0.15 events, is ~0.002%. The signal rate and evidence/discovery timeline would be quickly improved in the case that the baseline efficiency estimate, especially in the low-energy region (and possibly below 10 keV), is too conservative. With the optimistic energy threshold and efficiency scenario, we expect a coherent rate of 2.0 events/ton/year. Under the same background assumption as above, we find that GEODM will discover coherent scattering in a ~2 ton · year exposure with four signal events above a background expectation of 0.07 events. The probability for four observed events to be completely due to background, with a background expectation of 0.07 events, is ~0.00009%.

It is worth noting that the absolute (100% efficiency and 100% on-time) solar coherent neutrino interaction rate on a $^{76}\text{Ge}$ target above 5 keV is expected to be 0.079 events/ton/year [33]. In either efficiency scenario and with 13% beam-on-time, the solar coherent background rate is negligible.

B. The effect of the coherent background on WIMP sensitivity

Since coherent neutrino scattering is an irreducible background for WIMP searches, the presence of a neutrino source near a dark matter experiment will reduce the sensitivity of the experiment. In calculating a WIMP-nucleon cross section limit, one can either use data from only the 87% of the time when the neutrino source is off or data from the 87% beam-off time and the 13% beam-on-time. Since background events reduce the sensitivity of a limit in the optimum interval method [34], using the combined exposure may result in a worse limit than using only the beam-off exposure. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) present a comparison of limits for the baseline and optimistic GEODM detector scenarios. The limits assume a GEODM-style detector with a raw exposure of 4.5 ton · year before efficiency cuts and the same assumptions as in the previous section. In particular, we use the hypothetical efficiency curves shown in Fig. 3, a neutron rate of 0.15 events/ton/year before efficiency convolution, and a total surface-event leakage of 1 event per 4.5 ton · year raw exposure. We use the recoil energy distribution from Fig. 3 for neutrino events in the 13% beam-on-time and a detector at 2.3 km from a DAR neutrino source.

Using these parameters, we randomly generate 100 realizations of the background events and compute the average of the upper limits on the WIMP-nucleon cross section for each. In this study, the beam-off data actually has greater sensitivity than the combined beam-on and beam-off data. Using the beam-off data with 87% exposure only, the mean upper limit at maximum sensitivity is $4.0 \times 10^{-47}$ cm$^2$ (baseline) or $1.9 \times 10^{-47}$ cm$^2$ (optimistic). The combined data give a limit of $5.5 \times 10^{-47}$ cm$^2$ (baseline) or $3.6 \times 10^{-47}$ cm$^2$ (optimistic). The results for the 87% exposure obviously also have worse sensitivity than 100% exposure without any beam-on-time. If there were no beam-on-time, the upper limit at maximal sensitivity would be $3.3 \times 10^{-47}$ cm$^2$ (baseline) or $1.7 \times 10^{-47}$ cm$^2$ (optimistic).

Note that when the number of background events is zero, the sensitivity to WIMPs scales as the reciprocal of the

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{lcc}
\hline
GEODM Assumptions & Baseline and Optimistic & Baseline eff. & Optimistic eff. \\
Scenarios considered & $4 \times 10^{22}$ $\nu$/flavor/year & $4 \times 10^{22}$ $\nu$/flavor/year & $4 \times 10^{22}$ $\nu$/flavor/year with 13% duty factor \\
$\nu$ source & $4 \times 10^{22}$ $\nu$/flavor/year & $4 \times 10^{22}$ $\nu$/flavor/year & $4 \times 10^{22}$ $\nu$/flavor/year with 13% duty factor \\
$\nu$ flux uncertainty & 2% & 2% & 2% \\
Distance from $\nu$ source & 2.3 km & 2.3 km & 2.3 km \\
Exposure & 4.5 ton · year & 4.5 ton · year & 4.5 ton · year \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
A limit at confidence level $C$ is obtained by determining the expected number of events $\mu$ such that there is a probability $C$ of observing zero events. Assuming Poisson statistics the expected number of events is $\mu = -\log(1 - C)$. It is thus apparent from Eq. (3) that the cross section limit $\sigma$ corresponding to confidence level $C$, scales as the reciprocal of the exposure $E$. In the presence of a nonzero background that is proportional to exposure, the limit will scale more slowly than the reciprocal of the exposure. Fixed backgrounds set by fiducial cuts may produce more complicated scaling behavior.

V. PHYSICS WITH A DETECTOR
CLOSE TO THE NEUTRINO SOURCE

A suitable detector within tens of meters of the stopped pion source could gather a rather large sample of elastic neutrino coherent scatters for physics studies. The 300 ft adit at DUSEL could provide direct tunnel access to an envisioned experimental site for such a detector. The cyclotron would then be located just outside the tunnel in a building against the cliff face. As discussed earlier, a coherent cross section measurement is sensitive to a number of physics possibilities. For simplicity, we consider a flux-integrated total cross section measurement as our figure of merit. However, the shape of the cross section as a function of energy is also interesting, especially in the case that a measured total cross section is inconsistent with expectation.

Although many low-threshold nuclear-recoil-sensitive detector technologies could work (including a noble liquid detector [2,3] or other dark matter detector technology), for concreteness we consider the specific example of a set of GEODM-derived detectors with 16.7 kg raw mass, 20 m away from the stopped pion neutrino source. With the optimistic efficiency estimate (Fig. 3) and a fiducial mass of 10 kg, we expect a detected coherent rate of 0.74 events/(10 kg \cdot day) within the 13% beam-on time window. The background rate design goal for such an experiment would be $<0.1$ events/(10 kg \cdot day) within the same window. This rate seems reasonable with $\sim$300 ft of rock shielding along with modest passive/active shielding immediately surrounding the detector for prompt cosmic-ray-induced background attenuation/tagging. The radiogenic background is assumed negligible, at a rate consistent with GEODM deep underground. The uncertainty on the nonbeam related background estimate will easily be statistics-dominated with an in situ background measurement during beam-off. We also assume that there are no background events from neutrons produced by the DAR neutrino source at a 20 m baseline with 17 m rock and 3 m Fe shielding. This assumption was justified by performing a Geant4 [35] simulation of an isotropic neutron source along a 20 m baseline, fitting the flux at various distances from the neutron source to the functional form.
where $A$ and $\lambda$ are fit parameters and $z$ is the distance along the baseline. The rate from this fit was extrapolated to 20 m distance and a 50 kg-year exposure, and the number of neutron events was found to be negligible. The neutron flux and spectrum used were taken from the SNS source, which is similar to the DAR source discussed here [36].

A 50 kg-year exposure with the previously described experimental design would yield about 1350 coherent events, assuming a coherent cross section consistent with the standard model. With an optimistic 1% uncertainty on the target mass, $0.1$ background events=$(10 $\text{kg} \cdot $\text{day}) in beam window

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering has never been observed. Relevant for supernova evolution, supernova-burst neutrino detection, probing nonstandard neutrino interactions, and measuring $\sin^22\theta_W$ with neutrinos at low-$Q$, among other topics [37], the process is very well predicted by the standard model and confirmation of the $\sim 5\%$ precision theoretical cross section prediction is needed.

Dark matter detectors can double as coherent neutrino scattering experiments as the products of WIMP and coherent scattering interactions are predicted to be nearly identical. In the case of a decay-at-rest neutrino source and a suite of dark matter experiments at the same site, the deep underground detectors there would merely need to receive a beam timing signal in order to participate in the coherent search. Furthermore, these detectors would receive a free dark matter detection consistency check in the form of non-WIMP rare events in a well-known time window—all with a modest cost to the WIMP analysis/exposure. The power of this consistency check is strongly dependent on the number of coherent neutrino events collected, a value which is expected to be fairly low in most configurations. In both optimistic and baseline detection scenarios, the best limit on the WIMP-nucleon cross section uses only data from the period when the DAR source is off. In the optimistic scenario, the cross section limit is only about $12\%$ weaker than if no neutrino source were present.

A coherent neutrino interaction discovery in GEODM could be achieved with a 2 ton-year exposure. About 2.0 detected coherent neutrino events/ton/year over a background of 0.03 events/ton/year are expected in a GEODM-style detector at a 2.3 km baseline, given optimistic assumptions for energy threshold and detection efficiency. Even in a conservative (baseline) scenario, with energy threshold and efficiency reasonably consistent with CDMS II, evidence for coherent neutrino scattering could be obtained with a 4.5 ton-year exposure. In addition, a 10 kg fiducial mass GEODM-derived detector brought within 20 m of the neutrino source could collect about 1350 events with a 50 kg · year exposure. Such a sample would be good for a $\sim 5\%$ flux-averaged total cross section measurement uncertainty and significant tests of the standard model.
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[37] Coherent scattering has even been envisioned as a nuclear reactor monitoring tool [38,39].