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Abstract

In this research, we propose a methodology to develop OD matrices using mobile phone Call Detail Records (CDR), which consist of time stamped tower locations with caller IDs, and limited traffic counts. CDR from 2.87 million users from Dhaka, Bangladesh over a month and traffic counts from 13 key locations of the city over 3 days of the same period are used in this regard. The individual movement patterns within certain time windows are extracted first from CDR to generate tower-to-tower transient OD matrices. These are then associated with corresponding nodes of the traffic network and used as seed-OD matrices in a microscopic traffic simulator. An optimization based approach, which aims to minimize the differences between observed and simulated traffic counts at selected locations, is deployed to determine scaling factors and the actual OD matrix is derived. The applicability of the methodology is supported by a validation study.
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1. Background

Reliable Origin-Destination (OD) matrices are critical inputs for analyzing transportation initiatives. Traditional approaches of developing OD matrices rely on roadside and household surveys, and/or traffic counts. The roadside and household surveys for origin destination involve expensive data collection and thereby have limited sample sizes and lower update frequencies. Moreover, they are prone to sampling biases and reporting errors (e.g., 1, 2, 3). Estimation of reliable OD matrices from traffic link count data on the other hand is extremely challenging since very often the data is limited in extent and can lead to multiple plausible non-unique OD matrices (4, 5). A number of Bayesian methods (e.g., 6, 7, 8), Generalized Least Squares approaches (e.g., 9, 10), Maximum Likelihood Approaches (11), and Correlation Methods (e.g., 12, 13, 14) have been used to tackle the indeterminacy problem. These approaches typically use target matrices based on prior information for generating the plausible route flows and are very sensitive to this prior information as well as to the chosen methodology (15). More recent approaches for OD estimation include automated registration plate scanners (16) and mobile traffic sensors such as portable GPS devices (e.g., 17, 18, 19). The practical successes of these approaches have however been limited due to high installation costs of the license plate readers and the low penetration rates of GPS devices (especially in developing countries).

Mobile phone users on the other hand also leave footprints of their approximate locations whenever they make a call or send an SMS. Over the last decade, mobile phone penetration rates have increased manifold both in developed and developing countries: the current penetration rates being 128% and 89% in developed and developing countries respectively (20). Subsequently, mobile phone data has emerged as a very promising source of data for transportation researchers. In recent years, mobile phone data have been used for human travel pattern visualization (e.g., 21, 22, 23), mobility pattern extraction (e.g., 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29), route choice modeling (e.g., 30, 31), traffic model calibration (e.g., 32), traffic flow estimation (33) to name a few. There have been several limited scale researches to explore the feasibility of application of mobile phone data for OD estimation as well. Wang et al. (34) for instance use a correlation based approach to dynamically update a prior OD matrix using time difference of phone signal receipt times of base stations and Caceras et al. (35) use a GSM network simulator to simulate the detailed movements of phones that are turned on. But both of these feasibility studies are based on synthetic data in small networks and the practical application is challenging given the need to collect and process detailed location data (which are currently processed by the mobile phone companies for load management purposes but are not stored). The potential estimate OD matrices using mobile phone Call Detail Records (CDR) (which are stored by operators for billing purposes and hence more readily available) have also been explored (e.g., 36, 37, 38). Mellegård et al. (36) have developed an algorithm to assign mobile phone towers extracted from CDR to traffic nodes and Calabrese et al. (37) have proposed a methodology to reduce the noise in the CDR data but both studies have focused more on computation issues and the relationship between the mobile phone OD and the traffic OD have not been explored in
detail. Wang et al. (38) have used an analytical model to scale up the ODs derived from CDR by using the population, mode choice probabilities and vehicle occupancy and usage ratios and have validated it using probe vehicle data. The methodology however relies heavily on availability of traffic and demographic data in high spatial resolution which may not be always available, particularly in developing countries.

In this research, we propose a methodology to develop OD matrices using mobile phone CDR and limited traffic counts. CDR from 2.87 million users from Dhaka, Bangladesh over a month are used to generate the OD patterns on different time periods and traffic counts from 13 key locations of the city over a limited time are used to scale it up to derive the actual ODs using a microscopic traffic simulator. The methodology is particularly useful in situations when there is limited availability of high resolution traffic and demographic data. The ODs are validated by comparing the simulated and observed traffic counts of a different location (which has not been used for calibration).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. First we describe the data followed by the methodology used for development of the OD matrix. The estimation and validation results are presented next. We conclude with the summary of findings and directions for future research.

2. Data

2.1 Study Area

The central part of the Dhaka city has been selected as the study area and the major roads in the network has been coded. This consists of 67 nodes and 215 links covering an area of about 300km² with a population of about 10.7 million (39). The average trip production rate is 2.74 per person per day with significant portions of walking (19.8%) and non-motorized transport trips (38.3%) (39). The traffic is subjected to severe congestion in most parts of the day, the average speed being only 17km/hr\(^1\).

The mobile phone penetration rate is approximated to be more than 90% in Dhaka (66.36% being the national average) and Grameenphone Ltd. has the highest market share with 42.7m mobile phone subscribers nationwide (40).

2.2 CDR Data

The CDR data, collected from Grameenphone Ltd, consists of calls from 6.9 million users (which are more than 65% of the population of the study area) over a month. This comprises of 971.33 million anonymized call records in total made in between June 19, 2012 and July 18, 2012. The majority of the users (63%) have made 100 calls or less over the month. The frequencies of users making certain number of calls over the month and on a randomly selected

\(^1\) Excluding the non-motorized vehicles which are restricted from entering the major roads
day (15th July, 2012) are presented in Figure 1. It may be noted that no demographic data related to the phone users are available.

![Monthly Frequency of Calls](image1)

**Figure 1:** Frequency of calls per user

2.3 Traffic Count Data

Video data, collected from 13 key locations of Dhaka city network over 3 days (12th, 15th and 17th July 2012) have been used in this study to extract the traffic counts. The locations (shown in Figure 2) have been selected such that they cover the major roads (links) of Dhaka city with flows from major generators and governed by the availability of foot over bridges for mounting video cameras. Since MITSIMLab is developed for lane-based motorized traffic, care has been taken to avoid roads that have high percentages of non-motorized transport and where lane-discipline is not strictly followed. The data has been collected for 8 hrs (8.00 am to 12.00 noon and 3.00 pm to 7.00pm) and analyzed using the software TRAZER (41) to generate classified vehicle counts. Due to inclement weather and poor visibility some portion of the data is non-usable though. Moreover, TRAZER (which is the only commercial software that can deal with mixed traffic streams with ‘weak’ lane discipline) has high misspecification rates in presence of high congestion levels and in those cases, manual counting has been performed instead.

---

2 There are no loop detectors or any other automatic traffic counters in Dhaka
3. Methodology

Each entry in the CDR contains unique caller id (anonymized), the date and time of the call, call duration and latitude and longitude of the Base Transceiver Station (BTS). A snapshot of the data is presented in Figure 1. As seen in the figure, if a person traverses within the city boundary and uses his/her phone from different locations that is captured in the CDR. CDR can thus provide an abstraction of his/her physical displacements over time (Figure 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Call Date</th>
<th>Call Time</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH03JAC8AAAAbXtAlE</td>
<td>20120701</td>
<td>09:34:19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23.8153</td>
<td>90.4181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAh03JABiAAJKnPAa5</td>
<td>20120707</td>
<td>06:15:20</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>23.8139</td>
<td>90.3986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAh03JABiAAJKnPAa5</td>
<td>20120707</td>
<td>09:03:06</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>23.7042</td>
<td>90.4297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAh03JABiAAJKnPAa5</td>
<td>20120707</td>
<td>10:34:19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23.6989</td>
<td>90.4353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAh03JABiAAJKnPAa5</td>
<td>20120707</td>
<td>18:44:53</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>23.6989</td>
<td>90.4353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAh03JABiAAJKnPAa5</td>
<td>20120707</td>
<td>20:00:08</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>23.8092</td>
<td>90.4089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAh03JAC5AAAadAYAE</td>
<td>20120701</td>
<td>09:15:05</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23.7428</td>
<td>90.4164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAh03JAC4AAAavVKAC</td>
<td>20120707</td>
<td>08:56:34</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>23.7908</td>
<td>90.3753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAh03JAC4AAAavVKAC</td>
<td>20120701</td>
<td>18:03:06</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23.9300</td>
<td>90.2794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAh03JAC5AAAadAYAE</td>
<td>20120701</td>
<td>11:15:55</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.7428</td>
<td>90.4164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2:** Locations of video data collection and position of OD generating nodes

**Figure 3:** An excerpt from CDR data (entries of the same user are highlighted) and locations of a random user “AAH03JABiAAJKnPAa5” throughout the day as observed in data
However, in the CDR data, a user’s location information is lost when he/she does not use his/her phone. As shown in Figure 4, according to the CDR, a user may be observed to move from zone B to zone C, but his/her initial origin (O) and final destination (D) may actually be located in zone A and zone D. In such cases, a segment of the trip information is unobserved in the CDR. However, the mobile phone call records enable us to capture the transient origins and destinations which still retain a large portion of the actual ODs. Thus, we use the concept of transient origin destination (t-OD) matrix (as used by Wang et al. (38)), which uses the mobile phone data to efficiently and economically capture the pattern of travel demand.

![Figure 4: Actual vs. Transient OD](image)

The second source of data used in this research is classified traffic counts extracted from video recordings collected from 13 key locations of Dhaka. These counts represent the ground truth but are more expensive to collect\(^3\) and limited in extent (only 3 days). This limited point source data therefore cannot be used as a stand-alone source to reliably capture the OD pattern.

In this research, we therefore plan to combine the two data sources. The OD pattern is generated using the CDR data and scaled up to match the traffic counts. The scaling factors are determined using a microscopic traffic simulator platform MITSIMLab (42) using an optimization based approach which aims to minimize the differences between observed and simulated traffic counts at the points where the traffic counts are available.

The methodology is summarized in Figure 5 and described in the subsequent sections.

---

\(^3\) There are no detectors or any other traffic count mechanisms in Dhaka
3.1 Generation of tower-to-tower transient OD matrix

The time-stamped BTS tower locations of each user are first extracted from the mobile phone CDR data and used for generating tower-to-tower transient OD matrix. The CDR however only contains sparse and irregular records (28), in which user displacements (consecutive non-identical locations) are usually observed with long travel intervals i.e. the first location may be observed at 8:56 and next location may be observed at 18:03 with no information about intermediate locations (if any) or the time when the trip in between these two locations have been made.

Another limitation is the CDR data often records changes in towers in spite of no actual displacement (as the operator balances call traffic among adjacent towers). To better identify timing and origin-destinations of specific trips and reduce the number of false displacements, we therefore extract displacements that have occurred within a specific time window. A lower bound in the time window (10 minutes) is imposed to reduce the number of false displacements without affecting the number of physical displacements occurring within short intervals. An upper bound in the time window (1 hr) is imposed to ensure that meaningful numbers of trips are retained. Therefore, a person trip is recorded if in the CDR, subsequent entries of the same user indicate a displacement (change in tower) with a time difference of more than 10 minutes but less than 1 hour.

Further, both call volumes (from CDR data) and traffic volumes (from traffic counts) had significant variations throughout the day. Based on correlation analysis of total mobile call volumes and total traffic counts (Figure 6), four time periods (7:00-9:00, 9:00-12:00, 15:00-17:00 and 17:00-19:00), have been chosen for analysis.
3.2 Conversion of tower-to-tower t-OD to node-to-node t-OD

For application of the $t$-ODs in traffic analyses, the origin and destination towers need to be associated with corresponding nodes of the traffic network. The typical tower coverage area can be represented as a combination of three hyperbolas (Figure 7), the size varying depending on tower height, terrain, locations of adjacent towers and number of users active in the proximity (which can vary dynamically).

Figure 6: Hourly variations a. traffic count b. transient ODs from mobile call records

Figure 7: Typical coverage area of a tower (http://www.truteq.co.za/tips_gsm/)
The population density in the chosen study area is very high (more than 8111 inhabitants/sq. km (44) and the tower locations are very close to each other (1 km on average). Because of the high user density, it can be assumed that the area between two towers is equally split among the two towers (Figure 8) that is, each tower \( t \) has a coverage area \( (A_t) \) approximately defined by a circle of radius \( 0.5l \), where \( l \) is the tower-to-tower distance.

Tower 6 and Node 3 need to be added to Figure

![Image](image.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Call Date</th>
<th>Call Time</th>
<th>Origin Tower</th>
<th>Destination Tower</th>
<th>Origin Tower</th>
<th>Call Time</th>
<th>Candidate Node</th>
<th>Destination Tower</th>
<th>Candidate Node</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 20120718 15:54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 20120718 16:13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 20120718 16:15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 20120718 18:53</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 20120718 20:49</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 20120718 23:41</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8: Example of tower to node allocation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Call Date</th>
<th>Call Time</th>
<th>Origin Node</th>
<th>Destination Node</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 14:54</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 16:13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 16:15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 Or 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 18:53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 20:49</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH03JA 23:41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If a unique traffic node \( i \) overlaps with \( A_t \), the calls handled by \( t \) are associated with node \( i \) (as in the case of Tower 1 in Figure 6). However, if \( A_t \) has two (or more) candidate nodes for association, then the candidate nodes are ranked based on the proportion of \( A_t \) feeding to each node. That is, the node serving greatest portion of \( A_t \) is ranked 1, the node serving second highest portion of \( A_t \) is ranked 2, etc. For example, in Figure 6, network connectivity (feeder roads) and topography (presence of a canal with no crossing facility in the vicinity) denote that Node 1 and Node 2 are candidate nodes for association with Tower 2. As the major portion of \( A_t \) is connected to Node 2 and the remaining portion is connected to Node 1, they are ranked 1 and 2 respectively for Tower 2. The data format after this step is presented in Figure 7b. As seen in the figure, this typically consists of call records associated with unique nodes and some calls associated with *multiple candidate nodes*. The calls are then sorted and ranked based on the frequency of the unique nodes used by each user. The frequency of occurrence of the candidate nodes are...
compared and used as the basis of replacement. For example, frequency analysis of User “AAH03JA” indicates a higher frequency of Node 1. Therefore, in cases where there are ambiguities between Nodes 2 and 1, Node 1 is used (for this particular user). The same process is used for all users and node-to-node $t$-OD matrices for each time period of each day are derived.

### 3.3 Finding the scaling factor and determining the actual OD matrix

As discussed, the node-to-node $t$-OD matrix ($t$-$OD_{ij}$) provides the trip patterns for developing the actual OD matrix ($OD_{ij}$). However, in order to determine the actual OD matrix, the $t$-OD needs to be scaled to match the real traffic flows. A scaling factor $\beta_{ij}$ is used in this regard:

\[
OD_{ij} = \sum_{ij} (t$-OD_{ij}) * \beta_{ij}
\]

It may be noted that $\beta_{ij}$ takes into account the market penetration rates (i.e. not every user has a mobile phone or uses the specific service provider), the mobile phone non-usage issue (i.e. mobile phone calls are not made from every location traversed by the user), the vehicle usage issue (i.e. users may not use cars for every trip). The potential error introduced due to false displacement (described in Section 2.1) is also accounted for in the scaling factors.

The scaling factors are determined using the open-sourced microscopic traffic simulator platform MITSIMLab (42) by applying an optimization based approach. The movements of vehicles in MITSIMLab are dictated by driving behavior models based on decision theories and estimated with detailed trajectory data using econometric approaches. Route choices of drivers are based on a discrete choice based probabilistic model where the utilities of selecting and re-evaluating routes are functions of path attributes, such as path travel times and freeway bias (see 43 for details). The inputs of the simulator include network data, driving behavior parameters and OD matrix. The generated outputs include traffic flow at specified locations in the network.

The node-to-node OD matrix derived from the mobile phone data are provided as the initial or seed-OD in this case. The simulated traffic flows are compared with the actual traffic flows extracted from video recordings. The objective function seeks to minimize the difference between the actual and simulated traffic flows in each location by changing the scaling factors. The optimization problem can be represented as follows:

\[
\text{minimize } Z = \sum_{k=1}^{K} (V_{\text{actual}}^k - V_{\text{simulated}}^k)^2
\]

subject to:

\[
OD_{ij,t} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} t$-OD_{ij,t} * \beta_{ij,t}
\]

Where,

- $V_{\text{simulated}}^k$ = Traffic flow of link $k$ of the road network from simulation
- $OD_{ij,t}$ = Actual OD between nodes $i$ and $j$ in time period $t$
- $t$-$OD_{ij,t}$ = Transient OD between nodes $i$ and $j$ in time period $t$
- $\beta_{ij,t}$ = Scaling factor associated with the node pair $i$ and $j$ and time period $t$
However, to make the optimization problem more tractable, group-wise scaling factors are used rather than an individual scaling factor for each OD pair. The grouping is based on the analyses of the CDR data. This simplifies the problem as follows:

\[
\minimize, Z = \sum_{k=1}^{K} (V_{actual}^k - V_{simulated}^k)^2 \\
\text{Such that, } OD_{ij,t} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} t-OD_{ij,t}^m \cdot \beta_{t}^{m}
\]

Where,
- \( t-OD_{ij,t}^m \) = Transient OD between node pair \( i \) and \( j \) in time period \( t \) where the node pair \( i,j \) belong to group \( m \)
- \( \beta_{t}^{m} \) = Scaling factor for group \( m \) and time period \( t \)
- \( M \) = Total number of groups of OD-pairs

4. Results

The mobile phone network within the study area comprises of 1360 towers which have been assigned to 29 OD generating nodes (812 OD pairs). Out of the one month CDR data, the weekend data have been discarded. For each day, the calls of each user originating from two different towers in each of the time period have been extracted. After application of the transient trip definitions (displacements occurring more than 10mins but less than 1hr apart) and the tower to node conversion rules (elaborated in Section 3.2), the node-to-node \( t \)-ODs are derived. The total number of node-to-node \( t \)-ODs are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1: Node-to-node \( t \)-OD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>( t )-OD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Over the Month</td>
<td>Weekday Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7:00-9:00</td>
<td>397355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9:00-12:00</td>
<td>1915417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15:00-17:00</td>
<td>2255859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17:00-19:00</td>
<td>1549109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^4\) Includes weekends
Analyses of the node-to-node transient flows indicate that the flows between adjacent nodes are substantially higher than those between non-adjacent nodes (Figure 9). This is reasonable since given the low travel speed in Dhaka, a traveler may not be able to move very far in the 50min time window and the $t$-ODs mostly capture segments of a longer trip. However, part of it may also be due to the false displacement problem discussed in section 3.1. Therefore, the OD-pairs have been divided into two groups (adjacent and non-adjacent nodes) and the objective function to determine scaling factors has been formulated as follows:

$$\minimize Z = \sum_{k=1}^{K} (V_{actual}^k - V_{simulated}^k)^2$$

Such that, $OD_{ij,t} = \sum_{adj} t-OD_{ij,t}^{adj} \times \beta_{t}^{adj} + \sum_{non-adj} t-OD_{ij,t}^{non-adj} \times \beta_{t}^{non-adj}$

Where,

$t-OD_{ij}^{adj}$ = Transient OD between node pair $i$ and $j$ in time period $t$ where the node pair $i,j$ are adjacent nodes

$t-OD_{ij}^{non-adj}$ = Transient OD between node pair $i$ and $j$ in time period $t$ where the node pair $i,j$ are non-adjacent nodes

$\beta_{t}^{adj}, \beta_{t}^{non-adj}$ = Scaling factors for time period $t$ and adjacent and non-adjacent nodes respectively

**Figure 9:** Comparison of $t$-ODs between adjacent and non-adjacent nodes
This yielded eight scaling factors in total that needed to be estimated from the simulation runs of MITSIMLab. Running the optimization process in MATLAB (that invokes MITSIMLab) and using a BOX algorithm (45), the following values of scaling factors have been derived.

**Table 2: Scaling Factors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>OD Type</th>
<th>Scaling Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:00-9:00</td>
<td>Adjacent</td>
<td>6.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-adjacent</td>
<td>1.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00-12:00</td>
<td>Adjacent</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-adjacent</td>
<td>0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00-17:00</td>
<td>Adjacent</td>
<td>1.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-adjacent</td>
<td>3.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00-19:00</td>
<td>Adjacent</td>
<td>9.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-adjacent</td>
<td>6.779</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is interesting to note that the scaling factors for adjacent nodes are higher than those of non-adjacent in all time periods other than 15:00-17:00. This does not however indicate that most of the actual trips are to the adjacent nodes (since a full trip may consist of several segments each represented by a separate t-OD).

The graphical representation of the t-ODs and actual ODs across the network for one of the time periods and the variations for an example node are presented in Figures 10 and 11 respectively.

**Figure 10:** t-ODs and actual ODs across the network for 7:00-9:00
Figure 11: Example of Transient and Actual Traffic Flows To and From a Node (Shyamoli) between 7:00-9:00.

5. Validation

In addition to the aggregate data used for calibration, traffic counts are collected from four additional locations on a different day. For validation purposes, the scaled up ODs have been applied to simulate the traffic between 9:00-12:00 in MITSIMLab and the simulated traffic counts are compared against the observed counts from these locations. In order to quantify the prediction error, Root Mean Square Error and Root Mean Square Percent Errors have been calculated and are found to be 335.09 and 13.59% respectively.

6. Conclusion

The main outcome of this research is the methodology for development of the OD matrix using mobile phone CDR and limited traffic count data. The strengths of both data sources are utilized in this approach: the trip patterns are extracted from mobile phones and the ground truth traffic scenario are derived from the counts. The methodology is demonstrated using data collected from Dhaka.

There are several limitations of the current research though. Firstly, in this research a simplified objective function with grouped scaling factors has been used. This overlooks the heterogeneity in call rates from different locations (e.g., more calls may be generated to and from railway stations compared to and from offices with land telephone lines, etc.). A more detailed classification of scaling factor can be used to overcome this bias and may yield better results. Moreover, in this particular context, detailed network data and extensive calibration data were not available which may have increased the simulation errors and affected the validation results. However, initial validation results indicate promising success in real life application by transport planners and managers.
Since CDR is already recorded by mobile phone companies for billing purposes, the approach is more economic than the traditional approaches which rely on expensive household surveys and/or extensive traffic counts. It is also convenient for periodic update of the OD matrix and extendable for dynamic OD estimation. This method is particularly effective for generating complex OD matrix where land use pattern is heterogeneous and asymmetry in travelling pattern prevails throughout the day but there is a limitation of traditional data sources.
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