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We present the results of a combined experimental and theoretical study of drop coalescence in the presence of an initial temperature difference $\Delta T_0$ between a drop and a bath of the same liquid. We characterize experimentally the dependence of the residence time before coalescence on $\Delta T_0$ for silicone oils with different viscosities. Delayed coalescence arises above a critical temperature difference $\Delta T_c$ that depends on the fluid viscosity: for $\Delta T_0 > \Delta T_c$, the delay time increases as $\Delta T_0^{2/3}$ for all liquids examined. This observed dependence is rationalized theoretically through consideration of the thermocapillary flows generated within the drop, the bath and the intervening air layer.
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1. Introduction

When a drop falls onto a bath of a miscible liquid, one expects it to coalesce immediately upon impact. However, such is not always the case, owing to the presence of a thin lubricating air layer between drop and bath that must drain to a critical thickness before coalescence is initiated by intermolecular forces (Walker 1978). Careful observation of raindrops hitting a puddle, lake or sea surface reveal that some millimetric droplets may bounce, leap and roll along the surface prior to coalescence (Reynolds 1881; Rayleigh 1899). Everyday experience indicates that a temperature difference may further delay coalescence. When milk is poured into hot tea or coffee, drops may linger on the surface, levitating there for up to a few seconds before merging.

Coalescence has been studied extensively and the influence of relevant physical quantities (fluid density $\rho$, surface tension $\sigma$, viscosity $\mu$, surface charges, \textit{etc.}) has been characterized (e.g., Charles & Mason 1960\textsuperscript{a, b}; Jeffreys & Davis 1971), leading to a classification of different dynamical regimes (Aryafar & Kavehpour 2006). Advances in high speed imaging (Thoroddsen & Takehara 2000), experimental techniques (Mohamed-Kassim & Longmire 2004), and numerical simulations (Blanchette & Bigioni 2006, 2009) have furthered our understanding of coalescence in various circumstances, including the effects of concentration-induced Marangoni stresses (Blanchette \textit{et al.} 2009). The myriad aspects of coalescence were recently reviewed by Kavehpour (2015).

The influence of thermal effects on drop coalescence has received relatively less attention. A series of experimental studies have examined the non-coalescence of two
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drops maintained at different temperatures owing to the presence of thermally-induced Marangoni stresses (Dell’Aversana et al. 1996, 1997; Dell’Aversana & Neitzel 1998). These studies demonstrate that above a critical temperature difference, coalescence is precluded by Marangoni stresses driving recirculating flows inside the drops that enhance the intervening lubrication pressure (as in figure 1), a physical picture supported by the numerical simulations of Monti et al. (1996) and Monti & Savino (1997). Savino et al. (2003) confirmed that coalescence of drops floating on a liquid bath could be delayed by a temperature difference between drop and bath, and the sustenance of the intervening air film by the associated thermocapillary flows. Neitzel & Dell’Aversana (2002) and Lappa (2005) have reviewed studies of different aspects of non-coalescence.

While it has been established that the influence of thermocapillary flows in the lubricating air layer will resist coalescence between two drops with a temperature difference above some critical value (Neitzel & Dell’Aversana 2002; Savino et al. 2003), no theoretical model has provided a rationale for this critical temperature difference. Moreover, previous studies have neither characterized nor rationalized the dependence of the residence time of a floating droplet (denoted $\tau_r$ henceforth) on the temperature difference between drop and bath. We focus here on the coalescence of a drop into a bath of the same liquid, when an initial temperature difference $\Delta T_0$ is imposed between the bath and the drop (figure 1a). In §2 we provide experimental evidence of a functional relationship between $\tau_r$ and $\Delta T_0$. In §3, we rationalize our observations through theoretical consideration of the thermocapillary flows arising within the drop, the bath and the intervening air layer.

2. Experiments

Consider a drop with initial temperature $T_d$ approaching a bath of the same liquid with temperature $T_b$ (figure 1a). The drop is released sufficiently close to the bath surface that the effect of its initial velocity is negligible. The initial temperature difference between the drop and the bath, $\Delta T_0 = T_b - T_d$, is prescribed. Owing to the dependence of surface tension $\sigma$ on temperature $T$, thermal gradients along the interface result in Marangoni stresses that drive thermocapillary flows within both the liquid and gas phases, of the general form sketched in figure 1. The majority of our experiments were performed with $\Delta T_0 > 0$, as in the case of a cold milk drop on the surface of hot coffee. We also performed several experiments with $\Delta T_0 < 0$. 
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Figure 2. (a) Schematic of the experimental set-up. (b) Image showing a drop sitting on a bath of 1 cSt silicone oil with $\Delta T_0 = 0$ prior to coalescence (see Movie 1). (c) Image captured with a green laser light sheet reveals a recirculating Marangoni flow within a cold drop (see Movie 2).

Figure 3. Montage of typical experiments for two different silicone oils with kinematic viscosities (a) $\nu_o = 20$ cSt and (b) $\nu_o = 1$ cSt (see also Movie 4 and Movie 5). The first two frames correspond to drop detachment from the needle ($t = 0$) and initiation of coalescence ($t = \tau_r$). Subsequent images show the coalescence process. The difference between the two sequences is striking: the 20 cSt drop coalesces directly, while the 1 cSt drop executes a coalescence cascade (Thoroddsen & Takehara 2000) with up to 5-6 daughter droplets, only 2 of which are shown here.

2.1. Materials and Methods

Figure 2a shows a schematic of our experimental set-up. The bath consists of a liquid pool in a customized square cell of dimensions 3.175 cm by 3.175 cm by 1.9 cm deep. The cell, with acrylic walls and a metallic substrate, is placed on a dual cold/hot plate (Teca AHP-301 CPV) that allows for precise control of the temperature at the base of the cell. The temperature of the bath surface ($T_b$) and that of the drop ($T_d$) are monitored with a hand-held thermometer equipped with a K-type thermocouple. In the range of $\Delta T_0$ considered, the influence of convective overturning within the bath was negligible. Drops are dispensed through a needle centred above the cell at a height of approximately one drop diameter above the bath. The liquid is pushed by a syringe pump at a flow rate of 0.07 ml/min, the drop then gently released onto the bath in order to insure a negligible approach speed. A BD stainless steel standard bevel needle 16G was used, fixing the drop radius to be $R = 0.6$ mm. A Phantom high speed camera, mounted on a 3-axis positioning stage, recorded each experiment, typically at 2000 fps. Figure 2b shows a digital video frame of a drop floating on a bath prior to coalescence (see Movie 1). Back-lighting with a white LED was used in all measurements (see Movie 3), but a halogen lamp proved more effective in visualizing the rapid dynamics of the coalescence process, as shown in figure 3 (see also Movie 4 and 5). The residence time $\tau_r$ was measured as the interval between drop detachment from the needle and the onset of coalescence (see...
Figure 4. (a) Measurements of the residence time $\tau_r$ as a function of the absolute value of the initial temperature difference $|\Delta T_0|$ for the four different silicone oils considered. Open symbols represent the cases where $\Delta T_0 < 0$. Each data point corresponds to an average over 30 drops and error bars are equivalent to two standard deviations. (b) The delay time $\tau_d$, nondimensionalized by the characteristic thermal diffusion time $\tau_{th} = R^2/\alpha_o$, as a function of the initial Marangoni number $Ma_0$. Symbols correspond to data from (a). The solid line is the theoretical prediction from the model described in § 3.2 with $\beta = 1/4$. The dashed line is an approximate closed-form expression derived in the limit of $t > \sqrt{3}/\dot{\gamma}$.

first two images in figure 3a,b), which is readily identified by the appearance of capillary waves on the surface of the droplet. The acquisition rate guarantees that the error on $\tau_r$ is on the order of 1 ms, and so negligible relative to the variability between successive measurements.

In order to visualize the thermocapillary flow within the drop, the 5 cSt oil was seeded with TiO$_2$ particles with an average diameter of 3 $\mu$m. A drop was then formed, pinned in place by the dispensing needle and illuminated with a green laser sheet (see Movie 2). A synthetic streak image obtained by superposing 100 digital video frames taken at 30 fps prior to coalescence is shown in figure 2c. The particle pathlines are clearly evident and reveal a poloidal motion with characteristic speed of $\sim 5$ mm/s in the interior of the drop for $\Delta T_0 = 5^\circ$C. This vortical circulation is initiated when the droplet detaches from the needle (see Movie 2). A complementary thermocapillary flow is expected to arise within the bath (Savino et al. 2003, see figure 1).

Silicone oils allowed us to tune viscosity without substantially altering other properties of the liquid phase (see table 1 in Kavehpour et al. 2002). Five different silicone oils were tested, with kinematic viscosities ($\nu_o$) of 1, 5, 10, 20 and 500 cSt. All the oils are assumed to have density $\rho_o = 0.9$ g/cm$^3$ and thermal diffusivity $\alpha_o = 7 \cdot 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$/s. The surface tension is assumed to decrease linearly with increasing temperature: $\sigma(T) = \sigma_0 - \sigma_T(T - T_0)$ where $\sigma_0 = 20$ dyn/cm and $\sigma_T = \partial \sigma / \partial T = 5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ dyn/(cm $^\circ$C). The air is characterized by its dynamic viscosity $\mu_a = 0.018$ cP, density $\rho_a = 1.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ g/cm$^3$ and thermal diffusivity $\alpha_a = 0.2$ cm$^2$/s. In our theoretical developments, density and viscosity are assumed to be independent of temperature: the only property that changes significantly over the range of temperatures considered is surface tension.

2.2. Measurements of the residence time

In figure 4a we report the residence times $\tau_r$ for the five oils at different $\Delta T_0$. The dashed line indicates the isothermal reference value that is independent of the oil viscosity and assumes a nearly constant value $\tau_r^0 \simeq 160 \pm 50$ ms for all liquids considered and for the given $R$. Two important features should be noted. First, as in the case of complete non-coalescence of drops (Dell’Aversana et al. 1996), there is a critical temperature difference
below which the residence time $\tau_r$ is comparable to $\tau_r^0$, as indicated by the points lying close to the reference dashed line. This critical value, henceforth denoted $\Delta T_c$, increases monotonically with the fluid viscosity. While the 5 cSt oil has $\Delta T_c \simeq 1^\circ C$, the 500 cSt oil has a value of $\Delta T_c$ much higher than those accessible with our set-up; thus we never observed delayed coalescence with this oil. Second, above $\Delta T_c$, for all viscosities the residence time monotonically increases with the initial temperature difference with a characteristic power law such that $\tau_r \sim \Delta T^{0.66 \pm 0.10}$.

To isolate the influence of thermocapillary flows, we introduce the delay time $\tau_d = \tau_r - \tau_r^0$ and rescale the initial temperature difference in terms of the initial Marangoni number $M_0 = |\Delta \sigma_o | R / \mu_o \alpha_o = |\sigma_T \Delta T_0 | R / \mu_o \alpha_o$ which prescribes the relative magnitudes of the characteristic timescales of thermal diffusion and convection within the drop, respectively $\tau_{th} = R^2 / \alpha_o$ and $\tau_{conv} = R \mu_o / (\sigma_T \Delta T_0)$.

In figure 4b we recast the data of figure 4a in terms of these two variables, with the delay time non-dimensionalised by the characteristic thermal diffusion time $\tau_{th}$. The data all collapse onto a single curve that approaches a line with slope 2/3 at large $Ma$. We note that the threshold below which no delayed coalescence is observed, previously characterized in terms of a viscosity-dependent $\Delta T_c$, may now be expressed in terms of a single critical Marangoni number, $M_0 \simeq 100 \pm 50$. Consequently, the delay time may be expressed as $\tau_d / \tau_{th} \simeq 0.01 (M_0 - M_{ac})^{2/3}$. We also note that the points in figure 4a with $\tau_r \sim \tau_r^0$ are automatically shifted to $Ma \ll M_{ac}$ and so are beyond the limits of the plot. In order to rationalize both $M_{ac}$ and the resulting power law scaling of $\tau_d$ with $Ma$, we proceed by considering the thermocapillary flows generated within the system.

3. Theoretical modelling

In §3.1, we first describe the air flow in the gap between the drop and the bath using a lubrication analysis from which the critical Marangoni number $M_{ac}$ is deduced. In §3.2, we then rationalize the functional relationship between the residence time and the initial temperature difference through a description of the mixing dynamics within the drop.

3.1. Lubrication flow within the air gap

Our analysis rests on a series of simplifying assumptions. Figures 2b and c suggest that the geometry of the flow is non-trivial due to the bath curvature induced locally by the drop’s weight. Previous studies (Dell’Aversana et al. 1997; Neitzel & Dell’Aversana 2002; Savino et al. 2003) have pointed out that in these conditions the underside of the drop may deform such that the height of the gap is not constant. We avoid such geometrical complexities by treating the gap as a cylindrical disk with height $h(t)$ and radius $R_d$, where $R_d = R^2 / \ell_c$ is the effective contact radius (Mahadevan & Pomeau 1999), and $\ell_c = \sqrt{\sigma / \rho_o g}$ is the capillary length of the oil; an approximation one expects to be valid provided $Bo = \rho_o g R^2 / \sigma < 1$. In our experiments $Bo = 0.17$ and $R_d = 0.25 \text{ mm} \simeq 0.4 R$. The initial height of the gap is $h(0)$ and $h(t) \ll (R, R_d)$ at all times.

We also assume that $Re_a (h/R) \ll 1$, where $Re_a = \rho_o V h_0 / \mu_o$ is the Reynolds number of the air flow, so that the lubrication approximation can be invoked and the Navier-Stokes equations simplified to:

$$0 \simeq - \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \mu_o \frac{\partial^2 v_r}{\partial z^2}, \quad 0 \simeq - \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}$$

(3.1)

where $p$ is the pressure field and $v_r$ the radial velocity. While both boundaries are free, $\mu_o / \mu_a \gg 1$ so we can apply no-slip boundary conditions, specifically $v_r(0) = v_b$, the surface speed of the bath, and $v_r( h(t) ) = v_d$, the surface speed of the drop. Note that
$v_b$ and $v_d$ can be positive or negative depending on the sign of $\Delta T_0$. If $\Delta T_0 > 0$, $v_b < 0$ while $v_d > 0$, and vice versa (see figure 1). In isothermal conditions, one may assume that $v_b = 0 = v_d$, and the problem reduces to the classic lubrication squeezing flow between two parallel disks (Stefan 1874). However, in the presence of thermal gradients, both interfaces have radial flows driven by Marangoni stresses with characteristic magnitude $U_0 \sim \sigma_T \Delta T / \mu_o$, leading to the following mixed Couette-Poiseuille velocity profile within the gap:

$$v_r(r, z) = \frac{1}{2\mu_o} \left( -\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \right) [zh - z^2] + (v_d - v_b) \frac{z}{h} + v_b.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

After imposing conservation of mass for a control volume within the gap and the kinematic boundary condition $v_z = -\dot{h}$ at $z = h$, one can use the velocity profile to derive an ODE for the pressure field:

$$-\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \frac{6\mu_o(-\dot{h})}{h^3} r + \frac{6\mu_o \Delta v}{h^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

where $\Delta v = -(v_d + v_b) > 0$ regardless of the sign of $\Delta T_0$ (Monti et al. 1996). Integrating equation (3.3) with the boundary condition $p(R_d) = p_a$ yields:

$$p(r) - p_a = \frac{3\mu_o(-\dot{h})}{h^3} (R_d^2 - r^2) + \frac{6\mu_o \Delta v}{h^2} (R_d - r).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

The form of the pressure field indicates that the Marangoni flow augments the pressure within the gap, thus resisting the settling of the drop, independent of the sign of $\Delta T_0$. Assuming that the drop acceleration is negligible, so that its weight is supported quasi-statically by the pressure field, we deduce:

$$\rho_o \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 g = 2\pi \int_0^{R_d} [p(r) - p_a] r dr = \frac{3\pi \mu_o R_d^4}{2h^3} (-\dot{h}) + \frac{2\mu_o R_d^3 \Delta v}{h^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

We thus obtain an ODE for the height of the gap $h(t)$. We define a dimensionless height $h^* = h/h_0$, and a dimensionless time $t^* = t/\tau_s$, where $\tau_s = 9\mu_o R_d^4/(16\rho_o g R^3 h_0^2)$ is the characteristic settling time that would arise in the absence of thermocapillary effects. A balance between thermocapillary and viscous stresses at the interface allows us to express $\Delta v$ as $\Delta v = K|\sigma_T \Delta T|/\mu_o$, with $K \sim O(1)$. In the absence of direct measurements of the surface velocity, we set the constant $K$ to unity. We thus write the ODE in dimensionless form as:

$$-\frac{\partial h^*}{\partial t^*} = h^* \left( \frac{h^{*2}}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_{th}} \frac{R}{R_d} Ma \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

where $\tau_{th} = R^2/\alpha_o$ is the thermal diffusion time and $Ma = |\sigma_T \Delta T| R/\mu_o \alpha_o$ is the Marangoni number. Under isothermal conditions ($Ma = 0$), equation (3.6) yields the well-known solution $h^*(t^*) = 1/\sqrt{1 + t^*}$ for squeezing flow between two disks under constant force (Stefan 1874).

If $Ma \neq 0$, equation (3.6) indicates that there is a critical value $Ma_c$ above which the gap height does not decrease in time. Using values of fluid properties listed in §2.1 and an estimated initial height of $h_0 = 1.5 \mu$m (Monti & Savino 1997) we obtain $\tau_s = 0.0078 s$ and $\tau_{th} = 5.14 s$, which gives $Ma_c = 3\tau_{th} R_d/(8\tau_s R) \approx 100$, in good agreement with the experimental results. The value of $Ma_c$ can be used to estimate $\Delta T_c$ for any viscosity. In particular, for $\nu_o = 5 \text{cSt}$ the critical temperature difference is $\Delta T_c = Ma_c \nu_o \alpha_o / \sigma_T R \approx 1^\circ C$, in agreement with our experiments, while for $\nu_o = 500 \text{cSt}$, $\Delta T_c \approx 115^\circ C$, which is well beyond the maximum accessible $\Delta T_0$ and so again consistent with our experiments. We further note that the exact value of $Ma_c$ depends on the
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Figure 5. (a) Streamlines (blue) and velocity field (red) of the potential flow solution corresponding to Hill’s spherical vortex. (b) Simulation of a fluid element being deformed and convected with the assumed potential flow (with $\Delta T_0 = 10^\circ$C, $\nu_0 = 5$ cSt, see also Movie 6). The accompanying schematic indicates the reference system of eq. (3.9). (c) Predicted decay of temperature obtained through eq. (3.12) for $\Delta T_0 = 26^\circ$C and $\nu_0 = 5$ cSt (solid black line). Approximation of the solution for early times ($t \to 0$, solid blue line) and for long times ($t > \sqrt{3}/\dot{\gamma}$, dashed red line).

3.2. Mixing and its effect on the residence time

The flow induced by Marangoni stresses acting on the air within the gap accounts for the existence of a critical Marangoni number. To rationalize the dependence of the thermal delay time on $\Delta T_0$, we need to estimate the time required for such stresses to diminish, i.e., for temperature differences to decrease such that $Ma < Ma_c$. Formally, this requires the combined solution of the energy equation and the Navier-Stokes equations. However, a relatively expedient route may be found with appropriate simplifications.

Figure 2c provides direct evidence of convection and the resulting thermal homogenisation by highlighting the recirculating flow inside the drop prior to coalescence. Assuming that the characteristic velocity is that of the interface $U_0 \sim \sigma T \Delta T_0 / \mu_0$, one obtains an estimate of the convective time-scale $\tau_{\text{conv}} \sim R/U_0 \sim R \mu_0 / \sigma T \Delta T_0 \sim \tau_{\text{th}}/Ma_0$. Given that $Ma_0 \geq Ma_c \approx 100$, we infer that $\tau_{\text{conv}} \ll \tau_{\text{th}}$ so that convection dominates in the initial stages of thermalisation. This suggests that when the drop gets close to the bath its lower extremities are warmed up (or cooled down, depending on the sign of $\Delta T_0$) and a poloidal convective motion is established that rapidly transports these fluid elements while deforming them into elongated filaments, or lamellae. Only on longer time scales does thermal diffusion become effective and the drop equilibrate thermally.

A mathematical framework to study related advection-diffusion problems has been developed in the context of mixing by Ottino et al. (1979) and Ranz (1979), and recently applied by Meunier & Villermaux (2003) to describe scalar mixing in an axisymmetric vortex flow. In the following, we apply this method to the case of thermal homogenisation within the drop, assuming that a roughly symmetric process is also taking place in the bath (Savino et al. 2003). We assume that the velocity field is expressible in terms of the Hill’s spherical vortex (Batchelor 1967, p. 237). Defining a dimensionless velocity field as $v^* = v / \bar{U}$, where $\bar{U}$ is a characteristic velocity proportional to $U_0$ and a dimensionless radial coordinate as $r^* = r / R$, the dimensionless velocity components for this potential flow are $v^*_r = -(1 - r^*) \cos \theta$ and $v^*_\theta = (1 - 2r^*) \sin \theta$, which are plotted together with the streamlines ($\psi^* = 1/2(r^* - 1)^2 \sin^2 \theta = \text{const}$) in figure 5a. From these expressions,
we compute the dimensionless strain rate tensor within the drop:

\[
e^* = \begin{pmatrix}
2r^* \cos \theta & -3/2r^* \sin \theta \\
-3/2r^* \sin \theta & -r^* \cos \theta
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (3.7)

Accounting for the evident spatial variations would substantially complicate the analysis; hence, we consider an average flow by performing an area-weighted average of the local shear rate tensor over all angles \(\theta\) in the range \([0, \pi]\), obtaining

\[
\langle e^* \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^1 e^* r^* d\theta dr^* = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1/\pi \\
-1/\pi & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (3.8)

Equation (3.8) suggests that, on average, each element of fluid is undergoing a shear flow.

To find the dimensional average shear rate \(\dot{\gamma}\) we consider the shear rate at the interface to be that imposed by the gradient in surface tension, \(\dot{\gamma}_R = (\partial \sigma / \partial \theta)/2\mu_o R = |\sigma_T \Delta T|/2R\pi \mu_o\). Computing the maximum shear rate at the interface \(r^* = 1, \theta = \pi/2\) from equation (3.7), we deduce \(\dot{U} = U_0/3\pi\). Following the method introduced by Ottino et al. (1979), we write the energy equation in the frame of reference \((X,Y)\) of a material element that is convected and deformed as shown in figure 5b. Neglecting variations in the \(X\)-direction, we write:

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + V \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} = \alpha_o \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial Y^2}.
\] (3.9)

The velocity field \(V\) can be written in terms of the thickness of the lamella \(s(t)\) based on the rate of stretching \(\dot{\epsilon} = d \ln s(t)/dt\) as \(V = \dot{\epsilon} Y\). For a flow dominated by shear, \(s(t) = s_0/\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2 t^2}\), where \(s_0\) is the initial size of the blob of fluid that has been warmed up (or cooled down) to a temperature close to \(T_b\) as the drop approaches the bath. An estimate of \(s_0\) can be derived by considering that convection can be induced only when a temperature gradient is established on the drop surface. Consequently, \(s_0\) is prescribed by the local balance, at early times, between diffusion from the bath, at a rate \(\alpha_o/(d\ell)^2\) with \(d\ell \sim \sqrt{\alpha_o t}\) independent of the temperature difference, and deformation by Marangoni stresses, at a rate \(|\sigma_T \Delta T_0|/(\mu_o s_0)\). When \(|\sigma_T \Delta T_0|/(\mu_o s_0) \geq \alpha_o/(d\ell)^2\), that is for \(s_0 \approx M_0(d\ell)^2/R\), the fluid blob will start moving. As convection is established, this initial blob of fluid at \(T\sim T_b\) is stretched into a thin lamella that is wrapped around the drop (see Movie 6). Ultimately, the drop is characterized by a series of alternating warm and cold layers.

By changing variables such that \(\xi = Y/s(t)\) and \(\tau = \int_0^t \alpha_o/s^2(t') dt'\), we can reduce equation (3.9) to:

\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \xi^2},
\] (3.10)

where \(\theta = (T_b - T)/\Delta T_0\) and \(\xi \in [-1/2, 1/2]\). The change of variables effectively rescales the convection-diffusion equation in order to capture the correct length- and time-scales over which thermalisation acts, specifically those of a stretching and thinning lamella. By definition, convection dominates diffusion when \(\tau \ll 1\). As \(\tau\) approaches \(O(1)\), the two become comparable so that conduction across the lamella becomes important.

To characterise the thermal equilibration of the drop, we solve equation (3.10) from the perspective of a lamella originally at \(T_d\), in contact at its boundaries with fluid at \(T_b\). In terms of dimensionless quantities this means that \(\theta(\tau = 0) = 1\) inside the lamella (for \(|\xi| < 1/2\)), and \(\theta(\tau = 0) = 0\) outside (for \(|\xi| > 1/2\)). The resulting analytical solution
of 3.10 thus emerges:
\[ \theta(\xi, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \text{erf} \left( \frac{\xi + 1/2}{2\sqrt{\tau}} \right) - \text{erf} \left( \frac{\xi - 1/2}{2\sqrt{\tau}} \right) \right]. \] (3.11)

From equation (3.11) we can compute the evolution of the maximum temperature difference arising at the centre of the lamella \( \xi = 0 \):
\[ \theta_M(0, t) = \frac{\Delta T_{\text{lamella}}(t)}{\Delta T_0} = \text{erf} \left( \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\tau(t)}} \right) = \text{erf} \left( \frac{s_0}{4\sqrt{\alpha_0}t + \alpha_0 \gamma^3 t^3/3} \right). \] (3.12)

Equation (3.12) is plotted in figure 5c for the case of \( \Delta T_0 = 26^\circ \text{C} \) and \( \nu_o = 5 \text{ cSt} \). The temperature difference is initially constant since fluid elements are first convected and deformed into lamellae before diffusion becomes significant. When \( 4\sqrt{\tau} \sim 1 \), thermal diffusion acts effectively across the thickness of the lamella, altering the local temperature and ultimately leading to thermal homogenisation of the drop. At long times equation (3.12) shows that \( \Delta T(t)_{\text{lamella}} \sim \Delta T_0 \sqrt{3/(4\pi\alpha_o)}(s_0/\gamma^3/2)) \). This suggests that the delay time should scale as \( \tau_d \sim \Delta T_0^{2/3} \sim M_{a_0}^{2/3} \). We note that the same scaling applies provided the kinematics of the flow field do not have elongational characteristics such that fluid elements separate exponentially quickly in time.

Using equation (3.12) for a fixed viscosity, we can obtain an estimate for the delay time as a function of \( \Delta T_0 \). We expect the temperature difference across a lamella before coalescence (at \( t \approx \tau_d \)) to be comparable to the critical temperature difference measured for the entire drop at uniform temperature, i.e., \( \Delta T(t)_{\text{lamella}}(\tau_d) = \beta \Delta T_c \) with \( \beta \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \). The resulting estimate for the delay time \( \tau_d \) obtained by solving this nonlinear equation is shown in figure 4b with a solid curve computed with \( \beta = 1/4 \). Comparison with the data shows that, notwithstanding the simplifying assumptions, our analytical model effectively captures the main physical phenomena, rationalizing the observed dependence of \( \tau_d \) on \( M_{a_0} \). Finally, we note that a closed form for \( \tau_d \) can be obtained from \( \Delta T(t)_{\text{lamella}}(\tau_d) = \beta \Delta T_c \) in the limit \( t > \sqrt{3}/\gamma \). In this case we have \( \tilde{\tau}_d = (\sqrt{3}\Delta T_0 s_0/(\sqrt{4\pi\alpha_0} \beta \Delta T_c \gamma))^{2/3} \), as shown as a dashed line in figure 4b. As \( M_{a_0} \rightarrow M_{a_c} \), this approximation is no longer valid because it is based on the neglect of the linear term in \( t \) in equation (3.12) that becomes significant for small \( \gamma \).

4. Summary and Conclusions

We have investigated the role of temperature differences between drop and bath in delaying drop coalescence. Experiments indicate a minimum temperature difference, dependent on the oil viscosity, below which no appreciable difference with the isothermal case exists and above which the residence time increases as a function of the initial temperature difference. We have demonstrated that the observed behaviour is described by a unique curve: above a critical Marangoni number \( M_{a_c} \) the delay time \( \tau_d \) increases as \( \tau_d/\tau_{th} \sim (M_{a_0} - M_{a_c})^{2/3} \).

By analysing the lubrication air flow within the gap, we have shown that the critical Marangoni number is prescribed by the minimum velocity that must be established for the pressure field, induced by the recirculating air flow within the gap, to sustain the drop’s weight. Due to the symmetry of the pressure field, the effect is independent of the sign of \( \Delta T_0 \), as indicated by our experiments. By considering the kinematics of thermal mixing in a frame deforming with the fluid elements, we have calculated the characteristic time-scale for thermal homogenisation within the drop, rationalising the observed dependence of \( \tau_d \) on \( M_{a_0} \) (and therefore on \( \Delta T_0 \)).
Our study suggests that a similar formulation can be applied to the case of drop flotation (Savino et al. 2003) and also to the case of impinging drops (Dell’Aversana et al. 1996) provided the drop weight is replaced by the applied load. The onset of the coalescence cascade should be delayed but otherwise largely unaffected by an initial temperature difference between the mother droplet and the bath. Once the first drop has coalesced following the thermal mixing process elucidated here, the temperature of its daughter droplets should be comparable to that of the bath. Finally, we note that thermally-delayed drop coalescence may prove useful in levitating drops on vibrating baths (Bush 2015).
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