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Direct Measurement of Backgrounds using Reactor-Off Data in Double Chooz


(Double Chooz Collaboration)
Double Chooz is unique among modern reactor-based neutrino experiments studying $\bar{\nu}_e$ disappearance in that data can be collected with all reactors off. In this paper, we present data from 7.53 days of reactor-off running. Applying the same selection criteria as used in the Double Chooz reactor-on oscillation analysis, a measured background rate of $1.0\pm0.4$ events/day is obtained. The background model for accidental, cosmogenic $\beta$-n-emitting isotopes, fast neutrons from cosmic muons, and stopped-$\mu$ decays used in the oscillation analysis is demonstrated to be correct within the uncertainties. Kinematic distributions of the events, which are dominantly cosmic-ray-produced correlated-background events, are provided. The background rates are scaled to the shielding depths of two other reactor-based oscillation experiments, Daya Bay and RENO.

With the discovery of the last mixing angle of the three-neutrino mixing matrix $\theta_{13}$, neutrino oscillation experiments entered the precision era. The next goal is precision studies of the three-active-neutrino model, including searches for $CP$-violation or non-unitarity [7]. The transition from searches to precision measurements necessitates a higher standard for understanding backgrounds to oscillation analyses.

Among ongoing reactor-based oscillation experiments, Double Chooz (DC) is unique in obtaining a “reactor-off” data set when the two cores of the Chooz site are both brought down for maintenance. The Daya Bay [3] and RENO [4] experiments are each located at complexes with six cores. Consequently, they are unlikely to obtain data with all cores off. The CHOOZ experiment reported reactor-off running [5], but with varying scintillator stability and higher accidentals rate and threshold burden being similar to those of Daya Bay and RENO, these results can be applied to those experiments with modest scaling for depth variations.

A direct measurement of the backgrounds in the DC oscillation analyses is performed by applying the same $\bar{\nu}_e$ selection criteria as in Refs. [1] and [2] to the reactor-off data sample. A minimal set of selection cuts was applied in [1] (“DCI selection”). Two extra cuts were added in [2] (“DCII selection”) to reduce background contamination in the $\bar{\nu}_e$ candidate sample. The results presented here apply to both the DCI and DCII selections, comparing the reactor-off data with expectations from the published reactor-on oscillation analyses [2].

Candidates are extracted from a sample of triggers (“singles”) above 0.5 MeV that are neither tagged as a background known as “light noise,” nor vetoed by the 1 ms muon veto ($\mu$ veto) [2]. The DCI selection then applies four cuts to the prompt ($e^+$) and delayed (n) IBD signals: 1) time difference: $2 \mu s < \Delta t_{\text{prompt/n}} < 100 \mu s$; 2) prompt trigger: $0.7 < E_{\text{prompt}} < 12.2$ MeV; 3) delayed trigger: $6.0 < E_n < 12.0$ MeV; 4) multiplicity: no additional valid triggers from 100 $\mu s$ preceding the prompt signal to 400 $\mu s$ after it. The DCII selection further rejects candidates according to two more conditions: 5) cosmogenic $\beta$-n background reduction: candidates within a 0.5 s window after a muon depositing high energy (>600 MeV) crosses the ID (“showering-$\mu$ veto”); 6) $\mu$/fast-n background reduction: candidates whose prompt signal
TABLE I. Background rate estimates [2], in events/day, for the reactor-off data sample, compared to observation, for the two selections described in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>β-n</th>
<th>Accidental β-fast n</th>
<th>Total Est.</th>
<th>Total Obs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCI</td>
<td>2.10±0.57</td>
<td>0.35±0.02</td>
<td>0.93±0.26</td>
<td>3.4±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCII</td>
<td>1.25±0.54</td>
<td>0.26±0.02</td>
<td>0.44±0.20</td>
<td>2.0±0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is coincident with an OV signal (OV veto).

During the reactor-off period, the total and showering muon rates (ID only) were 46 and 0.10 s⁻¹, respectively, consistent with those during the reactor-on period to 4% [2]. By applying the μ veto without and with the additional DCII showering-μ veto, 7.19 and 6.84 live days, respectively, are obtained. Within these times, a singles rate of 11.01 s⁻¹ is measured, again consistent, within 4%, with that during the reactor-on period. Hence, the same accidental background level is expected for DCI and DCII.

Table I shows the estimated background and observed reactor-off event rates for both the DCI and DCII selections. In all cases, the background rate estimation relies on data published in [2]. The accidental rate uncertainties quoted include an additional effect of day-to-day variations, negligible in [2]. For the DCII selection, the ⁹Li rate corresponds to the value used as an input for the oscillation fit, which is consistent with the fit output, and the μ/fast-n rate is smaller than that reported in [2] since OV duty-cycle was 100% during the reactor-off period.

In order to evaluate the residual neutrino spectrum in the reactor-off period, a dedicated simulation has been performed with FISPACT [9], an evolution code predicting the isotope inventory in the reactor cores. The neutrino spectrum is then computed using the BESTI-OLE [10] database. The resulting total number of expected neutrino interactions during the reactor-off period is 2.01±0.80, which, when corrected for the live time (μ vetoes) and the detection efficiency computed in [2], yields an expected number of detected neutrino events of 1.49±0.60 (1.42±0.57) in the DCI (DCII) analysis. The dominant contribution comes from long-half-life isotopes, so the time distribution of these events is expected to be essentially flat over the several-day reactor-off period.

The application of the νₑ selection cuts to the reactor-off data sample yields 21 (8) νₑ candidates in the DCI (DCII) analysis. The DCII analysis vetoes five events using the showering-μ veto (β-n-like events), and another eight using the OV veto (μ/fast-n-like events). Figure 1 shows the prompt energy distribution of the candidates, superimposed on the expected spectra of background events and residual neutrinos. Once the expected number of detected neutrinos is subtracted, these numbers yield a measured total background of 2.7±0.6 events/day (1.0±0.4 events/day) using DCI (DCII). This result is consistent with the background estimates, as shown in Table I, confirming the reliability of the background model for the oscillation analysis.

The accidental background rate obtained in the reactor-off data sample is 0.26±0.02 events/day, in perfect agreement with the prediction in Table I. Unlike other backgrounds, accidential have no spatial correlation between the prompt and delayed signals. One event in the reactor-off sample with distance between the vertices Δr ≈3.5 m is clearly accidental-like.

Following the analysis presented in [2], the cosmogenic β-n background rate can be determined from the time correlation to the parent muon. An exponential decay plus a constant background is fit to the time difference (Δtₚμ) distribution between muons and IBD candidates. DCI selection plus the OV veto (to reduce μ/fast-n contamination) yields 1.7±0.9 β-n-events/day. The number...
remaining after DCII selection is $1.1 \pm 0.8$ events/day. The results are in good agreement with the $\Delta t_{\mu\nu}$ fit of the reactor-on data, which indicated $2.1 \pm 0.6$ (1.3 $\pm 0.5$) events/day for DCI+OV (DCII) selection \[2\]. The five events tagged by the showering-$\mu$ veto correspond to a $\beta$-$n$ rate of $0.70 \pm 0.31$ events/day, consistent with the value in \[2\]: $0.89 \pm 0.10$ events/day.

A sample of stopping muons and fast neutrons is obtained by applying the OV veto (cut 6) to the candidates passing the DCI selection. Eight events are tagged by the OV in the range $E_{\text{prompt}} = 0.7$ to 12.2 MeV, while four are found between 12.2 and 30 MeV, where only $\mu$/fast-$n$ background is expected. Of these, ten events have $\Delta t < 3 \mu$s, and their reconstructed vertices populate the region below the detector chimney. These are classified as stopping muons that decay. The remaining two candidates are farther from the chimney and have large $\Delta t$, as expected for fast-neutron events. The overall OV tagging rate for $E_{\text{prompt}} < 30$ MeV in the reactor-off period is $1.67 \pm 0.48$ events/day, in good agreement with that observed in the reactor-on data: $1.70 \pm 0.10$ events/day. Both IV and OV tagging techniques \[2\] were applied to the reactor-off data, yielding results consistent with those of the reactor-on analysis.

The rates of the IB candidates originating from fast-$n$ (excluding stopped-$\mu$’s) and $\beta$-$n$ backgrounds can be scaled to other experimental sites, such as those of the Daya Bay and RENO detectors and the future DC near detector. As these backgrounds are produced by muons, the first step is to determine $\Phi_\mu$ and mean energy ($\langle E_\mu \rangle$). IBD rates from fast-$n$ and $\beta$-$n$ isotope production can then be computed.

The muon flux (in $\mu$/cm$^2$/s) at the DC far site is estimated using two independent methods: the total measured muon rate ($\mu$/s) divided by either 1) the effective detector area, or 2) the detector volume, then multiplied by average path length within the volume. The two methods yield consistent results and are in agreement with a simulation using the MUSIC/MUSUN code \[11\], which includes a detailed description of the overburden topology. The results also agree with measurements by the CHOOZ experiment \[8\], once the definition of the effective area is correctly taken into account. An average of estimates 1) and 2) is taken as the DC far flux, with an error estimated from the difference between measurement and simulation. A MUSIC/MUSUN simulation also yields the average muon energy at the DC far site. The values are summarized in Table I including measured rates of fast-$n$ and $\beta$-$n$ backgrounds. The fast-$n$ rate was computed as in \[2\] for the reactor-on data sample, both using the OV veto (DCI) on the subsample where the OV was fully operational, and on the whole sample excluding this cut (DCII).

The measured muon flux was scaled following two different empirical methods \[12\] \[13\]. Both are applicable for shallow depths and provide consistent results. Such methods assume a flat overburden. The shape of the overburden affects the overall rate, but has only a minor impact on the evolution of the rate with depth. As a realistic evaluation of the effect, we find the difference between the rates for a flat overburden and the hill profile at the DC far site to be 11%.

The mean muon energy was calculated at various depths using the MUSIC/MUSUN simulation code. We take the uncertainty on these values due to overburden shape to be 3.6%; this comes from our calculations of the mean muon energies at a depth of 300 m.w.e. assuming either a flat overburden or the Double Chooz hill profile. The uncertainty due to rock composition is 3.5%.

The muon fluxes and mean energies at the various experimental sites are shown in Table III. They are in good agreement with the values quoted in \[2\].

The rates of IB candidates from fast neutrons and $\beta$-$n$ isotopes were assumed to scale with depth ($h$) according to power laws \[14\] \[15\]:

$$R_{n/\beta-n}(h) \propto \Phi_\mu(h) \cdot \langle E_\mu(h) \rangle^\alpha .$$

Factors due to scintillator composition, summarized in Table IV, were taken into account, and affect the results by no more than 3%. Background rates can depend on several other aspects of the experimental apparatus: acceptance, $\mu$ detection efficiency, neutron shielding type and thickness, selection cuts, etc. Thus, detailed use of these rates for other experiments requires corrections to adapt from our detector to the detector of interest.

For fast-$n$, $\alpha = 0.74$ is used, as estimated in \[14\] \[15\].
from rates measured by several experiments at different depths. The prompt signal in fast-n background events arises from the recoil of a free proton in the target; for simplicity, we scale the ratio to the number of hydrogen atoms in the target scintillator, assuming that interactions scale with detector volume, as is frequently done in the literature. The results are summarized in Table V and compared to measured values \cite{3,4}, normalized to the muon flux at the DC far site, in Fig. 2. The value quoted by RENO is obtained without a dedicated muon veto; lines and shaded bands represent our scaling of the DC measurements with their uncertainty. Values were scaled by number of H atoms and normalized to muon flux at DC far site.

In conclusion, we have reported a direct measurement of the cosmic-ray induced background in the DC oscillation analysis using 7.53 days of data with both reactors off. The identified candidates are well understood as due to accidentals, \( \beta \)-n emitting isotopes, cosmic muons producing fast neutrons, and stopped muons that decay. With the same cuts applied as in the Double Chooz reactor-on oscillation analysis \cite{2}, the total background including accidentals, cosmogenic \( \beta \)-n emitting isotopes,

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Fast-\( n \) background rates measured at DC far and scaled to other depths.}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
Detector & depth \((\text{m.w.e.})\) & \( \text{Fast-} n \text{ background rate} \) & \( \text{(day} \cdot 10^{29}\text{H})^{-1} \) \\
 & & OV veto & OV veto \\
RENO near & 120 & 2.0 ± 1.0 & 1.4 ± 1.1 \\
DC near & 150 & 1.44 ± 0.76 & 1.01 ± 0.82 \\
Daya Bay EH1 & 250 & 0.67 ± 0.33 & 0.46 ± 0.37 \\
Daya Bay EH2 & 265 & 0.60 ± 0.30 & 0.42 ± 0.33 \\
DC far & 300 & 0.49 ± 0.24 & 0.34 ± 0.27 \\
RENO far & 450 & 0.24 ± 0.12 & 0.16 ± 0.13 \\
Daya Bay EH3 & 860 & 0.06 ± 0.03 & 0.04 ± 0.03 \\
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Different liquid scintillator (LS) properties used for background rate scaling. \( M \) indicates the total mass and \( m_{LS} \) the molecular mass of the LS, \( N_{C/LS} \) and \( N_{H/LS} \) are the number of carbon or hydrogen atoms per molecule of LS, \( N_C \) (\( N_H \)) the total number of carbon (hydrogen) atoms in the detector target.}
\begin{tabular}{lcccc}
Experiment & \( M \) \((\text{tons})\) & \( m_{LS} \) \((\text{g/mol})\) & \( N_{C/LS} \) \((10^{29})\) & \( N_C \) \((10^{29})\) \\
DC & 8.24 & 178.33 & 12.67 & 24.65 & 3.53 & 6.75 \\
RENO & 16.0 & 246.43 & 18 & 30 & 7.04 & 11.7 \\
Daya Bay & 20.0 & 246.43 & 18 & 30 & 8.80 & 14.7 \\
KamLAND & 913.4 & 160.31 & 11 & 22 & 385 & 767 \\
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Scaling of DC fast-\( n \) background rates and comparison with quoted values. Empty (full) markers indicate quoted results using a selection without (with) an external muon veto; lines and shaded bands represent our scaling of the DC measurements with their uncertainty. Values were scaled by number of H atoms and normalized to muon flux at DC far site.}
\end{figure}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{\( \beta \)-n decay rates measured at DC far and scaled to other depths.}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
Detector & depth \((\text{m.w.e.})\) & \( \beta \)-n-decay rate \((\text{day} \cdot 10^{29}\text{C})^{-1}\) \\
 & & DCI & DCII \\
RENO near & 120 & 18 ± 10 & 11.7 ± 8.9 \\
DC near & 150 & 13.5 ± 7.9 & 8.7 ± 6.7 \\
Daya Bay EH1 & 250 & 6.5 ± 3.5 & 4.2 ± 3.1 \\
Daya Bay EH2 & 265 & 5.9 ± 3.2 & 3.8 ± 2.8 \\
DC far & 300 & 4.8 ± 2.6 & 3.1 ± 2.3 \\
RENO far & 450 & 2.4 ± 1.3 & 1.5 ± 1.2 \\
Daya Bay EH3 & 860 & 0.63 ± 0.36 & 0.41 ± 0.31 \\
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

In conclusion, we have reported a direct measurement of the cosmic-ray induced background in the DC oscillation analysis using 7.53 days of data with both reactors off. The identified candidates are well understood as due to accidentals, \( \beta \)-n emitting isotopes, cosmic muons producing fast neutrons, and stopped muons that decay. With the same cuts applied as in the Double Chooz reactor-on oscillation analysis \cite{2}, the total background including accidentals, cosmogenic \( \beta \)-n emitting isotopes,
fast neutrons from cosmic muons and stopped-μ decays is 1.0±0.4 events/day. The result is consistent with estimations in the DC oscillation analysis. The results have been scaled to depths of interest to the Daya Bay and RENO reactor-based neutrino oscillation experiments.
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